CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response (1)	2011 Response Text
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	1. Conflict, anger, mistrust and misunderstanding among motorists, cyclists, police, transit riders, and pedestrians have frustrated the successful implementation of the San Francisco Bicycle Plan. The Plan should be amended to address the different and sometimes hostile attitudes and perceptions. San Francisco should create innovative strategies so that residents can more fairly and safely share the roadways of the City. Amending the Plan should be a priority and be completed by January 1, 2011. The SFCGJ recommends that the Bicycle Advisory Committee, with active input and cooperation from the SFMTA and the SFPD, amend the San Francisco Bicycle Plan (the Plan) to include the recommendations set forth in this report. The amended Plan should be presented to the Mayor and BOS for adoption by January 1, 2011. The SFCGJ recommends that the BAC, SFMTA, and the SFPD meet annually.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not be Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors partial Recommendation No. 1 because while the Bicycle Plan shoul coordination should exist between the Bicycle Advisory Comm Transportation Agency, and the Police Department; (Resolution
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	1. Conflict, anger, mistrust and misunderstanding among motorists, cyclists, police, transit riders, and pedestrians have frustrated the successful implementation of the San Francisco Bicycle Plan. The Plan should be amended to address the different and sometimes hostile attitudes and perceptions. San Francisco should create innovative strategies so that residents can more fairly and safely share the roadways of the City. Amending the Plan should be a priority and be completed by January 1, 2011. The SFCGJ recommends that the Bicycle Advisory Committee, with active input and cooperation from the SFMTA and the SFPD, amend the San Francisco Bicycle Plan (the Plan) to include the recommendations set forth in this report. The amended Plan should be presented to the Mayor and BOS for adoption by January 1, 2011. The SFCGJ recommends that the BAC, SFMTA, and the SFPD meet annually.	Office of the Mayor	•	The San Francisco Bicycle Plan addresses the concerns raise Procedures are in place in the San Francisco Bicycle Plan to a arise with the use of shared roadways. The San Francisco Bic amended. All stakeholders should meet regularly to discuss t the San Francisco Bicycle Plan, and this is already occurring a continue to improve upon this process.
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	1. Conflict, anger, mistrust and misunderstanding among motorists, cyclists, police, transit riders, and pedestrians have frustrated the successful implementation of the San Francisco Bicycle Plan. The Plan should be amended to address the different and sometimes hostile attitudes and perceptions. San Francisco should create innovative strategies so that residents can more fairly and safely share the roadways of the City. Amending the Plan should be a priority and be completed by January 1, 2011. The SFCGJ recommends that the Bicycle Advisory Committee, with active input and cooperation from the SFMTA and the SFPD, amend the San Francisco Bicycle Plan (the Plan) to include the recommendations set forth in this report. The amended Plan should be presented to the Mayor and BOS for adoption by January 1, 2011. The SFCGJ recommends that the BAC, SFMTA, and the SFPD meet annually.	San Francisco Police Department	Recommendation Implemented	In 2011, motorcycle officers assigned to the Traffic Company from instructors, supervisors and MTA traffic engineers on the traffic strategies, including the SF Bicycle Plan. Included, is e interactions between police and traffic stakeholders to engend relationship of those in traffic that goes beyond co-existing. D techniques are being encouraged, with the options of issuing a citations when necessary. A strategy that Education and Engir options at creating a safer multi-modal environment for all traffincreased positive dialogs between bicyclists, motorists, pede disabled), transit and police. Enforcement actions are effective also can be counter-productive and viewed as a failure of the Engineering efforts. Goal is self-enforcement. Traffic Officers Education as well as Enforcement in an proactive approach to users can get from point A to point B, safely and efficiently.

	2012	2012 Response Text
	Response ⁽¹⁾	
partially disagrees with should not be amended, more Committee, the Municipal solution 464-10)	**	
raised in this recommendation.	**	
an to address problems that might co Bicycle Plan should not be cuss the proper implementation of rring and city departments will		
bany have been receiving training on the City's efforts to implement d, is encouragement of positive agender a calm and trusting ag. Disputes / mediation uing admonishments and Engineering efforts are viable II traffic users, including pedestrians (including the fective (citation issuance), but f the City's Education & ficers are now focused on ach to ensure that all roadway ly.	**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	cyclists, police, transit riders, and pedestrians have frustrated the successful implementation of the San Francisco Bicycle Plan. The	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	Implemented; Not Warranted or Not	Per the SFMTA's response (dated June 24, 2010), this recommendation will not be implemented. The SFMTA stated at that time that the current bike plan addresses this concern and that it would be taken under consideration for future updates of the Bicycle Plan. SFMTA meets monthly with BAC and SFPD.	**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation		Bicycle Advisory Committee		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	•	Board of Supervisors	Implemented; Not Warranted or Not	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors disagrees with Recommendation No. 2a because the Bicycle Plan should not be amended; however, improvements to an overall education program, which includes educational materials and other forms of education, can be created and implemented without amending the Bicycle Plan; (Resolution 464-10)	**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation		Office of the Mayor	Implemented; Not Warranted or Not	The San Francisco Bicycle Plan will not be amended in order to provide educational materials because educational campaigns and outreach campaigns can be implemented without altering the San Francisco Bicycle Plan. Additionally, the San Francisco Bicycle Plan provides for educating both cyclists and non-cyclists.	**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	program to distribute, to the public as well as cyclists, the extensive	San Francisco Police Department	Implemented	Presently, many officers are carrying various educational materials for pedestrians, cyclists and motorists that include a wide range of traffic education needs. These materials are maintained at the Traffic Company, easy to carry and officers are encouraged to distribute them during patrol.	**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	program to distribute, to the public as well as cyclists, the extensive available safe cycling educational materials.	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency		Per the SFMTA's response (dated June 24, 2010) and the SFPD's response (dated July 30, 2010), this recommendation will not be implemented.	**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation		Bicycle Advisory Committee		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2b. By January 1, 2011, Police should update training materials related to bicycles in a joint effort with the bicycle community and the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST). Updated materials should include CVC and TC enforcement in alignment with the current SFMTA Bike Guide. By January 1, 2011, the SFPD should have a plan to distribute these materials and train officers.	Board of	Will Not be Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Police Department on Recommendation No. 2b; (Resolution 464- 10)	**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	related to bicycles in a joint effort with the bicycle community and the	San Francisco Police Department	Recommendation Implemented	The Traffic Company has liaisons with the bicycle community, including a Master Instructor with California POST. Traffic officers are regularly assigned to CVC and TC training where advocates for pedestrian and bicyclist have been included in the presentation of the educational materials.	**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	related to bicycles in a joint effort with the bicycle community and the	Transportation	Will Be Implemented in the Future		Recommendation Implemented	In November, 2011, SFPD worked with the SF Bicycle Coalition and SFMTA divisions to update materials. Distribution and training are on-going activities. In addition, the SFMTA, SFPD's Traffic Company, the SF Bicycle Coalition, the Senior Action Network, the Bicycle Advisory Committee, and the Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee, have developed a program to send people who violate the CVC and TC sections related to bicycling or walking to bicycle and pedestrian safety education classes in lieu of paying a fine. This approach to enforcement will begin in Traffic Company and be rolled out to officers in stations Citywide in 2012.
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2b. By January 1, 2011, Police should update training materials related to bicycles in a joint effort with the bicycle community and the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST). Updated materials should include CVC and TC enforcement in alignment with the current SFMTA Bike Guide. By January 1, 2011, the SFPD should have a plan to distribute these materials and train officers.	Bicycle Advisory Committee		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation		Board of Supervisors		FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors disagrees with Recommendation No. 2c because, the Departments can work to provide information to bicyclists regarding the advantages of having insurance without amending the Bicycle Plan by January 1, 2011; however, the Bicycle Plan should be amended at the next scheduled revision of the Plan to include the education of cyclists on the advantages of bicycle insurance; (Resolution 464-10)	**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	include the importance and availability of property, liability, and	San Francisco Police Department	Will Not be Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The Traffic Company acknowledges the need for insurance for cyclists .	**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	include the importance and availability of property, liability, and health insurance for cyclists.	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	Will Not be Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Per the SFMTA's response (dated June 24, 2010), this recommendation will not be implemented.	**	

CGJ Year	r Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2c. The Bicycle Plan should be amended by January 1, 2011 to include the importance and availability of property, liability, and health insurance for cyclists.	Bicycle Advisory Committee		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2d. The Plan should include the Police Department, pedestrians, public transit riders and motorists in any further discussion or revision. Representation should include at a minimum the Police Chief or his designee, and at least two officers familiar with cycling issues on appropriate committees.	Board of Supervisors		FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors partially agrees with Recommendation No. 2d, because the Police Department should have discretion to determine what amount of representation is necessary to provide full involvement by the Police Department; (Resolution 464-10)	**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2d. The Plan should include the Police Department, pedestrians, public transit riders and motorists in any further discussion or revision. Representation should include at a minimum the Police Chief or his designee, and at least two officers familiar with cycling issues on appropriate committees.	San Francisco Police Department	Recommendation Implemented	The MTA - Traffic Company remains involved with the various MTA and City committees where discussions and revisions occur and assigns two or more officers familiar with cycling issues to these meetings.	**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2d. The Plan should include the Police Department, pedestrians, public transit riders and motorists in any further discussion or revision. Representation should include at a minimum the Police Chief or his designee, and at least two officers familiar with cycling issues on appropriate committees.	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Per the SFMTA's response (dated June 24, 2010), this recommendation will be considered for implementation in the next update of the Bicycle Plan (due in 2014). As noted, the Police Department participates in evaluation of all capital projects through the bi-weekly Transportation Advisory Staff Committee and is invited to attend Bicycle Advisory Committee meetings.	Will Be Implemented in the Future	See 2011 response text for implementation timeline. See response to recommendation 2d for a description of on-going collaboration efforts among these stakeholders.
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2d. The Plan should include the Police Department, pedestrians, public transit riders and motorists in any further discussion or revision. Representation should include at a minimum the Police Chief or his designee, and at least two officers familiar with cycling issues on appropriate committees.	Bicycle Advisory Committee		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3a. The Plan should insist that all users of the roadways comply with the current traffic laws. The Plan should consider a self-enforcement campaign along with the current co-exist campaign. Motorists and cyclists need to step-up to the plate to begin self-enforcement. The Plan should encourage and educate all users to act responsibly.		Will Not be Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Police Department for Recommendation No. 3a; (Resolution 464-10)	**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3a. The Plan should insist that all users of the roadways comply with the current traffic laws. The Plan should consider a self-enforcement campaign along with the current co-exist campaign. Motorists and cyclists need to step-up to the plate to begin self-enforcement. The Plan should encourage and educate all users to act responsibly.		Recommendation Implemented	The San Francisco Bicycle Plan Action Item 4.11 addresses this recommendation by stating that cyclists and motorists should monitor themselves and comply with all applicable laws and regulations to ensure the safety of all users of the roadways.	**	

CGJ Year	r Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3a. The Plan should insist that all users of the roadways comply with the current traffic laws. The Plan should consider a self-enforcement campaign along with the current co-exist campaign. Motorists and cyclists need to step-up to the plate to begin self-enforcement. The Plan should encourage and educate all users to act responsibly.		Recommendation Implemented	The Traffic Company continues to insist that all roadway users comply with the traffic law. * The self-enforcement campaign has become a natural extension of the emphasis placed upon Traffic Company's focus upon Education	*	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3a. The Plan should insist that all users of the roadways comply with the current traffic laws. The Plan should consider a self-enforcement campaign along with the current co-exist campaign. Motorists and cyclists need to step-up to the plate to begin self-enforcement. The Plan should encourage and educate all users to act responsibly.	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	Recommendation Implemented	Recommendation implemented: Per the SFMTA's response (dated June 24, 2010) and the SFPD's response (dated July 30, 2010), this recommendation was already implemented. See Action Item 4.1.1 in the Bicycle Plan.	*	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3a. The Plan should insist that all users of the roadways comply with the current traffic laws. The Plan should consider a self-enforcement campaign along with the current co-exist campaign. Motorists and cyclists need to step-up to the plate to begin self-enforcement. The Plan should encourage and educate all users to act responsibly.			Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3b, 3c, and 3d. Police should enforce the Traffic Code and California Vehicle Code. Starting September 2010, the police should have a goal of entering all bicycle citations into the database. By January 1, 2011, San Francisco moving violation tickets should include a box for "bicycle." By January 1, 2011, COMSTAT should include a section for bicycle related data.	Board of Supervisors	•	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors, in response to Recommendation Nos. 3b, 3c, and 3d incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Police Department for Recommendation No. 3b; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that Finding No. 3c requires further analysis including information regarding the amount of violations which do not result in citations and the data source used by the Civil Grand Jury to develop this Finding; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors partially disagrees with Finding No. 3d, because there is no solid data as to why people break the law and there is no direct connection between the increased number of cyclists and the violations which occur; however the Police Department should work to ensure full compliance with traffic law, and future enforcement policy should emphasize education and the safety of all road users including pedestrians; (Resolution 464-10)	*	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3b, 3c, and 3d. Police should enforce the Traffic Code and California Vehicle Code. Starting September 2010, the police should have a goal of entering all bicycle citations into the database. By January 1, 2011, San Francisco moving violation tickets should include a box for "bicycle." By January 1, 2011, COMSTAT should include a section for bicycle related data.	San Francisco Police Department	Recommendation Implemented	The police enforce many Traffic Codes, as well as the California Vehicle Codes and ideally would have the resources to track all violations. The resources needed to enter all bicycle citations in a database, in addition to what is entered into the California Superior Court violators database requires further analysis.	*	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3f. By January 1, 2011, the Traffic Court should establish a Bicycle Court Traffic School option, as a tool for education, patterned on Traffic Schools currently in use, for when bicyclists (and motorists with bicycle-related infractions) have been cited for moving violations. Such sessions will be scheduled at least once each quarter. The Traffic Court should consult with the BAC in the development of the Bicycle Court option.	Board of Supervisors		FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors agrees with Finding No. 3f; FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Mayor for Recommendation No. 3f; (Resolution 464-10)	*	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3f. By January 1, 2011, the Traffic Court should establish a Bicycle Court Traffic School option, as a tool for education, patterned on Traffic Schools currently in use, for when bicyclists (and motorists with bicycle-related infractions) have been cited for moving violations. Such sessions will be scheduled at least once each quarter. The Traffic Court should consult with the BAC in the development of the Bicycle Court option.	Office of the Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	work to create a bicycle traffic school curriculum option rather than penalties for traffic violations. Because the Traffic Court is under the jurisdiction of the Superior Court, the	Will Not Be mplemented: Not Varranted or Not Reasonable	The Traffic Court is under the jurisdiction of the Superior Court, and the Mayor's Office cannot implement this recommendation for the Traffic Court to consult with the Bicycle Advisory Committee in order to develop the Bicycle Court.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	Court Traffic School option, as a tool for education, patterned on Poli	n Francisco ice partment	Implemented; Not	The Traffic Company encourages the creation of a Bicycle Court Traffic School option as an educational tool. This will further the self-enforcement goals, as well as creating an additional tool to help reinforce safe practices amongst the cyclists.		
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	Court Traffic School option, as a tool for education, patterned on Traffic Schools currently in use, for when bicyclists (and motorists	n Francisco nicipal nsportation ency	Implemented; Not Warranted or Not	Will not be implemented by the SFMTA: Per the SFMTA's response (dated June 24, 2010) and the SFPD's response (dated July 30, 2010), this recommendation is sound but beyond the purview of either department. The Department of Motor Vehicles has the sole discretion to establish traffic schools.		
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation		ycle Advisory mmittee		Committee elected not to respond.	-	Committee elected not to respond.
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3g. There should be an overall citywide policy about how the existing Boa CVC and TC codes will be implemented so police have the direction Sup and support they seek and deserve.		Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors partially agrees with Finding No. 3g because there might be other reasons that the Police Officers may or may not be citing cyclists; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Bicycle Advisory Committee for Recommendation No. 3g; (Resolution 464-10)		
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3g. There should be an overall citywide policy about how the existing Offic CVC and TC codes will be implemented so police have the direction May and support they seek and deserve.		Analysis	•	/ill be nplemented in le Future	This recommendation is a deliverable of the Bicycle Transit Integration plan currently underway. SFPD indicates that the policy has been developed and implementation is underway. The plan is scheduled for completion by Summer 2013.
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3g. There should be an overall citywide policy about how the existing CVC and TC codes will be implemented so police have the direction and support they seek and deserve. San			The Traffic Company supports an environment which helps guide and support their efforts ** educate and enforce the existing Traffic Codes and California Vehicle Codes.		

CGJ Year	r Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response (1)	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3g. There should be an overall citywide policy about how the existing CVC and TC codes will be implemented so police have the direction and support they seek and deserve.		Requires Further Analysis	Requires Further Analysis: Per the SFMTA's response (dated June 24, 2010) and the SFPD's response (dated July 30, 2010), this recommendation is sound but requires further analysis before implementation. The SFMTA has identified sections of the CVC and TC related to bicycles that require further clarification and collaborates with the SFPD to issue training bulletins to guide enforcement. A recent example is a bulletin explaining enforcement of taxi and paratransit loading in bicycle lanes.	Requires Further	This recommendation is a deliverable of the Bicycle Transit Integration plan currently underway. The plan is scheduled for completion by Summer 2013.
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3g. There should be an overall citywide policy about how the existing CVC and TC codes will be implemented so police have the direction and support they seek and deserve.			Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	4. The city should consider a form of "negative registration" to capture names and other pertinent data about cyclists who are ticketed by SFPD for moving or equipment violations or otherwise involved in traffic accidents where the cyclist is cited at fault. The cyclist should be required to appear at a "bicycle court" where proscribed safety education would be required. The format of the court, including a cycle friendly venue such as a ride-up location, and an educational curriculum should be provided through collaboration among SFPD bicycle officers, the Bicycle Coalition and other cycling advocates. Notices to Appear, if ignored, should be pursued through SFPD and the courts.			FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors partially disagrees with Finding No.4 because while the Transit First Policy of the City does not require one mode of transportation to financially support all costs associated with road usage, a fee charged to cyclists under a negative registration who violate the traffic code would likely be a deterrent to cycling; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Mayor for Recommendation No.4; (Resolution 464-10)	**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	4. The city should consider a form of "negative registration" to capture names and other pertinent data about cyclists who are ticketed by SFPD for moving or equipment violations or otherwise involved in traffic accidents where the cyclist is cited at fault. The cyclist should be required to appear at a "bicycle court" where proscribed safety education would be required. The format of the court, including a cycle friendly venue such as a ride-up location, and an educational curriculum should be provided through collaboration among SFPD bicycle officers, the Bicycle Coalition and other cycling advocates. Notices to Appear, if ignored, should be pursued through SFPD and the courts.		Requires Further Analysis	Action Item 4.4 provides that the MTA and SFPD will work to create a bicycle traffic	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	4. The city should consider a form of "negative registration" to capture names and other pertinent data about cyclists who are ticketed by SFPD for moving or equipment violations or otherwise involved in traffic accidents where the cyclist is cited at fault. The cyclist should be required to appear at a "bicycle court" where proscribed safety education would be required. The format of the court, including a cycle friendly venue such as a ride-up location, and an educational curriculum should be provided through collaboration among SFPD bicycle officers, the Bicycle Coalition and other cycling advocates. Notices to Appear, if ignored, should be pursued through SFPD and the courts.			The decision to release of a form of negative registration of those who have violated the law or responsible for traffic collision, and participated in an approved bicycle court program, is in the purview of the Court and District Attorney 's Office. Their decisions and Court orders will be followed by the Traffic Company.	**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	4. The city should consider a form of "negative registration" to capture names and other pertinent data about cyclists who are ticketed by SFPD for moving or equipment violations or otherwise involved in traffic accidents where the cyclist is cited at fault. The cyclist should be required to appear at a "bicycle court" where proscribed safety education would be required. The format of the court, including a cycle friendly venue such as a ride-up location, and an educational curriculum should be provided through collaboration among SFPD bicycle officers, the Bicycle Coalition and other cycling advocates. Notices to Appear, if ignored, should be pursued through SFPD and the courts.		Will Not be Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Per the SFMTA's response (dated June 24, 2010), this recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted.	**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	,	Bicycle Advisory Committee		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	5	Board of Supervisors		RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it incorporates and adopts as its own the City Attorney's response to Finding No.1 and Recommendation No.1 of the subject Grand Jury Report; (Resolution 384-10)	**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	1. The City Attorney's Office should assess the liability and risk to the City for the incomplete level of Title II compliance, and report its findings to the Mayor and BOS by October 31, 2010.	Office of the Mayor	Requires Further Analysis		Requires Further Analysis	The City Attorney's Office cannot evaluate the risk for its level of compliance to Title II of the American's with Disability Act by October 31, 2010, until there is a resolution in the Kirola v. City and County of San Francisco case. As of 2012, the Kirola decision is still pending.
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?		Office of the City Attorney	Requires Further Analysis		Requires Further Analysis	As stated in the previous response, the City Attorney's Office agreed to submit its confidential report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors by October 31, 2010, or 60 days following entry of final judgment and exhaustion of any appeals in the Kirola litigation, whichever was later. The court conducted trial from April 4, 2011 through May 5 2011. The case has been fully submitted to the Court for decision after trial. The City is awaiting the District Court's decision. Accordingly, once the City Attorney's Office has the results of that litigation in hand, the City Attorney's Office will be better positioned to prepare a meaningful report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	2. San Francisco should expand the Grievance Procedure to the level necessary for the "prompt and equitable" resolution of ADA complaints.	Board of Supervisors	Implemented; Not	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Mayor's Office on Disability to Finding Nos. 2, 4 and 6, and Recommendation Nos. 2, 4 and 6 of the subject Grand Jury Report; (Resolution 384-10)	**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	2. San Francisco should expand the Grievance Procedure to the level necessary for the "prompt and equitable" resolution of ADA complaints.	Office of the Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	Despite the impact of recent budget cuts on staffing, the Mayor's Office on Disability (MOD) ensures that ADA grievances received are handled effectively. Because of any changes to staffing levels, there is a possibility of changes to response times for complaints as existing staff take on additional responsibilities. If and when the budget situation improves, the Mayor's Office will evaluate any expansion of the grievance procedure and review any need for increased staffing levels.	Requires Further Analysis	The Mayor's Office on Disability (MOD) continues to ensure that ADA grievances are handled effectively. Staffing level limitations in previous years have resulted in some changes to the response times for the complaints since MOD staff has assumed additional responsibilities. As the budget situation improves, however, the Mayor's Office will consider expanding the grievance procedure, specifically as it relates to enhanced coordination and training with other Department ADA Coordinators, and increased staffing at MOD.
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	2. San Francisco should expand the Grievance Procedure to the level necessary for the "prompt and equitable" resolution of ADA complaints.	Mayor's Office on Disability	Requires Further Analysis	As of April 2011, the number of ADA complaints that reach our office has remained virtually the same while the City's funding levels continue to shrink. Our client intake coordinator position that was eliminated in response to the budget crisis continues to be unfunded and many of the duties are being currently performed by temporary interns who are supervised by permanent staff. We have been unable to identify additional sources of City funding that would enable us to expand the ADA Grievance Procedure via broader outreach to the disability community.	Requires Further Analysis	The Mayor's Office on Disability (MOD) continues to ensure that ADA grievances are handled effectively. Staffing level limitations in previous years have resulted in some changes to the response times for the complaints since MOD staff has assumed additional responsibilities. As the budget situation improves, however, the Mayor's Office will consider expanding the grievance procedure, specifically as it relates to enhanced coordination and training with other Department ADA Coordinators, and increased staffing at MOD.
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	3. By January 2011, the MOD in association with City departments' ADA Coordinators should initiate a study to determine the feasibility of the expansion of the grievance procedure to incorporate private sector ADA compliance issues as an alternative to litigation.	Office of the Mayor	Warranted or Not	The Human Rights Commission is tasked with addressing civil rights complaints, including disability rights complaints, in the private sector. Although it is important to address private sector ADA compliance issues as an alternative to litigation, because of HRC's role and area of responsibilities, a study is not warranted.	**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	3. By January 2011, the MOD in association with City departments' ADA Coordinators should initiate a study to determine the feasibility of the expansion of the grievance procedure to incorporate private sector ADA compliance issues as an alternative to litigation.	Mayor's Office on Disability	Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	As stated in the June 15, 2010 letter, MOD does not have the fiscal or staff resources to implement a study on the feasibility of expanding its mandate to resolve access complaints in the private sector. While we have not implemented this recommendation, as expected, we have taken two steps to improve awareness of private sector compliance issues: 1) MOD has been working closely with the Office of Small Business, several San Francisco Supervisors, and the Bar Association of San Francisco to increase awareness of the access responsibilities of small businesses. We will be providing a training in May, and will be doing workshops around the City. 2) MOD has been working with the disability community on how the new definition of service and support animals will be interpreted in San Francisco for private businesses. With the help of volunteer student time, we have done outreach and are organizing a hearing in May to develop a city-wide response.	**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response (1)	2011 Response Text
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	3. By January 2011, the MOD in association with City departments' ADA Coordinators should initiate a study to determine the feasibility of the expansion of the grievance procedure to incorporate private sector ADA compliance issues as an alternative to litigation.	Mayor's Disability Council	Will Not be Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	As stated in the June 15, 2010 letter, MOD does not have the implement a study on the feasibility of expanding its mandate complaints in the private sector. While we have not implement as expected, we have taken two steps to improve awareness issues: 1) MOD has been working closely with the Office of Small Bus Francisco Supervisors, and the Bar Association of San Francis of the access responsibilities of small businesses. We will be and will be doing workshops around the City. 2) MOD has been working with the disability community on ho service and support animals will be interpreted in San Francis With the help of volunteer student time, we have done outreach hearing in May to develop a city-wide response.
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	3. By January 2011, the MOD in association with City departments' ADA Coordinators should initiate a study to determine the feasibility of the expansion of the grievance procedure to incorporate private sector ADA compliance issues as an alternative to litigation.	Department of Public Works	Will Not be Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Falls outside the responsibility of DPW
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	3. By January 2011, the MOD in association with City departments' ADA Coordinators should initiate a study to determine the feasibility of the expansion of the grievance procedure to incorporate private sector ADA compliance issues as an alternative to litigation.	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	Recommendation Implemented	The Recommendation has been implemented. On October 29 coordinator wrote to MOD expressing their willingness to parti Recommendation 3 suggests that the Mayor's Office of Disabi with the cooperation of the city's ADA Coordinators regarding grievance process to incorporate private sector ADA complain the Director of MOD on October 29, 2010 expressing the Ager participate in this effort.
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	3. By January 2011, the MOD in association with City departments' ADA Coordinators should initiate a study to determine the feasibility of the expansion of the grievance procedure to incorporate private sector ADA compliance issues as an alternative to litigation.	San Francisco Police Department	Recommendation Implemented	City Departments presently are required to have ADA related complaints are logged and tracked; advising complainants if th what is being done. The expansion of needed protections desi members of the community is endorsed of the Traffic Compan
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	4. San Francisco should obtain and distribute the needed funding through all available and creative means including targeted bond issues to accelerate the achievement of compliance goals in ten years. Consistent funding levels must be maintained in order to retain, develop, and expand the pool of valuable experienced personnel.	Board of Supervisors	-	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorp own the response of the Mayor's Office on Disability to Finding Recommendation Nos. 2, 4 and 6 of the subject Grand Jury R
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	4. San Francisco should obtain and distribute the needed funding through all available and creative means including targeted bond issues to accelerate the achievement of compliance goals in ten years. Consistent funding levels must be maintained in order to retain, develop, and expand the pool of valuable experienced personnel.	Office of the Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	The City continues to provide funds in the Capital Plan for imp public right of way. In addition to using General Fund dollars, revenues and debt financing for these projects. In prior years, bonds in order to address ADA compliance, but the voters reje resources become available, the City can achieve total compli

	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
e the fiscal or staff resources to date to resolve access emented this recommendation, ness of private sector compliance	**	
II Business, several San rancisco to increase awareness ill be providing a training in May,		
on how the new definition of ancisco for private businesses. treach and are organizing a		
	**	
per 29, 2010 the SFMTA ADA participate in this effort. hisability (MOD) initiate a study ding expansion of the City's aplaints. The SFMTA wrote to Agency's willingness to	**	
ated grievance procedures where is if there were violations and designed to assist vulnerable mpany.	**	
ncorporates and adopts as its nding Nos. 2, 4 and 6, and ury Report; (Resolution 384-10)	**	
r improvement projects for the lars, the City uses sales tax ears, the City has tried to issue s rejected these efforts. As ompliance.	**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	4. San Francisco should obtain and distribute the needed funding through all available and creative means including targeted bond issues to accelerate the achievement of compliance goals in ten years. Consistent funding levels must be maintained in order to retain, develop, and expand the pool of valuable experienced personnel.	Mayor's Office on Disability	Implemented	The ADA Transition Plan for Facilities is comprehensive and updated annually. With consistent levels of funding allocated to ADA work through the 10 year Capital Plan, we anticipate that all improvements identified by the Transition Plan will be funded by 2016 with project completion within three years. For the ADA Transition Plan for Curb Ramps and Sidewalks, the City is developing a bond for the November 2011 ballot that would provide on-going and consistent funding for curb ramps and sidewalk repair for three years. We will also re-iterate that compliance with the ADA does not require a curb ramp at every location in which a pedestrian crossing exists. Nonetheless, the City has that as its goal. With updates to the database that more accurately reflect existing curb ramps and potential curb ramp locations, we are happy to report that the number of potential curb ramp locations has been reduced from 29,000 to just under 24,000. Depending on the level of funding available for street re-paving (which builds many curb ramps), the timeline expected to put a curb ramp at every potential pedestrian crossing is now reduced significantly. We expect such saturation within 12 to 15 years.	**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	4. San Francisco should obtain and distribute the needed funding through all available and creative means including targeted bond issues to accelerate the achievement of compliance goals in ten years. Consistent funding levels must be maintained in order to retain, develop, and expand the pool of valuable experienced personnel.	Mayor's Disability Council	Implemented	The ADA Transition Plan for Facilities is comprehensive and updated annually. With consistent levels of funding allocated to ADA work through the 10 year Capital Plan, we anticipate that all improvements identified by the Transition Plan will be funded by 2016 with project completion within three years. For the ADA Transition Plan for Curb Ramps and Sidewalks, the City is developing a bond for the November 2011 ballot that would provide on-going and consistent funding for curb ramps and sidewalk repair for three years. We will also re-iterate that compliance with the ADA does not require a curb ramp at every location in which a pedestrian crossing exists. Nonetheless, the City has that as its goal. With updates to the database that more accurately reflect existing curb ramps and potential curb ramp locations, we are happy to report that the number of potential curb ramp locations has been reduced from 29,000 to just under 24,000. Depending on the level of funding available for street re-paving (which builds many curb ramps), the timeline expected to put a curb ramp at every potential pedestrian crossing is now reduced significantly. We expect such saturation within 12 to 15 years.	**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	4. San Francisco should obtain and distribute the needed funding through all available and creative means including targeted bond issues to accelerate the achievement of compliance goals in ten years. Consistent funding levels must be maintained in order to retain, develop, and expand the pool of valuable experienced personnel.	Department of Public Works	Implemented	The recommendation has been implemented in recent years, as the City has consistently allocated significant funds through its Ten Year Capital Plan and annual capital budget process. The City has used numerous funding sources for curb ramps and sidewalks, including general operating funds, sales tax revenues, and debt financing. The City will continue to pursue all viable means to continue funding in a manner that is as consistent from year to year as possible and in conformance with the DPW ADA Transition Plan for Curb Ramps and Sidewalks.	**	
	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	4. San Francisco should obtain and distribute the needed funding through all available and creative means including targeted bond issues to accelerate the achievement of compliance goals in ten years. Consistent funding levels must be maintained in order to retain, develop, and expand the pool of valuable experienced personnel.	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	Implemented	The Recommendation has been implemented. Work completed in 2008 and 2009. The Facilities Transition Plan (FTP) identified two SFMTA facilities that needed accessibility upgrades. The City has completed the work on those two facilities. SFMTA continues to work with the Department of Public Works and the Mayor's Office on Disability - the entities responsible for implementing the FTP - to find additional funding and identify future facility improvements. SFMTA considers accessibility upgrades to existing facilities beyond what was required in the FTP to be a priority, and it will persist in its efforts to seek funding for such projects. Currently, any facility modifications done by SFMTA include accessibility upgrades and funding for those upgrades. SFMTA fully supports any additional funding for future facility improvements and is supportive of identifying additional means of funding for these improvements.	**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	5. The City should pursue full enforcement and monitoring of incursions to the public rights of way, especially with regards to temporary sidewalk incursions. Staffing levels must be maintained to address and complete inspections and investigations promptly and to eliminate backlogged cases.	Board of Supervisors	Implemented; Not	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Department of Public Works to Finding No.5 and Recommendation No.5 of the subject Grand Jury Report; (Resolution 384-10)	**	

CGJ Year	r Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?		Office of the Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	Because staffing levels and resources are dictated by the budget conditions, it is not always feasible to maintain full staffing levels if inappropriate under the financial conditions. The City does pursue enforcement and monitoring of the public right of way, nonetheless. DPW has in place its Sidewalk Inspection and Repair Program and it allows departments to inspect and repair city sidewalks.	Recommendation Implemented	As part of the inspection process conducted for street improvement and utility excavation permits, DPW Inspectors perform pre-construction site meetings with Contractors to ensure that an accessible path of travel is implemented and maintained throughout the project limits. Furthermore, DPW Inspectors continually monitor site conditions through on-going inspections to ensure that Contractors maintains full compliance throughout the duration of the project. Finally, DPW provides a flexible staffing level that adjusts to the fluctuations of in-coming complaints related to sidewalk related activities such as street furniture and merchandise displays. This flexibility has resulted in Requests for Action (RFA) being processed and addressed in a timely manner for over 95% of all accessibility related requests.
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?		Department of Public Works	Requires Further Analysis	DPW vigorously pursues enforcement and monitoring of the public right of way. However, staffing levels are dictated by many factors and given the current economic climate, the city and DPW must consider their multiple obligations to the public, including critical health and safety issues, when setting staffing levels for sidewalk inspection. Notwithstanding diminishing resources, DPW has in place its Sidewalk Inspection and Repair Program (SIRP) that allows DPW to proactively inspect and repair city sidewalks, in addition to its program for responding to individual complaints. The program is running well and has resulted in 40% to 45% fewer complaints in the areas where SIRP has been implemented.	Recommendation Implemented	DPW enforces the Public Works Code to ensure public safety in the right-of-way. Since 2008 DPW has run a Sidewalk Inspection and Repair Program (SIRP), to proactively inspect and repair sidewalks. This year DPW started the Accelerated Sidewalk Abatement Program (ASAP) to abate and repair sidewalk defects that in response to complaints. The execution of the SIRP program has resulted in clearing 200 square blocks of sidewalk from defects annually and the ASAP program will provide an additional 17,000 square feet of sidewalk repair each year. In addition, DPW street inspectors respond to public complaints on sidewalk obstructions and accessible path of travel issues. DPW tracks response time to these service requests. In the first nine months of the current Fiscal Year we inspect reports of sidewalk obstruction within 2 business days, which is within our service level agreement. DPW also provides education and outreach to contractors and merchants to ensure that an accessible path of travel is available for everyone.
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?		Board of Supervisors	-	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Mayor's Office on Disability to Finding Nos. 2, 4 and 6, and Recommendation Nos. 2, 4 and 6 of the subject Grand Jury Report; (Resolution 384-10)	**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	6. By June 2011, the City should develop training programs in areas of assistance and sensitivity to the needs of disabled persons, especially at MTA and SFPD. These programs should be implemented by December 31, 2011.	Office of the Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	The MTA and SFPD have programs that address this issue. The SFPD trains new recruits with ADA-related training and the departments' Police Crisis Intervention Program provides training that helps law enforcement handle more situations involving mental illness and disability more effectively. The MTA also has dedicated staff to handle ADA compliance and provide trainings. Because of the availability of resources, both departments will work to further training programs but do not agree on that these efforts will be completed by December 2011.	**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?		Mayor's Office on Disability	Recommendation Implemented	MOD in collaboration with the City Attorney's Office conducted a training of all division heads and managers at SFMTA in September of 2010. In addition MOD staff collaborated with the ADA Coordinator at SFPD who was designing Roll-Call training curriculum on disability access issues. Due to staff constraints, MOD staff has not been conducting the training sessions directly, but these trainings occur on a regular basis.	**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response (1)	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	6. By June 2011, the City should develop training programs in areas of assistance and sensitivity to the needs of disabled persons, especially at MTA and SFPD. These programs should be implemented by December 31, 2011.	Mayor's Disability Council	Recommendation Implemented	MOD in collaboration with the City Attorney's Office conducted a training of all division heads and managers at SFMTA in September of 2010. In addition MOD staff collaborated with the ADA Coordinator at SFPD who was designing Roll-Call training curriculum on disability access issues. Due to staff constraints, MOD staff has not been conducting the training sessions directly, but these trainings occur on a regular basis.	**	
	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	6. By June 2011, the City should develop training programs in areas of assistance and sensitivity to the needs of disabled persons, especially at MTA and SFPD. These programs should be implemented by December 31, 2011.	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	Recommendation Implemented	The Recommendation has been implemented. Our training program in areas of assistance and sensitivity to the The Recommendation has been implemented in 1984. Currently, the SFMTA has an existing, comprehensive disability training for the service to, and interaction with, disabled persons in a manner that is effective and respectful of their rights. Trainings are held several times each year and are comprised of a core curriculum tailored to each audience. Transit Operators receive the most robust training; with each operator receiving an initial training as a new hire, and a refresher training as part of his or her Vehicle Transit Training (VTT) recertification. We also provide trainings to Management, Transit Fare Inspectors, Station Agents, and other front line staff on an as needed basis. In all of our trainings, we use a combination of video and oral presentations, supplemented by lecture, to introduce each participant to the history of the disabled movement, give an overview of key transit related issues affecting the disabled community, and provide a firm foundation in how to provide service to, and interact with, members of the disabled community in a manner that is effective and respectful. In addition to training provided to our front line staff by SFMTA's Accessible Services Section, the Mayor's Office of Disability and the City Attorney's Office, on September 20, 2010, provide training on Title II of the ADA tot eh Directors of all SFMTA Divisions. This training was part of an MOD initiative conducted over the past two years to train the management of all City departments to make certain that they understand the City's obligations under the ADA and can provide leadership within their respective departments or divisions to guarantee than the City's program, services and activities are accessible to people with disabilities. SFMTA staff is continuously updating our training materials. We monitor our ADA related complaints, along with reports submitted through our clandestine observer program to identify	**	
	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	6. By June 2011, the City should develop training programs in areas of assistance and sensitivity to the needs of disabled persons, especially at MTA and SFPD. These programs should be implemented by December 31, 2011.	San Francisco Police Department	Recommendation Implemented	The Traffic Company has commenced training its officers, educating the public and enforcing the access laws in the public right of way to help ensure that the disabled have accessibility in the public right and are able to get from point A to point B safely and efficiently.	**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble		Board of Supervisors	Requires Further Analysis	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it partially agrees with Finding A1 of the 2009-2010 Civil Grand Jury Report Entitled "Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble" because, although prior voter approved propositions have impacted future pension liabilities, additional solutions will be required in the future to avoid significant trade offs in the City's budget; FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Mayor on Recommendation A1, except for the third paragraph of the Mayor's response regarding hybrid pension models because the Board of Supervisors considers the hybrid pension model worthy of further consideration; (Resolution 460-10)	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not	On November 8, 2011, the voters passed Proposition C, a pension reform measure.
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	3	Office of the Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	While we have taken a number of important and significant steps towards pension reform, there is still more that can be done. The retirement age at which miscellaneous employees receive maximum benefits was recently increase to age 62. This is among the highest in California. The City should not create a hybrid system that combines elements of a Defined Benefit Plan and a Defined Contribution Plan at this time. Defined Contribution Plans carry risks that have led to negative unanticipated consequences for many private sector employees, and it would be imprudent to switch to any new model that has not proven to be dependable over the long run. However, The Mayor does agree that the City should continue to review other models and structures that could be appropriate for the City. While it is true that cost of living adjustments are awarded regardless of the financial stability of the pension fund, the additional supplemental COLA amount of up to 3.5% is only awarded if there are sufficient excess investment earnings. The Mayor agrees that the City should further evaluate whether it is beneficial as a matter of policy to award a COLA when the retirement system's investment earnings are flat.	Implemented/ Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The City's 2011 response to the Civil Grand Jury's recommendation that we create a hybrid system that combines elements of a Defined Benefit Plan and a Defined Contribution Plan remains the same: it will not be implemented. However, the City was able to significantly reform its retirement and retiree health benefits, as well as its health service system and retirement systems with the passage of Proposition C (the voter-approved Charter amendment in November 2011). Specifically, Proposition C adjusts pension contribution rates for most current and future City employees based on the City's costs; reduces pension benefits for future City employees; limits cost-of-living adjustments to pension benefits; decreases City contributions to retiree health care costs for certain former employees; requires all current and future employees to contribute toward their retiree health care costs; changes the composition and voting requirements of the Health Service Board; and makes other changes to the City's retirement and health benefits systems.
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble		Board of Supervisors	Will Not be Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors agrees with Recommendation B1; (Resolution 460-10)	**	

CGJ Yea	r Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B1. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should prepare a plan within the next year to fund the projected \$1 billion in pension costs.	Office of the Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	The Mayor's Office and city departments continue to work to address the projected \$1 billion pension cost. Over the past several years, the Mayor's Office has held regular meetings with city departments, labor representatives and other stakeholders to explore and develop options for long-term pension and benefits reforms. These efforts led to the passage of Proposition D of June 2010 that limits pension costs. Additionally, two years ago the Mayor directed the Controller to undertake the Budget Improvement Project, an effort to examine long-term financial issues and develop reforms to the City's budget process and financial planning. The Mayor worked with the Board of Supervisors to turn the results of that process into Proposition A, which voters approved in November 2009. Under Proposition A, the City is now developing two year budgets, financial policies and a five-year financial plan to address major financial issues including pension and other benefit costs.	**	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B1. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should prepare a plan within the next year to fund the projected \$1 billion in pension costs.	Office of the Controller	Recommendation Implemented	City leadership may consider how to manage retirement costs and benefits as part of its overall financial planning, and the Mayor and Board of Supervisors may make proposals regarding retirement benefits within the current system to put before the voters. These considerations already occur through the City leadership's and managers' review of pension costs and contribution rates and their financial impacts in the budget process and in other settings. Benefits, terms and conditions of SFERS are set in the Charter, and changes to them are a matter for voter approval; the Charter also requires that each year's budget be balanced. Balancing future budgets will require some combination of expenditure reductions and/or additional revenues. The Controller is working with City leadership to enact Proposition A mandated changes (a two-year (biennial) budget and a five-year financial plan which forecasts revenues and expenses and summarizes expected public service levels and funding requirements for that period) to the City's budget and financial planning. In the winter and spring of 2011, the Controller's Office is participating in a working group analyzing and developing ballot proposals, labor proposals and budgeting proposals to address OPEB liability, current health care liability, pension liability, other benefit and pension matters. The Mayor's Office and members of the Board of Supervisors will introduce one or more proposals for the Nov. 2011 ballot on this subject, and work through other City processes as well.	**	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B2. The Department of Human Resources (DHR) should not enter into agreements with the employee unions which increase the City's future pension obligations without voter approval. DHR should engage the City's professional Actuary to investigate any increase in pensionable compensation.		-	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Mayor on Finding B2; FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors disagrees with Recommendation B2 and incorporates and adopts the response of the Department of Human Resources; (Resolution 460-10)	**	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B2. The Department of Human Resources (DHR) should not enter into agreements with the employee unions which increase the City's future pension obligations without voter approval. DHR should engage the City's professional Actuary to investigate any increase in pensionable compensation.		-	As part of the collective bargaining process, the Department of Human Resources relies on data furnished by the SFERS and the Controller's Office to evaluate cost increases associated with pensionable compensation. Requiring voter approval of any employee wage increases that would result in an increase in pensions would likely violate both the Charter and the State law on collective bargaining. The recommendation does not recognize that all increases in pension obligations were voter-approved. Without voter approval, DHR cannot change employee retirement plans. DHR has the responsibility to negotiate wages and benefits with labor organizations in accordance with the Charter, and this responsibility cannot be delegated to the voters.	**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B2. The Department of Human Resources (DHR) should not enter into agreements with the employee unions which increase the City's future pension obligations without voter approval. DHR should engage the City's professional Actuary to investigate any increase in pensionable compensation.	Office of the	Warranted or Not Reasonable	The Department of Human Resources (DHR) enters into collective bargaining with the City's labor groups using data furnished by the Retirement System and the Controller's Office to evaluate cost increases to any pensionable compensation. Requiring voter approval of employee wage increases would likely violate both the Charter and State law with regard to collective bargaining. The Civil Grand Jury recommendation fails to recognize that all changes in pension obligations are voter-approved and DHR cannot change employee retirement plans. Labor agreements legitimately address wages and benefits and are appropriately and efficiently within the City's (DHR's) authority to negotiate. A wide variety of factors including wage levels, hiring and staffing, attrition, management decisions, and many others, affect the total amount of pensionable compensation and the City's obligations. These factors do not however change the retirement elements that require voter approval such as changes to defined benefits, eligibility, and service requirements. The City, through DHR and the Controller's Office, projects the current and future costs of wage increases and of pensionable compensation as part of its negotiations and budget processes. Actuarial services are not indicated for this purpose. Actuarial analysis is done as part of the annual valuation and contribution rate-setting process at SFERS, and whenever a change to retirement conditions and requirements is proposed.	*	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble		Department of Human Resources	Implemented	Actions 1 and 4: This recommendation has already been implemented in part, and cannot * be implemented in remainder. As to the recommendation that DHR not enter into agreements with the employee unions which increase the City's future pension obligations without voter approval, please note that under Charter §A8.409, the City is obligated to bargain with recognized employee organizations over wages and benefits. Any increase in pensionable compensation necessarily results in a corresponding increase in employer contributions to retirement. It also increases the amount that the employee is required to contribute, since the employee's contribution is based on a set percentage rate of salary by Charter mandate. It would violate both our Charter and State law governing collective bargaining if the City were to require every negotiated wage increase to be submitted to the voters for approval. Further, please note that under the City's Charter, DHR has no ability to change employee retirement plans; as all such changes must be approved by the voters. Accordingly, all of the retirement enhancements that are noted in the Grand Jury's report were in fact approved by the voters. As to the recommendation that DHR engage the City's professional actuary to investigate any increase in pensionable compensation. Both of these agencies employ actuaries on which DHR relies. It would not be practical—nor cost-effective—for the City to engage an actuary in every discussion with the City's 48 labor groups over possible wage increases and the corresponding impact on pensions. Moreover, we note that the Charter does not specifically include impact on employer pension costs as a factor that must be determined by an arbitrator in determining wage increases.	*	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble		San Francisco Employee Retirement System		The Retirement System has no role, duty or obligation regarding this issue. Also, to clarify, the professional Actuary is engaged by the Retirement System and not the City.	*	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B3. DHR should compare the retirement benefits in other California cities to determine whether the pension benefits are excessive. The results should be reported to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.	Board of Supervisors	Implemented; Not	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors agrees with Finding B3; FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors agrees with Recommendation B3; (Resolution 460-10)	*	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B3. DHR should compare the retirement benefits in other California cities to determine whether the pension benefits are excessive. The results should be reported to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.	Office of the Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	San Francisco's retirement benefits are lower than those of mo
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B3. DHR should compare the retirement benefits in other California cities to determine whether the pension benefits are excessive. The results should be reported to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.	Department of Human Resources	Recommendation implemented	DHR has compared the retirement benefits provided by the Cit and counties in California and has determined that our retirement miscellaneous and safety are on the lower end of those provid see the chart included in DHR's original response to the Civil C This information was shared with both the Mayor's Office and t pursuant to the formulation of Proposition B in the winter and s
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	 C1. The City Attorney should initiate legal action against the SFERS Board to enforce the requirements of the Charter amendment to "meet and confer" and "cost-sharing" provisions of Proposition H, as stipulated in Charter § A8.S9S-11 (e). The Jury recommends that the City Attorney and/or his representatives present to the Board of Supervisors and SFERS Board the following documents regarding §A8.S9S-11 (e) of the City Charter: A legal opinion on the charter section. Documentation regarding the dates and times that the City and the Police and Firefighters unions met to confer and to implement a cost- sharing arrangement as required in the section. A legal opinion regarding fiduciary duties of the SFERS Board to comply with it. A legal opinion regarding SFERS duty to revise the Safety employee contribution rate to comply with the Charter section. A legal opinion regarding possible remedies to enforce compliance. 	Supervisors	•	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorport own the response of the Mayor and the Department of Human FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors disagree C1 and incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the 460-10)
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	 C1. The City Attorney should initiate legal action against the SFERS Board to enforce the requirements of the Charter amendment to "meet and confer" and "cost-sharing" provisions of Proposition H, as stipulated in Charter § A8.S9S-11 (e). The Jury recommends that the City Attorney and/or his representatives present to the Board of Supervisors and SFERS Board the following documents regarding §A8.S9S-11 (e) of the City Charter: A legal opinion on the charter section. Documentation regarding the dates and times that the City and the Police and Firefighters unions met to confer and to implement a cost-sharing arrangement as required in the section. A legal opinion regarding SFERS duty to revise the Safety employee contribution rate to comply with the Charter section. 	Mayor	-	City Charter §A8.595-11(e) does not require the SFERS to ent with the City's safety employee unions. Therefore, the City Att proceedings to require such action. Per the City Attorney, the cost-sharing provisions of Proposition H.

	2012	2012 Response Text
	Response ⁽¹⁾	
of most other cities in California.	**	
he City to those of other cities tirement plans for both provided across California (please Civil Grand Jury in August 2010). and the Board of Supervisors and spring of 2008.	**	
ncorporates and adopts as its uman Resources on Finding C1; lisagrees with Recommendation of the City Attorney; (Resolution	**	
to enter into a meet and confer ity Attorney cannot initiate legal y, the City has complied with the	**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble		Office of the City Attorney	Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	As explained in the response to the Civil Grand Jury, the City Attorney's Office will not implement the recommendation to sue the Retirement Board and it cannot implement the recommendation to provide documentation regarding meet and confer between the City's labor negotiators and the police and firefighter unions. As explained further, the factual premises do not exist for the requested legal opinions regarding the Retirement System's duty to revise safety employee contributions, the Retirement Board's obligation to comply with its fiduciary duties, the meet and confer and cost cost-sharing provisions in Proposition H, and possible remedies to enforce compliance with the Charter. Therefore, these recommendations cannot be implemented. The City Attorney's Office is prepared to provide legal advice to the City policy-makers about options to achieve further cost- sharing from the public safety unions should they wish to pursue them.	**	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	•	Department of Human Resources	Implemented; Not	This recommendation cannot be implemented by DHR, as this recommendation is directed to the City Attorney's Office. Accordingly, we defer to the City Attorney's Office for response to this recommendation.	**	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	"meet and confer" and "cost-sharing" provisions of Proposition H, as	Employee Retirement	Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The SFERS Board has no duty to "meet and confer," or otherwise participate in negotiations between the City and its unions. The City Attorney makes legal determinations in his discretion, without direction from the SFERS Board.	**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	C2. The City and Safety employees should establish an arrangement to share the annual \$26 million cost as required by the City Charter			FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors agrees FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors agrees and incorporates and adopts as its own the responses of the C Department of Human Resources; (Resolution 460-10)
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	C2. The City and Safety employees should establish an arrangement to share the annual \$26 million cost as required by the City Charter	Office of the Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Where the City Charter requires the City and its public safety City has and will continue to work with the unions as required
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	C2. The City and Safety employees should establish an arrangement to share the annual \$26 million cost as required by the City Charter	Office of the City Attorney		As stated in the response to the Civil Grand Jury as legal advi in a position to respond to the recommendation. For that reaso cannot implement Recommendation C2. But, we note that the unions are in discussions to reach an agreement to share mor pension benefits.
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	C2. The City and Safety employees should establish an arrangement to share the annual \$26 million cost as required by the City Charter	Department of Human Resources	implemented	 Actions 1 and 2: This recommendation has already been impleave met Charter obligations under Proposition H; however, D and confer with the City's Police and Fire unions to ensure ong cost-sharing obligations under Proposition H. The City met and conferred with the Police and Fire groups in the first round of labor negotiations following passage of Prope provisions in the collective bargaining agreements covering pot o address Charter obligations as to cost-sharing. At that time unions agreed to pay the maximum employee pension contrib Charter (7.0%, old plan; or 7.5%, new plan). These agreemer recognition of the parties' cost-sharing obligations under Propo City's pension costs were projected to increase above 0%, an City's budget. DHR recently met and conferred with the Police and Fire union cost-sharing obligations under Proposition H. According to the Retirement System, the Proposition H costs to the City for Fise projected to be \$30,349,000 for Police and Fire; however, the contributions by Police and Fire will amount to \$35,000,000 (or the increased costs under Proposition H). Therefore, Police and Fire will amount to \$35,000,000 (or the increased costs under Proposition H).
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	C2. The City and Safety employees should establish an arrangement to share the annual \$26 million cost as required by the City Charter	San Francisco Employee Retirement System Board	Will Not be Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	their cost-sharing obligations under Proposition H. The SFERS Board has no duty or responsibility to negotiate w
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	 D1. San Francisco should take steps to curb abuses from pension spiking by limiting the final pensionable income an employee can claim at retirement and from pension-pyramiding. The Jury suggests the following: Use a three-year average to determine pensionable income, similar to Federal rules. Limit final pensionable compensation to 120% of the rank pay rate as determined by Civil Service job classification. The Controller should perform an independent review of pensions to determine whether the practice of pension spiking is ongoing. Disallow employees from drawing pensions from two simultaneous City jobs. Pensionable compensation should not include pay for two separate pay types, known as pension-pyramiding. 	Board of Supervisors		FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorp own the response of the Mayor on Finding D1; FURTHER RES Supervisors agrees with Recommendation D1 and acknowled analysis; (Resolution 460-10)

	2012	2012 Response Text
	Response ⁽¹⁾	
grees with Finding C2; grees with Recommendation C2 the City Attorney and the	**	
fety unions to share costs, the ired under the Charter.	**	
advisors to the City, we are not eason, the City Attorney's Office t the City and the public safety more in the cost to provide	**	
implemented, as the parties er, DHR will continue to meet e ongoing compliance with the	**	
os in the spring of 2003, during Proposition H, and negotiated ng police officers and firefighters time, both the Police and Fire ntribution allowed under the ements were reached in Proposition H, the fact that the b, and to facilitate balancing the		
unions to review and discuss to the San Francisco Employees r Fiscal Year 2011-2012 are , the combined pension 00 (over \$4,000,000 greater than the continue to meet		
ate with the City and its unions.	**	
corporates and adopts as its RESOLVED, That the Board of wledges that it requires further	**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response (1)	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	 D1. San Francisco should take steps to curb abuses from pension spiking by limiting the final pensionable income an employee can claim at retirement and from pension-pyramiding. The Jury suggests the following: Use a three-year average to determine pensionable income, similar to Federal rules. Limit final pensionable compensation to 120% of the rank pay rate as determined by Civil Service job classification. The Controller should perform an independent review of pensions to determine whether the practice of pension spiking is ongoing. Disallow employees from drawing pensions from two simultaneous City jobs. Pensionable compensation should not include pay for two separate pay types, known as pension-pyramiding. 	Office of the Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	The Mayor and Supervisor Sean Elsbernd introduced a Charter amendment to the Board of Supervisors in 2008, which would have required a three-year average to determine pensionable income. The Board of Supervisors voted to reduce that time to two years. The measure, Proposition D of June 2010 passed and it requires a two-year average to avoid spiking in the final year. Although pensionable income is determined by Charter, the Mayor's Office will continue to work with DHR, SFERS and the Controller's Office to limit final pensionable compensation to the extent possible under the charter and collective bargaining agreements. The Mayor's Office agrees with the recommendation that employees should not draw from two simultaneous city jobs and that pensionable compensation should not include pay for two separate pay types. The Mayor's Office and DHR are working together to ensure that there are systematic controls in place to eliminate this practice where it exists.	**	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	 D1. San Francisco should take steps to curb abuses from pension spiking by limiting the final pensionable income an employee can claim at retirement and from pension-pyramiding. The Jury suggests the following: Use a three-year average to determine pensionable income, similar to Federal rules. Limit final pensionable compensation to 120% of the rank pay rate as determined by Civil Service job classification. The Controller should perform an independent review of pensions to determine whether the practice of pension spiking is ongoing. Disallow employees from drawing pensions from two simultaneous City jobs. Pensionable compensation should not include pay for two separate pay types, known as pension-pyramiding. 	Office of the Controller	Implemented	The Controller's Office agrees that "pension spiking' and "pension-pyramiding" are unfair and costly practices and should be prevented. We note that CGJ recommendations 1. and 2. require voter approval and that recommendations 4. and 5. are addressed as part of the Controller's Office's payroll audit program (as well as other City programs), which audits controls on assignments, on pay and on retirement calculations to control the risk of "spiking" and "pyramiding" and insure that City employees are appropriately compensated and their pensions are determined in accordance with all applicable codes. In response to recommendation 3., the Controller's Office includes payroll practices as part of its annual risk assessment and considers whether to schedule internal audit(s) these specific issues are not scheduled for an audit in the near term.	**	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	spiking by limiting the final pensionable income an employee can	Department of Human Resources		Actions 1, 2 and 4: DHR is unable to implement recommendations 1, 2 and 5, as they require a Charter amendment. As the third recommendation is directed to the Controller's Office, DHR also cannot implement this recommendation. However, the fourth recommendation (disallow employees from drawing pensions from two simultaneous City jobs) has been partially implemented and will hopefully be fully implemented in the future with the upgrade of the City's payroll and personnel system (PeopleSoft). DHR has met several times with DPH to work on a means of better coordinating communication between their different divisions (e.g., General Hospital, Laguna Honda, Clinics) to ensure that there is better knowledge as to how staff are utilized that work in more than one division. With the implementation of the new PeopleSoft system by eMerge, the various payroll divisions should have real time knowledge of the hours worked by employees that work in more than one division, so they can prevent employees from earning more than 80 pensionable hours in a pay period.	**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
			an Francisco ire Department	Will Not be Implemented; Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The SFFD maintains that pension spiking does not occur among its retirees. Any increases to final pensionable compensation are in accordance with established Citywide pay practices and procedures, including applicable MOU provisions and Merit System principles. Increases can be attributed to negotiated contract enhancements (pre- or post-retirement) or promotion in rank pre-retirement through the following MOU or DHR and Civil Service-approved appointment methods: Like Work-Like Pay, Acting Assignment, Provisional or Exempt Appointment, or Permanent Appointment from an eligible list. Increases to final pensionable compensation do not occur for the purpose of inflating or "spiking" retirement benefits. It is not within the purview of the SFFD to comment on the Action Plan for any of the D1 bullets. Moreover, bullets 4 and 5 do not occur in the SFFD. During the CGJ fact-finding phase, it was mentioned that these anomalies were particular to the Nursing classification in the Public Health System. Finally, the SFFD is committed to participate in any discussions that may occur regarding the first three bullets.	**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	E1. Department of Human Resources and collective bargaining units B should meet and confer to determine a cost-sharing arrangement to S pre-fund the \$4 billion unfunded liability for retiree health care obligations.	oard of upervisors		FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors incorporates and adopts as its own the response of the Mayor and the Department of Human Resources on Finding E1; FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors agrees with Recommendation E1; (Resolution 460-10)	**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	E1. Department of Human Resources and collective bargaining units O should meet and confer to determine a cost-sharing arrangement to pre-fund the \$4 billion unfunded liability for retiree health care obligations.		Recommendation Implemented	The City does have a large unfunded liability for retiree health care obligations. Through voter-approved propositions, the City has begun to address this issue by requiring the City and its employees to contribute to the Retiree Health Trust Fund. The Mayor's Office will continue to work with the Controller's Office and DHR to address this liability.	**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	E1. Department of Human Resources and collective bargaining units O should meet and confer to determine a cost-sharing arrangement to pre-fund the \$4 billion unfunded liability for retiree health care obligations.	Office of the City ttorney	Implemented; Not	The Department of Human Resources is responsible for initiating meet and confer with employee bargaining units and for advocating on behalf of the City in that process. The City Attorney's Office may, and does, assist with that function, but under the Charter, the Department of Human Resources has primary responsibility for the meet and confer process. For that reason, the City Attorney's Office cannot implement Recommendation E I, but we are ready to assist the department if requested. We note that the City and employee bargaining units are in discussions to address the unfunded liability for retiree healthcare and we will advise the City as requested.	**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	E1. Department of Human Resources and collective bargaining units O should meet and confer to determine a cost-sharing arrangement to pre-fund the \$4 billion unfunded liability for retiree health care obligations.			In the winter and spring of 2011, the Controller's Office is participating in a working group analyzing and developing ballot proposals, labor proposals and budgeting proposals to address OPEB liability, current health care liability, pension liability, other benefit and pension matters. The Mayor's Office and members of the Board of Supervisors will introduce one or more proposals for the Nov. 2011 ballot on this subject, and work through other City processes as well. Meet and confer processes for these proposals are underway.	**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	5 5	Pepartment of luman Resources	Recommendation implemented	 Actions 1 and 2: This recommendation has been implemented to the extent possible, but DHR will continue to pursue expansion. Pursuant to Proposition B (June 2008 Ballot), all employees hired on or after January 10, 2009 must contribute 2% of their salary into the City's Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Contribution, and the City contributes an additional 1% for each corresponding 2% contribution. Approximately 10% of the City's workforce is making this mandatory contribution. This amount serves to entirely prefund those new employees' retiree health benefits and a portion of the City's unfunded liability for retiree health benefits for employees who were hired prior to January 10, 2009. Further, DHR has sought contributions to the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund from noncontributing employees through the collective bargaining process during the last two rounds of bargaining and will continue to do so in future labor negotiations. 	**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2011 Response	2011 Response Text	2012 Response ⁽¹⁾	2012 Response Text
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	F1. The Mayor needs to appoint two Commissioners to represent the public's interest.	e Office of the Mayor		These commission appointments have been made. Upon appointment, all commissioners are required to discharge faithfully the duties of the particular commission or board to which they are appointed. In the case of SFERS, the commissioners represent the interest of the members and their beneficiaries, not only the public at large.	**	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	F2. It is important for the public Commissioners appointed by the Mayor to attend the Board meetings. They should attend regular monthly Board meetings or resign.	Office of the Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	All commissioners should attend regular monthly Board meetings. The board also has a committee structure that allows its members to discharge its duties even if a member is not able to make every Board meeting.	**	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	F2. It is important for the public Commissioners appointed by the Mayor to attend the Board meetings. They should attend regular monthly Board meetings or resign.	San Francisco Employee Retirement System Board	Recommendation Implemented	Commissioners are aware of their fiduciary duty, and of the importance of attending Board, Committee, and educational sessions. Attendance records are regularly and currently maintained.	**	

CGJ Year	-	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation		Board of Supervisors	**		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation		Office of the Mayor	**		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation		Police Department	**		**		**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	1. Conflict, anger, mistrust and misunderstanding among motorists, cyclists, police, transit riders, and pedestrians have frustrated the successful implementation of the San Francisco Bicycle Plan. The Plan should be amended to address the different and sometimes hostile attitudes and perceptions. San Francisco should create innovative strategies so that residents can more fairly and safely share the roadways of the City. Amending the Plan should be a priority and be completed by January 1, 2011. The SFCGJ recommends that the Bicycle Advisory Committee, with active input and cooperation from the SFMTA and the SFPD, amend the San Francisco Bicycle Plan (the Plan) to include the recommendations set forth in this report. The amended Plan should be presented to the Mayor and BOS for adoption by January 1, 2011. The SFCGJ recommends that the BAC, SFMTA, and the SFPD meet annually.	San Francisco * Municipal Transportation Agency	<u>kesponse</u> *		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	1. Conflict, anger, mistrust and misunderstanding among motorists, cyclists, police, transit riders, and pedestrians have frustrated the successful implementation of the San Francisco Bicycle Plan. The Plan should be amended to address the different and sometimes hostile attitudes and perceptions. San Francisco should create innovative strategies so that residents can more fairly and safely share the roadways of the City. Amending the Plan should be a priority and be completed by January 1, 2011. The SFCGJ recommends that the Bicycle Advisory Committee, with active input and cooperation from the SFMTA and the SFPD, amend the San Francisco Bicycle Plan (the Plan) to include the recommendations set forth in this report. The amended Plan should be presented to the Mayor and BOS for adoption by January 1, 2011. The SFCGJ recommends that the BAC, SFMTA, and the SFPD meet annually.	Bicycle Advisory Committee		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2a. The Plan should be amended to include a comprehensive program to distribute, to the public as well as cyclists, the extensive available safe cycling educational materials.	Board of ** Supervisors	*		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2a. The Plan should be amended to include a comprehensive program to distribute, to the public as well as cyclists, the extensive available safe cycling educational materials.	Office of the ** Mayor	*		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2a. The Plan should be amended to include a comprehensive program to distribute, to the public as well as cyclists, the extensive available safe cycling educational materials.	San Francisco ** Police Department	*		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2a. The Plan should be amended to include a comprehensive program to distribute, to the public as well as cyclists, the extensive available safe cycling educational materials.	San Francisco ** Municipal Transportation Agency	*		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2a. The Plan should be amended to include a comprehensive program to distribute, to the public as well as cyclists, the extensive available safe cycling educational materials.	Bicycle Advisory - Committee	-	Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2b. By January 1, 2011, Police should update training materials related to bicycles in a joint effort with the bicycle community and the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST). Updated materials should include CVC and TC enforcement in alignment with the current SFMTA Bike Guide. By January 1, 2011, the SFPD should have a plan to distribute these materials and train officers.		**		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	related to bicycles in a joint effort with the bicycle community and the	Department	**		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	related to bicycles in a joint effort with the bicycle community and the	Transportation	**		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2b. By January 1, 2011, Police should update training materials related to bicycles in a joint effort with the bicycle community and the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST). Updated materials should include CVC and TC enforcement in alignment with the current SFMTA Bike Guide. By January 1, 2011, the SFPD should have a plan to distribute these materials and train officers.			Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	2c. The Bicycle Plan should be amended by January 1, 2011 to	Board of Supervisors	**		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	include the importance and availability of property, liability, and	San Francisco Police Department	**		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	include the importance and availability of property, liability, and health insurance for cyclists.	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	**		**		**	

CGJ Year		Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
1	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	include the importance and availability of property, liability, and health insurance for cyclists.	Bicycle Advisory Committee		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.
1	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation		Board of Supervisors	**		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	public transit riders and motorists in any further discussion or	San Francisco Police Department	**		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	public transit riders and motorists in any further discussion or revision. Representation should include at a minimum the Police		Recommendation Implemented	The SFMTA released a draft Bicycle Strategy in January of 2013, which has outlined traffic enforcement as a key objective (see Objective 3.4). The draft document has been forwarded to the police department for review and comment. As noted in previous responses, the Police Department participates in evaluation of all capital projects through the bi-weekly Transportation Advisory Staff Committee and is invited to attend Bicycle Advisory Committee meetings. Public workshops were held in early 2013 with pedestrians, City stakeholders, paratransit and taxi providers, and members of, and representatives from, organizations representing senior and disabled groups. A final strategy is due for release late 2013.	**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation		Bicycle Advisory Committee		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3a. The Plan should insist that all users of the roadways comply with the current traffic laws. The Plan should consider a self-enforcement campaign along with the current co-exist campaign. Motorists and cyclists need to step-up to the plate to begin self-enforcement. The Plan should encourage and educate all users to act responsibly.		**		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3a. The Plan should insist that all users of the roadways comply with the current traffic laws. The Plan should consider a self-enforcement campaign along with the current co-exist campaign. Motorists and cyclists need to step-up to the plate to begin self-enforcement. The Plan should encourage and educate all users to act responsibly.		**		**		**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3a. The Plan should insist that all users of the roadways comply with the current traffic laws. The Plan should consider a self-enforcement campaign along with the current co-exist campaign. Motorists and cyclists need to step-up to the plate to begin self-enforcement. The Plan should encourage and educate all users to act responsibly.	San Francisco	**		**		**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation			**		**		**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3a. The Plan should insist that all users of the roadways comply with the current traffic laws. The Plan should consider a self-enforcement campaign along with the current co-exist campaign. Motorists and cyclists need to step-up to the plate to begin self-enforcement. The Plan should encourage and educate all users to act responsibly.			Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3b, 3c, and 3d. Police should enforce the Traffic Code and California Vehicle Code. Starting September 2010, the police should have a goal of entering all bicycle citations into the database. By January 1, 2011, San Francisco moving violation tickets should include a box for "bicycle." By January 1, 2011, COMSTAT should include a section for bicycle related data.	Board of Supervisors	**		**		**	
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3b, 3c, and 3d. Police should enforce the Traffic Code and California Vehicle Code. Starting September 2010, the police should have a goal of entering all bicycle citations into the database. By January 1, 2011, San Francisco moving violation tickets should include a box for "bicycle." By January 1, 2011, COMSTAT should include a section for bicycle related data.	Police	**		**		**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation		Board of 'Supervisors	**		**		**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation		Office of the 'Mayor	**		**		**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	Court Traffic School option, as a tool for education, patterned on Po	n Francisco lice partment	**		**		**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	Court Traffic School option, as a tool for education, patterned on Traffic Schools currently in use, for when bicyclists (and motorists	in Francisco unicipal ansportation lency	**		**		**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation		cycle Advisory ommittee		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3g. There should be an overall citywide policy about how the existing Bo CVC and TC codes will be implemented so police have the direction Su and support they seek and deserve.		**		**		**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3g. There should be an overall citywide policy about how the existing Off CVC and TC codes will be implemented so police have the direction and support they seek and deserve.		-	An "overall citywide policy" is unnecessary since the SFMTA will provide this direction through their current bicycle strategy. As mentioned in the SFMTA response, the spirit of this recommendation is captured in Objective 3.4 (Traffic Enforcement) of the draft SFMTA Bicycle Strategy released in January 2013. SFMTA will continue to identify applicable sections of the CVC and TC related to bicycles that require further clarification and collaboration with the SFPD and will continue ongoing conversations with both the City Attorneys Office and SFPD. A final strategy is due for release late 2013.	**		**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3g. There should be an overall citywide policy about how the existing CVC and TC codes will be implemented so police have the direction and support they seek and deserve. Sa		**		**		**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3g. There should be an overall citywide policy about how the existing CVC and TC codes will be implemented so police have the direction and support they seek and deserve.	San Francisco	Will Not Be Implemented: No	The spirit of this recommendation is captured in Objective 3.4 of the draft Bicycle Strategy released in January 2013. SFMTA will continue to identify applicable sections of the CVC and TC related to bicycles that require further clarification and collaboration with the SFPD and will continue ongoing conversations with both the City Attorneys Office and SFPD. A final strategy is due for release late 2013.	**		**	
009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	3g. There should be an overall citywide policy about how the existing CVC and TC codes will be implemented so police have the direction and support they seek and deserve.			Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.	C	ommittee elected not to respond.
.009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	4. The city should consider a form of "negative registration" to capture names and other pertinent data about cyclists who are ticketed by SFPD for moving or equipment violations or otherwise involved in traffic accidents where the cyclist is cited at fault. The cyclist should be required to appear at a "bicycle court" where proscribed safety education would be required. The format of the court, including a cycle friendly venue such as a ride-up location, and an educational curriculum should be provided through collaboration among SFPD bicycle officers, the Bicycle Coalition and other cycling advocates. Notices to Appear, if ignored, should be pursued through SFPD and the courts.		**		**		**	
009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	4. The city should consider a form of "negative registration" to capture names and other pertinent data about cyclists who are ticketed by SFPD for moving or equipment violations or otherwise involved in traffic accidents where the cyclist is cited at fault. The cyclist should be required to appear at a "bicycle court" where proscribed safety education would be required. The format of the court, including a cycle friendly venue such as a ride-up location, and an educational curriculum should be provided through collaboration among SFPD bicycle officers, the Bicycle Coalition and other cycling advocates. Notices to Appear, if ignored, should be pursued through SFPD and the courts.		**		**		**	
009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	4. The city should consider a form of "negative registration" to capture names and other pertinent data about cyclists who are ticketed by SFPD for moving or equipment violations or otherwise involved in traffic accidents where the cyclist is cited at fault. The cyclist should be required to appear at a "bicycle court" where proscribed safety education would be required. The format of the court, including a cycle friendly venue such as a ride-up location, and an educational curriculum should be provided through collaboration among SFPD bicycle officers, the Bicycle Coalition and other cycling advocates. Notices to Appear, if ignored, should be pursued through SFPD and the courts.		**		**		**	
2009-10	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	4. The city should consider a form of "negative registration" to capture names and other pertinent data about cyclists who are ticketed by SFPD for moving or equipment violations or otherwise involved in traffic accidents where the cyclist is cited at fault. The cyclist should be required to appear at a "bicycle court" where proscribed safety education would be required. The format of the court, including a cycle friendly venue such as a ride-up location, and an educational curriculum should be provided through collaboration among SFPD bicycle officers, the Bicycle Coalition and other cycling advocates. Notices to Appear, if ignored, should be pursued through SFPD and the courts.		**		**		**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
	Sharing the Roadway: From Confrontation to Conversation	4. The city should consider a form of "negative registration" to capture names and other pertinent data about cyclists who are ticketed by SFPD for moving or equipment violations or otherwise involved in traffic accidents where the cyclist is cited at fault. The cyclist should be required to appear at a "bicycle court" where proscribed safety education would be required. The format of the court, including a cycle friendly venue such as a ride-up location, and an educational curriculum should be provided through collaboration among SFPD bicycle officers, the Bicycle Coalition and other cycling advocates. Notices to Appear, if ignored, should be pursued through SFPD and the courts.			Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.		Committee elected not to respond.
	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	1. The City Attorney's Office should assess the liability and risk to the City for the incomplete level of Title II compliance, and report its findings to the Mayor and BOS by October 31, 2010.	Board of Supervisors	**		**		**	
	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	1. The City Attorney's Office should assess the liability and risk to the City for the incomplete level of Title II compliance, and report its findings to the Mayor and BOS by October 31, 2010.	Office of the Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	The City Attorney's Office cannot evaluate the risk for its level of compliance to Title II of the American's with Disability Act by October 31, 2010, until there is a resolution in the Kirola v. City and County of San Francisco case. As of 2013, the Kirola decision is still pending.	Requires Further Analysis	The City Attorney's Office cannot evaluate the risk for its level of compliance to Title II of the American's with Disability Act by October 31, 2010, until there is a resolution in the Kirola v. City and County of San Francisco case. As of April 2014, the Kirola decision is still pending.	Analysis	 The Office of the Mayor and BOS will receive the City Attorney's report of findings by October 31, 2010, or 60 days following entry of final judgment and exhaustion of any appeals in the Kirola litigation, whichever is later. The United States District Court entered judgment in this case in the City's favor on November 26, 2014. The plaintiff appealed the judgement, and the appellate briefing in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit is ongoing. Once the results of that litigation is known, the Office of the Mayor will receive the City Attorney's Office findings regarding the liability and risk to the City for the incomplete level of Title II compliance.
	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	1. The City Attorney's Office should assess the liability and risk to the City for the incomplete level of Title II compliance, and report its findings to the Mayor and BOS by October 31, 2010.	Office of the City Attorney	Requires Further Analysis	As stated in the previous response, the City Attorney's Office agreed to submit its confidential report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors by October 31, 2010, or 60 days following entry of final judgment and exhaustion of any appeals in the Kirola litigation, whichever was later. The court conducted trial from April 4, 2011 through May 5 2011. The case has been fully submitted to the Court for decision after trial. The City is awaiting the District Court's decision. Accordingly, once the City Attorney's Office has the results of that litigation in hand, the City Attorney's Office will be better positioned to prepare a meaningful report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.	Requires Further Analysis	As stated in the previous response, the City Attorney's Office agreed to submit its confidential report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors by October 31, 2010, or 60 days following entry of final judgment and exhaustion of any appeals in the Kirola litigation, whichever was later. The United States District Court conducted trial from April 4, 2011 through May 5, 2011. On April 29, 2014, the Court directed the parties to submit additional briefs and argument. The current briefing schedule will conclude on June 13, 2014, at which time the case will once again be fully submitted to the Court for decision. Accordingly, once the City Attorney's Office has the results of that litigation in hand, the City Attorney's Office will be better positioned to prepare a meaningful report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.	Will Be Implemented in the Future	As stated in the previous response, the City Attorney's Office agreed to submit its confidential report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors by October 31, 2010, or 60 days following entry of final judgment and exhaustion of any appeals in the Kirola litigation, whichever was later. The United States District Court entered judgment in this case in the City's favor on November 26, 2014. The plaintiff appealed. Appellate briefing in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit is ongoing. Accordingly, once the City Attorney's Office has the results of that litigation in hand, the City Attorney's Office will be better positioned to prepare a meaningful report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.

CGJ Year	r Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	2. San Francisco should expand the Grievance Procedure to the level necessary for the "prompt and equitable" resolution of ADA complaints.	Board of Supervisors	**		**		**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	2. San Francisco should expand the Grievance Procedure to the level necessary for the "prompt and equitable" resolution of ADA complaints.	Office of the Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	The Mayor's Office on Disability (MOD) continues to ensure that ADA grievances are handled effectively. Staffing level limitations in previous years have resulted in some changes to the response times for the complaints since MOD staff has assumed additional responsibilities. As the budget situation improves, however, the Mayor's Office will consider expanding the grievance procedure, specifically as it relates to enhanced coordination and training with other Department ADA Coordinators, and increased staffing at MOD.	Recommendation Implemented	The Mayor's Office on Disability (MOD) continues to ensure that ADA grievances are handled effectively. Staffing level limitations in previous years had resulted in some changes to the response times for the complaints since MOD staff had assumed additional responsibilities. However, the Mayor's Office on Disability has received approval to fill a vacant position and is currently recruiting qualified staff. We expect to expand the grievance procedure, specifically as it relates to enhanced coordination and training with other Department ADA Coordinators, and increased staffing at MOD.	*	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	2. San Francisco should expand the Grievance Procedure to the level necessary for the "prompt and equitable" resolution of ADA complaints.	Mayor's Office on Disability	Requires Further Analysis	The Mayor's Office on Disability (MOD) continues to ensure that ADA grievances are handled effectively. Staffing level limitations in previous years have resulted in some changes to the response times for the complaints since MOD staff has assumed additional responsibilities. As the budget situation improves, however, the Mayor's Office will consider expanding the grievance procedure, specifically as it relates to enhanced coordination and training with other Department ADA Coordinators, and increased staffing at MOD.	Will Be Implemented in the Future	The Mayor's Office on Disability (MOD) continues to ensure that ADA grievances are handled effectively. Staffing level limitations in previous years had resulted in some changes to the response times for the complaints since MOD staff had assumed additional responsibilities. However, the Mayor's Office on Disability has received approval to fill a vacant position and is currently recruiting qualified staff. We expect to expand the grievance procedure, specifically as it relates to enhanced coordination and training with other Department ADA Coordinators, and increased staffing at MOD.	Recommendation mplemented	The Mayor's Office on Disability continues to ensure increasing effectiveness and quality control in the resolution of ADA grievances. As per our previous responses, the Mayor's Office on Disability has expanded the grievance procedure, specifically as it relates to enhanced coordination and training with other department ADA Coordinators. With the addition of qualified staff, we have also initiated an exemplary "ADA Academy" training program for departmental ADA coordinators that has significantly enhanced departmental staff's ability to investigate and respond promptly to compliance issues as they arise.
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	3. By January 2011, the MOD in association with City departments' ADA Coordinators should initiate a study to determine the feasibility of the expansion of the grievance procedure to incorporate private sector ADA compliance issues as an alternative to litigation.	Office of the Mayor	**		**		**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	3. By January 2011, the MOD in association with City departments' ADA Coordinators should initiate a study to determine the feasibility of the expansion of the grievance procedure to incorporate private sector ADA compliance issues as an alternative to litigation.	Mayor's Office on Disability	**		**		*	

CGJ Year	-	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	3. By January 2011, the MOD in association with City departments' ADA Coordinators should initiate a study to determine the feasibility of the expansion of the grievance procedure to incorporate private sector ADA compliance issues as an alternative to litigation.	Mayor's Disability Council	**		**		**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	3. By January 2011, the MOD in association with City departments' ADA Coordinators should initiate a study to determine the feasibility of the expansion of the grievance procedure to incorporate private sector ADA compliance issues as an alternative to litigation.	Department of Public Works	**		**		**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	3. By January 2011, the MOD in association with City departments' ADA Coordinators should initiate a study to determine the feasibility of the expansion of the grievance procedure to incorporate private sector ADA compliance issues as an alternative to litigation.	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	**		**		**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	3. By January 2011, the MOD in association with City departments' ADA Coordinators should initiate a study to determine the feasibility of the expansion of the grievance procedure to incorporate private sector ADA compliance issues as an alternative to litigation.	San Francisco Police Department	**		**		**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	4. San Francisco should obtain and distribute the needed funding through all available and creative means including targeted bond issues to accelerate the achievement of compliance goals in ten years. Consistent funding levels must be maintained in order to retain, develop, and expand the pool of valuable experienced personnel.	Board of Supervisors	**		**		**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	4. San Francisco should obtain and distribute the needed funding through all available and creative means including targeted bond issues to accelerate the achievement of compliance goals in ten years. Consistent funding levels must be maintained in order to retain, develop, and expand the pool of valuable experienced personnel.	Office of the Mayor	**		**		**	

CGJ Yea	r Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2013	2013 Response Text	2014	2014 Response Text	2016	2016 Response Text
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	4. San Francisco should obtain and distribute the needed funding through all available and creative means including targeted bond issues to accelerate the achievement of compliance goals in ten years. Consistent funding levels must be maintained in order to retain, develop, and expand the pool of valuable experienced personnel.	Required Mayor's Office on Disability	**		**		**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	4. San Francisco should obtain and distribute the needed funding through all available and creative means including targeted bond issues to accelerate the achievement of compliance goals in ten years. Consistent funding levels must be maintained in order to retain, develop, and expand the pool of valuable experienced personnel.	Mayor's Disability Council	**		**		**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	4. San Francisco should obtain and distribute the needed funding through all available and creative means including targeted bond issues to accelerate the achievement of compliance goals in ten years. Consistent funding levels must be maintained in order to retain, develop, and expand the pool of valuable experienced personnel.	Department of Public Works	**		**		**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	4. San Francisco should obtain and distribute the needed funding through all available and creative means including targeted bond issues to accelerate the achievement of compliance goals in ten years. Consistent funding levels must be maintained in order to retain, develop, and expand the pool of valuable experienced personnel.	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	**		**		**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	5. The City should pursue full enforcement and monitoring of incursions to the public rights of way, especially with regards to temporary sidewalk incursions. Staffing levels must be maintained to address and complete inspections and investigations promptly and to eliminate backlogged cases.	Board of Supervisors	**		**		**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	5. The City should pursue full enforcement and monitoring of incursions to the public rights of way, especially with regards to temporary sidewalk incursions. Staffing levels must be maintained to address and complete inspections and investigations promptly and to eliminate backlogged cases.	Office of the Mayor	**		**		**	
			Department of Public Works	**		**		**	
	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	 6. By June 2011, the City should develop training programs in areas of assistance and sensitivity to the needs of disabled persons, especially at MTA and SFPD. These programs should be implemented by December 31, 2011. 	Board of Supervisors	**		**		**	
		6. By June 2011, the City should develop training programs in areas of assistance and sensitivity to the needs of disabled persons, especially at MTA and SFPD. These programs should be implemented by December 31, 2011.	Office of the Mayor	**		**		**	
		6. By June 2011, the City should develop training programs in areas of assistance and sensitivity to the needs of disabled persons, especially at MTA and SFPD. These programs should be implemented by December 31, 2011.	Mayor's Office on Disability	**		**		**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	6. By June 2011, the City should develop training programs in areas of assistance and sensitivity to the needs of disabled persons, especially at MTA and SFPD. These programs should be implemented by December 31, 2011.		**		**		**	
2009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?	especially at MTA and SFPD. These programs should be	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	**		**		**	
009-10	Americans with Disabilities Act: Is San Francisco in Compliance?		San Francisco Police Department	**		**		**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	For new employees, the pension multiplier should be set at a level to provide fiscally sound future pensions - fair to employees and taxpayers alike.	Board of Supervisors	**		**		**	
		For new Miscellaneous employees, the retirement age to receive full benefits should be comparable to that of Social Security and/or private sector recipients, and be fair to employees and taxpayers alike.							
		The Jury recommends that City officials consider a hybrid retirement plan with components of both Defined Benefit and Defined Contribution, 40 1 (k)-type, in the next negotiated contract in 2012.							
		No cost-of-living or other increase should be awarded to retirees unless the pension fund is found through a multi-year analysis to be actuarially sound and fully funded.							
		SFERS and actuaries for the City should research other public and private sector data to determine fair pension benefits and the results should be reported at SFERS board meetings and to the Board of Supervisors to lead to a sustainable plan.							
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	A1. The San Francisco City Charter should be amended, as follows: For new employees, the pension multiplier should be set at a level to provide fiscally sound future pensions - fair to employees and taxpayers alike.	Mayor	**		**		**	
		For new Miscellaneous employees, the retirement age to receive full benefits should be comparable to that of Social Security and/or private sector recipients, and be fair to employees and taxpayers alike.							
		The Jury recommends that City officials consider a hybrid retirement plan with components of both Defined Benefit and Defined Contribution, 40 1 (k)-type, in the next negotiated contract in 2012.							
		No cost-of-living or other increase should be awarded to retirees unless the pension fund is found through a multi-year analysis to be actuarially sound and fully funded.							
		SFERS and actuaries for the City should research other public and private sector data to determine fair pension benefits and the results should be reported at SFERS board meetings and to the Board of Supervisors to lead to a sustainable plan.							
		B1. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should prepare a plan within the next year to fund the projected \$1 billion in pension costs.		**		**		**	

CGJ Year	-	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B1. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should prepare a plan within the next year to fund the projected \$1 billion in pension costs.	Office of the Mayor	**		**		**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B1. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should prepare a plan within the next year to fund the projected \$1 billion in pension costs.		**		**		**	
2000 10	Donaion Taunamir	P2. The Department of Human Resources (DHR) should not enter	Poord of	**		**		**	
	The Billion Dollar Bubble	B2. The Department of Human Resources (DHR) should not enter into agreements with the employee unions which increase the City's future pension obligations without voter approval. DHR should engage the City's professional Actuary to investigate any increase in pensionable compensation.	Supervisors						
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B2. The Department of Human Resources (DHR) should not enter into agreements with the employee unions which increase the City's future pension obligations without voter approval. DHR should engage the City's professional Actuary to investigate any increase in pensionable compensation.	_	**		**		**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B2. The Department of Human Resources (DHR) should not enter into agreements with the employee unions which increase the City's future pension obligations without voter approval. DHR should engage the City's professional Actuary to investigate any increase in pensionable compensation.	Office of the Controller	**		**		**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	into agreements with the employee unions which increase the City's	Human Resources	**		**		**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	into agreements with the employee unions which increase the City's	Retirement	**		**		**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B3. DHR should compare the retirement benefits in other California cities to determine whether the pension benefits are excessive. The results should be reported to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.		**		**		**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B3. DHR should compare the retirement benefits in other California cities to determine whether the pension benefits are excessive. The results should be reported to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.	Office of the	**		**		**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	B3. DHR should compare the retirement benefits in other California cities to determine whether the pension benefits are excessive. The results should be reported to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.		**		**		**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	 C1. The City Attorney should initiate legal action against the SFERS Board to enforce the requirements of the Charter amendment to "meet and confer" and "cost-sharing" provisions of Proposition H, as stipulated in Charter § A8.S9S-11 (e). The Jury recommends that the City Attorney and/or his representatives present to the Board of Supervisors and SFERS Board the following documents regarding §A8.S9S-11 (e) of the City Charter: 1. A legal opinion on the charter section. 2. Documentation regarding the dates and times that the City and the Police and Firefighters unions met to confer and to implement a cost-sharing arrangement as required in the section. 3. A legal opinion regarding fiduciary duties of the SFERS Board to comply with it. 4. A legal opinion regarding SFERS duty to revise the Safety employee contribution rate to comply with the Charter section. 5. A legal opinion regarding possible remedies to enforce compliance. 	Supervisors	**					
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	 C1. The City Attorney should initiate legal action against the SFERS Board to enforce the requirements of the Charter amendment to "meet and confer" and "cost-sharing" provisions of Proposition H, as stipulated in Charter § A8.S9S-11 (e). The Jury recommends that the City Attorney and/or his representatives present to the Board of Supervisors and SFERS Board the following documents regarding §A8.S9S-11 (e) of the City Charter: 1. A legal opinion on the charter section. 2. Documentation regarding the dates and times that the City and the Police and Firefighters unions met to confer and to implement a cost- sharing arrangement as required in the section. 3. A legal opinion regarding fiduciary duties of the SFERS Board to comply with it. 4. A legal opinion regarding SFERS duty to revise the Safety employee contribution rate to comply with the Charter section. 5. A legal opinion regarding possible remedies to enforce compliance. 	Mayor	**		**		**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
	Pension Tsunami:		Office of the City *	**		**		**	
	The Billion Dollar	Board to enforce the requirements of the Charter amendment to	Attorney						
	Bubble	"meet and confer" and "cost-sharing" provisions of Proposition H, as stipulated in Charter § A8.S9S-11 (e).							
		The Jury recommends that the City Attorney and/or his							
		representatives present to the Board of Supervisors and SFERS							
		Board the following documents regarding §A8.S9S-11 (e) of the City							
		Charter:							
		1. A legal opinion on the charter section.							
		2. Documentation regarding the dates and times that the City and the Police and Firefighters unions met to confer and to implement a cost-							
		sharing arrangement as required in the section.							
		3. A legal opinion regarding fiduciary duties of the SFERS Board to							
		comply with it.							
		4. A legal opinion regarding SFERS duty to revise the Safety							
		employee contribution rate to comply with the Charter section.							
		5. A legal opinion regarding possible remedies to enforce							
		compliance.							
	Pension Tsunami:	C1. The City Attorney should initiate legal action against the SFERS		**		**		**	
	The Billion Dollar		Human						
	Bubble	"meet and confer" and "cost-sharing" provisions of Proposition H, as stipulated in Charter § A8.S9S-11 (e).	Resources						
		The Jury recommends that the City Attorney and/or his							
		representatives present to the Board of Supervisors and SFERS							
		Board the following documents regarding §A8.S9S-11 (e) of the City							
		Charter:							
		1. A legal opinion on the charter section.							
		2. Documentation regarding the dates and times that the City and the Police and Firefighters unions met to confer and to implement a cost-							
		sharing arrangement as required in the section.							
		3. A legal opinion regarding fiduciary duties of the SFERS Board to							
		comply with it.							
		4. A legal opinion regarding SFERS duty to revise the Safety							
		employee contribution rate to comply with the Charter section.							
		5. A legal opinion regarding possible remedies to enforce compliance.							
0000 40	<u> </u>	•		L-1					
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar	C1. The City Attorney should initiate legal action against the SFERS Board to enforce the requirements of the Charter amendment to	Employee						
	Bubble	"meet and confer" and "cost-sharing" provisions of Proposition H, as							
			System Board						
		The Jury recommends that the City Attorney and/or his							
		representatives present to the Board of Supervisors and SFERS							
		Board the following documents regarding §A8.S9S-11 (e) of the City Charter:							
		1. A legal opinion on the charter section.							
		2. Documentation regarding the dates and times that the City and the							
		Police and Firefighters unions met to confer and to implement a cost-							
		sharing arrangement as required in the section.							
		3. A legal opinion regarding fiduciary duties of the SFERS Board to							
		comply with it. 4. A legal opinion regarding SFERS duty to revise the Safety							
		employee contribution rate to comply with the Charter section.							
		5. A legal opinion regarding possible remedies to enforce							
		compliance.							

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	C2. The City and Safety employees should establish an arrangement to share the annual \$26 million cost as required by the City Charter	Board of	**		**		**	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	C2. The City and Safety employees should establish an arrangement to share the annual \$26 million cost as required by the City Charter		**		**		**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	C2. The City and Safety employees should establish an arrangement to share the annual \$26 million cost as required by the City Charter	Office of the City Attorney	**		**		**	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble		Department of Human Resources	**		**		**	
2009-10			San Francisco Employee Retirement System Board	**		**		**	
2009-10	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble		Board of Supervisors	**		**		**	
		 The Controller should perform an independent review of pensions to determine whether the practice of pension spiking is ongoing. Disallow employees from drawing pensions from two simultaneous City jobs. Pensionable compensation should not include pay for two separate pay types, known as pension-pyramiding. 							

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	 D1. San Francisco should take steps to curb abuses from pension spiking by limiting the final pensionable income an employee can claim at retirement and from pension-pyramiding. The Jury suggests the following: Use a three-year average to determine pensionable income, similar to Federal rules. Limit final pensionable compensation to 120% of the rank pay rate as determined by Civil Service job classification. The Controller should perform an independent review of pensions to determine whether the practice of pension spiking is ongoing. Disallow employees from drawing pensions from two simultaneous City jobs. Pensionable compensation should not include pay for two separate pay types, known as pension-pyramiding. 	Office of the Mayor	**		**		**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble		Office of the Controller					**	
		spiking by limiting the final pensionable income an employee can	Department of Human Resources	**					

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble		San Francisco Fire Department	**		**		**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	E1. Department of Human Resources and collective bargaining units should meet and confer to determine a cost-sharing arrangement to pre-fund the \$4 billion unfunded liability for retiree health care obligations.		**		**		**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	E1. Department of Human Resources and collective bargaining units should meet and confer to determine a cost-sharing arrangement to pre-fund the \$4 billion unfunded liability for retiree health care obligations.		**		**		**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	E1. Department of Human Resources and collective bargaining units should meet and confer to determine a cost-sharing arrangement to pre-fund the \$4 billion unfunded liability for retiree health care obligations.		**		**		**	
		E1. Department of Human Resources and collective bargaining units should meet and confer to determine a cost-sharing arrangement to pre-fund the \$4 billion unfunded liability for retiree health care obligations.		**		**		**	
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	E1. Department of Human Resources and collective bargaining units should meet and confer to determine a cost-sharing arrangement to pre-fund the \$4 billion unfunded liability for retiree health care obligations.		**				**	

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2013 Response ⁽¹⁾	2013 Response Text	2014 Response ⁽¹⁾	2014 Response Text	2016 Response ⁽¹⁾	2016 Response Text
	Pension Tsunami: The Billion Dollar Bubble	F1. The Mayor needs to appoint two Commissioners to represent the public's interest.	Office of the Mayor	**		**		**	
			Office of the Mayor	**		**		**	
		Mayor to attend the Board meetings. They should attend regular monthly Board meetings or resign.	San Francisco Employee Retirement System Board	**		**		**	