

DT Social Media Monitoring Technology Annual Surveillance Report 2024

Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required.

Change In Authorized Use Cases ▼



1.1 In the last year, did your department have use cases which differed from your “approved use cases” in your BOS-approved policy?

No

Change in Authorized Job Titles ▼



2.1 Does the list of “authorized job titles” in your BOS-approved policy need to change? (i.e. Do you need additional job titles to be authorized to access the data, or do you need to remove any current job titles?)

No

Change in Number and/or Type of Technology ▼



Replacement of Old Technology

4.1 Has any technology listed in the policy been replaced?

No



Addition of New Technology

5.1 Has any technology been added which is not listed in the policy?

No



Ceased Operation of Technology

6.1 Is any technology listed in the policy no longer in use?

No



Services or Equipment Sources

7.1 List any and all entities, companies or individuals which provide services or equipment to the department which are essential to the functioning or effectiveness of the Surveillance Technology (list “N/A” if not applicable): *

n/a

Surveillance Technology Goals ▾



8.1 Has the surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose?

Yes

8.2 In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective

The use of ArchiveSocial (CivicPlus) has supported the Department of Technology in archiving records for City departments that have chosen to participate in the archival of their respective social media platforms and associated records. These records include user interactions, page posts, videos, links to live streams, graphics, and all information published for public awareness and dissemination. This tool also the participating departments to keep an ongoing archive from which records and reports can be extracted for purposes of complying with the City's Sunshine Ordinance, including any formal request from any member of the public should this situation arise.

Data Sharing ▾



9.1 Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?

No

9.4 Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?

No

Accidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data ▾



10.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?

No

Complaints ▾



11.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveillance technology?

No

Violations ▾



12.1 Were there any violations of the Surveillance Technology Policy or Surveillance Impact Report, reported through community members, non-privileged internal audits, or through other means in the last year?

No

12.4 Has your department conducted any internal audits of the technology?

No

Statistics and Information about Public Records Act Requests ▾



13.1 Has your department received any public records act requests for this surveillance technology?

No

Total Annual Costs for the Surveillance Technology ▾



14.1 List the number of FTE (new & existing).

none

14.2 Are there one-time costs for Fiscal Year 2024-2025?

No

14.15 Are there annual costs for Fiscal Year 2024-2025:

Yes

14.16 Are there annual Salary and Fringe costs?

No

14.18 Are there annual Software costs?

No

14.20 Are there annual Hardware/ Equipment costs?

No

14.22 Are there annual Professional Services costs?

No

14.24 Are there annual Training costs?

No

14.26 Are there annual "Other" costs?

No

14.28 What source of funding will fund the Surveillance Technology for FY 2024-2025?

The Department of Technology is the source of the funding.

14.29 Have there been any changes to the one-time costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

No

14.31 Have there been any changes to the annual costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

No