ADM Social Media Monitoring Technology Annual Surveillance Report 2024

Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required.
Change In Authorized Use Cases ∨
1.1 In the last year, did your department have use cases which differed from your "approved use cases" in your BOS-approved policy? No
Change in Authorized Job Titles ∨
2.1 Does the list of "authorized job titles" in your BOS-approved policy need to change? (i.e. Do you need additional job titles to be authorized to access the data, or do you need to remove any current job titles?) No
Change in Number and/or Type of Technology ~
Replacement of Old Technology 4.1 Has any technology listed in the policy been replaced? No
Addition of New Technology 5.1 Has any technology been added which is not listed in the policy? No
Ceased Operation of Technology 6.1 Is any technology listed in the policy no longer in use? No
Services or Equipment Sources 7.1 List any and all entities, companies or individuals which provide services or equipment to the department which are essential to the functioning or effectiveness of the Surveillance Technology (list "N/A" if not applicable): * N/A

Surveillance Technology Goals ~

Surveittance reclinology doats
Ω
8.1 Has the surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose? Yes
8.2 In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective Currently, the City Administrator's Central Office does not have any social media monitoring technology. The Central Office uses Critical Mention, but only to monitor radio, TV, and online news. The current Critical Mention subscription does not include the ability to monitor social media. Should needs change in the future and the Office decide to add social media monitoring capabilities to its Critical Mention subscription, or if the Office acquires new social media monitoring technology, the Office will adhere to this Surveillance Technology Policy.
Data Sharing ~
Ω
9.1 Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?
No
9.4 Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?
No
Accidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data ∨
Д
10.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained
from Face Recognition Technology? No
NO .
Complaints ~

11.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-

NO

 \square

Violations ✓

lance technology?

Statistics and Information about Public Records Act Requests >

12.4 Has your department conducted any internal audits of the technology?

13.1 Has your department received any public records act requests for this surveillance technology? No

Total Annual Costs for the Surveillance Technology >

12/9/24, 1:47 PM

No

No

Ω
14.1 List the number of FTE (new & existing).
3
14.2 Are there one-time costs for Fiscal Year 2024-2025?
Yes
14.3 Are there one-time Salary and Fringe costs?
No
14.5 Are there one-time Software costs?
Yes
14.6 List total one-time Software costs for FY 2024-2025.
\$2,920.00
14.7 Are there one-time Hardware/ Equipment costs?
No
14.9 Are there one-time Professional Services costs? No
14.11 Are there one-time Training costs?
No
14.13 Are there one-time "Other" costs?
No
14.15 Are there annual costs for Fiscal Year 2024-2025:
No
14.28 What source of funding will fund the Surveillance Technology for FY 2024-2025?
Department budget
14.29 Have there been any changes to the one-time costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact
Report?
Yes
14.30 Why have the one-time costs changed?
Cost of subscription changed
14.31 Have there been any changes to the annual costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact
Report? No
INC