

REC Body-Worn Camera Annual Surveillance Report 2024

Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required.

Change In Authorized Use Cases ▼



1.1 In the last year, did your department have use cases which differed from your “approved use cases” in your BOS-approved policy?

No

Change in Authorized Job Titles ▼



2.1 Does the list of “authorized job titles” in your BOS-approved policy need to change? (i.e. Do you need additional job titles to be authorized to access the data, or do you need to remove any current job titles?)

Yes

2.2 Please provide an updated list of authorized job titles.

0922 Manager I

2.3 Why have the job titles changed?

The job titles have changed to reflect promotions of staff whom the department would like to continue to authorize to use and access this surveillance technology.

Change in Number and/or Type of Technology ▼



Replacement of Old Technology

4.1 Has any technology listed in the policy been replaced?

No



Addition of New Technology

5.1 Has any technology been added which is not listed in the policy?

No



Ceased Operation of Technology

6.1 Is any technology listed in the policy no longer in use?

No

Services or Equipment Sources

7.1 List any and all entities, companies or individuals which provide services or equipment to the department which are essential to the functioning or effectiveness of the Surveillance Technology (list "N/A" if not applicable): *

Axon, Evidence.com

Surveillance Technology Goals ▼



8.1 Has the surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose?

Yes

8.2 In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective

Body worn cameras protect have been an effective public safety tool. They provide a record of incidents and activity that can be referenced later for evidentiary and training purposes. They help keep the department's staff and the public safe. In fiscal year 2024 there were 879 Police Department Incident Reports recorded which occurred within the department's park boundaries within the City of San Francisco.

Data Sharing ▼



9.1 Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?

Yes

9.2 Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county government?

Yes

9.3 List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was disclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.

San Francisco Police Department, San Francisco Sherriff's Office, San Francisco Public Defender, San Francisco City Attorney. The legal standards were subpoenas and active cases in progress. The justifications for disclosure are active cases in progress, court cases as evidence, and legal claims.

9.4 Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?

Yes

9.5 List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was disclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.

Data was disclosed to non-city entities who were persons who filed public records requests for footage. Video was shared. The legal standard under which the data was shared was the State of California's Brown Act and the City of San Francisco's Sunshine Ordinance. The justification for disclosure was that it was required under the Brown Act and the Sunshine Ordinance.

Accidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data ▼



10.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?

No

Complaints ▾



11.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveillance technology?

No

Violations ▾



12.1 Were there any violations of the Surveillance Technology Policy or Surveillance Impact Report, reported through community members, non-privileged internal audits, or through other means in the last year?

No

12.4 Has your department conducted any internal audits of the technology?

No

Statistics and Information about Public Records Act Requests ▾



13.1 Has your department received any public records act requests for this surveillance technology?

Yes

13.2 How many public records requests have been made regarding this surveillance technology?

3

13.3 Please summarize what has been requested via public records requests, including the general type of information requested and disclosed, as well as the number or requests for each general type of information.

The department received three public records requests for video captured by body worn cameras. The department responded by disclosing the requested video.

Total Annual Costs for the Surveillance Technology ▾



14.1 List the number of FTE (new & existing).

.1

14.2 Are there one-time costs for Fiscal Year 2024-2025?

Yes

14.3 Are there one-time Salary and Fringe costs?

No

14.5 Are there one-time Software costs?

No

14.7 Are there one-time Hardware/ Equipment costs?

Yes

14.8 List total one-time Hardware/ Equipment costs for FY 2024-2025.

\$305.33

14.9 Are there one-time Professional Services costs?

No

14.11 Are there one-time Training costs?

No

14.13 Are there one-time "Other" costs?

No

14.15 Are there annual costs for Fiscal Year 2024-2025:

Yes

14.16 Are there annual Salary and Fringe costs?

Yes

14.17 List total annual Salary and Fringe costs for FY 2024-2025:

\$3,307.50

14.18 Are there annual Software costs?

Yes

14.19 List total annual Software costs for FY 2024-2025:

\$12,000.00

14.20 Are there annual Hardware/ Equipment costs?

No

14.22 Are there annual Professional Services costs?

No

14.24 Are there annual Training costs?

No

14.26 Are there annual "Other" costs?

No

14.28 What source of funding will fund the Surveillance Technology for FY 2024-2025?

General Fund

14.29 Have there been any changes to the one-time costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

Yes

14.30 Why have the one-time costs changed?

We did not make any new purchases of hardware

14.31 Have there been any changes to the annual costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

Yes

14.32 Why have the annual costs changed?

Salary and fringe rates increased