

PRT Security Camera Annual Surveillance Report 2024

Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required.

Change In Authorized Use Cases ▾



1.1 In the last year, did your department have use cases which differed from your “approved use cases” in your BOS-approved policy?

No

Change in Authorized Job Titles ▾



2.1 Does the list of “authorized job titles” in your BOS-approved policy need to change? (i.e. Do you need additional job titles to be authorized to access the data, or do you need to remove any current job titles?)

No

Change in Number and/or Type of Technology ▾



Replacement of Old Technology

4.1 Has any technology listed in the policy been replaced?

No



Addition of New Technology

5.1 Has any technology been added which is not listed in the policy?

Yes

5.2 Why has the technology been added?


Emergency surveillance camera added to Pier 68 by Bay alarm (reported last year) and scheduled to be replaced by San Francisco Department of Technology in 2025.

5.3 Please list technology which was added (include manufacturer and model information.

Bay alarm camera (3) with monitoring


5.4 Please list how many units have been added.

3 cameras

 Ceased Operation of Technology

6.1 Is any technology listed in the policy no longer in use?

No

 Services or Equipment Sources

7.1 List any and all entities, companies or individuals which provide services or equipment to the department which are essential to the functioning or effectiveness of the Surveillance Technology (list "N/A" if not applicable): *

Bay Alarm

Surveillance Technology Goals 



8.1 Has the surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose?

Yes

8.2 In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective

Surveillance cameras and review of their recording post incident has allowed the Port to provide to the San Francisco Police Department valuable information including suspect descriptions, vehicle descriptions and criminal trends all with the goal to reduce crime on Port property.

Approximately 44 San Francisco Police Department and California Alcohol Beverage Control reports have been taken on Port property from January 1st until today for crimes such as burglary, vandalism, theft, stunt driving, and selling alcohol without a license. Several of these crimes were captured on surveillance cameras and that video evidence was turned over to the San Francisco District Attorneys Office to assist with prosecution at the police and District Attorneys request. The above numbers only account for reports taken by the San Francisco Police Officers assigned specifically to the Port.

Data Sharing 



9.1 Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?

Yes

9.2 Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county government?

Yes

9.3 List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was disclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.

San Francisco Police Department received surveillance camera data related to criminal activity related to burglaries, vandalisms, assaults and arsons. This data was shared in cooperation with a Police investigation and at the San Francisco Police Departments request as well as by law regarding criminal cases.

San Francisco District Attorney received surveillance camera data related to criminal cases being adjudicated at San Francisco Superior Court. This data was shared in cooperation with the District Attorneys request as well as by law regarding criminal cases pending in court.

9.4 Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?

No

Accidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data ▼



10.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?

No

Complaints ▼



11.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveillance technology?

No

Violations ▼



12.1 Were there any violations of the Surveillance Technology Policy or Surveillance Impact Report, reported through community members, non-privileged internal audits, or through other means in the last year?

No

12.4 Has your department conducted any internal audits of the technology?

No

Statistics and Information about Public Records Act Requests ▾



13.1 Has your department received any public records act requests for this surveillance technology?

No

Total Annual Costs for the Surveillance Technology ▾



14.1 List the number of FTE (new & existing).

0

14.2 Are there one-time costs for Fiscal Year 2024-2025?

No

14.15 Are there annual costs for Fiscal Year 2024-2025:

No

14.28 What source of funding will fund the Surveillance Technology for FY 2024-2025?

Port operating funds

14.29 Have there been any changes to the one-time costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

No

14.31 Have there been any changes to the annual costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

No