



Joint Response to Public Comment Pursuant to DGO 3.01.04(D)

DGO 3.10 Serious Incident Review Board

August 14, 2024

SFPD Department General Order (DGO) 3.01 requires that all policies under development be posted publicly to provide members of the public thirty (30) business days to submit policy recommendations.

Pursuant to DGO 3.01.04 (D), the Department and the Department of Police Accountability (DPA) jointly prepare a public response, which shall be posted on the Department's website, outlining the recommendations included and not included in the DGO draft submitted to the Police Commission.

Joint responses are captured in the following recommendation grid which captures the original recommendation, whether the recommendation was included or not included in the draft DGO, and the explanation relating to the decision to include or not include the recommendation into the draft DGO.

The Department reserves the right to remove or not respond to comments if they are:

- Unrelated to the subject of the DGO
- Include private personal information (whether the commenter's or someone else's), including home address, home or cell phone number, personal e-mail address, or personal identification.
- Include profanity or obscene language.

The Department received two (2) recommendations for *DGO 3.10 Serious Incident Review Board* from the public and reached a consensus with the DPA on both of the responses.

The Department and DPA extend gratitude to all who took the time to contribute recommendations to this policy.

DGO 3.10 Public Comment Responses

#	Public Comment	DGO SEC	Date Received	Required Response	SFPD Explanation
R1	Broken down into too many categories which are too broad and leave room for finding a violation. Peripheral Policy Violation is my main concern. Decision Making/Tac Def has too many subcategories which will hinder cops with too many ways to get in trouble	3.10.04.B.3.c.iii	12/19/23	Recommendation will not be included in Draft DGO	Department members are already mandated to address observed policy violations through the administrative process. Currently "In policy" and "not in policy" lends itself to the possibility that concerns and lesser issues not directly related to the use of force itself are improperly labeled or addressed by the broad finding. The creation of smaller sub-categories frame identified issues more accurately and put them in more appropriate context so that the findings and follow-up actions more accurately address the level of concern.
R2	SFPD was warned well in advance about the protesters intentions of shutting down Union Square on 12/23 & did NOTHING to prevent it! They climbed the tree! WHERE WERE U!Thats Domestic Terrorism according to the FBI. Where were you? COMPLETE FAILURE on SFPD		12/24/23	Recommendation will not be included in Draft DGO	This comment does not provide specific guidance or suggestions on amendments, edits, or additions to the General Order.