



Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.



Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Matt Dorsey

Title (If Applicable) Supervisor

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [Redacted]

Signature [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

Organization (Entity)

al Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (If [Redacted])

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Anika Steig

Phone [Redacted]

Mailing Address [Redacted]

Signature [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

~~San Francisco for Full Police Staffing~~

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. ~~No on B, Stop the Cap Tax~~
- 2.
- 3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>VOTE YES ON F — FOR A FULLY STAFFED SFPD</p> <p>Proposition F curbs our ongoing loss of police officers by creating a strong incentive for frontline SFPD officers, inspectors, and sergeants to postpone retirement for up to five years to focus on neighborhood patrol and investigations.</p> <p>San Francisco's Police Department is severely short-staffed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SFPD is short more than 500 of the 2,074 full-duty officers needed to keep San Francisco safe. • Each year since 2019, SFPD has lost more officers than it can recruit. Even more alarming: nearly 450 more officers will become eligible for retirement by 2030. • The pace of retirements could leave SFPD <i>short-staffed by nearly 40 percent</i> within five years. <p>Chronic police understaffing endangers public safety.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It delays 911 response times and further impacts the safety of our residents, small businesses, and tourists. • It perpetuates our City's reputation of lawlessness full of criminal enterprises. • It forces taxpayers to spend heavily on police overtime — as much as nearly 20 percent of SFPD's salary budget — to pay fewer officers more to meet our basic safety needs. • It overburdens our emergency response, risking burnout and taking a needless toll on the physical and mental well-being of our City's first responders. <p>Prop F will help achieve a fully staffed SFPD and enhance public safety.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prop F enhances SFPD reporting to better track police recruiting and fulfill San Francisco's pledge to recruit significantly more women officers by 2030. • Prop F is a cost-effective and time-limited plan to postpone officer retirements while San Francisco fixes our police recruitment crisis. <p>Learn more at: FullyStaffSFPD.org</p> <p>Supervisor Matt Dorsey Board President Aaron Peskin Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Ahsha Safai Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	Total Word Count	

Office Use Only

Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication fee =	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Office Use Only	
2024 AUG 15 AM 11:18 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS	
Time/Date Stamp	
Label	
Paid Argument in Favor	<input type="checkbox"/>
Paid Argument Against	<input type="checkbox"/>

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Catherine Stefani

Title (If Applicable) Dist. 2 Supervisor

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and Organization section)

Name of Organization (Print) _____

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email _____

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) AHSHA SAFAI

Title (If Applicable) DISTRICT 11 SUPERVISOR

San Francisco Address [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entirely) Individual Author section and the Organization section

Name of Organization (If any) [REDACTED]

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>VOTE YES ON F — FOR A FULLY STAFFED SFPD</p> <p>Proposition F curbs our ongoing loss of police officers by creating a strong incentive for frontline SFPD officers, inspectors, and sergeants to postpone retirement for up to five years to focus on neighborhood patrol and investigations.</p> <p>San Francisco's Police Department is severely short-staffed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SFPD is short more than 500 of the 2,074 full-duty officers needed to keep San Francisco safe. • Each year since 2019, SFPD has lost more officers than it can recruit. Even more alarming: nearly 450 more officers will become eligible for retirement by 2030. • The pace of retirements could leave SFPD <i>short-staffed by nearly 40 percent</i> within five years. <p>Chronic police understaffing endangers public safety.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It delays 911 response times and further impacts the safety of our residents, small businesses, and tourists. • It perpetuates our City's reputation of lawlessness full of criminal enterprises. • It forces taxpayers to spend heavily on police overtime — as much as nearly 20 percent of SFPD's salary budget — to pay fewer officers more to meet our basic safety needs. • It overburdens our emergency response, risking burnout and taking a needless toll on the physical and mental well-being of our City's first responders. <p>Prop F will help achieve a fully staffed SFPD and enhance public safety.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prop F enhances SFPD reporting to better track police recruiting and fulfill San Francisco's pledge to recruit significantly more women officers by 2030. • Prop F is a cost-effective and time-limited plan to postpone officer retirements while San Francisco fixes our police recruitment crisis. <p>Learn more at: FullyStaffSFPD.org</p> <p>Supervisor Matt Dorsey Board President Aaron Peskin Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Myra Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Ahsha Safai Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Office Use Only	
2024 AUG 15 AM 11:18	
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS	
Time/Date Stamp	
Label	

Paid Argument in Favor

Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Myrna Melgar

Title (If Applicable) D7 Supervisor

San Francisco Address (Write in Block Letters) [Redacted]

Signature

Email [Redacted]

Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization section.)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print)

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature 

Email

Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
	<p>VOTE YES ON F — FOR A FULLY STAFFED SFPD</p> <p>Proposition F curbs our ongoing loss of police officers by creating a strong incentive for frontline SFPD officers, inspectors, and sergeants to postpone retirement for up to five years to focus on neighborhood patrol and investigations.</p> <p>San Francisco's Police Department is severely short-staffed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SFPD is short more than 500 of the 2,074 full-duty officers needed to keep San Francisco safe. • Each year since 2019, SFPD has lost more officers than it can recruit. Even more alarming: nearly 450 more officers will become eligible for retirement by 2030. • The pace of retirements could leave SFPD <i>short-staffed by nearly 40 percent</i> within five years. <p>Chronic police understaffing endangers public safety.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It delays 911 response times and further impacts the safety of our residents, small businesses, and tourists. • It perpetuates our City's reputation of lawlessness full of criminal enterprises. • It forces taxpayers to spend heavily on police overtime — as much as nearly 20 percent of SFPD's salary budget — to pay fewer officers more to meet our basic safety needs. • It overburdens our emergency response, risking burnout and taking a needless toll on the physical and mental well-being of our City's first responders. <p>Prop F will help achieve a fully staffed SFPD and enhance public safety.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prop F enhances SFPD reporting to better track police recruiting and fulfill San Francisco's pledge to recruit significantly more women officers by 2030. • Prop F is a cost-effective and time-limited plan to postpone officer retirements while San Francisco fixes our police recruitment crisis. <p>Learn more at: FullyStaffSFPD.org</p> <p>Supervisor Matt Dorsey Board President Aaron Peskin Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Ahsha Safai Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Office Use Only	
2024 AUG 15 AM 11:19 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp	
Label	

Paid Argument in Favor

Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Rafael Mandelman

Title (If Applicable) District 8 Supervisor

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [Redacted]

Signature → [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

Organization (Entity) [Redacted] Complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization section.

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature → [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print)

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature 

Email

Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>VOTE YES ON F — FOR A FULLY STAFFED SFPD</p> <p>Proposition F curbs our ongoing loss of police officers by creating a strong incentive for frontline SFPD officers, inspectors, and sergeants to postpone retirement for up to five years to focus on neighborhood patrol and investigations.</p> <p>San Francisco's Police Department is severely short-staffed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SFPD is short more than 500 of the 2,074 full-duty officers needed to keep San Francisco safe. • Each year since 2019, SFPD has lost more officers than it can recruit. Even more alarming: nearly 450 more officers will become eligible for retirement by 2030. • The pace of retirements could leave SFPD <i>short-staffed by nearly 40 percent</i> within five years. <p>Chronic police understaffing endangers public safety.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It delays 911 response times and further impacts the safety of our residents, small businesses, and tourists. • It perpetuates our City's reputation of lawlessness full of criminal enterprises. • It forces taxpayers to spend heavily on police overtime — as much as nearly 20 percent of SFPD's salary budget — to pay fewer officers more to meet our basic safety needs. • It overburdens our emergency response, risking burnout and taking a needless toll on the physical and mental well-being of our City's first responders. <p>Prop F will help achieve a fully staffed SFPD and enhance public safety.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prop F enhances SFPD reporting to better track police recruiting and fulfill San Francisco's pledge to recruit significantly more women officers by 2030. • Prop F is a cost-effective and time-limited plan to postpone officer retirements while San Francisco fixes our police recruitment crisis. <p>Learn more at: FullyStaffSFPD.org</p> <p>Supervisor Matt Dorsey Board President Aaron Peskin Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Ahsha Safai Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Office Use Only	
2024 AUG 15 AM 11:19 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp	
Label	

Paid Argument in Favor

Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Connie Chan

Title (If Applicable) District 1 Supervisor

San Francisco Address (Mailing Address) _____

Signature

Email _____

Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) _____

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email _____

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) **AARON PESKIN**

Title (If Applicable) **Board of Supervisors President**

San Francisco Address ([Redacted]

Signature [Redacted]

Email

Organization (Entity) Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [Redacted]

Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p style="text-align: center;">VOTE YES ON F — FOR A FULLY STAFFED SFPD</p> <p>Proposition F curbs our ongoing loss of police officers by creating a strong incentive for frontline SFPD officers, inspectors, and sergeants to postpone retirement for up to five years to focus on neighborhood patrol and investigations.</p> <p>San Francisco's Police Department is severely short-staffed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SFPD is short more than 500 of the 2,074 full-duty officers needed to keep San Francisco safe. • Each year since 2019, SFPD has lost more officers than it can recruit. Even more alarming: nearly 450 more officers will become eligible for retirement by 2030. • The pace of retirements could leave SFPD <i>short-staffed by nearly 40 percent</i> within five years. <p>Chronic police understaffing endangers public safety.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It delays 911 response times and further impacts the safety of our residents, small businesses, and tourists. • It perpetuates our City's reputation of lawlessness full of criminal enterprises. • It forces taxpayers to spend heavily on police overtime — as much as nearly 20 percent of SFPD's salary budget — to pay fewer officers more to meet our basic safety needs. • It overburdens our emergency response, risking burnout and taking a needless toll on the physical and mental well-being of our City's first responders. <p>Prop F will help achieve a fully staffed SFPD and enhance public safety.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prop F enhances SFPD reporting to better track police recruiting and fulfill San Francisco's pledge to recruit significantly more women officers by 2030. • Prop F is a cost-effective and time-limited plan to postpone officer retirements while San Francisco fixes our police recruitment crisis. <p>Learn more at: FullyStaffSFPD.org</p> <p>Supervisor Matt Dorsey Board President Aaron Peskin Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Ahsha Safai Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
		Total Word Count



Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Office Use Only	
2024 AUG 15 AM 11:12	
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS	
Time/Date Stamp	
Label	
Paid Argument in Favor	<input type="checkbox"/>
Paid Argument Against	<input type="checkbox"/>

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) JOEL ENRIQUEZ

Title (If Applicable) District 4 Supervisor

San Francisco Address

Signature

Email

Organization (Enter in both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print)

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature 

Email

Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
	<p>VOTE YES ON F — FOR A FULLY STAFFED SFPD</p> <p>Proposition F curbs our ongoing loss of police officers by creating a strong incentive for frontline SFPD officers, inspectors, and sergeants to postpone retirement for up to five years to focus on neighborhood patrol and investigations.</p> <p>San Francisco's Police Department is severely short-staffed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SFPD is short more than 500 of the 2,074 full-duty officers needed to keep San Francisco safe. • Each year since 2019, SFPD has lost more officers than it can recruit. Even more alarming: nearly 450 more officers will become eligible for retirement by 2030. • The pace of retirements could leave SFPD <i>short-staffed by nearly 40 percent</i> within five years. <p>Chronic police understaffing endangers public safety.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It delays 911 response times and further impacts the safety of our residents, small businesses, and tourists. • It perpetuates our City's reputation of lawlessness full of criminal enterprises. • It forces taxpayers to spend heavily on police overtime — as much as nearly 20 percent of SFPD's salary budget — to pay fewer officers more to meet our basic safety needs. • It overburdens our emergency response, risking burnout and taking a needless toll on the physical and mental well-being of our City's first responders. <p>Prop F will help achieve a fully staffed SFPD and enhance public safety.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prop F enhances SFPD reporting to better track police recruiting and fulfill San Francisco's pledge to recruit significantly more women officers by 2030. • Prop F is a cost-effective and time-limited plan to postpone officer retirements while San Francisco fixes our police recruitment crisis. <p>Learn more at: FullyStaffSFPD.org</p> <p>Supervisor Matt Dorsey Board President Aaron Peskin Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Ahsha Safaí Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Supporters / Opponents to be listed on Ballot Label

(Must be submitted with Control Sheet A)

All ballot labels concerning measures shall end with a list of Supporters and Opponents taken from the authors of the Official Proponent and Official Opponent arguments regarding the measure. (CAEC §9170)

1. The list of Supporters / Opponents shall not exceed 125 characters in length. The Department will not publish beyond the 125th character.
2. An associate, nonprofit organization, business, or individual shall not be listed unless they have also completed a Control Sheet for the corresponding Ballot Argument.
3. A Supporter / Opponent shall not be listed if the Supporter / Opponent is a political party or is representing a political party.
4. If this form is not submitted, the Department will print "None submitted" for the corresponding Official Ballot Argument.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of the list of supporters or opponents within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org

Office Use Only

2024 AUG 15

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

Time / Date Stamp

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F Official Proponent Argument Official Opponent Argument

Section 2: Information for Supporters and Opponents

A supporter or opponent shall not be listed unless it is one of the following:

- i. An association, nonprofit organization, or business that was not originally created as a committee described in Section 82013 of the Government Code and that has been in existence for at least four years.
- ii. A current or former elected official, who may be listed with the official's title (e.g., "State Senator Mary Smith," "Assembly Member Carlos Garcia," or "former Eureka City Council Member Amy Lee"). These titles may be shortened (e.g. "Senator" or "Sen." for "State Senator" or "Asm." for "Assembly Member").
- iii. An individual who is not a current or former elected official may be listed only with the individual's first and last name and an honorific (e.g., "Dr.," "M.D.," "Ph.D.," or "Esquire"), with no other title or designation, unless it is a title representing an association, nonprofit organization, or business that meets the requirements

Section 3: List of Individuals, Associations, Nonprofit Organizations, and / or Businesses

Individuals, Associations, Nonprofit Organizations, and / or Businesses (not to exceed 125 characters in length, including punctuation, spaces between supporters/opponents may be omitted. Each supporter / opponent shall be separated by a semicolon):

<p>Supervisor Dorsey Board President Peskin Supervisor Stefani Supervisor Melgar Supervisor Mandelman Supervisor Chan Supervisor Engardio</p>	<p>Supervisor Dorsey; Board President Peskin; Supervisors Stefani, Melgar, Mandelman, Chan, and Engardio</p>
--	--

Section 4: Submitter Information (Same as Control Sheet A)

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print): Anika Steig Phone: [REDACTED]

Mailing Address: [REDACTED]

Signature: [REDACTED] Email: [REDACTED]