### **Ballot Argument Control Sheet A**


Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Mailing Address

Signature |



Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

24 Hours after submission to the	ie Department at publication	iswsigov.org.		
Section 1: Argument I	nformation			****
Proposition		2		ence of an en
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponen	t Argument	Paid Argument in Favor	
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent	t Argument	Paid Argument Against	
Section 2: Author Info	rmation			
Declaration Related to Propo		ents		
			ent for Proposition <u></u> being submitted a who, with respect to a measure:	and that I
<ul> <li>Has received or been that committee; or</li> </ul>	promised any compensation	or thing of value from	to make expenditures in opposition to the such a committee to perform consulting s or in advertising that advocates for the def	services for
I attest under the penalty of penot a Supporter of this measure				nd that I am
<ul> <li>Has received or been that committee; or</li> </ul>	promised any compensation	or thing of value from	to make expenditures in support of the me such a committee to perform consulting s or in advertising that advocates for the add	services for
Complete the following to ind	licate whether the Author is	an individual or an	organization:	
Individual (or principal office	cer of Organization)	e a <sub>dea</sub> yax k		
Full Name (Print)	20N PESKZN		President of Boar Title (If Applicable) Supervi	sors
San Francisco Address (Who	ro you are Degistered)			
Signature		s as a face p	Email	
Organization (Entity)	(ii selected, complete both	n the Individual Auth	or section and the Organization Section	n)
Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an A	Arm Interna			*I
The chedia be noted as any	ation for your organization:	s s "Naga		
Only the Organization	Both the Officer and to	he Organization		$v_{_{\pm}} \ \underline{v}$
* Check if the title or identifyin if you are signing as an individ			5	
Signature			Famil	
Section 2: Culcuitte	farmation.		Email ,	
Section 3: Submitter In		supporting materials to	the Department. If there is a question or	iccup with
a submission, the Department	will contact the submitter.	Tapporting materials to	and departments in there is a question of	issue Willi
Full Name (Print)	Some	n ministra son	Phon	

	in the Voter Information Pamphlet.	
true so	ource of funds for the printing fee of this argument:	22.1
	sales of failed to the printing foo of the argument.	
ne true	source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?	
: L	No	
	source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:	
1.		
2. 3.		
202	_ II F J J C Test to the T Test to the test to the test to the Miles to the Mi	
	5: Argument Text	
	your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You resument tout be printed in held itslie or held itslie type. Type your argument with the decired formatting as your argument with the decired formatting as your argument.	
	argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or uxt to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special for	
	d. Include author information in argument text.	inatting is
mat	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of
BI		words per line
	There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote	0
-	Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way:	
	• Crafted in public with sitings in a set of the set of	
	<ul> <li>Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings</li> <li>Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions</li> </ul>	
1	triat improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability	
	<ul> <li>Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies</li> <li>Keeps checks and balances in City Charter</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to</li> </ul>	
	determine the appropriate number and function of commissions	
	<ul> <li>Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government</li> <li>Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government</li> </ul>	
	Then there is the <i>wrong way</i> to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing.	
12	Prop D taken a most suits and the	
1	Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and	
	Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the	
Ì	use of deadly lorde, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations and	
	puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption.	
	Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D.	
	Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods	
	San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council	
	Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth	
	United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward	And Value
	San Francisco Tenants Union	
	Affordable Housing Alliance	
6 48	Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin	i i
	Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret)	
	State Senator Mark Leno (ret)	
	Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret)	
	Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret)	
	Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret)	
		-
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities: this interpretation is final	
=	to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.  Total Word Count	

Receipt#

Check #

Amount Paid

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee

X \$0.50/signature

Adjusted Fee Total

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information AUG 15 AM 8: 37 For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition & being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure: Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) KISTING Title (If Applicable) PresideN San Francisco Address Signature | Email

Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) eague of conservation voters

Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or if you are signing as a Signature

**Fmail** 

### Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. **Format** Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of B, I, BI words per line There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way: Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies Keeps checks and balances in City Charter Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government Then there is the wrong way to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing. Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption. Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D. Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret) Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author 4 AUG 15 AM 8: 37 For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information **Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_B being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Chaps Well Her Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) Via Preside San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email Organization (Entity) [ - I (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Hoalton For Southan esco Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only if you are signing as at Signature | Email Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition \_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | **Email** Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of **Format** B, I, BI words per line There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way: Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies Keeps checks and balances in City Charter Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government Then there is the wrong way to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing. Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption. Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D. Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret) Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

**Total Word Count** 

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;

am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure:
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	SFLC VICE President	
Full Name (Print) Maureln Dugan	Title (If Applicable) Community Affa	ir
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)		-
Signature Signature	Email	¥
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Indiv	vidual Author section and the Organization Section)	
Name of Organization (Print) San Francisco Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	apor council	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organiz	zation	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification our ose if you are signing as an	es only T	
Signature Signature	10 m	ð
Additional Author Information  Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments		: 43

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | **Email** Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. **Format** Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of B, I, BI words per line There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way: Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies Keeps checks and balances in City Charter Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government Then there is the wrong way to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing. Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption. Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D. Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret) Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. Total Word Count

### Office Use Only **Ballot Argument Control Sheet B** Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp if your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition = Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition 💆 being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure: Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. lattest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or

Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Smandie Bowen	Title (If Applicable) Policy Director
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature Signature	Email
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual A	Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) Coleman Advocate Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	s for children and youth
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or ideatify the second of the second	
Signature Signature	Em
Additional Author Information	

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature Signature Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. **Format** Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of B, I, BI words per line There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way: Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies Keeps checks and balances in City Charter Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government Then there is the wrong way to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing. Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption. Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D. Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret) Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. AM 8: 37 For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information **Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition U being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) OPE Directo Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered Signature Ema (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section Organization (Entity) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only. if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Fm:

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)  Title (If Applicable)	
Full Name (Print)  Title (If Applicable)	
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature Email	
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section	n)
Name of Organization (Print)	<i>i</i> 0
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature Email	
Section 3: Argument Text	
The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You ment that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or unargument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special for permitted. Include Author information in argument text.	derline the matting is
Format Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines — B, I, BI	# of words
There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way:	per line
<ul> <li>Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings</li> <li>Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review</li> <li>Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability</li> <li>Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies</li> <li>Keeps checks and balances in City Charter</li> <li>Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions</li> <li>Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government</li> <li>Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government</li> </ul>	
Then there is the <i>wrong way</i> to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing.	181
Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption.  Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D.	
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco	
Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union	
Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin	2.04
Assemblymember Phil Ting	£21
Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret)	
Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret)	
	** x**;
San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret)	
San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret)	1 KE 1

**Total Word Count** 

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition ( Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments being submitted and that I I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or

Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) 3 Title (If Applicable) PAST Presidente San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | thor section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an itioi ioi your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only. if you are signing as an Signature |

### Additional Author Information

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** 

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_ am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature **Email** Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | **Email** Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of **Format** B, I, BI words There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote per line Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way: Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies Keeps checks and balances in City Charter Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government Then there is the wrong way to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing. Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption. Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D. Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret) Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition E Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Individual (or principal officer of Organization Title (If Applicable) Treusurer Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (V Signature **Email** uthor section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity) Name of Organization (Print) Salv Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization X Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature Email

## Additional Author Information

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** 

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | **Email** (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Both the Officer and the Organization Only the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | **Email** Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of **Format** words B, I, BI per line There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way: Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies Keeps checks and balances in City Charter Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government Then there is the wrong way to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing. Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption. Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D. Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret) Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information **Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) <. Omerbera Title (If Applicable) Di rector Full Name (Print) // San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity) Name of Organization (Print) #+ Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization

### Additional Author Information

Signature |

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** 

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | **Email** (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Both the Officer and the Organization Only the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines -# of **Format** words B, I, BI There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote per line Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way: Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies Keeps checks and balances in City Charter Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government Then there is the wrong way to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing. Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption. Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D. Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret) Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 

### Office Use Only **Ballot Argument Control Sheet B** Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label **Proponent Argument** Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition 步 being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure: Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Philip Ting	Title (If Applicable) Accentilement
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature Signature	Email
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual A	Author section ar
Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	,
Signature	Email

### Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | **Email** Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines **Format** # of B, I, BI words per line There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way: Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies Keeps checks and balances in City Charter Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government Then there is the wrong way to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing. Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption. Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D. Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret) Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 

Ballot A	rgument Control Sheet B		Office Use Only
Control Sheet A must be submitted for enformation.	every ballot argument, with required signatures	and author	5 AM 8: 37
For an argument submitted on behalf of	an organization, the "Individual" section must a or must be a registered San Francisco voter.	also be completed by	T OF RECTIONS
f your argument has more than one aut and information for all additional authors	hor, you must also submit Control Sheet B with	required signatures	Time/Date Stamp
Section 1: Argument Inf			
Proposition <u>E</u>			Label
Proponent Argument	Pobuttal to Proposant Argumani	Deid Assure	
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument		ent in Favor
Section 2: Additional Au		L Taid Aiguin	CHT Against
Declaration Related to Propone			
attest under the penalty of perjuam not a Non-supporter of this m	ry that I am an Author of the <b>Propone</b> easure. A Non-supporter is defined a	nt Argument for Proposition <u>6</u> s a person who, with respect to	being submitted and that I a measure:
<ul> <li>Has received or been presented that committee; or</li> </ul>	member of a committee that has mad romised any compensation or thing of me or likeness to appear on campaign	value from such a committee to	perform consulting services for
	ry that I am an Author of the <b>Opponer</b> A Supporter is defined as a person wh		being submitted and that I am
<ul> <li>Has received or been prepared that committee; or</li> <li>Has authorized their nare measure.</li> </ul>	member of a committee that has mad omised any compensation or thing of me or likeness to appear on campaign ate whether the Author is an individ	value from such a committee to literature or in advertising that a	perform consulting services for
Individual (or principal office			
	AGNOS	Title (If Applica	FORMER able) MAYOR
San Francisco Address (Where	you are Registered)		
Signature Signature	( ACM)	Emai	
Organization (E	Indivi	idual Author section a	
Name of Organiza Who should be listed as an Auth	per for your Organization?		4
Only the Organization	Both the Officer and the Organiza	ation	
* Check if the title or identifying i if you are signing as an individua	nformation is for identification purpose al and not of behalf of an organization.	s only,	
Signature		Email	
Additional Author Inform	ation		
eclaration Related to Propone	nt and Opponent Arguments	n egan	
attest under the penalty of perjur m not a Non-supporter of this me	y that I am an Author of the Proponer easure. A Non-supporter is defined as	nt Argument for Proposition a person who, with respect to a	_ being submitted and that I
lo o trocourer officer			

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition \_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature .... Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines **Format** # of words B, *I*, *BI* There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote per line Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way: Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies Keeps checks and balances in City Charter Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government Then there is the wrong way to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing. Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption. Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D. Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret) Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author 024 AUG 15 AM 8:38 For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information **Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for

- that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Mark Leno	Former Senator Title (If Applicable)
San Francisco	
Signature	Email
Organizatio	or section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print)	
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organizati	on?
Only the Organization Both the Officer	and the Organization
* Check if the title or identifying information is for ident if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of	
Signature	Email

### Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure:
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | **Email** Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. **Format** Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines -# of B, I, BI words There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote per line Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way: Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies Keeps checks and balances in City Charter Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government Then there is the wrong way to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing. Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption. Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D. Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret) Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition P Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition & being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	Former Assembly member
Full Name (Print) Tom Ammiano	Title (If Applicable)
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email
Organization (Entity)	ion and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature	Email

### Additional Author Information

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** 

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | **Fmail** Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only. if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | **Email** Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is **Format** Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines -# of B, I, BI words per line There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way: Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies Keeps checks and balances in City Charter Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government Then there is the wrong way to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing.

# not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption.

Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D.

Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret) Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret)

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

**Total Word Count** 

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information **Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the

measure

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) FORMER ST SUPERVISOR (DIG) MAPWELL SOPHENIA Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature Email Organization (E section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only. if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email

### Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | **Email** Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | **Email** Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines -# of **Format** B, I, BI words per line There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way: Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies Keeps checks and balances in City Charter Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government Then there is the wrong way to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing. Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption. Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D. Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret) Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is ap individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Former Coshuller Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered Signature | Email ial Author section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- the state of the s
- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
   Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | **Email** Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines **Format** # of words B, I, BI per line There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way: Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies Keeps checks and balances in City Charter Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government Then there is the wrong way to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing. Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption. Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D. Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret) Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 

### John Arntz, Director Office Use Only **Ballot Argument Control Sheet B** Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: 2 gument Information Proposition Label Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ peing submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the

Full Name (Print) THO	Chaitin Title (If Applicable)
San Francisco Address (Where	you are Registered)
Signature Signature	Email
Organization (Entity)	If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Jama of Organization (Driet)	
	hor for your Organization?
Who should be listed as an Aut	hor for your Organization?  Both the Officer and the Organization
Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Auth Only the Organization Check if the title or identifying f you are signing as an individu	

### Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | **Email** Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines **Format** # of B, I, BI words per line There are two Charter Amendments that will change San Francisco's commission system. Vote Yes on E to reform our commissions the right way: Crafted in public, with citizen input and full public hearings Placed on ballot by public vote after open debate and review Retains Arts, Library, Health, Small Business and other critical charter commissions that improve city services and provide effective oversight and accountability Ensures citizen oversight over police conduct policies Keeps checks and balances in City Charter Gives voters power to maintain government oversight and accountability and to determine the appropriate number and function of commissions Maintains and improves sunshine, transparency, and openness of city government Lets voters decide the future structure of our city government Then there is the wrong way to reform our commissions, Prop D, which was written in secret without a single public hearing. Prop D takes a meat ax to our city government. It eliminates without a cost-benefit analysis essential and effective commissions like the Arts, Library, Health, Youth, Small Business and Environment Commissions. It removes citizen oversight over police conduct policies such as the use of deadly force, sets an arbitrary cap on commissions that will handcuff future generations, and puts the work of our city government back in the shadows, undermining transparency and accountability and creating a breeding ground for abuse and corruption. Please join us in voting Yes on E and No on D. Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods San Francisco League of Conservation Voters San Francisco Labor Council Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth United Educators of San Francisco Small Business Forward San Francisco Tenants Union Affordable Housing Alliance Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin Assemblymember Phil Ting Mayor Art Agnos (ret) State Senator Mark Leno (ret) Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (ret) Supervisor Sophie Maxwell (ret) San Francisco Controller Ed Harrington (ret) Judge Ellen Chaitin (ret) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 



### Supporters / Opponents to be listed on Ballot Label (Must be submitted with Control Sheet A)

All ballot labels concerning measures shall end with a list of Supporters and Opponents taken from the authors of the Official Proponent and Official Opponent arguments regarding the measure. (CAEC §9170)

- The list of Supporters / Opponents shall not exceed 125 characters in length. The Department will not publish beyond the 125th character.
- An associate, nonprofit organization, business, or individual shall not be listed unless they have also completed a Control Sheet for the corresponding Ballot Argument.
- A Supporter / Opponent shall not be listed if the Supporter / Opponent is a political party or is representing a political party.
- If this form is not submitted, the Department will print "None submitted" for the corresponding Official Ballot Argument.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electr opponents within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.o

Official Proponent Argument \

John Arntz, Director
Office Use Only
2024 AUG 15 AM 8:37
EPARTHENT OF ELECTIONS
1
Time / Date Stamp
8
ronic copy of the list of supporters or rg
ficial Opponent Argument
a committee described in Section 82013
ate Senator Mary Smith," "Assembly tles may be shortened (e.g. "Senator" or
e individual's first and last name and an

# Section 2: Information for Supporters and Opponents

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition

A supporter or opponent shall not be listed unless it is one of the following:

- An association, nonprofit organization, or business that was not originally created as of the Government Code and that has been in existence for at least four years.
- A current or former elected official, who may be listed with the official's title (e.g., "Sta Member Carlos Garcia," or "former Eureka City Council Member Amy Lee"). These til "Sen." for "State Senator" or "Asm." for "Assembly Member").
- An individual who is not a current or former elected official may be listed only with the honorific (e.g., "Dr.," "M.D.," "Ph.D.," or "Esquire"), with no other title or designation, unless it is a title representing an association, nonprofit organization, or business that meets the requirements

### Section 3: List of Individuals, Associations, Nonprofit Organizations, and / or Businesses

Individuals, Associations, Nonprofit Organizations, and / or Businesses (not to exceed 125 characters in length, including punctuation,

Phil Ting; Mark Leno;	United Educators; League Conservation Voi	ters; Labor Council·
Tenants Union; Coaliti	on SF Neighborhoods	council,

### Section 4: Submitter Information (Same as Control Sheet A)

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and s	supporting materials to the Department.	If there is a question or issue with
a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.		

a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.	
Full Name (Print): Alai Duncay	Phone
Mailing Address	
Signature Signature	Linai.