John Arntz, Director

Office Use Only

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

o be Time/Date Stamp

124 AUG 19 AM 10: 56

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author support the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signorm is required.

Mailing Address

Signature |

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument



Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electror 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org .	nic copy of your ballot argument text within			
Section 1: Argument Information				
Proposition				
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor			
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against			
Section 2: Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments				
attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proponent and Argument for Proponent for Pro				
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make ended that received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a contract that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adverse measure. 	ommittee to perform consulting services for			
attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Pronot a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a respect				
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. 				
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization of the complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization.	tion:			
Full Name (Print) Rodney Fong Titl	e (If Applicable) President+ CEO			
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)				
Signature Signature				
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section	n and the Organization Section)			
Name of Organization (Print) SF Chamber of Commerce Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?				
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization				
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization				
Signature				
Section 3: Submitter Information The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department will contact the submitter.	artment. If there is a question or issue with			
	none			

mormation ir	the voter information Pamphiet.	
The true so	urce of funds for the printing fee of this argument:	
Is the true s	ource of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?	<u> </u>
Yes 🗌	No No	
	ource(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:	
1.		
2.		
3.		
Section 5	: Argument Text	
	our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You ma	v request
hat specific a	argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or und	lerline the
	t to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form	natting is
ot permitted	. Include author information in argument text.	4 - 6
B, I, BI		# of words
-,,,	Prop L won't fix Muni's issues—we deserve better. We cannot afford to	per line
	keep funding a dysfunctional system. Vote no on Prop L.	
	Prop L might sound like a step toward fixing Muni, but it's not the right	
	solution. The proponents admit that—at best—this may save a few bus lines, but there's no guarantee.	
	In fact, Prop L lacks standard oversight, meaning there's no guarantee the	
	money will be used effectively. Typically, taxpayer-funded measures include	
	audits and citizen oversight; Prop L has neither. Instead, it throws money at	
	a system in disarray without reform or a plan for improvement.	
	While Muni urgently needs more funding, Prop L only scratches the	
	surface, raising a small fraction of what's required. Worse, it does	
	nothing to fix the underlying problems of financial mismanagement, unreliable service, and lack of accountability.	
	Even if Prop L passes, the City will soon return with another tax hike, forcing us to pay more while still not seeing real improvements.	
	This measure raises the cost of living, making life more difficult for seniors and disabled residents who can least afford it.	
	We need comprehensive solutions that pair funding with real reform. San Francisco voters shouldn't be asked to support higher taxes without meaningful changes.	
	Vote No on Prop L to demand real reform, real accountability, and a transportation system that works for everyone.	
	DemandMuniReform.com	
	California Nightlife Association	
	Golden Gate Restaurant Association GrowSF	
	SF Chamber of Commerce	
	sf.citi	
	TogetherSF Action	
	PADS (Protect App-Based Drivers)	
	f handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information	
	to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Count	
Office Use C		
Total # of	words= X \$2/word = + \$200 publication fee = Staff	f Initials

Receipt #

Check #

Amount Paid

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

X \$0.50/signature

Adjusted Fee Total

of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B	Office Use Only
Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.	EPARTHENT OF ELECTIONS
For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.	Time/Date Stamp
If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information	- Company on the control of the cont
Proposition	Label
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	1984年 ,1984年1985年1985年1
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Pr am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with	
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adversesure. 	committee to perform consulting services for
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Pronot a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a	
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a c that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adversesure. 	committee to perform consulting services for
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organiza	ation:
Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	7
Full Name (Print) Ben Bleiman	tle (If Applicable) President
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature	
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section	- ·
Name of Organization (Print) California Nightlife As Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	sociation
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and act of baball of an association	
Additional Author	
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	hair a shailt and that t
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Pram not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with	h respect to a measure:
Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee. Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee. Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee.	

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual	(or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable)	
San Francis	sco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email	
Organizat	ion (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section))
	ganization (Print) I be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Or	ganization Both the Officer and the Organization	
	ne title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, gning as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature	Email	
Section 3	: Argument Text	
The text of yethat specific argument text	our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You ma argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or und to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form I. Include Author information in argument text.	erline the natting is
Format	Prop L won't fix Muni's issues—we deserve better. We cannot afford to	# of words
B, I, <i>BI</i>	keep funding a dysfunctional system. Vote no on Prop L.	per line
	Drop I might acoust till a som to the source of the source	
	Prop L might sound like a step toward fixing Muni, but it's not the right solution. The proponents admit that—at best—this may save a few bus	
	lines, but there's no guarantee.	
	In fact, Prop L lacks standard oversight, meaning there's no guarantee the	
	money will be used effectively. Typically, taxpayer-funded measures include	
	audits and citizen oversight; Prop L has neither. Instead, it throws money at	
	a system in disarray without reform or a plan for improvement.	
	While Muni urgently needs more funding, Prop L only scratches the	
	surface, raising a small fraction of what's required. Worse, it does	
	nothing to fix the underlying problems of financial mismanagement, unreliable service, and lack of accountability.	
	From if Press I masses the City III	
	Even if Prop L passes, the City will soon return with another tax hike, forcing us to pay more while still not seeing real improvements.	
	This measure raises the cost of living, making life more difficult for seniors and disabled residents who can least afford it.	
	We need comprehensive solutions that pair funding with real reform. San	
	Francisco voters shouldn't be asked to support higher taxes without meaningful changes.	
	Vote No on Prop L to demand real reform, real accountability, and a transportation system that works for everyone.	
	DemandMuniReform.com	
	Californía Nightlife Association	
	Golden Gate Restaurant Association	
	GrowSF	
	SF Chamber of Commerce	
	Sf.citi	
	TogetherSF Action PADS (Protect App-Based Drivers)	
	The firecontribe proof bilacial	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to	-
	the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	

Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B 2024 AUG 19 AM 10: 56 Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition L Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Executive Director LAURA ANN THOMAS. San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered Signature | Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Cate Restaurant Association Golden Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Additional Author

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition _ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Both the Officer and the Organization Only the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keen Text Within the Vertical Lines # of **Format** Prop L won't fix Muni's issues—we deserve better. We cannot afford to words B, I, *BI* keep funding a dysfunctional system. Vote no on Prop L. per line Prop L might sound like a step toward fixing Muni, but it's not the right solution. The proponents admit that—at best—this may save a few bus lines, but there's no guarantee. In fact, **Prop L lacks standard oversight,** meaning there's no guarantee the money will be used effectively. Typically, taxpayer-funded measures include audits and citizen oversight; Prop L has neither. Instead, it throws money at a system in disarray without reform or a plan for improvement. While Muni urgently needs more funding, Prop L only scratches the surface, raising a small fraction of what's required. Worse, it does nothing to fix the underlying problems of financial mismanagement, unreliable service, and lack of accountability. Even if Prop L passes, the City will soon return with another tax hike, forcing us to pay more while still not seeing real improvements. This measure raises the cost of living, making life more difficult for seniors and disabled residents who can least afford it. We need comprehensive solutions that pair funding with real reform. San Francisco voters shouldn't be asked to support higher taxes without meaningful changes. Vote No on Prop L to demand real reform, real accountability, and a transportation system that works for everyone. DemandMuniReform.com California Nightlife Association Golden Gate Restaurant Association **GrowSF** SF Chamber of Commerce sf.citi TogetherSF Action PADS (Protect App-Based Drivers) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count**

4.0	comin / mitz, Director
Ballot Argument Control Sheet B	Office Use Only
Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.	024 AUG 19 AN 10: 57
For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.	Time Date Stone
If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.	Time/Date Stamp
Section 1: Argument Information	Significa trades
Proposition L	Label
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	
l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, w	
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advances. 	committee to perform consulting services for
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for F not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a	
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advances. 	committee to perform consulting services for
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organiz	zation:
Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Sachin Agarwal	Title (If Applicable) Director
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered	SF 94172
Signature Signature	
Organization (Entity) I yil selected, complete both the individual Author sec	uon and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) GrowSF	
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title o if you are signing as	
Signature Signature	
Additional Author	
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	Proposition boing submitted and that I
attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for F	Proposition being submitted and that I

am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the	e following to indica	ite whether the Author	is an individual or an orga	nization:		
•	or principal officer of	F	_			
Full Name (Print)			Title (If Applicat	ole)	
San Francis	sco Address (Where y	you are Registered)				
Signature				Email		
Organizat	ion (Entity) (If	selected, complete bo	oth the Individual Author se	ection and the O	rganization Section)
	ganization (Print)					
Who should	I be listed as an Auth	or for your Organization	?	a sumperior		
Only the Or	ganization	Both the Officer and	d the Organization	- and washing		
		nformation is for identific al and not of behalf of an		Ocume 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Signature				Email		
Section 3	: Argument Tex	t		and the second s		
The text of you that specific a argument text	our argument will be argument text be print to be formatted and	printed exactly as submi	itted. Ensure that your argund italic type. Type your argun ("B" for bold, "I" for italics, on	nent with the desir	ed formatting, or und	derline the
Format B, I, BI	← Prop	o L won't fix Muni's iss	sues—we deserve better. onal system. Vote no on P	1	→ rd to	# of words per lin

Prop L might sound like a step toward fixing Muni, but it's not the right solution. The proponents admit that—at best—this may save a few bus lines, but there's no guarantee.

In fact, **Prop L lacks standard oversight,** meaning there's no guarantee the money will be used effectively. Typically, taxpayer-funded measures include audits and citizen oversight; Prop L has neither. Instead, it throws money at a system in disarray without reform or a plan for improvement.

While Muni urgently needs more funding, Prop L only scratches the surface, raising a small fraction of what's required. Wo se, it does nothing to fix the underlying problems of financial mismanagement, unreliable service, and lack of accountability.

Even if Prop L passes, the City will soon return with another tax hike, forcing us to pay more while still not seeing real improvements.

This measure raises the cost of living, making life more difficult for seniors and disabled residents who can least afford it.

We need comprehensive solutions that pair funding with real reform. San Francisco voters shouldn't be asked to support higher taxes without meaningful changes.

Vote No on Prop L to demand real reform, real accountability, and a transportation system that works for everyone.

DemandMuniReform.com

California Nightlife Association Golden Gate Restaurant Association GrowSF SF Chamber of Commerce sf.citi TogetherSF Action PADS (Protect App-Based Drivers)

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B	Office Use Only
Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.	2024 AUG 1.9 AM 10: 57
For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp
If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information	Time/Date Stamp
Proposition <u>—</u>	Label
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Additional Author Information	N. S. C. T. S. S. C.
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	
attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Pr am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with	
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a c that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adve 	ommittee to perform consulting services for
measure.	tising that advocates for the deleat of the
attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Pronot a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a	
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a c that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adversesure. 	ommittee to perform consulting services for
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organiza	tion:
Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	D 1.7.
Full Name (Print) Rebecca Prozan Tit	le (If Applicable) Board Chair
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered	
Signature	
Organization (E	
Name of Organization (Print) SF. C1+1 Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only if you are signing	
Signature	
Additional A	
Additional Author Information	5. 15 man (1.10 M.) 1.10 M. (1.10 M.) 1.10 M. (1.10 M.)
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Both the Officer and the Organization Only the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "B" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. # of **Format** words B, I, BI per line Prop L won't fix Muni's issues—we deserve better. We cannot afford to keep funding a dysfunctional system. Vote no on Prop L. Prop L might sound like a step toward fixing Muni, but it s not the right solution. The proponents admit that—at best—this may save a few bus lines, but there's no guarantee. In fact, Prop L lacks standard oversight, meaning there's no guarantee the money will be used effectively. Typically, taxpayer-funded measures include audits and citizen oversight; Prop L has neither. Instead, it throws money at a system in disarray without reform or a plan for improvement. While Muni urgently needs more funding, Prop L only scratches the surface, raising a small fraction of what's required. Worse, it does nothing to fix the underlying problems of financial mismanagement, unreliable service, and lack of accountability. Even if Prop L passes, the City will soon return with another tax hike, forcing us to pay more while still not seeing real improvements. This measure raises the cost of living, making life more difficult for seniors and disabled residents who can least afford it. We need comprehensive solutions that pair funding with real reform. San Francisco voters shouldn't be asked to support higher taxes without meaningful changes. Vote No on Prop L to demand real reform, real accountability, and a transportation system that works for everyone. DemandMuniReform.com California Nightlife Association Golden Gate Restaurant Association GrowSF SF Chamber of Commerce sf.citi TogetherSF Action PADS (Protect App-Based Drivers) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the mandwritten insortion to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS John Arntz, Director Office Use Only **Ballot Argument Control Sheet B** 2024 AUG 19 AM 10: 57 Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition ~ Labe **Proponent Argument** Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition _ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)
Full Name (Print) Russ Wiln Title (If Applicable) Director
San Francisco Ado
Signature Signature
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) Together SF Action Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,
if you are signing
Signature
Additional Author Information
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition _ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Both the Officer and the Organization Only the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "B|" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. # of Format Prop L won't fix Muni's issues—we deserve better. We cannot afford to words B, /, BI keep funding a dysfunctional system. Vote no on Prop L. per line Prop L might sound like a step toward fixing Muni, but it's not the right solution. The proponents admit that—at best—this may save a few bus lines, but there's no guarantee. In fact, Prop L lacks standard oversight, meaning there's no guarantee the money will be used effectively. Typically, taxpayer-funded measures include audits and citizen oversight; Prop L has neither. Instead it throws money at a system in disarray without reform or a plan for improvement. While Muni urgently needs more funding, Prop L only scratches the surface, raising a small fraction of what's required. Worse, it does nothing to fix the underlying problems of financial mismanagement, unreliable service, and lack of accountability. Even if Prop L passes, the City will soon return with another tax hike, forcing us to pay more while still not seeing real improvements. This measure raises the cost of living, making life more difficult for seniors and disabled residents who can least afford it. We need comprehensive solutions that pair funding with real reform. San Francisco voters shouldn't be asked to support higher taxes without meaningful changes. Vote No on Prop L to demand real reform, real accountability, and a transportation system that works for everyone. DemandMuniReform.com California Nightlife Association Golden Gate Restaurant Association **GrowSF** SF Chamber of Commerce sf.citi TogetherSF Action PADS (Protect App-Based Drivers) If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. Total Word Count

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B	Office Use Only
Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and information.	2014 AUG 1 9 AM 10: 57
For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.	be completed by Time/Date Stamp
If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with requand information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information	ired signatures
Proposition	
	Label
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent A am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a	ergument for Proposition being submitted and that I berson who, with respect to a measure:
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign liter 	e from such a committee to perform consulting services for
measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent A rnot a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who wi	being submitted and that I am
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literameasure. 	plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; efrom such a committee to perform consulting services for
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual	or an organization:
Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	Director, PADS Board
Full Name (Print) RAMONA PRIETO	Title (If Applicable) Of Directors
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual	Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) Protect App Based Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	Privers (PADS)
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes on	у,
if you are signing as an individual	
Signature	
Additional Author Informa Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Arg am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a pe	gument for Proposition being submitted and that I rson who, with respect to a measure:
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or p Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literate. 	from such a committee to perform consulting services for
 Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literat measure. 	ure or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Arg not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with	ument for Proposition being submitted and that I am

Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Prop L won't fix Muni's issues—we deserve better. We cannot afford to keep words B, I, BI funding a dysfunctional system. Vote no on Prop L. per line Prop L might sound like a step toward fixing Muni, but it's not the right solution. The proponents admit that—at best—this may save a few bus lines, but there's no guarantee. In fact, Prop L lacks standard oversight, meaning there's no guarantee the money will be used effectively. Typically, taxpayer-funded measures include audits and citizen oversight; Prop L has neither. Instead, it throws money at a system in disarray without reform or a plan for improvement. While Muni urgently needs more funding, Prop L only scratches the surface, raising a small fraction of what's required. Worse, it does nothing to fix the underlying problems of financial mismanagement, unreliable service, and lack of accountability. Even if Prop L passes, the City will soon return with another tax hike, forcing us to pay more while still not seeing real improvements. This measure raises the cost of living, making life more difficult for seniors and disabled residents who can least afford it. We need comprehensive solutions that pair funding with real reform. San Francisco voters shouldn't be asked to support higher taxes without meaningful changes. Vote No on Prop L to demand real reform, real accountability, and a transportation system that works for everyone. DemandMuniReform.com California Nightlife Association Golden Gate Restaurant Association GrowSF SF Chamber of Commerce sf.citi TogetherSF Action

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to

Total Word Count

PADS (Protect App-Based Drivers)

the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for

that committee; or