

# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO **DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS**

John Arntz, Director

### Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional

AM 9: 40 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp

Office Use Only

An argument submitted on behalf of an organization must be signed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author s opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a com- Rebuttal to Opponent Argument signed Consent Form is required.



Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within

24 hours after submission to	the Department at <u>publications@sfgov.org</u> .	AND COMMISSION STREET, NO. 17 CO. C.	
Section 1: Argument	Information	SCHOOL SUPPLEMENTS	AND REPORT
Proposition			
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in	Favor
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Ag	gainst
Section 2: Author Info	ormation	Single State of the	
Declaration Related to Prop	ponent and Opponent Arguments		
I attest under the penalty of p am not a Non-supporter of th	erjury that I am an Author of the <b>Proponent A</b> is measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a p	rgument for Proposition $\underline{}$ bei erson who, with respect to a mea	ing submitted and that I sure:
<ul> <li>Has received or bee that committee; or</li> </ul>	r, or member of a committee that has made or en promised any compensation or thing of value r name or likeness to appear on campaign litera	e from such a committee to perfor	m consulting services for
	erjury that I am an Author of the <b>Opponent Ar</b> ure. A Supporter is defined as a person who wit		ng submitted and that I am
<ul> <li>Has received or bee that committee; or</li> </ul>	r, or member of a committee that has made or en promised any compensation or thing of value r name or likeness to appear on campaign litera	from such a committee to perfor	m consulting services for
Select one of the following	to indicate whether the Author is an individ	ual or an organization:	
<u>Individual</u>	-		
Full Name (Print)	Janelle P. Wong	Title (If Applicable)	DIRECTOR
San Francisco Address (Wh	ere you are Registered)		
Signature			
Organization (Entity)			
Name of Organization (Print Who should be listed as an	t) SAN FRANCIS (O TRANCIS) (O TRANCIS) (O TRANCIS)	ANSIT RIDERS	
Only the Organization	Both the Officer and the Organization (If selected, complete both the Individ		ization Section)
* Check if the title or id if you are signing as ar		/, <u> </u>	
Signature		Email	
Section 3: Submitter I	nformation	A TABLE BY THE BUILDING	
	ho delivers the argument and supporting mate	rials to the Department. If there is	a question or issue with
a submission, the Departmen	2 / 1		
Full Name (Print)	al Hadron		
Mailing Address			
Signature			

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments	0.000
Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the	e publication of the argument. It is also required to
indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information	ation will be printed below the argument and the au

Laid affairretts thas though montainst about the time source of lands to: the bapiloation for the distinction and the	
indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument a	and the author
information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.	

The true s	ource of funds for the printing fee of this argument:	
Is the true	source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?	
Yes	No No	
	source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:	
1.	30dioc(3) of fullds is a recipion committee; not the three fargost contributors below.	
2.		
2. 3.		
	5: Argument Text	
The text of	your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You m	nay request
hat specific	c argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or ur	nderline the
•	ext to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special for	matting is
not permitte Format	ed.  Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of
B, I, BI	Neep Text Within the Vertical Lines	words
_,,,_,		per line
	The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the	
	City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company – not the rider – for that ride. But most of ride-hail's cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices	
	by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers.	
	Musific what tenang transport time of the state of the st	
	Muni is what <b>keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans</b> . Prop L will fund bus, train, and Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With	
***************************************	severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines.	
	Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will	
	strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion.	
	The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this.	
	Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit.	**************************************
	Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L!	
	San Francisco Transit Riders	
	Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club	
	Small Business Forward	
	Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club	
	Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan	
	Supervisor Joel Engardio	
	Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar	
	Supervisor Hillary Ronen	
	Supervisor Ahsha Safai BART Director Janice Li	
		]
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information	
	to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.  Total Word Count	<del>                                     </del>
		<u> </u>
Office Hee	Only	

Office Use Only	:	on delana	
Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication fee =	Staff Initials
# of signatures submit	ted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check#	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author 2024 AUG 19 information. AM 9: 40 For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor **Proponent Argument** Paid Argument Against Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Section 2: Additional Author Information **Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) President Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) trancisco Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

### Additional Autho **Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments**

Signature |

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;

Email

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition \_ \_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of B, *I*, *BI* words per line The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company - not the rider - for that ride. But most of ride-hail's cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers. Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans. Prop L will fund bus, train, and Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines. Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion. The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this. Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit. Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L! San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safai BART Director Janice Li If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B	Office Use Only
	AUG 19 AM 9: 40
For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.	Time/Date Stamp
f your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.	i morbue clamp
Section 1: Argument Information	
Proposition L	
	Label
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Additional Author Information	
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	
attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the <b>Proponent Argument</b> for Proam not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with	
<ul> <li>Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make executed or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a contract committee; or</li> <li>Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertigation.</li> </ul>	mmittee to perform consulting services for
measure.	
attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the <b>Opponent Argument</b> for Proport a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a m	
<ul> <li>Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make ex</li> <li>Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a conthat committee; or</li> <li>Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advert measure.</li> </ul>	mmittee to perform consulting services for
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organizati	on:
Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) John Rizzo Title	e (If Applicable) Political chair
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	SF CA 94117
Signature En	nail
Organization (Entity)	and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) Sierra Club	•
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing a	
Signature Ema	il
Additional Auth	
attest under the penalty of periury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Prop	position heing submitted and that I

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. ' Author information in argument text. **Format** Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of B, *I*, *BI* words per line The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company – not the rider - for that ride. But most of ride-hail's cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers. Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans. Prop L will fund bus, train, and Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines. Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion. The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit. Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L! San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myma Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safai BART Director Janice Li If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. Total Word Count

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B 2024 AUG 19 AM 9: 40 Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information **Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure: Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) PARKER Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered

Signature Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization X Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only. if you are signing as Signature |

Additional Author mnormation

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines -**Format** B, I, BI words per line The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company - not the rider - for that ride. But most of ride-hail's cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers. Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans. Prop L will fund bus, train, and Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines. Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion. The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this. Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit. Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L! San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myma Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safaí BART Director Janice Li If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

**Total Word Count** 

### **Ballot Argument Control Sheet B**

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition \_\_\_\_

Proponent Argument

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Office Use Only
2024 AUG 19 AM 9: 40
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

Label

Paid Argument in Favor

Paid Argument Against

### Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

l attest under the penalty of perjury that l am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that l am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the
  measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Reid Coggins	Title (If Applicable) Action
San Francisco Address (Mhore you are Registe	
Signature Signature	
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual A	uthor section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) Harrey Milk LGBTQ	Democratic Club
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature Signature	Email

### Additional Author information

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** 

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Both the Officer and the Organization Only the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | **Email** Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of **Format** words B, I, BI per line The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company – not the rider - for that ride. But most of ride-hail's cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers. Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans. Prop L will fund bus, train, and Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines. Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion. The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this. Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit. Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L! San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safaí BART Director Janice Li If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 

### Office Use Only **Ballot Argument Control Sheet B** Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author 24 AUG 19 information. AM 9: 40 For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information **Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or

Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Scott Wiener	Title (If Applicable) Senator
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	San Francisco, CA 94114
Signature	
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Auth	nor section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print)	
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	*
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,	
if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature	Email
Additional Author Information	

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

ction 3: Argument Text  e text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request a specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the ument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is permitted. Include Author information in argument text.	• Has	s received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from suc committee; or s authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in	_	
dividual (or principal officer of Organization)  If hamme (Print)  The (if Applicable)  Email			ianization:	
In Name (Print) In Francisco Acdress (Where you are Registered) In Francisco A	-		janization.	
pnature			Title (If Application)	
Email  rganization (Entity)  [If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)  ame of Organization (Print)  ho should be Isited as an Author for your Organization?  Both the Officer and the Organization  Both the Officer and the Organization  Check if the title or Identifying information is for identification purposes only,  you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.  Email  Ction 3: Argument Text  Lear of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted, Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request specific argument live to be printed in back, fusic, or bold fails type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the unner list to be formatted and in the left column, mark 15" for bold, "I for failios, or 15l" for bold italics. Other special formatting is permitted, Include Author Information in argument text  When the specific argument and a specific printed with the desired of the specific printed in a grammat text  The copponents claim that Prop. Ledds to cost of biring problems. But let us the mark it costs \$2.00 to cross the Origin by Minut or should be added to the printed in argument text  When the specific printed in argument text  The copponents claim that Prop. Ledds to cost of biring problems. But let us the mark it costs \$2.00 to cross the Origin by Minut or should be added to the companies because the size of the companies because the size of the companies because the companies because the companies because the companies of the companies because the companie	uli name (	Print)	Little (IT Applicable)	
Principation (Entity) [If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) ame of Organization (Print) hos should be listed as an Author for your Organization? The organization [Print] hos should be listed as an Author for your Organization? The organization [Print] Both the Officer and the Organization [Print] Check If the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, [Print] organization [Print] Organization [Print] State of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted Ensure that your argument with the desired formatting or underline the unsert text to printed the bid. Yelic, or bold falls type, Type your argument with the desired formatting or underline the unsert text to be formated and in the left column, man "B" for bold." If or fails, or B" for bold fails. Other special formatting is permitted. Include Author Information in argument text.  The organization (Include Author Information (Include Author Information Include Author Information (Include Author Information Include Information Incl	an Francis	sco Address (Where you are Registered)		
Principation (Entity) [If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) ame of Organization (Print) hos should be listed as an Author for your Organization? The organization [Print] hos should be listed as an Author for your Organization? The organization [Print] Both the Officer and the Organization [Print] Check If the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, [Print] organization [Print] Organization [Print] State of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted Ensure that your argument with the desired formatting or underline the unsert text to printed the bid. Yelic, or bold falls type, Type your argument with the desired formatting or underline the unsert text to be formated and in the left column, man "B" for bold." If or fails, or B" for bold fails. Other special formatting is permitted. Include Author Information in argument text.  The organization (Include Author Information (Include Author Information Include Author Information (Include Author Information Include Information Incl	ignature	N. (2) (17-10)		
The coponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of Ming problems. Bit left be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Munic or accurate 325 by ride-hall Prop L would not add and some river by 33% between 2016 and 2022, while paying less or individue and some profession.  The coponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of Ming problems. Bit left be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Munic or accurate 325 by ride-hall Prop L would not add as sensition 52.50 to cross the City by Munic or accurate 325 by ride-hall Prop L would not add and sensition to severe cust starting as early as next year. Prop L provides conognize three-less Upon Increased its price-by 33% between 2016 and 2022, while paying less to drivers.  Munit is what keeps transportation affords youth sensors, and exopen with down from thouse. With severe cust starting as early as next year. Prop L provides conognized three-by distributions. Without Prop L deeps call will make a proper that the starting as early as next year. Prop L provides conognized to save up to a dozen bus lines.  Without Prop L deeps call will mean many places and times with list a work and solver type. This will stand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride hall, worsening traffic congestion.  The oppenents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this.  Over 500,000 these a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at the late, and solver type. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride hall, worsening traffic congestion.  The oppenents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this.  Over 500,000 these a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at the life, the real facility will in the proper than a suggestion of the subject of the subj	)rganizat	ion (Entity) //If selected, complete both the Individual Authors		
Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only.  The signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.  Email  Ction S: Argument Text  text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request to sold organize the tot of your argument will be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the unent text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "5" for bold," "for italics, or "B" for bold italics. Other special formatting is permitted. Include Author information in argument text.  Keep Text Within the Vartical Lines  The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But left's be rest: it costs \$2.50 to cross the Cky by Muniformation in argument text.  Keep Text Within the Vartical Lines  The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But left's be rest: it costs \$2.50 to cross the Cky by Muniformation in argument text.  Keep Text Within the Vartical Lines  The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But left's be rest: it costs \$2.50 to cross the Cky by Muniformation in argument text.  Without Prop L add to the cost and the cost is from the companies thereasely but but increased its prices by \$3% between 2018 and 60 an	ame of Or	rganization (Print)		
Email  Ction 3: Argument Text Lext of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request separate argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold fibilic type. Type your argument the size of printed in bold, italic, or bold fibilic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the unent text be printed in the left column, mark "5" for bold," "for fibilities." Other special formatting is permitted. Include Author information in argument text.  **Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines**  **Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines**  The concents claim that Prop. Ladds to cost of living problems. But let's be real; it costs \$2.60 to cross the City by Mont or around \$2.5 by ride-hall. Prop. Levouls and a small \$0.25 to 31.73 tax on the company — not the rider— for that side. But most of ride-halls cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by \$3.9% between 2013 and 2022, and information for private security and the company — not the rider— for that side is the security of the company — not the rider— for that side is the cost of the company — not the rider— for that side is the cost of the company — not the rider— for that side is the cost of t	only the Or	ganization Both the Officer and the Organization		
Cition 3: Argument Text  Lext of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request specific argument text be printed in bold, it, failer, or bold faller type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the unement text be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "B!" for bold italics. Other special formatting is permitted. Include Author Information in argument text.  The opponents claim that Prop. L. adds to cost of fiving problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop. L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company—not the rider—for that ride but most of ride-hails cost is from the companies themselvels: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying lasts to drivers.  Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans, Prop. L will fund bus, train, and Paratranst service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop. L provides enough funding a safe up to a document of the severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop. L provides enough funding a safe up to a document of the severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop. L provides enough funding as safe up to a document of the safe and many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic conjection.  The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop. L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this.  Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit.  Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on LI  San Francisco Transit Riclers Senior and Disability Action Supervisor Alpha Safei BART Director Janice Li  If handwritten information or a revision is uncl		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request to specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the ument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark 'B' for bold, 'T' for Italics, or 'Bl' for bold italics. Other special formatting is permitted. Include Author Information in argument text.  **Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines**  **Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines**  The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hall. Prop L would add a semal \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company – not the rider – for that ride. But most of ride-halls cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers.  Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans. Prop L will fund but, train, and Paratransat service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes with severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines.  Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni sent available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while paying others for drive or use ride-hall, worsening traffic congestion.  The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring 'real Muni funding' to the table, without proposing a plan for this.  Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk, if we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit.  Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L!  San Francisco Transt Riders Senior and Disability Action Supervisor Contine Chan Supervisor Chain Chan Supervisor Chai	ignature	The state of the s	Email	
text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request to specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the ument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark 'B' for bold, 'T' for Italics, or 'Bl' for bold italics. Other special formatting is permitted. Include Author Information in argument text.  **Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines**  **Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines**  The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hall. Prop L would add a semal \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company – not the rider – for that ride. But most of ride-halls cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers.  Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans. Prop L will fund but, train, and Paratransat service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes with severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines.  Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni sent available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while paying others for drive or use ride-hall, worsening traffic congestion.  The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring 'real Muni funding' to the table, without proposing a plan for this.  Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk, if we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit.  Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L!  San Francisco Transt Riders Senior and Disability Action Supervisor Contine Chan Supervisor Chain Chan Supervisor Chai	ection 3	: Argument Text		
# Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	e text of year at specific gument tex	our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argu argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argu kt to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, o	ment with the desired formatting, or unde	erline the
The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company – not the rider – for that ride. But most of ride-hails? cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by \$3% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers.  Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans. Prop L will fund bus, train, and Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to sake up to a dozen bus lines.  Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni lan1 available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion.  The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this.  Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit.  Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on LI  San Francisco Transit Ritiers Sanior and Disability Action Sinra Cub.  Saniar Business Forward Harvey Milk LoBTQ Democratic Club  Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Opine Chan Supervisor Transit Ritiers	ormat		es <u> </u>	1
The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by hide-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company – not the rider – for that ride. But most of ride-hails cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers.  Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans, Prop L will fund bus, train, and Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines.  Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion.  The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this.  Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must Invest in public transit.  Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on LI  San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club Sanator Scott Wisner Supervisor Contrile Oration Supervisor Contrile Oration Supervisor Munitary Roven Supervisor Munitary Roven Supervisor Munitary Roven Supervisor Munitary Roven Supervisor Paration Information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to	, I, BI		. Comment	1
City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company — not the rider—for that ride. But most of ride-hails cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by \$3% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers.  Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans, Prop L will fund bus, train, and Partatransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines.  Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion.  The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this.  Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit.  Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L!  San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club  Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Cannie Cland Supervisor Cannie Chan Supervisor Cannie Chan Supervisor Center Engardio Supervisor Physics Phy				F=:
City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company — not the rider—for that ride. But most of ride-hails cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by \$3% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers.  Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans, Prop L will fund bus, train, and Partatransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines.  Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion.  The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this.  Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit.  Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L!  San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club  Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Cannie Cland Supervisor Cannie Chan Supervisor Cannie Chan Supervisor Center Engardio Supervisor Physics Phy				
City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company — not the rider—for that ride. But most of ride-hails cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by \$3% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers.  Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans, Prop L will fund bus, train, and Partatransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines.  Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion.  The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this.  Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit.  Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L!  San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club  Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Cannie Cland Supervisor Cannie Chan Supervisor Cannie Chan Supervisor Center Engardio Supervisor Physics Phy			Annual Materials	
City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company — not the rider—for that ride. But most of ride-hails cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by \$3% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers.  Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans, Prop L will fund bus, train, and Partatransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines.  Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion.  The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this.  Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit.  Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L!  San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club  Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Cannie Cland Supervisor Cannie Chan Supervisor Cannie Chan Supervisor Center Engardio Supervisor Physics Phy			on consistent and	
Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines.  Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion.  The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this.  Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit.  Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L!  San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club  Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myma Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Absha Safai BART Director Janice Li		City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to rider – for that ride. But most of ride-hail's cost is from the companies them	3 \$1.13 tax on the company - not the	
strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion.  The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this.  Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit.  Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L!  San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Smail Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Ahsha Safai BART Director Janice Li  If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to		Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with	disabilities or low incomes With	
A plan for this.  Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit.  Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L!  San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Siera Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club  Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Myna Melgar Supervisor Hilary Ronen Supervisor Hilary Ronen Supervisor Hilary Ronen Supervisor Hilary Ronen Supervisor Joel Engardio BART Director Janice Li		Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't av strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic	ailable, and slower trips. This will c congestion.	
Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L!  San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club  Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myna Melgar Supervisor Milary Ronen Supervisor Afisha Safaí BART Director Janice Li  If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to		a plan for this.		
San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Siera Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club  Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Deal Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safaí BART Director Janice Li		risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest	is higher than ever. But Muni is at in public transit.	
Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club  Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safaí BART Director Janice Li  If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to				
Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safaí BART Director Janice Li  If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to		Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward		
Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safai BART Director Janice Li  If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to			Volume of the second of the se	
Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safaí BART Director Janice Li  If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to		Supervisor Connie Chan	*	
Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safaí BART Director Janice Li  If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to		Supervisor Dean Preston	**************************************	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to		Supervisor Hillary Ronen		
			•	
A COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF T			Interpret the handwritten information to	

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author 2024 AUG 19 AM 9: 40 information. For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) SUPPRI SOR Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) Connic San Francisco Address (Where you are Regi Signature Email Organization (Entity) section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email

### Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature I Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature \_\_\_\_ Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special amatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines **Format** # of B, I, BI words er line The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company - not the rider - for that ride. But most of ride-hail's cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers. Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans. Prop L will fund bus, train, and Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines. Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion. The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this. Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit. Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L! San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safai BART Director Janice Li If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author 724 AUG 19 information For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. lattest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or

Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the

Complete the following to inc	licate whether the Author	is an individual or an o	rganization:	******		
Individual (or principal offi	cer of Organization)				9 909 1	1
Full Name (Print) Toel	Enggedio		Title (If Appli	icable) S	JPer.	sisor
San Francisco Address (A/he	re vou are Registere			SF	CA 9	4132
Signature	, 1 · ·		Email		Sec. 1981	N N
Organization (Er	plete bo	oth the Individual Author	or section and the	e Organizat	ion Section	)
Name of Organization (Print)	9 . 1	40 Th 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
Who should be listed as an A	uthor for your Organization?		1 * 2 * A. D.			1. 72
Only the Organization	Both the Officer and	the Organization	4 45	et .		
* Check if the title or identifyir if you are signing as an individ			I to Fee Au			
Signature Signature		<u> </u>	Email		9 9 E X	* 0

### Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Format Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines -# of B, I, BI words per line The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1 13 tax on the company - not the rider - for that ride. But most of ride-hail's cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers. Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisar's. Prop L will fund bus, train, and Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines. Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion. The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this. Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at

risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit.

Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L!

San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club

Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safaí BART Director Janice Li

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

**Total Word Count** 

# DEPARTMENT OF SAN FRANCISCO

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B	Office Use Only
Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.	AUG 19' AM 9: 40
For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.	RTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp
If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.	Time/Date Stamp
Section 1: Argument Information	4.29
Proposition	Label
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Additional Author Information  Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the <b>Proponent Argument</b> for Proponent am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with	
<ul> <li>Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make end has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a contract that committee; or</li> <li>Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adverse measure.</li> </ul>	ommittee to perform consulting services for
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Pro not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a r	
<ul> <li>Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make e</li> <li>Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a contract that committee; or</li> <li>Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adverse measure.</li> </ul>	ommittee to perform consulting services for
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization	tion:
Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Dean Preston Titl	e (If Applicable) Supervisor
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registere	F. CA 94117
Signature	
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section Name of Organization (Print)	n and the Organization Section)
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature Em	ail
Additional Author Information	
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the <b>Proponent Argument</b> for Pro am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with	position being submitted and that I respect to a measure:
<ul> <li>Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make entering the state of the st</li></ul>	expenditures in opposition to the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition \_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Both the Officer and the Organization Only the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Emai Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines ₹ त **Format** B, J, BI w rds p / line The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company – not the rider - for that ride. But most of ride-hail's cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers. Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans. Prop L will fund bus, train, and Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines. Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion. The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this. Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit. Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L! San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to

**Total Word Count** 

Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safaí BART Director Janice Li

the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

### Office Use Only **Ballot Argument Control Sheet B** Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author UG 19 AM 9: 40 For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition L Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information **Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition \_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

individual (or principal officer or Organization)	
Full Name (Print) My Alexandra Title (If Applic	able) D'Superviso
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	SF
Signature Email	
Organization (Entity) (Il selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the	Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature Email	

### Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

Individual (as principal offices of Ossesis-diss)

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Format Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of B, I, BI words per line The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company - not the rider - for that ride. But most of ride-hail's cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers. Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans. Prop L will fund bus, train, and Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines. Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion. The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this. Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit. Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L! San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safai BART Director Janice Li If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to

**Total Word Count** 

the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

### Office Use Only **Ballot Argument Control Sheet B** Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author 9:40 For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Lahel Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature Email uthor section and the Organization Section) Organization (Ent Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

### Additional Author Information

Signature

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** 

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;

Email

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the
  measure.

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature **Émail** Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature .... Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. **Format** Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines -B, *i*, *BI* rords per line The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company - not the rider - for that ride. But most of ride-hail's cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers. Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans. Prop L will fund bus, train, and Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines. Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion. The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this. Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit. Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L! San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safaí BART Director Janice Li If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SANFRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

### Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition \_

Proponent Argument Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Office Use Only Time/Date Stamp Label

Paid Argument in Favor

Paid Argument Against

### Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

Lattest under the penalty of perjury that Lam an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that Lam not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print)

Title (If Applicable) District Il Supervison

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

**Email** 

Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature |



Email

### Additional Author Information

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** 

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_\_\_ \_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of B, *I*, *BI* words per line The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1.13 tax on the company - not the rider - for that ride. But most of ride-hail's cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers. Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans. Prop L will fund bus, train, and Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines. Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion. The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing a plan for this. Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit. Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L! San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safaí BART Director Janice Li If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author AUG 19 information. For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Paid Argument Against Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments \_ being submitted and that I l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_ am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition \_ \_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered 94118 Signature | (II selected, complete both the individual Author section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity)

# Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature |



Email

### Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature Email (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Both the Officer and the Organization Only the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of Format words B, I, BI per line The opponents claim that Prop L adds to cost of living problems. But let's be real: it costs \$2.50 to cross the City by Muni or around \$25 by ride-hail. Prop L would add a small \$0.25 to \$1 13 tax on the company - not the rider - for that ride. But most of ride-hail's cost is from the companies themselves: Uber increased its prices by 83% between 2018 and 2022, while paying less to drivers. Muni is what keeps transportation affordable for vulnerable San Francisans. Prop L will fund bus, train, and Paratransit service, as well as discounts for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities or low incomes. With severe cuts starting as early as next year, Prop L provides enough funding to save up to a dozen bus lines. Without Prop L, deeper cuts will mean more places and times Muni isn't available, and slower trips. This will strand many, while pushing others to drive or use ride-hail, worsening traffic congestion. The opponents suggest that rejecting Prop L would help bring "real Muni funding" to the table, without proposing Over 500,000 times a day, someone takes a ride on Muni, and satisfaction is higher than ever. But Muni is at risk. If we truly want a more affordable San Francisco, we must invest in public transit. Vote Yes for Muni. Vote Yes on L! San Francisco Transit Riders Senior and Disability Action Sierra Club Small Business Forward Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club Senator Scott Wiener Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Joel Engardio Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Ahsha Safai BART Director Janice Li If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count** 

### **Ballot Argument Assignment Form**

Under certain circumstances, the individual or entity entitled to author a proponent, opponent, or rebuttal argument, may assign the right to author the argument to another individual or entity.

If an eligible author assigns the right to author the proponent, opponent, or rebuttal argument to someone else a completed and signed Assignment Form is required.

Anyone assigned to author an argument must be eligible under SFMEC §545 to author an argument.

Office Use Only	
AUG 19 AM 9:41	
Time/Date Stamp	
Label	
	AUG 19 AM 9: 41  HIME Time/Date Stamp

For details related to the assignment of the right to submit proponent, opponent, and rebuttal arguments see SFMEC §550(c)(d).

§550(c)(d).	2, 20
Section 1: Argument Information	
Proposition	
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument
Section 2: Proponent/Opponent Information	
By signing below, I assign the right to submit the ballot argument to submit the argument to someone else.	ment for <b>Proposition</b> and confirm that I am entitled to assign the right
Full Name (Print) CHRISTOPHER ARVIN	Title (If Applicable) PROPONENT
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	SF, CA
Signature	
Section 3: Assignment Information	
By signing below, I accept the assignment to submit the ball	ot argument for Proposition band confirm that I am an eligible author.
Full Name (Print) Jone In Won	Cy Phone
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	
Process of the second	
Section 1: Argument Information	
Proposition	
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument
Section 2: Proponent/Opponent Information	
By signing below, I assign the right to submit the ballot argument to submit the argument to someone else.	ment for <b>Proposition</b> and confirm that I am entitled to assign the right
Full Name (Print)	Title (If Applicable)
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature Signature	Email
Section 3: Assignment Information	
of res	ot argument for <b>Proposition</b> and confirm that I am an eligible author.
Full Name (Print)	Phone
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	8
Signature	
- Simulation	Email