



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

SAN FRANCISCO FILED John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed C-Form is required.

Office Use Only DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument G

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition G

Proponent Argument []

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument [x]

Paid Argument in Favor []

Opponent Argument []

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument []

Paid Argument Against []

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition G being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure.

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition G being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure.

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [x]

Full Name (Print) LARRY S. MARSO

Title (If Applicable) Esq.

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) SAN FRANCISCO, CA

Signature

Email

Organization (Entity) [] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization []

Both the Officer and the Organization []

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) LARRY S. MARSO

Phone

Mailing Address SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

Signature

Email

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
11 9 1	Proponents of <u>Proposition G</u> claim it will "create" housing. In reality, it's a <u>short-sighted gamble</u> that will further <u>inflame San Francisco's housing crisis</u> .	
11 11 7 12 11	They promise " <u>hundreds</u> " of additional units, but ignore the risk Proposition G will fuel rent increases citywide . Emptying the general fund into rental subsidies, the measure feeds landlords at taxpayer expense, encouraging higher prices. This won't make housing more affordable—in fact, it will become more expensive for anyone who doesn't win a <u>literal lottery</u> .	
9 8 9 9 5	Existing programs are vulnerable to fraud and mismanagement. Why aren't we tightening standards? Recently, a <u>San Francisco businessman</u> admitted to stealing over <u>\$340,000</u> from <u>Section 8</u> subsidies. Proposition G lacks necessary safeguards to prevent abuse, which drain resources meant for the truly needy.	
9 11 10 9	San Francisco recently passed a substantial housing bond and secured <u>\$117 million</u> in state and federal funding for affordable housing projects. Instead of <u>Proposition G's risky subsidies</u> , let's build on <u>existing, funded long-term affordable housing programs</u> that already address root causes.	
11 8	Vote NO on Proposition G. Reject the illusion of progress, gambling with taxpayer money, squandering resources needed for real solutions.	
2	<u>Larry Marso, Esq.</u>	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
Total Word Count		

175

Office Use Only			
Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication fee =	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	