



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

SAN FRANCISCO

## Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at [publications@sfgov.org](mailto:publications@sfgov.org).

Office Use Only

2024 AUG 19 AM 8:36

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

Time/Date Stamp

— **Rebuttal to Proponent Argument** **F** —

### Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition **F**

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

### Section 2: Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition **F** being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization)**

Full Name (Print) Hillary Rorer

District 9 Supervisor  
Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) \_\_\_\_\_

Signature

Email \_\_\_\_\_

**Organization (Entity)**

(If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization section)

Name of Organization (Print) \_\_\_\_\_

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email \_\_\_\_\_

### Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Karina Lynch

Phone \_\_\_\_\_

Mailing Address \_\_\_\_\_

Signature

Email \_\_\_\_\_

### Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes  No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

### Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p><b>VOTE NO ON F: Double-Dipping Won't Keep Us Safe</b></p> <p>The proponents are misleading voters. Most of their argument says nothing about what Prop F will actually do.</p> <p>They say that San Francisco's Police Department is severely short-staffed, but the majority of officers are leaving after 6 or 7 years. Prop F would only apply to officers with 25+ years of service.</p> <p>They say that taxpayers are on the hook for paying extremely high overtime costs, 20% of SFPD's salary budget, but Prop F will force taxpayers to pay senior police officers twice by letting them double-dip into salaries and banked pension payments at the same time, allowing some individual officers to make up to a half a million dollars.</p> <p>What we know from trying this same program between 2008 and 2011 is that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It was sold as cost-neutral, but was proven to be incredibly expensive.</li> <li>• The Controller issued a report saying that the program did not help recruit or retain police officers.</li> <li>• Police officers were retiring early so they could participate in this program, and were taking home \$200,000+ on average.</li> </ul> <p>SFPD says that recruitment numbers are rising and that class sizes are back to 2019 levels.</p> <p>Help us protect against misinformation and invest in programs that actually keep us safe.</p> <p><b>Vote no on Prop F.</b></p> <p><i>American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of Northern California Chinese for Affirmative Action District 9 Supervisor Hillary Ronen District 5 Supervisor Dean Preston District 10 Supervisor Shamann Walton Public Defender* Mano Raju Police Commissioner* Jesus Yáñez Former Police Commissioner* Bill Ong Hing</i></p> <p><i>*For identification purposes only</i></p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	<b>Total Word Count</b>

### Office Use Only

<b>Total # of words=</b>	<b>X \$2/word =</b>	<b>+ \$200 publication fee =</b>	<b>Staff Initials</b>
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

## Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

### Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument   
Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument   
Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor   
Paid Argument Against

Label

Office Use Only

0004 AUG 19 AM 8:36

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

Time/Date Stamp

### Section 2: Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition      being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization)**

Full Name (Print) AVRIL D. FREY

DEPUTY DIRECTOR  
CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROJECT

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Email

**Organization (Entity)**  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) ACLU Northern California

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization  Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

### Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition      being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition      being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print)

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature 

Email

Organization (Entity)  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

### Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include Author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
<p><b>VOTE NO ON F: Double-Dipping Won't Keep Us Safe</b></p> <p>The proponents are misleading voters. Most of their argument says nothing about what Prop F will actually do.</p> <p>They say that San Francisco's Police Department is severely short-staffed, but the majority of officers are leaving after 6 or 7 years. Prop F would only apply to officers with 25+ years of service.</p> <p>They say that taxpayers are on the hook for paying extremely high overtime costs, 20% of SFPD's salary budget, but Prop F will force taxpayers to pay senior police officers twice by letting them double-dip into salaries and banked pension payments at the same time, allowing some individual officers to make up to a half a million dollars.</p> <p>What we know from trying this same program between 2008 and 2011 is that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It was sold as cost-neutral, but was proven to be incredibly expensive.</li> <li>• The Controller issued a report saying that the program did not help recruit or retain police officers.</li> <li>• Police officers were retiring early so they could participate in this program, and were taking home \$200,000+ on average.</li> </ul> <p>SFPD says that recruitment numbers are rising and that class sizes are back to 2019 levels.</p> <p>Help us protect against misinformation and invest in programs that actually keep us safe.</p> <p><b>Vote no on Prop F.</b></p> <p><i>American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of Northern California</i>  <i>Chinese for Affirmative Action</i>  <i>District 9 Supervisor Hillary Ronen</i>  <i>District 5 Supervisor Dean Preston</i>  <i>District 10 Supervisor Shamann Walton</i>  <i>Public Defender* Mano Raju</i>  <i>Police Commissioner* Jesus Yáñez</i>  <i>Former Police Commissioner* Bill Ong Hing</i></p> <p><i>*For identification purposes only</i></p>		
<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>		<b>Total Word Count</b>



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

## Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

### Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument   
Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument   
Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor   
Paid Argument Against

Label

Office Use Only

2024 AUG 19 AM 8:36

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

Time/Date Stamp

### Section 2: Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Vincent Pan

Title (If Applicable) co-executive director

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [Redacted]

Signature [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

Organization (Entity)  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) Chinese For Affirmative Action

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization  Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.

Signature [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

### Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print)

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature 

Email

Organization (Entity)  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

### Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include Author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
<b>VOTE NO ON F: Double-Dipping Won't Keep Us Safe</b>		
The proponents are misleading voters. Most of their argument says nothing about what Prop F will actually do.		
They say that San Francisco's Police Department is severely short-staffed, but the majority of officers are leaving after 6 or 7 years. Prop F would only apply to officers with 25+ years of service.		
They say that taxpayers are on the hook for paying extremely high overtime costs, 20% of SFPD's salary budget, but Prop F will force taxpayers to pay senior police officers twice by letting them double-dip into salaries and banked pension payments at the same time, allowing some individual officers to make up to a half a million dollars.		
What we know from trying this same program between 2008 and 2011 is that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It was sold as cost-neutral, but was proven to be incredibly expensive.</li> <li>• The Controller issued a report saying that the program did not help recruit or retain police officers.</li> <li>• Police officers were retiring early so they could participate in this program, and were taking home \$200,000+ on average.</li> </ul>		
SFPD says that recruitment numbers are rising and that class sizes are back to 2019 levels.		
Help us protect against misinformation and invest in programs that actually keep us safe.		
<b>Vote no on Prop F.</b>		
<i>American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of Northern California  Chinese for Affirmative Action  District 9 Supervisor Hillary Ronen  District 5 Supervisor Dean Preston  District 10 Supervisor Shamann Walton  Public Defender* Mano Raju  Police Commissioner* Jesus Yáñez  Former Police Commissioner* Bill Ong Hing</i>		
*For identification purposes only		
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
		<b>Total Word Count</b>



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

## Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

### Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument   
Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument   
Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Office Use Only	
2024 AUG 19 AM 8:36	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp	
Label	
Paid Argument in Favor <input type="checkbox"/>	
Paid Argument Against <input type="checkbox"/>	

### Section 2: Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization)**

Full Name (Print) Dean Preston

District 5  
Supervisor

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Email

**Organization (Entity)**  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

### Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print)

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature 

Email

Organization (Entity)  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

### Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include Author information in argument text.**

Format  
B, I, BI

← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →

# of words per line

**VOTE NO ON F: Double-Dipping Won't Keep Us Safe**

The proponents are misleading voters. Most of their argument says nothing about what Prop F will actually do.

They say that San Francisco's Police Department is severely short-staffed, but the majority of officers are leaving after 6 or 7 years. Prop F would only apply to officers with 25+ years of service.

They say that taxpayers are on the hook for paying extremely high overtime costs, 20% of SFPD's salary budget, but Prop F will force taxpayers to pay senior police officers twice by letting them double-dip into salaries and banked pension payments at the same time, allowing some individual officers to make up to a half a million dollars.

What we know from trying this same program between 2008 and 2011 is that:

- It was sold as cost-neutral, but was proven to be incredibly expensive.
- The Controller issued a report saying that the program did not help recruit or retain police officers.
- Police officers were retiring early so they could participate in this program, and were taking home \$200,000+ on average.

SFPD says that recruitment numbers are rising and that class sizes are back to 2019 levels.

Help us protect against misinformation and invest in programs that actually keep us safe.

**Vote no on Prop F.**

*American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of Northern California  
Chinese for Affirmative Action  
District 9 Supervisor Hillary Ronen  
District 5 Supervisor Dean Preston  
District 10 Supervisor Shamann Walton  
Public Defender\* Mano Raju  
Police Commissioner\* Jesus Yáñez  
Former Police Commissioner\* Bill Ong Hing*

\*For identification purposes only

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count





# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

## Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Office Use Only

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

### Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

Label

Time/Date Stamp

2024 AUG 19 AM 8:36

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

### Section 2: Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Shamara Walton

District 10

Title (if Applicable) Supervisor

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Email

Organization (Entity)  (if selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

### Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.


Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print)

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature 

Email

Organization (Entity)  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

**Section 3: Argument Text**

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format  
B, I, BI

Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines

# of words per line

**VOTE NO ON F: Double-Dipping Won't Keep Us Safe**

The proponents are misleading voters. Most of their argument says nothing about what Prop F will actually do.

They say that San Francisco's Police Department is severely short-staffed, but the majority of officers are leaving after 6 or 7 years. Prop F would only apply to officers with 25+ years of service.

They say that taxpayers are on the hook for paying extremely high overtime costs, 20% of SFPD's salary budget, but Prop F will force taxpayers to pay senior police officers twice by letting them double-dip into salaries and banked pension payments at the same time, allowing some individual officers to make up to a half a million dollars.

What we know from trying this same program between 2008 and 2011 is that:

- It was sold as cost-neutral, but was proven to be incredibly expensive.
- The Controller issued a report saying that the program did not help recruit or retain police officers.
- Police officers were retiring early so they could participate in this program, and were taking home \$200,000+ on average.

SFPD says that recruitment numbers are rising and that class sizes are back to 2019 levels.

Help us protect against misinformation and invest in programs that actually keep us safe.

**Vote no on Prop F.**

*American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of Northern California  
Chinese for Affirmative Action  
District 9 Supervisor Hillary Ronen  
District 5 Supervisor Dean Preston  
District 10 Supervisor Shammann Walton  
Public Defender\* Mano Raju  
Police Commissioner\* Jesus Yáñez  
Former Police Commissioner\* Bill Ong Hing*

\*For identification purposes only

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

## Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

### Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument   
Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument   
Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor   
Paid Argument Against

Label

Time/Date Stamp

Office Use Only

2024 AUG 19 AM 8:36

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

### Section 2: Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition      being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) MANO RAGK

Title (If Applicable) Public Defender

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature

Email

Organization (Entity)  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

### Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition      being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition      being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print)

Title (if Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature 

Email

Organization (Entity)  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

**Section 3: Argument Text**

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include Author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<b>VOTE NO ON F: Double-Dipping Won't Keep Us Safe</b>	
	The proponents are misleading voters. Most of their argument says nothing about what Prop F will actually do.	
	They say that San Francisco's Police Department is severely short-staffed, but the majority of officers are leaving after 6 or 7 years. Prop F would only apply to officers with 25+ years of service.	
	They say that taxpayers are on the hook for paying extremely high overtime costs, 20% of SFPD's salary budget, but Prop F will force taxpayers to pay senior police officers twice by letting them double-dip into salaries and banked pension payments at the same time, allowing some individual officers to make up to a half a million dollars.	
	What we know from trying this same program between 2008 and 2011 is that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It was sold as cost-neutral, but was proven to be incredibly expensive.</li> <li>• The Controller issued a report saying that the program did not help recruit or retain police officers.</li> <li>• Police officers were retiring early so they could participate in this program, and were taking home \$200,000+ on average.</li> </ul>	
	SFPD says that recruitment numbers are rising and that class sizes are back to 2019 levels.	
	Help us protect against misinformation and invest in programs that actually keep us safe.	
	<b>Vote no on Prop F.</b>	
	<i>American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of Northern California Chinese for Affirmative Action District 9 Supervisor Hillary Ronen District 5 Supervisor Dean Preston District 10 Supervisor Shamann Walton Public Defender* Mano Raju Police Commissioner* Jesus Yáñez Former Police Commissioner* Bill Ong Hing</i>	
	*For identification purposes only	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
		<b>Total Word Count</b>



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

## Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

### Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition 5

Proponent Argument   
Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument   
Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor   
Paid Argument Against

Label

Office Use Only

2024 AUG 19 AM 8:36  
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

Time/Date Stamp

### Section 2: Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition 5 being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) JESUS G. YANEZ

Title (If Applicable) SFPD Commissioner

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [Redacted]

Signature

Email [Redacted]

Organization (Entity)  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization  Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

### Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print)

Title (if Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature 

Email

Organization (Entity)  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

### Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include Author information in argument text.**

Format  
B, I, BI

← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →

# of words per line

#### VOTE NO ON F: Double-Dipping Won't Keep Us Safe

The proponents are misleading voters. Most of their argument says nothing about what Prop F will actually do.

They say that San Francisco's Police Department is severely short-staffed, but the majority of officers are leaving after 6 or 7 years. Prop F would only apply to officers with 25+ years of service.

They say that taxpayers are on the hook for paying extremely high overtime costs, 20% of SFPD's salary budget, but Prop F will force taxpayers to pay senior police officers twice by letting them double-dip into salaries and banked pension payments at the same time, allowing some individual officers to make up to a half a million dollars.

What we know from trying this same program between 2008 and 2011 is that:

- It was sold as cost-neutral, but was proven to be incredibly expensive.
- The Controller issued a report saying that the program did not help recruit or retain police officers.
- Police officers were retiring early so they could participate in this program, and were taking home \$200,000+ on average.

SFPD says that recruitment numbers are rising and that class sizes are back to 2019 levels.

Help us protect against misinformation and invest in programs that actually keep us safe.

#### Vote no on Prop F.

American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of Northern California  
 Chinese for Affirmative Action  
 District 9 Supervisor Hillary Ronen  
 District 5 Supervisor Dean Preston  
 District 10 Supervisor Shamann Walton  
 Public Defender\* Mano Raju  
 Police Commissioner\* Jesus Yáñez  
 Former Police Commissioner\* Bill Ong Hing

\*For identification purposes only

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

## Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Office Use Only

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

### Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition F

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Paid Argument Against

Label

2024 AUG 19 AM 8:36

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

Time/Date Stamp

### Section 2: Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition      being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition F being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Bir Ozb Hinks

Title (If Applicable) Former Police Commission

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [Redacted]

Signature [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

Organization (Entity)  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) [Redacted]

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

### Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition      being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition      being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.


Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print)

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature 

Email

Organization (Entity)  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

**Section 3: Argument Text**

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include Author information in argument text.**

Format  
B, I, BI

← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →

# of words per line

**VOTE NO ON F: Double-Dipping Won't Keep Us Safe**

The proponents are misleading voters. Most of their argument says nothing about what Prop F will actually do.

They say that San Francisco's Police Department is severely short-staffed, but the majority of officers are leaving after 6 or 7 years. Prop F would only apply to officers with 25+ years of service.

They say that taxpayers are on the hook for paying extremely high overtime costs, 20% of SFPD's salary budget, but Prop F will force taxpayers to pay senior police officers twice by letting them double-dip into salaries and banked pension payments at the same time, allowing some individual officers to make up to a half a million dollars.

What we know from trying this same program between 2008 and 2011 is that:

- it was sold as cost-neutral, but was proven to be incredibly expensive.
- The Controller issued a report saying that the program did not help recruit or retain police officers.
- Police officers were retiring early so they could participate in this program, and were taking home \$200,000+ on average.

SFPD says that recruitment numbers are rising and that class sizes are back to 2019 levels.

Help us protect against misinformation and invest in programs that actually keep us safe.

Vote no on Prop F.

American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of Northern California  
 Chinese for Affirmative Action  
 District 9 Supervisor Hillary Ronen  
 District 5 Supervisor Dean Preston  
 District 10 Supervisor Shamann Walton  
 Public Defender\* Mano Raju  
 Police Commissioner\* Jesus Yáñez  
 Former Police Commissioner\* Bill Ong Hing

\*For identification purposes only

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count