



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS**

SAN FRANCISCO  
FILED  
John Arntz, Director

**Ballot Argument Control Sheet A**

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed **Rebuttal to Proponent Argument** Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at [publications@sfgov.org](mailto:publications@sfgov.org).

Office Use Only	
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS	Time/Date Stamp

**Rebuttal to Proponent Argument**

**Section 1: Argument Information**

Proposition E

Proponent Argument       Rebuttal to Proponent Argument       Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument       Rebuttal to Opponent Argument       Paid Argument Against

**Section 2: Author Information**

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments**

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition    being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization)**

Full Name (Print) **LARRY S. MARSO**

Title (if Applicable) **Esq.**

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [Redacted]

Signature [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

**Organization (Entity)**  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization       Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

**Section 3: Submitter Information**

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) **LARRY S. MARSO**

Phone [Redacted]

Mailing Address [Redacted]

Signature

Email [Redacted]



### Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes  No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_

### Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
<p>10 11 8 10 12 12 7 11 9 8 9 10 9 10 2</p>	<p>Proposition E proponents want you to believe it preserves "citizen oversight" and "critical commissions." - But the truth is, <u>Proposition E locks in the bloated bureaucracy, that has crippled San Francisco for years.</u> They argue <u>Proposition E</u> was crafted with "transparency," -but hide the fact it <u>keeps over 100 commissions</u>—many of which <i>overlap, waste resources, and undermine effective governance.</i> They stoke fear of change in order to <u>build a wall around San Francisco's bureaucratic elite.</u> The alternative, <u>Proposition D</u> is the measure that <u>cuts through red tape and makes San Francisco more efficient.</u> Yes, it reduces commissions, but only where <u>duplicative or unnecessary.</u> <u>Proposition D</u> strengthens transparency, by focusing resources where they are most needed. Why can't they be honest? <u>Proposition E favors commissions that serve as bureaucratic roadblocks, prevent progress and waste taxpayer money.</u> <u>San Francisco</u> deserves better than <u>more pointless studies and delay.</u> <u>Vote NO on Proposition E and support real reform with Proposition D.</u> Larry Marso, Esq.</p>	<p>10 11 8 10 12 12 7 11 9 8 9 10 9 10 2</p>
<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>		<p>Total Word Count</p>

147

### Office Use Only

Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication fee =		Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		