




Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.



Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument [] Rebuttal to Proponent Argument [] Paid Argument in Favor []
Opponent Argument [x] Rebuttal to Opponent Argument [] Paid Argument Against []

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [x]

Full Name (Print) Dorothy C. Stell Title (If Applicable) Director of Deveopment

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) San Francisco, CA 94116

Signature [redacted] Email [redacted]

Organization (Entity) [x] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) ACLU of Northern California

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization [x] Both the Officer and the Organization []

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. []

Signature [redacted] Email [redacted]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Tanisha Humphrey Phone [redacted]

Mailing Address San Francisco, CA 94111

Signature [redacted] Email [redacted]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
B	Prop E is a reckless measure <u>that throws out key reforms designed to hold police accountable and keep people safe.</u>	
B	Prop E <u>endangers pedestrians, cyclists, and other innocent bystanders</u> , along with police officers themselves, by authorizing high-speed vehicle chases for low-level crimes in one of the densest cities in the country.	
B	Prop E <u>guts key guardrails, curtails democratic oversight, and undermines safety rules</u> that protect San Franciscans from new, unproven, and invasive police surveillance. According to the City Attorney's Office, Prop E would allow the SFPD to use face-scanning drones to pursue people, creating a disturbing future where anyone in San Francisco could be identified and tracked from the sky.	
B	Prop E would allow SFPD to <u>conceal use-of-force incidents</u> by limiting reporting requirements despite unacceptable racial disparities. A review of SFPD data found that in the last quarter of 2022, the department was <u>25 times more likely to use force on Black people than on white people.</u>	
BI	U.S. Department of Justice's recommendations that SFPD <u>improve and increase</u> its record keeping of use of force incidents.	
B	Prop E <u>weakens independent police oversight</u> by binding the hands of the Police Commission. The community engagement process it imposes on the Commission is redundant and burdensome, effectively allowing the Chief of Police to stonewall any policy changes the department opposes. By undermining the commission's authority, <u>Prop E</u>	
B	<u>grants police the power to police themselves</u> , which is a recipe for disaster.	
B	Prop E is an <u>ill-conceived and irresponsible measure that will make San Francisco less safe.</u> Given SFPD's persistent record of racial disparities and history of scandals, voters should let the Police Commission fulfill its mandate of providing robust oversight and accountability for SFPD.	
B	<u>We urge the people of San Francisco to vote NO on Proposition E.</u>	
B	<u>ACLU of Northern California</u>	
<i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i>		
Total Word Count		

Office Use Only			
Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication fee =	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	<div style="border: 1px solid gray; padding: 5px; width: 100px; height: 100px; margin: auto;"> </div>
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	