



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

## Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an email 24 hours after submission to the Department at [publications@sfgov.org](mailto:publications@sfgov.org).

Office Use Only
2023 DEC 12 PM 3:15
Time/Date Stamp

RECEIVED

**PRO - D**

### Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition D

Proponent Argument <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument <input type="checkbox"/>	Paid Argument in Favor <input type="checkbox"/>
Opponent Argument <input type="checkbox"/>	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument <input type="checkbox"/>	Paid Argument Against <input type="checkbox"/>

### Section 2: Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition D being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition      being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Yvonne Lee Title (If Applicable) Chair, SF Ethics Commission

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED] Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) [REDACTED] (for section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) San Francisco Ethics Commission

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization  Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [REDACTED] Email yvonne.lee1@sfgov.org

### Section 3: Submitter

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Michael Canning Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

**Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments**

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes  No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_

**Section 5: Argument Text**

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
	Proposition D was placed on the ballot by a unanimous vote of the San Francisco Ethics Commission in response to recent incidents involving corruption on the part of City officials and those doing business with the City. Proposition D addresses issues identified through anti-corruption investigations, provides more consistent rules across City departments, and promotes government decisions that are, and appear to be, made on a fair and impartial basis.	13 14 11 11 16
B	<u>Gifts and Bribery:</u> Proposition D would clarify and expand an existing rule that prohibits City officials from accepting gifts from people who have attempted to influence them and those doing business with their departments. This is accomplished, in part, by removing certain exceptions and applying the rule in additional situations. Proposition D would also clarify and expand the City's anti-bribery rule and require department heads to disclose gifts given to City departments.	14 15 13 14 15
B	<u>Ethics Training:</u> Proposition D would require annual ethics training for all City officials who are required to disclose their financial interests because they participate in making governmental decisions.	14 12 1
B	<u>Incompatible Activities:</u> Proposition D would provide standardized rules for all City officials prohibiting outside activities that conflict with their City duties, including activities that are subject to their department's control and the misuse of City resources or positions for private gain.	11 14 15
B	<u>Disclosure of Relationships:</u> Proposition D would allow a City official to be penalized for failing to disclose, as required by law, any personal, professional, or business relationships they have with anyone who is the subject of a government decision being made by the official.	15 14 14
B	<u>Safeguarding Ethics Laws:</u> Proposition D would amend chapters of the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code to require future legislative amendments be approved by supermajorities of both the Ethics Commission and Board of Supervisors. The power of voters to amend these chapters would not be affected.	10 8 12 7
	Information on all aspects of Proposition D is available at: <a href="http://sfethics.org/PropD">sfethics.org/PropD</a>	10
I	<u>San Francisco Ethics Commission</u>	1
<i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i>		
<b>Total Word Count</b>		<b>294</b>

**Office Use Only**

<b>Total # of words=</b>	<b>X \$2/word =</b>	<b>+ \$200 publication fee =</b>	<b>Staff Initials</b>
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	