# JUV Electronic Monitoring Ankle Bracelet (GPS) Annual Surveillance Report 2023

Fields marked with an asterisk (\*) are required.

Change In Authorized Use Cases >

1.1 In the last year, did your department have use cases which differed from your "approved use cases" in your BOSapproved policy? Change in Authorized Job Titles ~ 2.1 Does the list of "authorized job titles" in your BOS-approved policy need to change? (i.e. Do you need additional job titles to be authorized to access the data, or do you need to remove any current job titles?) 2.2 Please provide an updated list of authorized job titles. The following FTEs participate in the implementation of the electronic monitoring program: - 24 Class 8444/8530 Deputy Probation Officers - 5 Class 8434 Supervising Probation Officers, - 1 Senior Supervising Probation Officer - 1 Director of Probation Services - 1 Chief Probation Officer - 1 Assistant Chief Probation Officer 2.3 Why have the job titles changed? The number of Deputy Probation Officers changed to reflect a more accurent count of staff (e.g., due to new hires, etc.). Classification 8530 and the Assitant CPO should have been listed initially and so they are now being added here. Change in Number and/or Type of Technology ~ Replacement of Old Technology 4.1 Has any technology listed in the policy been replaced? 4.2 Why has the technology been replaced? Yes - JUV is now using equipment by BI Incorporated, its new equipment lessor. BI Incoporated was selected in the Fall of 2022, pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code Section 21.16 (c) through RfQ#APD2022-01 (Adult Probation Department), issued 03/23/2022 and reissued 03/31/2022. JUV's BI Incorporated equipment lease agreement has a start date of 11/01/2022. 4.3 Please list technology which was replaced (include manufacturer and model information). SCRAM GPS (electronic monitoring); SCRAM Base Station. 4.4 Please list technology which replaced the original technology (include manufacturer and model information). BI Incorporated's electronic monitoring devices are as follows: LOC8 XT GPS Tracker; HomeGuard 200 landline; HomeGuard 206 & 20|20 cellular; BI Mobile Device w/ SmartLINK Verify 4.5 Please list how many units have been replaced. All prior SCRAM devices are now replaced with BI Incorporated devices including electronic monitoring devices. ☐ Addition of New Technology 5.1 Has any technology been added which is not listed in the policy? ☐ Ceased Operation of Technology 6.1 Is any technology listed in the policy no longer in use? No

1. List any and all entities, companies or individuals which provide services or equipment to the department which re assential to the functioning or effectiveness of the Surveillance Technology (list "N/A" if not applicable): " Incorporated, which leases the equipment to JUV.  rveillance Technology Goals   1. Has the surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose?  2. In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective he technology has served its intended purpose in an effective matter to fulfill the following court mandated opportunity of the placed on electronic monitoring as an alternative to detention:  Court ordered curfews inclusion nones addresses/areas where the minor has approval to be present, for example their home, school, work. Exclusion zones addresses/areas where the minor should not be present, including Stay Away orders schedules: To monitor school attendance, program participation, work.  ta Sharing   1. Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department? It is a shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  2. 2 Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  2. 3 List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was disoased, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. District Attorney, other Awar Efforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis, pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or ourt proceeding/court order. This could include wenforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  4. Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was		
re essential to the functioning or effectiveness of the Surveillance Technology (list "N/A" if not applicable): * Il Incorporated, which leases the equipment to JUV.  retillance Technology Goals >  1. Has the surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose? 2. In a-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective 2. In a-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective 3. In a-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective 4. In a section long has served its intended purpose in an effective matter to fulfill the following court mandated squirements: Youth are only placed on electronic monitoring in San Francisco with a court order. The Court may order youth to be placed on electronic monitoring as an alternative to detention: Court ordered curfews 6. Inclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor has approval to be present, for example their home, school, work. 6. Exclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor should not be present, including Stay Away orders 6. Schoolides: To monitor school attendance, program participation, work. 6. Last Sharring >  1. Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department? 6. Support of the surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was discosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure. 6. In stick Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-Unson basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include we enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside off the City. 6. Stick which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isstoced, and proposing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include we enforcement agencies from other	$\square$ Services or Equipment Sources	
Incorporated, which leases the equipment to JUV.  Incorporated, which leases the equipment to JUV.  In a state surveillance Technology Goals >  In a state surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose?  In a state surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose?  In a state surveillance technology has served its intended purpose in an effective matter to fulfill the following court mandated equipments: Youth are only placed on electronic monitoring is an interacts on with a court order. The Court may order youth to be placed on electronic monitoring as an alternative to detention: Court ordered curveys inclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor should not be present, for example their home, school, work. Excitation zones: addresses/areas where the minor should not be present, including Stay Away orders schedules: To monitor school attendance, program participation, work.  It as Sharing >  It has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department? States are surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department? States are surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was discosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  In JUVs polic, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the superior Court, Police Department, sircited Attorney, other awas Efforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense coursel, on acse-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis, pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include we inforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  In this work of the state of the city and county government?  In this work of the state of the city and county government?  In this work of the state of the city and county government?  In this work of the state of the city and county government?  In this work of the state of the cit		ch
1. I has the surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose?  15. 2 In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective the technology has served its intended purpose in an effective matter to fulfill the following court mandated quirements: Youth are only placed on electronic monitoring in San Francisco with a court order. The Court may order youth to be placed on electronic monitoring as an alternative to detention: Court ordered curfews Inclusion zones addresses/areas where the minor has approval to be present, for example their home, school, work. Exclusion zones addresses/areas where the minor should not be present, including Stay Away orders Schedules: To monitor school attendance, program participation, work.  11. Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?  12. 2 Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  13. 3 List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was disoased, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  13. List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was disoased, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  15. List which department received surveillance of the City.  16. List which department species, surveil and the surveil and the superior court, Police Department, Instrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need to now basis, pursant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  16. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isstoled a		
1. Has the surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose?  2. In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective the technology has served its intended purpose in an effective matter to fulfill the following court mandated quigariements: Youth are only pleade on electronic monitoring in San Francisco with a court order. The Court may order youth to be placed on electronic monitoring as an alternative to detention: Court ordered curdews Inclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor has approval to be present, for example their home, school, work. Exclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor should not be present, including Stay Away orders Schedules: To monitor school attendance, program participation, work.  1. Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?  2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  3. List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was disoosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. In the state of the department agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on med-to-know basis, pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or count proceeding/ court order. This could include we enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  3. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed,		
1. Has the surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose?  2. In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective the technology has served its intended purpose in an effective matter to fulfill the following court mandated requirements: Youth are only placed on electronic monitoring in San Francisco with a court order. The Court may order youth to be placed on electronic monitoring as an alternative to detention: Court ordered curfews Inclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor has approval to be present, for example their home, school, work. Exclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor should not be present, including Stay Away orders Schedules: To monitor school attendance, program participation, work.  1. Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?  2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  3. List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was discosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was discosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was slosed.  3. List which departments received surveillance technology of the city of th	Surveillance Technology Goals ∨	
2 In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective he technology has served its intended purpose in an effective matter to fulfill the following court mandated quipriements: Youth are only placed on electronic monitoring in San Francisco with a court order. The Court may order youth to be placed on electronic monitoring as an alternative to detention: Court ordered curfews Court ordered curfews Court ordered curfews Exclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor has approval to be present, for example their home, school, work. Exclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor should not be present, including Stay Away orders Schedules: To monitor school attendance, program participation, work.  It a Sharing   1. Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?  2. 2 Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  3. 3 List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was discosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. 10 List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was discosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. 10 List which departments received surveillance technology of the city.  3. 11 List which departments appendies, and/or rubblic Definedry/Defense counsel, on a case by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include we nefforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  4. Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  5. 5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was dis	Д	
2 In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective he technology has served its intended purpose in an effective matter to fulfill the following court mandated squirements: Youth are only placed on electronic monitoring in San Francisco with a court order. The Court may order youth to be placed on electronic monitoring as an alternative to detention: Court ordered curfews Inclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor has approval to be present, for example their home, school, work. Exclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor should not be present, including Stay Away orders Schedules: To monitor school attendance, program participation, work.  It a Sharing   2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities outside of the department?  2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  2. Shared what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was disclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. In the state of	8.1 Has the surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose?	
the technology has served its intended purpose in an effective matter to fulfill the following court mandated equirements: Youth are only placed on electronic monitoring in San Francisco with a court order. The Court may order youth to be placed on electronic monitoring as an alternative to detention: Court ordered curfews Inclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor has approval to be present, for example their home, school, work. Exclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor should not be present, including Stay Away orders Schedules: To monitor school attendance, program participation, work.  It a Sharring  Li Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?  Star Sharring  Li Has data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  Star Sharring  Li Has data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  Star Sharring  Li Has data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  Star Sharring  Li Has data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  Star Sharring  Li Has data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  Star Sharring  Li Has data shared with entities individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or bublic Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis, pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include we enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  Li Has your department, what type of data was sisclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  Stode in un prolify data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior	Yes	
requirements: Youth are only placed on electronic monitoring in San Francisco with a court order. The Court may order youth to be placed on electronic monitoring as an alternative to detention:  Court ordered curdews Inclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor has approval to be present, for example their home, school, work. Exclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor should not be present, including Stay Away orders Schedules: To monitor school attendance, program participation, work.  It also data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?  It also data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?  It also data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  It also data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  It also data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  It also data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  It also data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  It also data shared with entities of the city of data from your department, what type of data was disclosed, under what legal standard the information suitside of the City.  It also data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  It is think in on-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was sisclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  It is think in on-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was sisclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  It is the data shared with entities outside of city	8.2 In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective	
youth to be placed on electronic monitoring as an alternative to detention: Court ordered curfews Inclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor should not be present, including Stay Away orders Schedules: To monitor school attendance, program participation, work.  It a Sharing   It has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?  It was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  It was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  It was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  It was noted in JUPs policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include we enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  If formation shared includes GPS monitoring violations and outcomes; young person's full name and PIN.  A Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  It is shorted a shared with entities outside of city and county government?  It is the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  It is the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  It is the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  It is the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  It is the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  It is the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  It is the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  It is the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  It is the d		or
Inclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor has approval to be present, for example their home, school, work. Exclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor should not be present, including Stay Away orders Schedules: To monitor school attendance, program participation, work.  It a Sharing   1. Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?   2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?   2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?   2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?   2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?   2. Sharing the data shared with city and county department, what type of data was discosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.   2. Sharing the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure.   3. List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was instituted to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the wendorcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  3. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was inclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.   3. Sharing the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  3. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was inclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.   3. Sharing the data shared with entities outside of city and c	a youth to be placed on electronic monitoring as an alternative to detention:	CI
Exclusion zones: addresses/areas where the minor should not be present, including Stay Away orders Schedules: To monitor school attendance, program participation, work.  It a Sharing   It has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?  So 2 Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  So 3. List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was dislosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  So noted in JUV's policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, sistrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include we enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  Information shared includes GPS monitoring violations and outcomes; young person's full name and PIN.  A Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  So 5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  So total our policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include we neforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  Coll Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained on Face Recognition Technology?  Department of the City.  C	- Court ordered curfews	
ta Sharing   1. Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?  2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  2. Was the data shared with city and county department, what type of data was discosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was discosed, under what legal standard the information dust defender/Defense counse, on a case-by-case basis, on need to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include we neforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  4. Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was iscl		
1. Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department? 28  2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  2. So the data shared with city and county department, what type of data was dislosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was dislosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. List which non-city entities from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  4. Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5. List which non-city entities received and provided youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know ba	- Schedules: To monitor school attendance, program participation, work.	
1. Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department? 28  2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  2. So the data shared with city and county department, what type of data was dislosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was dislosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. List which non-city entities from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  4. Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5. List which non-city entities received and provided youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know ba		
1.1 Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?  2.2 Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  3.3 List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was discosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3.6 Indicates the standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3.7 Indicates the standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3.8 Indicates the standard the standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3.9 Indicates the standard the standard the standard the standard the superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and outcomes; young person's full name and PIN.  4.4 Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  2.5 List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was sisclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3.5 List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was sisclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3.6 List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was sisclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3.7 List which non-city entities received surveillance technology?  4.8 List which non-city entities outside of the City.  5.8 List which non-city entities outside of the City.  5.9 List which received any com	Data Sharing V	
2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment? 2. 3. List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was discosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure. 2. 3. In the county of the coun		
2. Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county overnment?  2. 3. List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was disclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure. So noted in JUV's policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the two enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  3. 4. Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  2. 5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  3. noted in our policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, sixtict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  3. 1.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?  3. 1.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-ince technology?	9.1 Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department? Yes	
overnment?  25 23 List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was dislosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  25 noted in JUV's policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, sistrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  26 27 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	res	
as List which departments received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was dislosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure. It is noted in JUV's policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the mention shared includes GPS monitoring violations and outcomes; young person's full name and PIN.  4. Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  5. List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5. noted in our policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the mention of the disclosure of the City.  5. Lidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data >  6. D.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained om Face Recognition Technology?  6. In Judy our department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-ince technology?	9.2 Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county	
losed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  In other law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include we enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  Information shared includes GPS monitoring violations and outcomes; young person's full name and PIN.  A Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  In this could include the second of city and county government?  In this could include the second of city and county government?  In this could include the second of city and county government?  In this could include the second of city and county government?  In this could no provide the second of city and county government?  In this could no provide the city of data was its closed, and a justification for the disclosure.  In other law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  Cidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data   D.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained one Face Recognition Technology?  In this your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-ince technology?	Yes	
losed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  In other law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include we enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  Information shared includes GPS monitoring violations and outcomes; young person's full name and PIN.  A Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  In this could include the second of city and county government?  In this could include the second of city and county government?  In this could include the second of city and county government?  In this could include the second of city and county government?  In this could no provide the second of city and county government?  In this could no provide the city of data was its closed, and a justification for the disclosure.  In other law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  Cidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data   D.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained one Face Recognition Technology?  In this your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-ince technology?		
istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  Information shared includes GPS monitoring violations and outcomes; young person's full name and PIN.  A Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  Best S List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  Best S List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  Best S List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  Best S List which non-city entities received surveillance technology for the disclosure.  Best S List which non-city entities received surveillance technology?  Description of the disclosure department, what type of data was isclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  Best S List which non-city entities received any complaints and/or control proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  Cidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data  Data of the City.  Data of the City of the City.  Cidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data or the City of the City.  Data of the City	closed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.	15-
need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  Information shared includes GPS monitoring violations and outcomes; young person's full name and PIN.  4 Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  15 List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  15 In the county policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  16 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained om Face Recognition Technology?  17 Did your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-ince technology?	$As \ noted \ in \ JUV's \ policy, \ data \ regarding \ individual \ youth \ may \ be \ shared \ with \ the \ Superior \ Court, \ Police \ Department,$	
information shared includes GPS monitoring violations and outcomes; young person's full name and PIN.  4.4 Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  2.5 List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5. In output of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure.  5. In output of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure.  5. In output of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure.  6. In output of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure.  6. In output of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure.  6. In output of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure of the disclosure.  6. In output of the disclosure of the di	-	
4.4 Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  5.5 List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5.5 noted in our policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  Cidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data   Cidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data   D.1.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?  D.1.2 The syour department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-ince technology?	law enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.	
4.4 Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?  5.5 List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.  5.5 noted in our policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  Cidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data   Cidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data   D.1.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?  D.1.2 The syour department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-ince technology?	Information shared includes GPS monitoring violations and outcomes: young person's full name and PIN.	
List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure. Is noted in our policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  Cidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data >  D.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?  D.1 Did your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-ince technology?		
isclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure. Is noted in our policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.    Cidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data >   County of the City of	Yes	
s noted in our policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.    Cidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data >	9.5 List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data wa	as
istrict Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.    Cidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data >	disclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.	
need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include the enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.  cidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data   0.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?  o  mplaints   1.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-ince technology?		on
cidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data   0.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?  mplaints   1.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-ince technology?	a need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include	
0.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?  o  mplaints   1.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-ince technology?	law enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City.	
0.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?  mplaints   1.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-ince technology?	ccidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data ∨	
0.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?  mplaints   1.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-ince technology?	Ω	
mplaints ~  1.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil-ince technology?	10.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained	J
mplaints    1.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil- nnce technology?	from Face Recognition Technology?	
1.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil- nnce technology?	No	
1.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveil- nnce technology?	Complaints ~	
nnce technology?	Д	
	${\bf 11.1}{\bf Hasyourdepartmentreceivedanycomplaintsand/orconcernsfromcommunitymembersaboutthissurveil-betauthorconcernsfromcommunitymembersaboutthissurveil-betauthorconcernsfromcommunitymembersaboutthissurveil-betauthorconcernsfromcommunitymembersaboutthissurveil-betauthorconcernsfromcommunitymembersaboutthissurveil-betauthorconcernsfromcommunitymembersaboutthissurveil-betauthorconcernsfromcommunitymembersaboutthissurveil-betauthorconcernsfromcommunitymembersaboutthissurveil-betauthorconcernsfromcommunitymembersaboutthissurveil-betauthorconcernsfromcommunitymembersaboutthissurveil-betauthorconcernsfromcommunitymembersaboutthissurveil-betauthorconcernsfromcommunitymembersaboutthissurveil-betauthorconcernsfromcommunitymembersaboutthissurveil-betauthorconcernsfromconcernsfro$	-
	lance technology?	
	No .	

### Violations ∨

12.1 Were there any violations of the Surveillance Technology Policy or Surveillance Impact Report, reported through community members, non-privileged internal audits, or through other means in the last year?

 ${\bf 12.4\,Has\,your\,department\,conducted\,any\,internal\,audits\,of\,the\,technology?}$ 

Yes

12.5 Please provide general aggregate information about the result of your department's internal audits.

JUV conducts regularly conducts inventory checks for the leased equipment, and holds periodic meetings with the lessor, and internally among authorized staff, to ensure full compliance with the program and policies.

 ${\bf 12.6} \ \text{If the audits revealed violations, please list any actions taken in response to the violations.}$ 

N/A

## Statistics and Information about Public Records Act Requests >

13.1 Has your department received any public records act requests for this surveillance technology? Yes

13.2 How many public records requests have been made regarding this surveillance technology?

JUV received 3 records requests regarding the surveillance technology within the last year.

13.3 Please summarize what has been requested via public records requests, including the general type of information requested and disclosed, as well as the number or requests for each general type of information.

Request 1: Information about young people enrolled in the electronic monitoring program. Request 2: BI Incorporated agreement copy and recent invoices. Request 3: Communications between JUV and SCRAM (prior contractor) about the application of continuous alcohol monitors on juveniles since May 1, 2022.

Total Annual Costs for the Surveillance Technology  $\,\,^{\checkmark}$ 

### 

### 14.1 List the number of FTE (new & existing).

The following FTEs participte in the implementation of the electronic monitoring program: -24 Class 8444/8530 Deputy Probation Officers - 5 Class 8434 Supervising Probation Officers, -1 Senior Supervising Probation Officer -1 Director of Probation Services -1 Chief Probation Officer -1 Assistant Chief Probation Officer.

#### 14.2 Are there one-time costs for Fiscal Year 2023-2024?

No

#### 14.15 Are there annual costs for Fiscal Year 2023-2024:

Va

### 14.16 Are there annual Salary and Fringe costs?

No

#### 14.18 Are there annual Software costs?

Nο

#### 14.20 Are there annual Hardware/ Equipment costs?

Yes

### 14.21 List total annual Hardware/ Equipment costs for FY 2023-2024:

Actual costs between July 2023 and September 2023 were \$21,84,46. This includes costs to lease equipment and replace lost or damaged units (for alcohol monitoring and EM combined). Using the monthly average, JUV estimates that its equipment leasing/replacement costs for FY23-24 would be about \$87,400.

#### 14.22 Are there annual Professional Services costs?

No

### 14.24 Are there annual Training costs?

No

#### 14.26 Are there annual "Other" costs?

No

# 14.28 What source of funding will fund the Surveillance Technology for FY 2023-2024?

General Funds

# 14.29 Have there been any changes to the one-time costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

Yes

## 14.30 Why have the one-time costs changed?

As mentioned, JUV executed a new equipment lease agreement with a new vendor: BI Incorporated. This updated the total annual costs due to pricing differences.

# 14.31 Have there been any changes to the annual costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

Yes

# 14.32 Why have the annual costs changed?

As mentioned, JUV executed a new equipment lease agreement with a new vendor: BI Incorporated. This updated the total annual costs due to pricing differences.