The Juvenile Probation Department is engaged in efforts to generate more comprehensive, accurate, and meaningful metrics.

This report compiles data through the month before last (March 2023).
   • A recent daily snapshot of the Juvenile Hall population will be included for the Commission meeting.

Starting on page 5, each chart slide is preceded by a definition/methodology slide explaining the chart's content.

Time periods range depending on data availability and are noted on each chart.

An Executive Summary for the month has been added to slide 3, with headings that hyperlink to relevant graphs in the report.
Executive Summary - March

- **Referrals**: There were 74 referrals, 45% higher than the 2022 average of 51 referrals a month.
- **Admissions**: There were 30 admissions, 58% higher than the 2022 average of 19 admissions a month.
- **Population**: The average daily population was 23 youth, 44% higher than 2022 average of 16 youth. The peak population was 26 youth.
- **Length of Stay**: The median LOS for releases was 6 days, the same as the 2022 average.
- **Caseload**: There were 355 youth on active caseload, 20% higher than the 2022 average of 295 youth on active caseload a month.
  - Diversion: 34% of pre-adjudicated cases were being handled through various types of diversion.
- **Programs**: 64% of youth on active caseload were active in programs, higher than the 2022 average of 59%.
- **Placements**: There were 27 youth in alternative placements, about the same as the 2022 average of 28 youth.
- **Warrants**: There were 41 youth with active warrants, and a total of 52 active warrants as of the last day of the month.
- **JH Snapshot**: On 5/8, there were 22 youth in custody. 59% of youth were pre-adjudicated/pre-disposition, lower than the 2022 average of 67%
Juvenile Hall Snapshot: 5/8/2023 (N = 22)

There is also 1 young person in SF County Jail pending trial that has been committed to SYTF.
JH Chart 1.1 & 1.2: Admissions, Releases, & Population

• Description
  • Chart 1.1 displays Juvenile Hall admissions and releases by calendar month.
  • Chart 1.2 displays the average daily population (ADP) by month - the average number of youth in custody per day for each month, & the peak population by month - the highest population count reached each month.

• In March,
  • There were 30 admissions and 29 releases.
  • The ADP was 23 youth and the peak population was 26 youth.
JH Chart 1.1: Admissions & Releases

Admissions & Releases by Month
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Number
0  5  10  15  20  25  30  35

San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department
JH Chart 1.2: Average & Peak Population
JH Charts 2.1 - 2.3: ADP by Demographics

• Description
  • Charts in this section display Average Daily Population (ADP) of youth in Juvenile Hall by gender (2.1), by race/ethnicity (2.2), and by age (2.3).
    • Note: Due to rounding errors, ADP by demographics may differ from overall ADP.

• In March,
  • The gender breakdown of the ADP in Juvenile Hall was 87% boys.
  • The racial/ethnic breakdown of the ADP in Juvenile Hall was 59% Black youth, 36% Latinx youth, and 5% AAPI youth.
  • The age breakdown of the ADP in Juvenile Hall was 32% young adults ages 18 and older.
JH Chart 2.1: ADP by Gender

Juvenile Hall ADP by Gender by Month

Note: Due to rounding error, ADP by demographics may differ from overall ADP
JH Chart 2.2: ADP by Race/Ethnicity

Juvenile Hall ADP by Race/Ethnicity by Month

Note: Due to rounding error, ADP by demographics may differ from overall ADP.
JH Chart 2.3: ADP by Age

Juvenile Hall ADP by Age by Month

Note: Due to rounding error, ADP by demographics may differ from overall ADP
JH Charts 3.1 - 3.3: Average Length of Stay

• Description
  • Chart 3.1 shows the range in length of stay for youth released throughout the month and youth in custody on the last day of the month.
  • Charts 3.2 & 3.3 present the mean and median length of stay (ALOS) for: (3.2) youth released each month and (3.3) youth in custody on the last day of the month.

• In March,
  • For the 29 youth released from Juvenile Hall throughout the month, the mean LOS was 12 days and the median LOS was 6 days.
  • For the 24 youth in custody on the last day of the month, the mean LOS for youth in custody was 177 days and the median LOS was 33 days.
    • The very long lengths of stay for youth in custody on the last day of the month were driven by commitments.
## JH Chart 3.1: Length of Stay - Range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Youth Released</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth in Custody</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Non-Commitments</em></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Commitments</em></td>
<td>338</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>504</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
JH Charts 3.2: Average Length of Stay for Youth Released

Length of Stay (in Days) for Youth Released Throughout the Month by Month

- **Mean**
- **Median**

Number of Days

Month:
- Jan 2022: 3
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- Mar 2022: 7
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- Jun 2022: 6
- Jul 2022: 34
- Aug 2022: 16
- Sep 2022: 4
- Oct 2022: 5
- Nov 2022: 14
- Dec 2022: 19
- Jan 2023: 12
- Feb 2023: 6
- Mar 2023: 5

San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department
JH Charts 3.3: Average Length of Stay for Youth Detained

Length of Stay (in Days) for Youth Detained at the End of the Month by Month
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- Median
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Month

Jan 2022 196
Feb 2022 191
Mar 2022 182
Apr 2022 164
May 2022 215
Jun 2022 215
Jul 2022 280
Aug 2022 197
Sep 2022 192
Oct 2022 152
Nov 2022 179
Dec 2022 184
Jan 2023 184
Feb 2023 168
Mar 2023 177

Jan 2022
Feb 2022
Mar 2022
Apr 2022
May 2022
Jun 2022
Jul 2022
Aug 2022
Sep 2022
Oct 2022
Nov 2022
Dec 2022
Jan 2023
Feb 2023
Mar 2023

Mean
Median
JH Chart 4: Admissions by Primary Reason

• Description
  • Chart 4 displays Juvenile Hall admissions by primary detention reason for the entire month.
  • Law mandates that youth brought into custody for the following must be detained until they can appear before a judge:
    • Youth at least 14 years old, arrested for personal use of a firearm in the attempt or commission of a felony; or any offense listed in Welfare and Institutions Code section 707(b).
    • Youth brought into custody pursuant to a court order, bench warrant, or arrest warrant.
    • Youth transferred in custody from another jurisdiction.
  • Non-mandatory detentions include felony firearm offenses, other jurisdiction holds, situations where there are no non-secure options available to release a young person to, or a detention with supervisor approval.

• In March, there were 30 admissions to Juvenile Hall.
  • 83% were mandatory: 9 new law violations, 12 warrants/court orders, and 4 transfer-ins
  • 17% were non-mandatory: 2 felony firearm offenses, 1 no non-secure option available, and 2 detentions with supervisor approval
    • Note: In March 2023, JPD transitioned from the DRI to the DDT for detention decision-making.
JH Chart 4: Admissions by Primary Reason

Note: JPD transitioned from the DRI to DDT for detention decision-making in March 2023.
JH Chart 5: Placement Youth in Custody

• Description
  • Chart 5 provides a snapshot of alternative placement youth in custody on the last day of each month.
  • Alternative placement includes youth awaiting adjudication, youth pending disposition, youth awaiting placement, youth committed to Juvenile Hall, and youth committed to Secure Track

• On the last day of March,
  • 29% of youth in custody were alternative placements
    • Note: As of Mar 31, there was also 1 youth in SF County Jail who had been committed to SYTF
JH Chart 5: Placement Youth in Custody

All Youth in Custody on the Last Day of the Month by Alternative Placement Status

Number
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Note: As of Mar 31, there was also 1 youth in SF County Jail who had been committed to SYTF

Committed to SYTF  Committed to Juvenile Hall  Awaiting Placement  Pre-Adjudicated or Pre-Disposition
PS Chart 1: Probation Referrals, CARC Intakes, & MIR Referrals

• Description
  • The first Probation Services chart provides statistics about the number of probation referrals, the number of CARC intakes, and the number of referrals to Make it Right each month.
  • CARC & Make it Right are both included in the total number of Probation Referrals.

• In March,
  • There were 74 referrals to Probation:
    • 47 were for felonies (64%); 18 of which were for 707(b) offenses (24%)
    • 16 were for misdemeanors (22%)
    • 11 were for warrants/probation violations (15%)

  • There were 8 CARC intakes and 1 Make it Right referral.
PS Chart 1: Probation Referrals, CARC Intakes, & MIR Referrals

Note: MIR reflects all youth referred to MIR per month. CARC reflects the number of intakes at CARC per month, not all youth referred.
PS Chart 2.1: Probation Active Caseload

• Description
  • Chart 2.1 provides the total number of active cases on JPD's caseload for all units, both pre- and post-adjudication, as well as AB12, as of the last day of the month.

• On the last day of March,
  • The JPD active caseload was 355 youth.
    • There were 238 pre-adjudicated cases (34% were diversion cases), 69 post-adjudicated cases, and 48 AB12 cases.
PS Chart 2.1: Probation Active Caseload

Probation Active Caseload by Case Status on the Last Day of the Month

Notes: Diversion includes JPD-monitored diversion, DA-monitored diversion, and court-monitored diversion.
PS Charts 2.2 & 2.3: Active Caseload by Unit & Average Caseload Size

• Description
  • Chart 2.2 shows active caseload by Unit by month, reflecting the most recent Unit restructurings.
    • Vertical 1 & Vertical 2 have been combined for the purpose of this graph.
  • Chart 2.3 shows the average caseload size per case manager by unit.
    • Note: This includes all JPD staff that carry a caseload, including CARC, as well as JPD's AB12 social workers.

• On the last day of March,
  • The average caseload size per case manager was 20 youth.
  • AB12 had the highest average caseload size per case manager at 24 youth, while CARC had the lowest at 12 youth.
PS Chart 2.2: Active Caseload by Unit

Probation Active Caseload by Unit on the Last Day of the Month

- CARC
- Vertical
- Placement/JCRU
- AB12

Notes:
1. As of August 2021, CARC is now a banked caseload managed by ODs in addition to their other duties.
2. Vertical caseloads have been combined for the purpose of data continuity in this graph.
PS Chart 2.3: Active Caseload by Average Caseload Size

Average Size of Active Caseload Per Case Manager by Unit on the Last Day of the Month

- CARC
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- Placement/JCRU
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Month

Jan 2022: 11, 17, 17, 17, 13, 16, 20, 10, 16, 16, 14, 9, 24, 13, 16, 25, 21, 20, 16, 26, 18, 18, 10, 14, 26, 17, 14, 12, 13, 12, 13
Feb 2022: 10, 13, 16, 17, 17, 17, 17, 13, 16, 16, 14, 9, 24, 13, 16, 25, 21, 20, 16, 26, 18, 18, 10, 14, 26, 17, 14, 12, 13, 12, 13
Mar 2022: 9, 16, 17, 17, 17, 13, 16, 16, 14, 9, 24, 13, 16, 25, 21, 20, 16, 26, 18, 18, 10, 14, 26, 17, 14, 12, 13, 12, 13

Notes: (1) As of August 2021, CARC is now a banked caseload managed by OUs in addition to their other duties.
(2) Vertical caseloads have been combined for the purpose of data continuity in this graph.
PS Chart 2.4 & 2.5: Active Caseload Demographics

• Description
  • The next two slides show active caseload demographics (race/ethnicity, gender, age), residential zip codes, and age as of the last day of the month. Chart 2.4 shows the age breakdown for the active caseload, by Unit.

• As of the last day of March,
  • Girls represented 21% of the active caseload, and boys represented 79%.
  • 50% of the active caseload was Black, 35% was Latinx, 4% was AAPI, 7% was white, and 4% was other/unknown race/ethnicity.
  • 49% of youth supervised by JPD live outside of San Francisco, and 31% of youth live in five zip codes, with the largest group (12%) living in Bayview/Hunter's Point (94124).
  • 34% of JPD's active caseload is 18 or older, with AB12 and Placement/JCRU supervising a larger percentage of young adults than other units.
PS Chart 2.4: Active Caseload Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
<th># of Youth</th>
<th>% of Youth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Out of County</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayview/Hunters Point (94124)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitacion Valley/Sunnydale (94134)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission/Bernal Heights (94110)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Addition (94115)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingleside/Excelsior (94112)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PS Chart 2.5: Active Caseload Demographics

Active Caseload by Age on the Last Day of the Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;19</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Active Caseload by Age by Unit on the Last Day of the Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>&lt;15</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>&gt;19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB12</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placement/JCRU</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROQ-CARC</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PS Chart 3: Active Caseload Active in Programs

• Description
  • This is a new slide that depicts the percentage of JPD's active caseload that is active in SF programs by month.
  • Note: AB12 is excluded from this analysis.

• As of the last day of March,
  • 64% of youth on active caseload were active in SF programs, either in the community or in Juvenile Hall
  • Notes: (1) Youth who are only on electronic or alcohol monitoring are not included in this calculation. (2) JPD recently began tracking participation in out of county programs. Therefore, most youth active in programs outside of SF will not be captured in this graph.
PS Chart 3: Active Caseload Active in Programs

Youth Active in Programs as % of Active Caseload

- % Active in SF Programs
- Youth Active in SF Programs
- Youth on Active Caseload

Month

Jan 2022: 210 (58%)
Feb 2022: 219 (63%)
Mar 2022: 224 (66%)
Apr 2022: 222 (61%)
May 2022: 225 (59%)
Jun 2022: 214 (63%)
Jul 2022: 216 (62%)
Aug 2022: 229 (58%)
Sep 2022: 235 (60%)
Oct 2022: 263 (53%)
Nov 2022: 268 (56%)
Dec 2022: 278 (51%)
Jan 2023: 288 (52%)
Feb 2023: 173 (56%)
Mar 2023: 198 (64%)

Notes: (1) AB12 youth are excluded from this analysis.
(2) Youth who are only on electronic or alcohol monitoring are excluded from this analysis.
PS Chart 4.1 - 4.3: Alternative Placement

- Description
  - Chart 4.1 shows all youth in alternative placements by Gender, as of the last day of each month for all status categories (see Alternative Placement Glossary):
  - Table 4.2 provides details for each alternative placement category, as of the last day of each month.
  - Chart 4.3 provides the county breakdown for all alternative placements as of the last day of the month.

- As of the last day of March,
  - The total alternative placement population was 27 youth.
  - Since January 2022, RFAs have accounted for an average of 16% of alternative placements per month, STRTPs have accounted for 11%, and commitments have accounted for 20%.
PS Chart 4.1: Alternative Placements by Gender

Alternative Placements by Gender on the Last Day of the Month

- **Cis Boy**
- **Cis Girl**
- **Transgender**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Cis Boy</th>
<th>Cis Girl</th>
<th>Transgender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2022</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 2022</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 2022</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 2022</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2022</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 2022</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2022</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2022</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 2022</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 2022</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 2022</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 2022</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2023</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 2023</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 2023</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# PS Chart 4.2: Alternative Placements by Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pending Adjudication</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending Disposition</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending Placement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRTP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFUSD Out-of-State</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Treatment Facility</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Trial (Re-Entry)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THP+FC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THPP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHRC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Treatment Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile Hall Commitment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure Youth Treatment Facility</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Jail (adult criminal complaint)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWOL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warrant Hold</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PS Chart 4.3: Alternative Placements by County
### Alternative Placement Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pending Adjudication</td>
<td>When a youth previously ordered to out of home placement who has a petition filed and is moving through the court process however, the petition has yet to be found true or dismissed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending Disposition</td>
<td>When a youth who has sustained charges and is now awaiting disposition or the court to make a determination on the outcome of the case.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending Placement</td>
<td>When a youth who has been committed to out of home placement by the court but is waiting for interviews, notice of acceptance, and the scheduling of transportation is pending placement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFA</td>
<td>A Resource Family (RFA) is a caregiver who provides out-of-home care for children in foster care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRTP</td>
<td>Short-term Residential Therapeutic Program (STRTP), formerly referred to as a Group Home, is a residential facility operated by a public agency or private organization that provides an integrated program of specialized care and supervision, services and supports, treatment, and short-term 24-hour care and supervision to children and nonminor dependents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFUSD (Out-of-State STRTP)</td>
<td>When a youth has been placed in an STRTP outside of California by the San Francisco Unified School District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Treatment Facility</td>
<td>A Community Treatment Facility is a locked facility that provides intensive clinical services to the highest needs youth experiencing mental health challenges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Trial (Re-Entry)</td>
<td>Home Trial is the period a youth is provided by the Court upon returning from an STRTP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THP + FC</td>
<td>Transitional Housing Placement-Plus Foster Care (THP+FC), also referred to as AB 12, allows eligible foster youth to extend foster care beyond age 18 and up to age 21. The eligible foster youth are designated Non-Minor Dependents (NMDs) and are entitled to various foster placement options including Supervised Independent Living Settings (SILPs).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THPP</td>
<td>The Transitional Housing Placement Program (THPP) is a community care licensed placement opportunity for youth in foster care between the ages of 16 and 18 years old. The goal of THPP is to help participants emancipate successfully by providing a safe environment for youth, while learning skills that can make them self-sufficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHRC</td>
<td>A Mental Health Rehabilitative Center (MHRC) is a program where adults that have mental health issues that prevent their ability to live independently reside.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Treatment Services</td>
<td>A Residential Treatment Services facility is an adult facility that delivers specific services but does not qualify as a THPP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile Hall Commitment</td>
<td>When the Court orders a youth to remain in Juvenile Hall as their Disposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure Track Commitment</td>
<td>Due to the closure of DJJ, youth who would have previously been eligible to be committed to DJJ are now eligible to be committed to a Secure Youth Treatment Facility (SYTF). Currently, San Francisco is using Juvenile Hall as its SYTF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Jail (adult criminal complaint)</td>
<td>When a young adult (18+) has an outstanding juvenile warrant and is in county jail due to adult charges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWOL</td>
<td>When a youth leaves their court ordered placement or home without approval or consent of the program or parent/guardian/caregiver.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PS Charts 5.1 & 5.2: Active Warrants

• Description
  • Chart 5.1 is a new chart that shows the number and type of warrants active as of the last day of each month.
  • Chart 5.2 is a new chart that shows the mean and median age of warrants active as of the last day of each month.

• As of the last day of March,
  • There were 41 youth with active warrants and 52 active warrants total: 20 arrest warrants, 12 petition warrants, and 20 bench warrants.
  • Note: Back door warrants have been renamed to petition warrants.
  • The mean length of time from warrant issue date was 222 days and the median was 60 days.
PS Chart 5.1: Active Warrants by Type

Active Warrants on the Last Day of the Month by Type of Warrant

- Arrest Warrant
- Petition Warrant
- Bench Warrant

Notes: This is the number of total active warrants, not the number of youth with active warrants. Some youth may have more than 1 active warrant. Back door warrants have been renamed to petition warrants.
PS Chart 5.2: Active Warrants by Age of Warrant

Average Age of Active Warrants on the Last Day of the Month (in Days)

- Median Age of Warrant
- Mean Age of Warrant

Month:
- Oct 2022: 277 days
- Nov 2022: 313 days
- Dec 2022: 284 days
- Jan 2023: 281 days
- Feb 2023: 223 days
- Mar 2023: 222 days

Days
PS Chart 6: Electronic Monitoring

- Description
  - Chart 6 provides data about the number of youth on electronic monitoring as of the last day of each month and the average length of monitoring.

- As of the last day of March,
  - There were 22 youth on electronic monitoring, with an average length of monitoring of 28 days.
    - 73% were boys
    - 82% were Black & 18% were Latinx.
  - There were 0 youth on alcohol monitoring.
PS Chart 6: Electronic Monitoring

Youth Active on Electronic Monitoring on the Last Day of the Month and ALOS on Electronic Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Youth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2022</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 2022</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 2022</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 2022</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2022</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 2022</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2022</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2022</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 2022</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 2022</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 2022</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 2022</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2023</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 2023</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 2023</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALOS
PS Chart 7: Home Detention

- **Description**
  - Chart 7 provides data for the number of youth on Home Detention as of the last day of the month.
  - Chart 7 also shows the average length of Home Detention on the last day of the month.

- **As of the last day of March,**
  - There were 45 youth on Home Detention. The average length of stay was 70 days.
    - 84% were boys
    - 64% were Black, 29% were Latinx, 7% were another race or ethnicity
    - 84% were pre-adjudicated
PS Chart 7: Home Detention

Youth Active on Home Detention on the Last Day of the Month and ALOS on Home Detention

- **ALOS**: Solid line
- **Youth**: Tiled bars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of youth in home detention and average length of stay (ALOS) for each month. The graph shows fluctuations in the number of youth in home detention and the length of their stay. The highest number of youth in home detention was in July 2022, with an ALOS of 129 days. The lowest number was in June 2022, with an ALOS of 51 days.
PS Chart 8: Petition Dispositions

• Description
  • Chart 8 shows all petition dispositions by month.
  
  • Since the start of 2022,
    • 3% resulted in commitments to SYTF or Juvenile Hall
    • 9% resulted in out of home placement (commit + recommit to out of home placement)
    • 30% of dispositions resulted in wardship probation (ward probation + wardship redeclared).
    • 8% resulted in 725(a) non wardship probation
    • 27% resulted in 654 informal probation
    • 10% resulted in transfers out
    • 12% resulted in dismissals
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