

MINUTES
Citizens' General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee Meeting
December 12, 2022

Committee Members
Andrea Marmo Crawford
Pia Gheen
Brian Larkin
Timothy Mathews
Siobhan McHugh
Bart Pantoja
Judi Sanderlin

This meeting was held by WebEx pursuant to the Governor's Executive Orders and Mayoral Emergency Proclamations suspending and modifying requirements for in-person meetings. During the Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) emergency, the Citizens' General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee will convene remotely until the Committee is legally authorized to meet in person.

Note: The Citizens' General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee meetings are live-streamed courtesy of SFGovTV. The agenda, video recording, audio recording, and caption notes are posted at https://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=191. Below is a high-level summary of the, December 12, 2022, meeting. Presentations for the meeting can be found at <https://sf.gov/meeting/december-12-2022/december-12-2022-cgoboc-meeting>

1) Call to Order/Roll Call.

The meeting was called to order by Rosanne Torre, Committee Secretary at 9:36 am. The following Committee members were present: Vice Chair Andrea Marmo Crawford, Member Pia Gheen, Member Brian Larkin, Member Siobhan McHugh, Member Bart Pantoja and Member Judi Sanderlin. Chair Timothy Mathews was absent.

2) Opportunity for the public to comment on any matters within the Committee's jurisdiction that are not on the agenda.

There was no public comment.

3) Adoption of AB 361 Resolution allowing the Committee to meet via Teleconference during the COVID-19 Emergency.

Member Larkin made a motion to approve, and Vice Chair Crawford seconded. The resolution was approved by Vice Chair Crawford, Member Gheen, Member Larkin, Member McHugh, Member Pantoja and Member Sanderlin. Chair Mathews was absent.

There was no public comment.

4) Approval, with possible modification, of the minutes of the October 24, 2022, meeting.

Member Pantoja made a motion to approve, and Member Larkin seconded. The minutes were approved by Vice Chair Crawford, Member Gheen, Member Larkin, Member McHugh, Member Pantoja and Member Sanderlin. Chair Mathews was absent.

There was no public comment.

5) Approval, with possible modification, of revised Committee Bylaws.

Deputy City Attorney Kenneth Roux reviewed the revisions. Member Pantoja made a motion to approve, and Member McHugh seconded. The revisions were approved by Vice Chair Crawford, Member Gheen, Member Larkin, Member McHugh, Member Pantoja and Member Sanderlin. Chair Mathews was absent.

There was no public comment.

6) Presentation from the Department of Public Works regarding the 2008 San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center Earthquake Safety Bonds (SF Rebuild) and 2016 Public Health and Safety Bond and possible action by the Committee in response to such presentation.

Joe Chin, PHS Program Manager presented on the 2016 \$350M PHS bond program. The program provides funding for the Department of Public Health, San Francisco Fire Department and Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing. He reviewed the projects assigned under the six components that are covered by this GO Bond program. He then presented the executive summary which gives the program accomplishments this past year. The flagship projects under three of the six components have been completed and are currently in the closeout phase. He reviewed highlights, accomplishments, upcoming milestones, bond sales, appropriations, risks, and issues or concerns on budget, scope or schedule. The third and final bond sale was completed in November of 2020 for \$126.9M.

Mr. Chin presented on the Zuckerberg San Francisco General Building 5 (\$205.3M), Southeast Health Center (\$37.1M), Community Health Centers (\$29.5M), Ambulance Deployment Facility (\$48.6M), Neighborhood Fire Stations (\$9.2), Homeless Service Sites (\$20M) projects recent accomplishments, upcoming milestones and gave status of the budgets. He reviewed the status, budget and financial plan showing a detailed financial summary table with the original and revised program budget and expenditures and encumbrances for all bond components. He pointed out that overall, the bond program is currently at 68% complete based on expenditures only, and over 88% complete based on expenditures plus encumbrances.

Member Larkin had questions regarding COVID related delays regarding claims coming from contractors due to the delays. Mr. Chin said they have had COVID related impacts that include additional costs associated with contracting. Some of the tangible costs included having to hire additional laborers to do sanitation in compliance with health ordinances and a safety manager to review COVID protocols. Costs denied by the City were related to COVID-19 testing that the contractor performed on behalf of the workers.

There was no public comment.

7) Liaison Report 2008 and 2012 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks Bonds.

Member Pantoja reported that the city parks staff continue to do a great job and that it is easy to see the money is well spent. The coordinating and planning are reasonable and make sense and have good logic. The surveys were delayed due to all of the things we suffered the last few years, and it has finally been completed and come to fruition.

There was no public comment.

8) Presentation by the Controller's City Performance Division on the Parks Public Perception Survey and possible action by the Committee in response to this presentation.

Cat Benson, Sr. Performance Analyst presented on the Park Satisfaction Survey of the Willie "Woo Woo" Wong and Stanyan Street Edge Parks. She reviewed the scope of the survey. The Committee had asked that the survey encompass questions surrounding successful use of bond money to improve the parks for the public, the public's satisfaction with the park renovations and whether the park renovations were serving the residents of San Francisco, specifically the under-served communities. She reported that there were 900 qualitative surveys completed per park with 50 follow-up in-depth interviews completed by volunteer Willie "Woo Woo" Wong participants.

Ms. Benson gave a background on the theme of the Willie "Woo Woo" Wong park and listed renovations made. She mentioned that this program included extensive community outreach and feedback from the communities. She reviewed the demographics of respondents to the surveys and frequency of park visits. The results of the Willie "Woo Woo" Wong park survey were reviewed with average park rating of 3.43 out of 4 giving a rating between good and excellent. All groups rated

most park features highly with 94% saying the park was better than before; but restrooms were still an area needing improvement due to those using the restrooms and not how the bond money was spent.

She reported that Stanyan Street Edge Park is less of a destination and that usually folks are walking through to go to Golden Gate Park or other areas. This was a \$5.5M suite of projects. She reviewed the renovation projects and mentioned that the project was completed in fall of 2020. She discussed the demographics of the respondents, with 40% being from the actual neighborhood and 38% being from outside of San Francisco and other from other San Francisco neighborhoods. The overall park quality was rated highly at 95% by all respondent groups and 89% said it is better than before. Respondents said it needs more restrooms. Many respondents appreciated the natural elements.

Vice Chair Crawford asked about the high five zone. Ms. Benson said it was an interactive area for children to learn how to high five. Member Gheen asked if the area where children learn to ride their bikes was still available. Ms. Benson said she was not sure but would get back to her. Member Gheen also asked more about the restroom issues at both parks. Member Pantoja added that the restroom issue is one of maintenance of the restrooms rather than the money spent. He added that our San Francisco parks have been highlighted in national newspaper. They are the shining stars that we have. He mentioned the Martin Luther King park was finished and he is excited to take his grandchildren to that park soon. He thanked the survey team and looks forward to having parks in the Bay View surveyed.

There was no public comment.

9) Opportunity for Committee members to comment or take action on any matters within the Committee's jurisdiction.

a. Audits Unit - Public Integrity Reviews

Mark de la Rosa, Director of Audits, reported that there were no new public integrity reports issued. The next one will be on the status of their recommendations implementation which is a two-year report to be issued in the coming weeks. They will issue a landfill disposal agreement audit in the spring and a San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Public Assessment in the third quarter.

b. Performance Unit - Public Perception Survey Project

Natasha Mihal, City Performance Director, asked the Committee to let her know what GO Bond program they would like the next public perception survey to focus on. Her team would like to do future planning on that in the coming months. Vice Chair Crawford asked how the team determines which areas of the City to survey and where the public can easily find the information. Ms. Mihal said she was not sure how it was determined to survey those two parks as she was not there. Ms. Benson said she would put the results up on the CGOBOC website. Ms. Mihal said that if they are going to be doing public perception surveys of the park's funds in the future, that they should try to cover the city in a thoughtful way.

c. CSA Division – Updates and Workplan

Ms. Mihal said they issued the *Fiscal Year 2022 Performance Measure Annual Report*. It includes performance measure reports for all departments of the city. She mentioned it is a large report with an Executive Summary area that gives key performance measures in different project areas so is useful information to get a snapshot of key performance areas across the City. They were going to be issuing the *Our City Our Home Report* which was going to be comprehensive report. Her team is finalizing the Annual Report from last fiscal year for the non-profit monitoring programs.

Mr. de la Rosa reported that on the non-profit section they are completing a host of engagements related to non-profits. He reviewed all of the recent past and future audits.

d. Public Finance – Upcoming Bond Issuances

Vishal Trivedi reported on the upcoming new issuances for Health and Recovery Bond, Seawall and Affordable Housing Bonds.

e. CGOBOC - FY 2022-2023 Workplan, Liaison, and Meeting Dates

The calendar was reviewed.

Vice Chair Crawford asked to discuss the consistency of reporting across GO Bond Programs at a future meeting.

There was no public comment.

The meeting was adjourned at Pantoja, Larkin 10:46 am.

