Welcome, Agenda Review, and Introductions

Jennifer Divers welcomed the workgroup and members of the public in attendance, provided an overview of the agenda and goal of the meeting.

Implementation Update

Jennifer Divers reviewed the following updates:

There have been 107 people with 5+ 5150s in FY 21/22
- 64% have been assessed for coordinated entry
- 45% have accepted and been connected to voluntary care
- 13% have been placed on conservatorship
- 15% were referred to AOT
- 56% received support and are no longer on the pathway to housing conservatorship
- 65% were discussed in multisystem case conferences to create a plan to support

Several questions from the workgroup were received including:
- How many were offered hospital services?
- How long does it take for an individual to get connected to services?
- What are the most popular reasons for why an individual could be removed from the pathway to conservatorship?
- What are the current individual needs?
- Are all needs being met?
- What are the number of attempts to serve the individual?

Data Review to meet evaluation requirements for Admin Code

Amy Ramos provided an overview of additional data that workgroup members had requested in their feedback to the drafts of the annual evaluation reports, including detail on the comparison of racial and ethnic groups represented in different services, such as among those with WIC §5150 holds in Fiscal Year 2021-22. Dr. Ramos also shared data on the number of individuals with WIC §5150 holds over the last three fiscal years. Workgroup members reflected on these data:

Workgroup members reiterated their concern at the overrepresentation of Black and African American residents in the number of people with WIC §5150 holds.

Members requested that the analysis include:

- The client level data (e.g., numbers of individuals that make up the percentages) to better illustrate what the data show.
- Additional data on the types of services and supports that were offered to the individuals with eight or more WIC §5150 holds this past year
- Break down of SF PD data
- Behavioral Health Data
- In the future, the workgroup would like to see SB1045 data included in the report
- Members also discussed using alternative data points (i.e., not 5150) to tell the story of program effectiveness of housing conservatorship. The prevalence of 5150 data only tell a fraction of the story of this program. For example, members asked if individuals are not getting a 5150 then how does housing conservatorship work?

**Public Comment**

There were no public comments made at this meeting.

**Closing and Next Steps**

Jennifer Divers closed the meeting by reminding the workgroup of the meeting scheduled for November 2022 as well as an outline of the focus of the agenda for the meeting. All meetings will be held from 1:00-2:30pm and meeting location will be determined based on the most current health recommendations.

Workgroup members volunteered to support a data sharing process to develop a more comprehensive evaluation. Many members shared that the workgroup would benefit from being reminded of its purpose and reporting requirements. The next meeting will include an overview of what must be evaluated vs what are the data points that are helpful to include in the analysis. In addition, updated data will be shared.