Land acknowledgement

We acknowledge that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula. As the Indigenous stewards of this land, and in accordance with their traditions, the Ramaytush Ohlone have never ceded, lost, nor forgotten their responsibilities as the caretakers of this place, as well as for all peoples who reside in their traditional territory. As guests, we recognize that we benefit from living and working on their traditional homeland. We wish to pay our respects by acknowledging the Ancestors, Elders, and Relatives of the Ramaytush Ohlone community and by affirming their sovereign rights as First Peoples.
AGENDA

- Welcome & Agenda Review
- Implementation update
- Data Review for Local Report
- Public Comment
- Closing & Next Steps
Implementation Update

- There have been 107 people with 5+ 5150s in FY 21/22
- 64% have been assessed for coordinated entry
- 45% have accepted and been connected to voluntary care
- 13% have been placed on conservatorship
- 15% were referred to AOT
- 56% received support and are no longer on the pathway to housing conservatorship
- 65% were discussed in multisystem case conferences to create a plan to support
Evaluation Update
## Evaluation Requirements from Admin Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Data Point(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Number and status of persons who have been recommended for a Housing</td>
<td>- As described in requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservatorship, evaluated for eligibility for a Housing Conservatorship,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and/or conserved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The effectiveness of these conservatorships in addressing the short and</td>
<td>- Description of treatment and services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>long term needs of those persons, including a description of the services</td>
<td>- Housing status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>they received</td>
<td>- PES contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Substance use treatment compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Incarceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Individual experience survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The impact of conservatorships established on existing conservatorships</td>
<td>- Number of LPS conservatorships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and on mental health programs provided by the city</td>
<td>- Impact on mental health programs provided by the city (high level trends)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The number of detentions for evaluation and treatment under Section</td>
<td>- As described in requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5150 that occurred in SF during the evaluation period, broken down by the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type of authorized person who performed the detention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Where a detention under Section 5150 was performed by a peace officer,</td>
<td>- Descriptive analysis of SFPD data, and broader trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an explanation as to why the peace officer was the appropriate person to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>perform the detention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluation Requirement #1-3

(1) Number and status of persons recommended for Housing Conservatorship
- 1 petitions filed during FY21/22
- 2 people were on a conservatorship at the end of the fiscal year

(2) Effectiveness of these conservatorships in addressing the short and long term needs of those persons
- These individuals remain under conservatorship, so the effectiveness has yet to be determined.
- Neither individual was connected with behavioral health services prior to being placed on conservatorship.
- These individuals have been provided multiple services including: ICM, psychiatry and medication management, psychiatric respite, peer support, and housing with intensive wrap around services

(3) The impact of conservatorships established on existing conservatorships and on mental health programs provided by the city
- Unable to evaluate impact / not applicable at this time
Evaluation Requirement #4

The number of detentions for evaluation and treatment under Section 5150 that occurred in SF during the evaluation period, broken down by the type of authorized person who performed the detention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Unique Individuals</th>
<th>Total 5150 Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SFDPH: Coordinated Care Management System (CCMS)*</td>
<td>1,642</td>
<td>2,501**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Hospitals***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total unduplicated cases</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>13,669</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data includes a significant number of duplicate individuals included in both counts.
*CCMS data represents only those treated at PES
**13 individuals with eight or more 5150s; 426 individuals with more than one 5150
*** includes data from California Pacific Medical Center, Kaiser Permanente, Saint Francis Memorial Hospital, St. Mary's Medical Center, and UCSF
Population Data: Fiscal Year 2020/21

Information for individuals who had at least one 5150 in FY20/21 and seen at PES (2501 individuals):

- **Age distribution**
  - 60+ 12%
  - 50-59 15%
  - 40-49 22%
  - 30-39 31%
  - 20-29 19%
  - <20 2%

- **Gender identity**
  - Female 67%
  - Male 31%
  - Transgender 1%

- **Racial/ethnic identity**
  - White 34%
  - Black/African American 29%
  - Latinx 20%
  - Asian / Pacific Islander 10%
  - Multiracial 3%
  - American Indian 1%

- **Urgent/Emergent Services**: Average of 2.0 visits to PES
PUBLIC COMMENT
Closing and Next Steps

Upcoming Working Group Meetings:

Dates:
- November 14, 2022
- December 12, 2022

Time: 1:00-2:30pm

Meeting location will be determined based on current health recommendations.
Email: Housing.Conservatorship-Workgroup@sfdph.org

Website Updates: sf.gov/information/housing-conservatorship