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Monthly Statistics Report

• The Juvenile Probation Department is engaged in efforts to generate more comprehensive, accurate, and meaningful metrics.

• This report compiles data through the month before last (May 2021).
  • A small selection of the most recent month’s statistics are included: Admissions, Releases, Average Daily Population (ADP), and Out-of-Home Placement
  • A recent daily snapshot of the Juvenile Hall population will be included for the Commission meeting.

• Starting on page 5, each chart slide is preceded by a definition/methodology slide explaining the chart’s content.
  • A few metrics have shifted from last day of the month snapshots to average daily population to provide a more accurate representation of the Juvenile Hall population.

• Time periods range depending on data availability and are noted on each chart.
Juvenile Hall Demographics
July 12, 2021 (N = 18)

Juvenile Hall Population by Gender
- Boys, 16, 89%
- Girls, 2, 11%

Juvenile Hall Population by Race/Ethnicity
- Black, 10, 56%
- Latinx, 6, 33%
- AAPI, 2, 11%

Juvenile Hall Population by Age
- 14 years-old, 1, 5%
- 16 years-old, 2, 11%
- 17 years-old, 9, 50%
- 18 years-old, 5, 28%
- 19 years-old, 1, 6%

Juvenile Hall Population by OOHP/JJC Commitment Status
- Pending Adjudication, 2, 11%
- Pending Disposition, 2, 11%
- Pending Placement, 2, 11%
- JJC Commitment, 1, 6%
- Non-AAHP, 11, 61%
Division of Juvenile Justice Demographics
May 31, 2021 (N = 4)

Note: All youth reflected in these graphs are post-release, none remain in DJJ facilities
Juvenile Hall Chart 1.1: Admissions, Releases, Average Daily Population

- Chart 1.1 displays Juvenile Hall admissions and releases by calendar month, from January 2020-June 2021.
- The chart also displays the average daily population (ADP) by month: the average number of youth in custody per day for each month.

Trends
- There were 24 admissions and 19 releases in June.
- The ADP in June was 16 youth, the highest ADP since March 2020.
Juvenile Hall Chart 1.1: Admissions, Releases, Average Daily Population

Admissions, Releases, Average Daily Population, by Month
January 2020-June 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Admissions</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Releases</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Daily Population</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Avg. Daily Population</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Juvenile Hall Charts 2.1, 2.2, & 2.3: Demographic Trends in Average Daily Population

• Chart 2.1 displays Average Daily Population (ADP) by gender, by month, for January 2020-May 2021.

• Charts 2.2 & 2.3 display ADP by race/ethnicity and age of the Juvenile Hall population for January 2021-May 2021.

• Note: Due to rounding errors, ADP by demographics may differ from overall ADP.

Trends

• In May 2021:
  • There was a daily average of 14 boys and 1 girl detained.
  • The racial/ethnic breakdown of the ADP in Juvenile Hall was 56% Black youth, 25% Latinx youth, and 19% AAPI youth detained.
  • The age breakdown of the ADP in Juvenile Hall was 13% 14-year-olds, 6% 15-year-olds, 19% 16-year-olds, 31% 17-year-olds, 19% 18-year-olds, 6% 19-year-olds, and 6% 20-year-olds.
Juvenile Hall Chart 2.1: Average Daily Population by Gender

Juvenile Hall Population by Gender
ADP, January 2020-May 2021

Note: Due to rounding errors, ADP by category may differ from overall ADP
Juvenile Hall Chart 2.2: Average Daily Population by Race/Ethnicity

Note: Due to rounding errors, ADP by category may differ from overall ADP
Juvenile Hall Chart 2.3: Average Daily Population by Age

Juvenile Hall Population by Age
ADP, January-May 2021

Note: Due to rounding errors, ADP by category may differ from overall ADP
Juvenile Hall Charts 3.1 & 3.2: Average Length of Stay

- Chart 3.1 presents the average length of stay (ALOS) for: (1) youth released each month and (2) youth in custody on the last day of the month, for January 2020-May 2021.
- Chart 3.2 presents the median, minimum, and maximum length of stay for both groups.

Trends
- In May 2021:
  - The ALOS for youth released was 11 days, about 36% lower than the 2020 average of 17.
  - The ALOS for youth in custody was 92 days, about double the 2020 average of 46.
- For the 11 youth released from Juvenile Hall throughout May, the ALOS was 11 days. The median was 4 days.
  - 64% of youth were released within 10 days; 91% of youth were released within 30 days
- For the 13 youth in custody on May 31, the ALOS was 92 days. The median was 36 days.
  - 23% of the youth had been detained for less than 20 days; 77% had been detained more than 30 days.
Juvenile Hall Chart 3.1: Average Length of Stay

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days, Youth Released from Custody & Youth Detained, by Month
January 2020-May 2021

- **ALOS (Releases)**
- **ALOS (In Custody)**
## Juvenile Hall Chart 3.2: Length of Stay - Range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Releases (N=11)</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/01/21 - 5/31/21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In Custody (N=13)</strong></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(as of 5/31/21)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Juvenile Hall Charts 4.1 & 4.2:  
Demographic Trends in Average Length of Stay

Charts 4.1 and 4.2 present the average length of stay (ALOS) for: (1) youth released each month and (2) youth in custody on the last day of the month by gender and race/ethnicity.

**Trends**

In May 2021:

**By gender:**
- Girls had a shorter ALOS than boys for youth released (3 days versus 14 days) and a longer ALOS for youth in custody (119 days versus 90 days).

**By race/ethnicity:**
- Latinx youth had the shortest ALOS for youth released (5 days) and youth in custody (29 days), while AAPI youth had the longest ALOS for youth released (19 days) Black youth had the longest ALOS for youth in custody (118 days).
Juvenile Hall Chart 4.1: Average Length of Stay by Gender
Juvenile Hall Chart 5: Admissions by Primary Detention Reason

- Chart 5 displays Juvenile Hall admissions by primary detention reason for the entire month.
- Law mandates that youth brought into custody for the following must be detained until they can appear before a judge:
  - Youth at least 14 years old, arrested for personal use of a firearm in the attempt or commission of a felony; or any offense listed in Welfare and Institutions Code section 707(b).
  - Youth brought into custody pursuant to a court order, bench warrant, or arrest warrant.
  - Youth transferred in custody from another jurisdiction.
- Non-mandatory detentions include new law violations that are non-707(b) and/or felonies involving the use of a firearm, and detentions for technical violations—where the DRI outcome recommends detention, or where there was a DRI override.

**Trends**

- In May, there were 9 admissions to Juvenile Hall. 8 were mandatory (89%): 7 for mandatory new law violations and 1 transfer from county jail or DJJ.
- The 1 non-mandatory detention admission was for a DRI Score $\geq 11$. 
Juvenile Hall Chart 5: Admissions by Primary Detention Reason

### Juvenile Hall Admissions by Primary Detention Reason, by Month
**August-May 2021**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Aug-20</th>
<th>Sep-20</th>
<th>Oct-20</th>
<th>Nov-20</th>
<th>Dec-20</th>
<th>Jan-21</th>
<th>Feb-21</th>
<th>Mar-21</th>
<th>Apr-21</th>
<th>May-21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Law Violations</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warrant/ Court Order</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Ins</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile Case Transfer from County Jail/DJJ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRI Automatic Detention</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRI Score: ≥ 11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detention Override</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courtesy Hold</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Admissions</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Juvenile Hall Chart 6: Out of Home Placement Youth in Custody

- Chart 6 provides a snapshot of out of home placement (OOHP) youth in custody on the last day of each month for January 2020-June 2021.
  - OOHP includes youth *awaiting placement*, youth *awaiting adjudication*, and youth *pending disposition*.
- The chart also displays the percent of the entire Juvenile Hall population that is OOHP.

**Trends**
- On 6/30/21, 39% of youth in custody were OOHP.
  - On average since January 2020, 45% of youth in custody on the last day of the month were OOHP.
- There was also 1 OOHP youth in custody due to a Juvenile Hall commitment.
Juvenile Hall Chart 6: Out of Home Placement Youth in Custody

Out of Home Placement Youth in Custody
Last Day of the Month SnapShot, January 2020-June 2021
Probation Services Chart 1: Probation, CARC, & Make it Right Referrals

• The first Probation Services chart provides statistics about the number of probation referrals each month, the number of CARC referrals, and the number of referrals to Make it Right for January 2020-May 2021.

• CARC & Make it Right are both included in the total number of Probation Referrals.

• Note: Data on this chart will be refreshed monthly to account for delays in data entry for referrals.

Trends

In May 2021, there were 26 referrals to Probation:

• 18 were for felonies (69%)
  • 10 were for 707b offenses (38%)
• 5 were for misdemeanors (19%)
• 3 were for warrants and probation violations (12%)

There were 9 CARC referrals, and 3 Make it Right referrals in May
Probation Services Chart 1: Probation, CARC, & Make it Right Referrals

Probation Referrals, CARC Referrals, & Make it Right Referrals
January 2020-May 2021

Probation Referrals, CARC Referrals, & Make it Right

Probation Referrals
CARC Referrals
Make It Right

January 2020-May 2021

San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department
Probation Services Chart 2.1: Probation Active Caseload

- Chart 2.1 provides the total number of active cases on JPD’s caseload for all units, both pre- and post-adjudication, as of the last day of the month, for January 2020-May 2021.
  - Note: The notable decrease in the active caseload for May is due to recent efforts to close out inactive cases in JPD’s case management system

Trends

- On May 31, 2021, the monthly active caseload was 295.
  - There were 105 pre-adjudicated cases and 191 post-adjudicated cases.

- The total active caseload has decreased from this time last year by 39%.
  - The pre-adjudicated caseload has decreased 54% from May 2020.
  - The post-adjudicated caseload has decreased 25% from May 2020.
Probation Services Chart 2.1:
Probation Active Caseload

Probation Active Case Status
Last day of Month Snap Shot, January 2020-May 2021

Pre-Adjudicated | Post-Adjudicated | Total

Jan-20: 191 | 223 | 414
Feb-20: 271 | 234 | 505
Mar-20: 519 | 249 | 768
Apr-20: 494 | 233 | 727
May-20: 485 | 229 | 714
Jun-20: 483 | 228 | 711
Jul-20: 467 | 215 | 682
Aug-20: 450 | 197 | 647
Sep-20: 430 | 189 | 619
Oct-20: 430 | 198 | 628
Nov-20: 405 | 186 | 591
Dec-20: 404 | 174 | 578
Jan-21: 384 | 157 | 541
Feb-21: 359 | 146 | 505
Mar-21: 345 | 137 | 482
Apr-21: 337 | 124 | 461
May-21: 396 | 105 | 401

San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department
Probation Services Charts 2.2 & 2.3: Active Caseload by Unit & Average Caseload Size

• Chart 2.2 shows active caseload by Unit by month, reflecting the restructuring where two Intake Units were merged with Supervision to create two Vertical Units.

• Chart 2.3 shows the average caseload size per case manager by unit.
  • Note: This includes all JPD staff that carry a caseload, including CARC, as well as JPD’s two AB12 social workers. One supervisor also carries a smaller caseload, accounting for 0.5 of a case manager (N=17.5).

Trends

In May 2021:

• The largest caseload was JCRU-AB12 at 108 youth and the smallest was CARC at 12 youth.

• The average caseload size per case manager was 17 youth.

• JCRU-AB12 had the highest average caseload size per case manager at 43 youth, while Private Placement had the lowest at 9 youth.
Probation Services Chart 2.2: Active Caseload by Unit

Probation Active Caseload (Post Unit Restructuring)
Last day of Month Snap Shot, November 2020-May 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>CARC</th>
<th>Vertical 1</th>
<th>Vertical 2</th>
<th>Private Placement</th>
<th>JCRU - Supervision</th>
<th>JCRU - AB12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov-20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec-20</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan-21</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-21</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-21</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-21</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-21</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The notable decrease in the CARC active caseload for May is due to recent efforts to close out inactive cases in JPD’s case management system.
Probation Services Chart 2.3: Active Caseload by Average Caseload Size

Average Size of Active Caseload Per Case Manager
Last Day of the Month Snapshot, January-May 2021

Note: The notable decrease in the CARC active caseload for May is due to recent efforts to close out inactive cases in JPD’s case management system.
Probation Services Charts 2.4 & 2.5: Active Caseload Demographics

• The next two slides show active caseload demographics (race/ethnicity, gender, age), residential zip codes, and age as of the last day of the month (May 31, 2021). Chart 2.4 shows the age breakdown for the active caseload, by Unit.

Trends

• Girls represent 20% of the active caseload, and boys represent 80%
• 54% of the active caseload is Black, 30% is Latinx, 6% is AAPI, 5% white, and 5% is other/unknown race/ethnicity.
• 27% of youth supervised by JPD live in four zip codes, with the largest group (12%) living in Bayview/Hunter’s Point (94124).
• 57% of JPD’s active caseload is 18 or older.
  • The average age of youth supervised by JCRU is older than other units, with 89% of youth supervised being 18 or older.
Probation Services Chart 2.4: Active Caseload Demographics (N = 295)

Active Caseload by Gender
Snapshot: 5/31/21

- Girls, 60, 20%
- Boys, 235, 80%

Active Caseload by Race/Ethnicity
Snapshot: 5/31/21

- Black, 159, 54%
- Latinx, 88, 30%
- Other, 15, 5%
- AAPI, 17, 6%
- White, 16, 5%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top Zip Codes</th>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
<th>Youth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>94124</td>
<td>Bayview/Hunter’s Point</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94112</td>
<td>Excelsior/OMI</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94110</td>
<td>Mission/Bernal Heights</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94134</td>
<td>Visitacion Valley/Sunnydale</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Probation Services Chart 2.5:
Active Caseload Demographics (N = 295)
Probation Services Chart 3.1, 3.2, & 3.3: Out of Home Placement

• Chart 3.1 shows all youth in Out of Home Placement by Gender, as of the last day of each month, for January 2020-June 2021, for all status categories (see OOHP Glossary):

• Table 3.2 provides details for each Out of Home Placement category, as of the last day of each month, for January 2021-June 2021.

• Chart 3.3 provides the county breakdown for all Out of Home Placements as of the last day of the month.

Trends
• The total OOHP population at the end of June 2021 was 46 youth, a 31% decrease from June 2020.

• On average in 2021, STRTPs account for 18% of OOHP, and RFAs account for 21%.
  • In June 2021, there were 11 youth in placed with resource families and 3 placed in STRTPs

• At the end of June, 39% of OOHP youth were placed in San Francisco and 26% were placed in other Bay Area Counties.
  • 17% of OOHP youth were AWOL.
Probation Services Chart 3.1:
Out of Home Placement by Gender

Out of Home Placement, by Gender
January 2020-June 2021

Male | Female | Transgender | Total
--- | --- | --- | ---
Jan-20: 77 | 21 | 55 | 153
Feb-20: 78 | 19 | 58 | 155
Mar-20: 79 | 17 | 61 | 157
Apr-20: 78 | 15 | 63 | 156
May-20: 71 | 13 | 64 | 158
Jun-20: 67 | 11 | 58 | 136
Jul-20: 63 | 9 | 54 | 126
Aug-20: 59 | 9 | 50 | 118
Sep-20: 53 | 8 | 46 | 107
Oct-20: 51 | 8 | 42 | 97
Nov-20: 47 | 8 | 41 | 96
Dec-20: 45 | 7 | 38 | 89
Jan-21: 45 | 7 | 38 | 89
Feb-21: 42 | 7 | 38 | 89
Mar-21: 40 | 7 | 38 | 89
Apr-21: 39 | 5 | 34 | 78
May-21: 40 | 6 | 34 | 78
Jun-21: 35 | 8 | 33 | 76

San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department
## Probation Services Chart 3.2: Out of Home Placement Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Out of Home Placement</th>
<th>Jan-21</th>
<th>Feb-21</th>
<th>Mar-21</th>
<th>Apr-21</th>
<th>May-21</th>
<th>Jun-21</th>
<th>Average %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pending Placement</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRTP</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWOL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending Disposition</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending Adjudication</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Trial (Re-Entry)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THP+FC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHRC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Treatment Facility</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Jail (adult criminal complaint)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THPP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warrant Hold</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Treatment Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committed to JJC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>45</strong></td>
<td><strong>42</strong></td>
<td><strong>39</strong></td>
<td><strong>40</strong></td>
<td><strong>43</strong></td>
<td><strong>46</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Probation Services Chart 3.3:
Out of Home Placement by County

Out of Home Placement by County
Snapshot: 6/30/21

San Francisco, 18, 39%
San Diego, 1, 2%
San Bernardino, 1, 2%
Solano, 3, 7%
Santa Clara, 2, 4%
San Mateo, 1, 2%
Stanislaus, 2, 4%
Sacramento, 2, 4%
Contra Costa, 2, 4%
Madera, 2, 4%
AWOL, 8, 17%
Out of Home Placement Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pending Placement</td>
<td>A youth who has been committed to out of home placement by the court but is waiting for interviews, notice of acceptance, and the scheduling of transportation is pending placement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRTP</td>
<td>A Short-term Residential Therapeutic Programs (STRTP), formerly referred to as a Group Home, is a residential facility operated by a public agency or private organization that provides an integrated program of specialized and intensive care and supervision, services and supports, treatment, and short-term 24-hour care and supervision to children and nonminor dependents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFA</td>
<td>A Resource Family (RFA) is a caregiver who provides out-of-home care for children in foster care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWOL</td>
<td>Absent without leave (AWOL) is a term used when a youth leaves their court ordered placement or home without approval or consent of the program or parent/guardian/caregiver.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending Disposition</td>
<td>A youth who has sustained charges and is now awaiting disposition or the court to make a determination on the outcome of the case.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending Adjudication</td>
<td>A youth previously ordered to out of home placement who has a petition filed and is moving through the court process however, the petition has yet to be found true or dismissed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Trial (Re-Entry)</td>
<td>The period of time a youth is provided by the Court upon returning from an STRTP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THP+FC</td>
<td>Transitional Housing Placement-Plus Foster Care (THP+FC), also referred to as AB 12, allows eligible foster youth to extend foster care beyond age 18 and up to age 21. The eligible foster youth are designated Non-Minor Dependents (NMDs) and are entitled to various foster placement options including Supervised Independent Living Settings (SILPs).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committed to JJC</td>
<td>When the Court orders a youth to remain in Juvenile Hall as their Disposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Treatment Facility</td>
<td>A locked facility that provides intensive clinical services to the highest needs youth experiencing mental health challenges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Jail (adult criminal complaint)</td>
<td>A detention facility that houses those that are 18 years of age and older when they are alleged to have committed a criminal offense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THPP</td>
<td>The Transitional Housing Placement Program (THPP) is a community care licensed placement opportunity for youth in foster care between the ages of 16 and 18 years old. The goal of THPP is to help participants emancipate successfully by providing a safe environment for youth, while learning skills that can make them self-sufficient.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Probation Services: Chart 4
Electronic Monitoring

• Chart 4 provides data about the number of youth on electronic monitoring as of the last day of each month, for January 2020-May 2021. Starting in September, we have also added the average length of monitoring.

Trends
• On May 31, 2021, there were 11 youth on electronic monitoring, with an average length of monitoring of 87 days.
  • 8 boys and 3 girls
  • 54% were Black, 27% were AAPI, 9% were Latinx, and 9% were white
• There were 0 youth on alcohol monitoring.
Probation Services: Chart 4
Electronic Monitoring

Electronic Monitoring: Active Youth and Average Length of Stay
Last Day of Month Snap Shot, January 2020-May 2021

- Youth on Electronic Monitoring
- Avg. Length of Monitoring (Days)
Probation Services: Chart 5
Home Detention

- Chart 5 provides data for the number of youth on Home Detention as of the last day of the month for January 2020-May 2021.
- Chart 5 also shows the average length of Home Detention on the last day of the month.

Trends
- On May 31, 2021, there were 12 youth on Home Detention:
  - 11 boys and 1 girls
  - 58% were Black, 25% were Latinx, and 17% were AAPI
  - 75% are San Francisco County residents, 25% were out-of-county
  - 83% were pre-adjudicated
- The average length of stay on Home Detention for May 2021 was 60 days.
Probation Services: Chart 5
Home Detention

Home Detention: Active Youth and Average Length of Stay
Last Day of Month Snap Shot, January 2020-May 2021

Youth
ALOS (Days)
Probation Services: Chart 6.1
Petition Dispositions

• Chart 6.1 shows all petition dispositions by month, since September 2020, when this chart was added to the report.

Trends
• For the 9 months for which we have data:
  • 33% of dispositions resulted in wardship probation (ward probation + wardship redeclared)
  • 12% resulted in out of home placement (commit + recommitt to out of home placement)
  • 10% resulted in 654 informal probation
  • 5% resulted in 725(a) non wardship probation
  • 8% resulted in transfers out
  • 1% resulted in commitments to JJC or DJJ
  • 30% resulted in dismissals
Probation Services: Chart 6.1
Petition Dispositions

Petition Dispositions by Month
September 2020 - May 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sep-20</th>
<th>Oct-20</th>
<th>Nov-20</th>
<th>Dec-20</th>
<th>Jan-21</th>
<th>Feb-21</th>
<th>Mar-21</th>
<th>Apr-21</th>
<th>May-21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petition Dismissed</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Out</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commit/Recommit to OOHP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commit to Juvenile Hall</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commit to DJJ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Wardship Probation/ 725A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>654 Informal Probation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>602 Ward Probation/Wardship Redeclared</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deep Dives

This month:
Annual Report 2020

Upcoming:
August: No meeting
September: CBO/Program Referrals
Questions?

THANK YOU