Monthly Statistics Report

• The Juvenile Probation Department is engaged in efforts to generate more comprehensive, accurate, and meaningful metrics.

• This report compiles data through the month before last (April 2021).
  • A small selection of the most recent month’s statistics are included: Admissions, Releases, Average Daily Population (ADP), and Out-of-Home Placement
  • A recent daily snapshot of the Juvenile Hall population will be included for the Commission meeting.

• Starting on page 5, each chart slide is preceded by a definition/methodology slide explaining the chart’s content.
  • A few metrics have shifted from last day of the month snapshots to average daily population to provide a more accurate representation of the Juvenile Hall population.

• Time periods range depending on data availability and are noted on each chart.
Juvenile Hall Demographics
June 7, 2021 (N = 18)

- **Girls**: 1 (6%)
- **Boys**: 17 (94%)

**Juvenile Hall Population by Gender**

- **Black**: 10 (56%)
- **Latinx**: 5 (28%)
- **AAPI**: 2 (11%)
- **Other**: 1 (5%)

**Juvenile Hall Population by Race/Ethnicity**

- **12 years old**: 1 (5%)
- **14 years old**: 2 (11%)
- **16 years old**: 2 (11%)
- **17 years old**: 9 (50%)
- **18 years old**: 3 (17%)
- **19 years old**: 1 (6%)

**Juvenile Hall Population by Age**

- **Non-OOHP**: 12 (67%)
- **Committed to JJC**: 1 (5%)
- **Pending Adjudication**: 2 (11%)
- **Pending Placement**: 3 (17%)
- **Committed to JIC**: 1 (5%)

**Juvenile Hall Population by OOHP Status**
Division of Juvenile Justice Demographics
April 30, 2020 (N = 4)

Note: All youth reflected in these graphs are post-release, none remain in DJJ facilities.
Juvenile Hall Chart 1.1 :
Admissions, Releases, Average Daily Population

• Chart 1.1 displays Juvenile Hall admissions and releases by calendar month, from January 2020- May 2021.
• The chart also displays the average daily population (ADP) by month: the average number of youth in custody per day for each month.

Trends
• There were 9 admissions and 11 releases in May.
• The ADP in May was 15 youth.
Juvenile Hall Chart 1.1: Admissions, Releases, Average Daily Population

Admissions, Releases, Average Daily Population, by Month
January 2020-May 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan-20</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-20</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul-20</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug-20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct-20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec-20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan-21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Juvenile Hall Charts 2.1, 2.2, & 2.3: Demographic Trends in Average Daily Population

- Chart 2.1 displays Average Daily Population (ADP) by gender, by month, for January 2020-April 2021.
- Charts 2.2 & 2.3 display ADP by race/ethnicity and age of the Juvenile Hall population for January 2021-April 2021.
- Note: Due to rounding errors, ADP by demographics may differ from overall ADP.

Trends
- In April 2021:
  - There was a daily average of 8 boys and 2 girls detained.
  - The racial/ethnic breakdown of the ADP in Juvenile Hall was 60% Black youth, 10% Latinx youth, 20% Asian/Pacific Islander, and 10% white youth detained.
  - The age breakdown of the ADP in Juvenile Hall was 10% 15-year-olds, 20% 16-year-olds, 40% 17-year-olds, 20% 18-year-olds, and 10% 19-year-olds.
Juvenile Hall Chart 2.1: Average Daily Population by Gender

Average Daily Population, by Gender, by Month
January 2020-April 2021

Note: Due to rounding errors, ADP by demographics may differ from overall ADP
Juvenile Hall Chart 2.2: Average Daily Population by Race/Ethnicity

Juvenile Hall Population by Race/Ethnicity
ADP, January-April 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>AAPI</th>
<th>Latinx</th>
<th>Black</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan-21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Due to rounding errors, ADP by demographics may differ from overall ADP.
Juvenile Hall Chart 2.3: Average Daily Population by Age

Juvenile Hall Population by Current Age
ADP, January-April 2021

Jan-21
Feb-21
Mar-21
Apr-21

Note: Due to rounding errors, ADP by demographics may differ from overall ADP
Juvenile Hall Charts 3.1 & 3.2: Average Length of Stay

- Chart 3.1 presents the average length of stay (ALOS) for: (1) youth released each month and (2) youth in custody on the last day of the month, for January 2020-April 2021.
- Chart 3.2 presents the median, minimum, and maximum length of stay for both groups.

**Trends**

- In April 2021:
  - The ALOS for youth released was 16 days, about the same as the 2020 average of 17.
  - The ALOS for youth in custody was 55 days, 21% higher than the 2020 average of 46.
- For the 12 youth released from Juvenile Hall throughout April, the ALOS was 17 days. The median was 7 days.
  - Two-thirds of youth were released within 10 days; 92% of youth were released within 30 days
- For the 16 youth in custody on April 30, the ALOS was 55 days. The median was 8 days.
  - 56% of the youth had been detained for less than 10 days; 75% had been detained less than 30 days.
Juvenile Hall Chart 3.1: Average Length of Stay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>ALOS (Releases)</th>
<th>ALOS (In Custody)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan-19</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul-19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug-19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct-19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec-19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan-20</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-20</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-20</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ALOS (Releases) and ALOS (In Custody) are shown for each month from January 2019 to April 2021.
Juvenile Hall Chart 3.2: Length of Stay - Range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Release Description</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Releases (N=12) 4/01/21 - 4/30/21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Custody (N=16) (as of 4/30/21)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Juvenile Hall Charts 4.1 & 4.2: Demographic Trends in Average Length of Stay

Charts 4.1 and 4.2 present the average length of stay (ALOS) for: (1) youth released each month and (2) youth in custody on the last day of the month by gender and race/ethnicity.

Trends

In April 2021:

By gender:
• Girls had a shorter ALOS than boys for both youth released (7 days versus 21 days) and youth in custody (44 days versus 56 days).

By race/ethnicity:
• Latinx youth had the shortest ALOS for youth released (2 days) and youth in custody (5 days), while Black youth had the longest ALOS for youth released (19 days) and youth in custody (84 days).
Juvenile Hall Chart 4.1: Average Length of Stay by Gender

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days for Girls, Released from Custody & Detained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Releases</th>
<th>In Custody</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan-21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days for Boys, Released from Custody & Detained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Releases</th>
<th>In Custody</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan-21</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Juvenile Hall Chart 4.2:
Average Length of Stay by Race/Ethnicity
Juvenile Hall Chart 5: Admissions by Primary Detention Reason

- Chart 5 displays Juvenile Hall admissions by primary detention reason for the entire month.
- Law mandates that youth brought into custody for the following must be detained until they can appear before a judge:
  - Youth at least 14 years old, arrested for personal use of a firearm in the attempt or commission of a felony; or any offense listed in Welfare and Institutions Code section 707(b).
  - Youth brought into custody pursuant to a court order, bench warrant, or arrest warrant.
  - Youth transferred in custody from another jurisdiction.
- Non-mandatory detentions include new law violations that are non-707(b) and/or felonies involving the use of a firearm, and detentions for technical violations—where the DRI outcome recommends detention, or where there was a DRI override.

Trends
- In April, there were 19 admissions to Juvenile Hall. 12 were mandatory (63%), including 6 for mandatory new law violations and 6 for warrants/court orders.
- The 7 non-mandatory detention admissions were for DRI Automatic Detention (1), DRI Score ≥ 11 (4) and Detention Override (2).
Juvenile Hall Chart 5: Admissions by Primary Detention Reason

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>New Law Violations</th>
<th>Warrant/ Court Order</th>
<th>Transfer Ins</th>
<th>Juvenile Case Transfer from County Jail/DJJ</th>
<th>DRI Automatic Detention</th>
<th>DRI Score: ≥ 11</th>
<th>Detention Override</th>
<th>Courtesy Hold</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total Admissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aug-20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct-20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec-20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan-21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department
Juvenile Hall Chart 6: Out of Home Placement Youth in Custody

- Chart 6 provides a snapshot of out of home placement (OOHP) youth in custody on the last day of each month for January 2020-April 2021.
  - OOHP includes youth awaiting placement, youth awaiting adjudication, and youth pending disposition.
- The chart also displays the percent of the entire Juvenile Hall population that is OOHP.

**Trends**
- On 5/31/21, 38% of youth in custody were OOHP.
  - On average since January 2020, 45% of youth in custody on the last day of the month were OOHP.
Juvenile Hall Chart 6: Out of Home Placement Youth in Custody

Out of Home Placement Youth in Custody
Last Day of the Month Snapshot, January 2020 - May 2021

Awaiting Placement: 4 3 9 6 4 1 5 6 4 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 2
Awaiting Adjudication: 4 8 2 3 2 3 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 2 4 2
Pending Disposition: 1 0 1 0 0 0 2 1 0 2 2 1 1 0 0 1 1
OOHP % Juvenile Hall: 29% 42% 71% 82% 60% 44% 47% 50% 24% 40% 25% 50% 33% 33% 50% 44% 38%
Probation Services Chart 1: Probation, CARC, & Make it Right Referrals

• The first Probation Services chart provides statistics about the number of probation referrals each month, the number of CARC referrals, and the number of referrals to Make it Right for January 2020-March 2021.

• CARC & Make it Right are both included in the total number of Probation Referrals.

• Note: Data on this chart will be refreshed monthly to account for delays in data entry for referrals.

Trends

In April 2021, there were 40 referrals to Probation:

• 28 were for felonies (70%)
  • 11 were for 707b offenses (28%)
• 9 were for misdemeanors (23%)
• 3 were for warrants and probation violations (7%)

There were 6 CARC referrals, and 2 Make it Right referrals in April
Probation Services Chart 1:
Probation, CARC, & Make it Right Referrals

Probation Referrals, CARC Referrals, & Make it Right Referrals
January 2020-April 2021

Probation Referrals | CARC Referrals | Make It Right
--- | --- | ---
Jan-20: 72 | 19 | 2
Feb-20: 95 | 17 | 2
Mar-20: 46 | 4 | 3
Apr-20: 37 | 3 | 1
May-20: 34 | 5 | 3
Jun-20: 34 | 5 | 1
Jul-20: 47 | 4 | 2
Aug-20: 39 | 2 | 1
Sep-20: 35 | 6 | 1
Oct-20: 60 | 6 | 2
Nov-20: 36 | 1 | 0
Dec-20: 40 | 2 | 1
Jan-21: 54 | 10 | 0
Feb-21: 35 | 3 | 2
Mar-21: 37 | 6 | 2
Apr-21: 40 | 2 | 0

San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department
Probation Services Chart 2.1: Probation Active Caseload

• Chart 2.1 provides the total number of active cases on JPD’s caseload for all units, both pre- and post-adjudication, as of the last day of the month, for January 2020-April 2021.

Trends
• On April 30, 2021, the monthly active caseload was 331.
  • There were 124 pre-adjudicated cases and 207 post adjudicated cases.

• The total active caseload has decreased from this time last year by 33%.
  • The pre-adjudicated caseload has decreased 47% from April 2020.
  • The post-adjudicated caseload has decreased 21% from April 2020.
Probation Services Chart 2.1: Probation Active Caseload

Probation Active Case Status
Last day of Month Snap Shot, January 2020-April 2021

- Pre-Adjudicated
- Post-Adjudicated
- Total

Jan-20: 493
Feb-20: 505
Mar-20: 519
Apr-20: 494
May-20: 485
Jun-20: 483
Jul-20: 467
Aug-20: 450
Sep-20: 430
Oct-20: 430
Nov-20: 405
Dec-20: 384
Jan-21: 369
Feb-21: 350
Mar-21: 345
Apr-21: 331

Jan-20: 268
Feb-20: 271
Mar-20: 270
Apr-20: 261
May-20: 256
Jun-20: 255
Jul-20: 252
Aug-20: 241
Sep-20: 232
Oct-20: 219
Nov-20: 210
Dec-20: 212
Jan-21: 213
Feb-21: 208
Mar-21: 207
Apr-21: 124

Jan-20: 223
Feb-20: 234
Mar-20: 249
Apr-20: 233
May-20: 229
Jun-20: 228
Jul-20: 215
Aug-20: 197
Sep-20: 189
Oct-20: 198
Nov-20: 186
Dec-20: 174
Jan-21: 157
Feb-21: 146
Mar-21: 137
Apr-21: 124

Jan-20: 0
Feb-20: 0
Mar-20: 0
Apr-20: 0
May-20: 0
Jun-20: 0
Jul-20: 0
Aug-20: 0
Sep-20: 0
Oct-20: 0
Nov-20: 0
Dec-20: 0
Jan-21: 0
Feb-21: 0
Mar-21: 0
Apr-21: 0
Probation Services Charts 2.2 & 2.3: Active Caseload by Unit & Average Caseload Size

- Chart 2.2 shows active caseload by Unit by month, reflecting the restructuring where two Intake Units were merged with Supervision to create two Vertical Units.
- Chart 2.3 shows the average caseload size per case manager by unit.
  - Note: This includes all JPD staff that carry a caseload, including CARC, as well as JPD’s two AB12 social workers. One supervisor also carries a smaller caseload, accounting for 0.5 of a case manager (N=18.5).

**Trends**

In April 2021:
- The largest caseload was AB12 at 105 youth and the smallest was Private Placement at 25 youth.
- The average caseload size per case manager was 19 youth.
- CARC had the highest average caseload size per case manager at 44 youth, while Private Placement had the lowest at 8 youth.
## Probation Services Chart 2.2: Active Caseload by Unit

### Probation Active Caseload (Post Unit Restructuring)
Last day of Month Snap Shot, November 2020-April 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nov-20</th>
<th>Dec-20</th>
<th>Jan-21</th>
<th>Feb-21</th>
<th>Mar-21</th>
<th>Apr-21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JCRU - AB12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCRU - Supervision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Placement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Columns Summary
- **Nov-20**: Total = 90
  - JCRU - AB12: 49
  - JCRU - Supervision: 24
  - Private Placement: 91
  - Vertical 2: 89
  - Vertical 1: 62
  - CARC: 62

- **Dec-20**: Total = 93
  - JCRU - AB12: 44
  - JCRU - Supervision: 25
  - Private Placement: 87
  - Vertical 2: 60
  - Vertical 1: 73
  - CARC: 73

- **Jan-21**: Total = 100
  - JCRU - AB12: 43
  - JCRU - Supervision: 21
  - Private Placement: 73
  - Vertical 2: 59
  - Vertical 1: 63
  - CARC: 63

- **Feb-21**: Total = 103
  - JCRU - AB12: 35
  - JCRU - Supervision: 24
  - Private Placement: 75
  - Vertical 2: 58
  - Vertical 1: 57
  - CARC: 57

- **Mar-21**: Total = 105
  - JCRU - AB12: 34
  - JCRU - Supervision: 23
  - Private Placement: 68
  - Vertical 2: 59
  - Vertical 1: 57
  - CARC: 44

- **Apr-21**: Total = 105
  - JCRU - AB12: 31
  - JCRU - Supervision: 25
  - Private Placement: 67
  - Vertical 2: 59
  - Vertical 1: 57
  - CARC: 44
Probation Services Chart 2.3: Active Caseload by Average Caseload Size

Average Size of Active Caseload Per Case Manager
Last Day of the Month Snapshot, January-April 2021
Probation Services Charts 2.4 & 2.5: Active Caseload Demographics

• The next two slides show active caseload demographics (race/ethnicity, gender, age), residential zip codes, and age as of the last day of the month (April 30, 2021). Chart 2.4 shows the age breakdown for the active caseload, by Unit.

Trends

• Girls represent 21% of the active caseload, and boys represent 79%

• 53% of the active caseload is Black, 31% is Latinx, 6% is AAPI, 6% white, and 4% is other/unknown race/ethnicity.

• 31% of youth supervised by JPD live in four zip codes, with the largest group (13%) living in Bayview/Hunter’s Point (94124).

• 56% of JPD’s active caseload is 18 or older.
  • The average age of youth supervised by JCRU is older than other units, with 89% of youth supervised being 18 or older.
Provision Services Chart 2.4: Active Caseload Demographics (N = 345)

Active Caseload by Gender
Snapshot: 4/30/21
- Girls, 69, 21%
- Boys, 262, 79%

Active Caseload by Race/Ethnicity
Snapshot: 4/30/21
- White, 19, 6%
- AAPI, 20, 6%
- Latinx, 102, 31%
- Black, 175, 53%
- Other, 14, 4%

Top Zip Codes
- 94124 Bayview/Hunter’s Point 42
- 94110 Mission/Bernal Heights 21
- 94134 Visitacion Valley/Sunnydale 20
- 94112 Excelsior/OMI 19
Probation Services Chart 2.5:
Active Caseload Demographics (N = 345)
Probation Services Chart 3.1, 3.2, & 3.3: Out of Home Placement

• Chart 3.1 shows all youth in Out of Home Placement by Gender, as of the last day of each month, for January 2020-May 2021, for all status categories (see OOHP Glossary):

• Table 3.2 provides details for each Out of Home Placement category, as of the last day of each month, for January 2021-May 2021.

• Chart 3.3 provides the county breakdown for all Out of Home Placements as of the last day of the month.

Trends
• The total OOHP population at the end of May 2021 was 43 youth, a 39% decrease from May 2020.

• On average in 2021, STRTPs account for 21% of OOHP, and RFAs account for 20%. At the end of May, RFAs accounted for 26% of all OOHP.

• At the end of May, 44% of OOHP youth were placed in San Francisco and 21% were placed in other Bay Area Counties.
  • 16% of OOHP youth were AWOL.
Probation Services Chart 3.1: Out of Home Placement by Gender

Out of Home Placement, by Gender
January 2020-May 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Transgender</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan-20</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-20</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-20</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-20</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-20</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-20</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul-20</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug-20</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-20</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct-20</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-20</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec-20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan-21</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-21</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-21</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-21</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-21</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Probation Services Chart 3.2: Out of Home Placement Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Out of Home Placement</th>
<th>Jan-21</th>
<th>Feb-21</th>
<th>Mar-21</th>
<th>Apr-21</th>
<th>May-21</th>
<th>Average %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pending Placement</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRTP</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWOL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending Disposition</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending Adjudication</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Trial (Re-Entry)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THP+FC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHRC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Treatment Facility</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Jail (adult criminal complaint)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THPP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warrant Hold</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Treatment Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committed to JJC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>45</strong></td>
<td><strong>42</strong></td>
<td><strong>39</strong></td>
<td><strong>40</strong></td>
<td><strong>43</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>Out of Home Placement</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calaveras</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contra Costa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Luis Obispo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solano</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanislaus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWOL</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of Home Placement by County
Snapshot: 5/27/21
## Out of Home Placement Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pending Placement</td>
<td>A youth who has been committed to out of home placement by the court but is waiting for interviews, notice of acceptance, and the scheduling of transportation is pending placement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRTP</td>
<td>A Short-term Residential Therapeutic Programs (STRTP), formerly referred to as a Group Home, is a residential facility operated by a public agency or private organization that provides an integrated program of specialized and intensive care and supervision, services and supports, treatment, and short-term 24-hour care and supervision to children and nonminor dependents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFA</td>
<td>A Resource Family (RFA) is a caregiver who provides out-of-home care for children in foster care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWOL</td>
<td>Absent without leave (AWOL) is a term used when a youth leaves their court ordered placement or home without approval or consent of the program or parent/guardian/caregiver.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending Disposition</td>
<td>A youth who has sustained charges and is now awaiting disposition or the court to make a determination on the outcome of the case.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending Adjudication</td>
<td>A youth previously ordered to out of home placement who has a petition filed and is moving through the court process however, the petition has yet to be found true or dismissed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Trial (Re-Entry)</td>
<td>The period of time a youth is provided by the Court upon returning from an STRTP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THP+FC</td>
<td>Transitional Housing Placement-Plus Foster Care (THP+FC), also referred to as AB 12, allows eligible foster youth to extend foster care beyond age 18 and up to age 21. The eligible foster youth are designated Non-Minor Dependents (NMDs) and are entitled to various foster placement options including Supervised Independent Living Settings (SILPs).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committed to JJC</td>
<td>When the Court orders a youth to remain in Juvenile Hall as their Disposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Treatment Facility</td>
<td>A locked facility that provides intensive clinical services to the highest needs youth experiencing mental health challenges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Jail (adult criminal complaint)</td>
<td>A detention facility that houses those that are 18 years of age and older when they are alleged to have committed a criminal offense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THPP</td>
<td>The Transitional Housing Placement Program (THPP) is a community care licensed placement opportunity for youth in foster care between the ages of 16 and 18 years old. The goal of THPP is to help participants emancipate successfully by providing a safe environment for youth, while learning skills that can make them self-sufficient.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Probation Services: Chart 4
Electronic Monitoring

• Chart 4 provides data about the number of youth on electronic monitoring as of the last day of each month, for January 2020-April 2021. Starting in September, we have also added the average length of monitoring.

Trends
• On April 30, 2021, there were 15 youth on electronic monitoring, with an average length of monitoring of 71 days.
  • 12 boys and 3 girls
  • 73% were Black, 20% were AAPI, and 7% were white
• There were 0 youth on alcohol monitoring.
Probation Services: Chart 4
Electronic Monitoring

Electronic Monitoring: Active Youth and Average Length of Stay
Last Day of Month Snap Shot, January 2020-April 2021

Youth on Electronic Monitoring
Avg. Length of Monitoring (Days)

33  30  39  46  45
17  27
19  17  17
16  20
16  15
14  15
93
66
83
63
81
77
64
71
Probation Services: Chart 5
Home Detention

- Chart 5 provides data for the number of youth on Home Detention as of the last day of the month for January 2020-April 2021.
- Chart 5 also shows the average length of Home Detention on the last day of the month.

**Trends**

- On April 30, 2021, there were 12 youth on Home Detention:
  - 9 boys and 3 girls
  - 67% were Black, 17% were Latinx, and 16% were AAPI
- The average length of stay on Home Detention for April 2021 was 56 days.
Probation Services: Chart 5
Home Detention

Home Detention: Active Youth and Average Length of Stay
Last Day of Month Snap Shot, January 2020-April 2021

Youth ALOS (Days)
Probation Services: Chart 6.1
Petition Dispositions

• Chart 6.1 shows all petition dispositions by month, since September 2020, when this chart was added to the report.

Trends
• For the 8 months for which we have data:
  • 32% of dispositions resulted in wardship probation (ward probation + wardship redeclared)
  • 12% resulted in out of home placement (commit + recommit to out of home placement)
  • 10% resulted in 654 informal probation
  • 5% resulted in 725(a) non wardship probation
  • 9% resulted in transfers out
  • 31% resulted in dismissals
## Probation Services: Chart 6.1

### Petition Dispositions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sep-20</th>
<th>Oct-20</th>
<th>Nov-20</th>
<th>Dec-20</th>
<th>Jan-21</th>
<th>Feb-21</th>
<th>Mar-21</th>
<th>Apr-21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>602 Ward Probation/Wardship Redeclared</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>654 Informal Probation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Wardship Probation/ 725A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commit to DJJ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commit/Recommit to OOHP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Out</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition Dismissed</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deep Dives

This month:
Time to Detention Hearing

Upcoming:
July: Annual Report 2020
August: No meeting
September: CBO/Program Referrals
Questions?

THANK YOU