

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lea McGeever](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: public comment
Date: Friday, April 29, 2022 5:01:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force again, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Please be aware that the Redistricting Task Force approved a final map on April 28, 2022. You may review the final map on the RTF map viewer, linked here:

[RDTF Map Viewer, FINAL MAP adopted April 28, 2022](#)

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lea McGeever <lea.mcgeeever@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 8:46 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: public comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

If public comments via email are still being taken, I'd like to add that I think D10 should have gotten the Chase Center so as to economically empower the Black community which has suffered so much disempowerment under this city.

Thank you,
Lea McGeever

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ana Rodriguez](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Trans Rights
Date: Friday, April 29, 2022 5:01:46 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force again, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Please be aware that the Redistricting Task Force approved a final map on April 28, 2022. You may review the final map on the RTF map viewer, linked here:

[RDTF Map Viewer, FINAL MAP adopted April 28, 2022](#)

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ana Rodriguez <anasr1283@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 8:52 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Trans Rights

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I stand in solidarity with transgender people! Protect the Transgender District!
To understand the threat the Transgender District is under, read the words of Jupiter Peraza, Director of Social Justice & Empowerment Initiatives at The Transgender District.

"This far in the process of redistricting - in which we have heard very different rationales on changes in respective districts - I simply cannot comprehend the stubbornness and willingness to split The Transgender District.

Splitting The Transgender District is a direct violation of the San Francisco City Charter, as it does not preserve the contiguity of the neighborhood or a community of interest comprised of transgender people.

The San Francisco Redistricting Taskforce (SFRT)

is engaging in blatant transphobia! It is transphobic to split the world's only transgender cultural district - and SF smallest legally-recognized cultural district - with absolutely no basis or legitimate claims.

It is absolutely SHAMEFUL, that despite contention happening across the country pertaining to trans rights, that SF would at least focus on preserving a small locale consisting of six blocks for trans people to call their own.

Where they belong. Where they are welcomed.

It is absolutely outrageous! And I say again: outright transphobic! The SFRT is deliberately ignoring a legal requirement outlined by the SF City Charter to keep communities of interest intact and contiguous by splitting The Transgender District. I have no other logic to justify why the SFRT is hellbent on splitting The Transgender District other than the fact that they DO NOT CARE about trans people. They don't even see us as real people to warrant their genuine consideration to keep The Trans District whole.

In my eyes, the SFRT is the same as Republican-led state legislatures across the country that are criminalizing being transgender & prosecuting parents for affirming the happiness of their children.

The actions of the SFRT mirrors the actions of Republican legislatures!

The SFRT has tossed the Healing Map because it favors racial and class division.

I am shocked at their inability to process their acts of transphobia by splitting The Transgender District in half.

The SFRT's refusal to acknowledge the blood, sweat and tears of three Black trans women (& the general trans community) to recognize and preserve transgender cultural heritage is WRONG and TRANSPHOBIC."

Please fight for transgender people and protect the world's only Transgender District!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lorenzo Gastelum Mena](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Protecting the Transgender District
Date: Friday, April 29, 2022 5:01:43 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force again, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Please be aware that the Redistricting Task Force approved a final map on April 28, 2022. You may review the final map on the RTF map viewer, linked here:

[RDTF Map Viewer, FINAL MAP adopted April 28, 2022](#)

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Lorenzo Gastelum Mena <lmena1@mail.sfsu.edu>

Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 4:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Protecting the Transgender District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

As a member of the LGBT+ community, I stand in solidarity with transgender people. It is such a shame that the city is trying to split such a culturally and historically important district. We must protect the transgender district, especially given San Francisco's stance on LGBT+ rights/safety. We as a society, and community must do what we can to support these individuals as that district has come to be their home; that home is a place where they are welcome and valued. The San Francisco Redistricting Taskforce is targeting them, and following tactics that have always been enacted to hinder marginalized communities from rightfully experiencing equity.

Please fight for transgender people, and protect this district!

Best,
Lorenzo M.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kate Montana](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Protect the Transgender District
Date: Friday, April 29, 2022 5:01:40 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force again, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Please be aware that the Redistricting Task Force approved a final map on April 28, 2022. You may review the final map on the RTF map viewer, linked here:

[RDTF Map Viewer, FINAL MAP adopted April 28, 2022](#)

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Kate Montana <kmontana13@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 3:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Protect the Transgender District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I stand in solidarity with transgender people! Protect the Transgender District!

To understand the threat the Transgender District is under, read the words of Jupiter Peraza, Director of Social Justice & Empowerment Initiatives at The Transgender District.

"This far in the process of redistricting - in which we have heard very different rationales on changes in respective districts - I simply cannot comprehend the stubbornness and willingness to split The Transgender District.

Splitting The Transgender District is a direct violation of the San Francisco City Charter, as it does not preserve the contiguity of the neighborhood or a community of interest comprised of transgender people.

The San Francisco Redistricting Taskforce (SFRT) is engaging in blatant transphobia! It is transphobic to split the world's only transgender cultural district - and SF smallest legally-recognized cultural district - with absolutely no basis or legitimate claims.

It is absolutely SHAMEFUL, that despite contention happening across the country pertaining to trans rights, that SF would at least focus on preserving a small locale consisting of six blocks for trans people to call their own.

Where they belong. Where they are welcomed.

It is absolutely outrageous! And I say again: outright transphobic! The SFRT is deliberately ignoring a legal requirement outlined by the SF City Charter to keep communities of interest intact and contiguous by splitting The Transgender District.

I have no other logic to justify why the SFRT is hellbent on splitting The Transgender District other than the fact that they DO NOT CARE about trans people. They don't even see us as real people to warrant their genuine consideration to keep The Trans District whole.

In my eyes, the SFRT is the same as Republican-led state legislatures across the country that are criminalizing being transgender & prosecuting parents for affirming the happiness of their children.

The actions of the SFRT mirrors the actions of Republican legislatures!

The SFRT has tossed the Healing Map because it favors racial and class division.

I am shocked at their inability to process their acts of transphobia by splitting The Transgender District in half.

The SRTF's refusal to acknowledge the blood, sweat and tears of three Black trans women (& the general trans community) to recognize and preserve transgender cultural heritage is WRONG and TRANSPHOBIC."

Please fight for transgender people and protect the world's only Transgender District!

Sincerely,

Kate Montana, resident of the Inner Sunset

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Keep Transgender Cultural District Whole
Date: Friday, April 29, 2022 5:01:38 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Kathleen Sullivan, PhD <ksullivan@openhousesf.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 9:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Transgender Cultural District Whole

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear committee.

On behalf of Openhouse and the transgender San Franciscans we work with and for I urge you to respect the sanctity of the Transgender Cultural District and keep it whole. The transgender community of San Francisco is a vital link our past as a city and to our future together. At Openhouse, we work with our community members, many of whom live in the district, and their resiliency and dedication to make this city a better place to live is inspiring and vital.

While I understand the pressure you are under, I urge you to honor our brothers and sisters who have worked long to make the Transgender Cultural District a vibrant home by keeping it in tack.

Sincerely yours,

Dr. Kathleen M. Sullivan

Kathleen M. Sullivan, Ph.D.
She/Her/Hers ([Why Pronouns Matter](#))
Executive Director

Openhouse

Bob Ross LGBT Senior Center
65 Laguna Street
San Francisco, CA 94102-6232
Direct Line: 415-728-0197
ksullivan@openhousesf.org
www.openhousesf.org

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Protect the World's Only Transgender Cultural District!
Date: Friday, April 29, 2022 5:01:36 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Jacob Gorneau <jgorneau@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 8:45 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Protect the World's Only Transgender Cultural District!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I stand in solidarity with transgender people! Protect the Transgender District!

To understand the threat the Transgender District is under, read the words of Jupiter Peraza, Director of Social Justice & Empowerment Initiatives at The Transgender District.

"This far in the process of redistricting - in which we have heard very different rationales on changes in respective districts - I simply cannot comprehend the stubbornness and willingness to split The Transgender District.

Splitting The Transgender District is a direct violation of the San Francisco City Charter, as it does not preserve the contiguity of the neighborhood or a community of interest comprised of transgender people.

The San Francisco Redistricting Taskforce (SFRT) is engaging in blatant transphobia! It is transphobic to split the world's only transgender cultural district - and SF smallest legally-recognized cultural district - with absolutely no basis or legitimate claims.

It is absolutely SHAMEFUL, that despite contention happening across the country pertaining to trans rights, that SF would at least focus on preserving a small locale consisting of six blocks for trans people to call their own.

Where they belong. Where they are welcomed.

It is absolutely outrageous! And I say again: outright transphobic! The SFRT is deliberately ignoring a legal requirement outlined by the SF City Charter to keep communities of interest intact and contiguous by splitting The Transgender District.

I have no other logic to justify why the SFRT is hellbent on splitting The Transgender District other than the fact that they DO NOT CARE about trans people. They don't

even see us as real people to warrant their genuine consideration to keep The Trans District whole.

In my eyes, the SFRT is the same as Republican-led state legislatures across the country that are criminalizing being transgender & prosecuting parents for affirming the happiness of their children.

The actions of the SFRT mirrors the actions of Republican legislatures!

The SFRT has tossed the Healing Map because it favors racial and class division.

I am shocked at their inability to process their acts of transphobia by splitting The Transgender District in half.

The SRTF's refusal to acknowledge the blood, sweat and tears of three Black trans women (& the general trans community) to recognize and preserve transgender cultural heritage is WRONG and TRANSPHOBIC."

Please fight for transgender people and protect the world's only Transgender District!

Jacob

T: 518.487.9889

jgorneau@gmail.com

<https://jacobgorneau.github.io>

[Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Instagram](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: THANK YOU
Date: Friday, April 29, 2022 5:01:34 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: dwight <dw5ight@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 1:58 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: THANK YOU

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hey Task Force --

I've been following your work for the last month. Holy cow, what an acrimonious process.

It's my sincere belief looking at the maps and available facts that you did a fair and difficult job that will benefit SF for the next 10 years. I'm deeply grateful, and hopefully you're not tooooooo scarred by the process.

Cheers, and thank you again!

Dwight Crow

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Please protect the Transgender District
Date: Friday, April 29, 2022 5:01:32 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Jan Mikhale Cajulao <jcajulao@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 12:05 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please protect the Transgender District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

My name is Jan, and I am a graduate student in molecular biology at SF State, heading on to UC Berkeley next fall to do a PhD in molecular biology as well. The diverse field of science welcomes and embraces trans people and recognizes their *intrinsic* value to society.

I wish that the redistricting task force does the same and recognizes trans people and protects their rights. San Francisco is known for progressive values and protection of vulnerable populations within the U.S. Being home to the first Transgender District highlights that fact, and therefore, must be preserved. I, and others, strongly believe that splitting up the Transgender District will hurt the Transgender community, and is a blatant act of transphobia. *The Transgender District is already the smallest of the 9 cultural districts and does not need to be split even more.* The voices and political power of trans people must be preserved, elevated, and honored, especially during a time when red states are passing laws that essentially wish to outlaw the existence of an entire population of human beings.

I firmly believe that all people, including and especially the Trans community, are inherently deserving of respect and should be treated justly. Please preserve the little power that the SF Trans community has and **keep the Transgender District whole.**

Best,
Jan

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Thank you RDF
Date: Friday, April 29, 2022 5:01:30 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

-----Original Message-----

From: ALICE <acxavier@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 12:04 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Thank you RDF

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you task force!

We apologize for the verbal abuse you ve had to endure. You got the job done!

Sincerely,

Alice & Chris Xavier
District 7

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Thaness you RTF
Date: Friday, April 29, 2022 5:01:27 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

-----Original Message-----

From: ALICE <acxavier@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 12:01 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Thaness you RTF

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you all for your tir

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Thank you for your dedication and hard work!
Date: Friday, April 29, 2022 5:01:24 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

-----Original Message-----

From: Martha E Conte <martha@ehmannconte.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2022 10:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Thank you for your dedication and hard work!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members and Chair,

I want to thank you for the hundreds of hours you put in to this very difficult and contentious process. You've conducted yourselves with integrity and honor and I and my family appreciate your commitment to this volunteer position and your sense of responsibility and civic duty in carrying out your work. All of San Francisco should be grateful to have citizens like you working so hard to fulfill the promise of our democratic system of free and fair elections, where every voter matters and is represented fairly.

Many thanks,

Martha

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Maps 4B, 4D, 7, Final Draft and Proposed Final Population Deviations Analysis
Date: Friday, April 29, 2022 5:01:22 PM
Attachments: [Population Deviation Analysis - Maps 4B, 4D, 7, Final Draft, Proposed Final.xlsx](#)

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Alec Bash <alec.bash@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2022 11:42 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Maps 4B, 4D, 7, Final Draft and Proposed Final Population Deviations Analysis

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear RTF Members,

I've updated my analysis of Population Deviations for the Easterly, Westesterly and Middle Supervisorial Districts, attached, to reflect your April 25th Proposed Final Map, and also corrected my addition error for the Westerly Districts in the Final Draft Map.

Thank you for your service.

Alec Bash
Gateway Tenants Association - VP External
155 Jackson Street
415.999.6273

Analysis of Population Deviations from the 79,545 Population Mean in Maps 4B, 4D, 7, Final Draft, Proposed Final - Alec Bash 4/26/22

DISTRICTS	EXISTING		MAP 4B		MAP 4D		MAP 7		Final Draft Map 4/21/22		Proposed Final Map 4/25/22	
	Population	% Deviation	Deviation	% Deviation	Deviation	% Deviation	Deviation	% Deviation	Deviation	% Deviation	Deviation	% Deviation
1	72,848	-8.31%	- 2,832	-3.56%	- 1,717	-2.16%	- 1,896	-2.38%	- 3,818	-4.80%	- 3,818	-4.80%
2	76,363	-3.89%	- 2,213	-2.78%	- 2,476	-3.11%	- 1,724	-2.17%	- 3,043	-3.83%	- 3,595	-4.52%
3	72,474	-8.78%	921	1.16%	3,023	3.80%	3,221	4.05%	131	-0.16%	244	-0.31%
4	72,784	-8.39%	- 3,547	-4.46%	- 3,547	-4.46%	- 3,547	-4.46%	- 3,547	-4.46%	- 3,547	-4.46%
5	80,667	1.53%	3,515	4.42%	3,726	-4.68%	3,862	-4.86%	3,639	4.57%	3,961	4.98%
6	103,564	30.35%	- 3,790	-4.76%	3,963	4.98%	3,737	4.70%	- 3,949	-4.96%	- 3,536	-4.96%
7	75,436	-5.05%	1,243	1.56%	- 1,041	-1.31%	290	-0.36%	856	-1.08%	856	-1.08%
8	82,418	3.73%	3,269	4.11%	- 2,893	-3.64%	- 3,617	-4.55%	3,945	4.96%	3,875	4.87%
9	75,829	-4.56%	2,332	2.93%	3,543	4.45%	3,119	3.92%	2,018	2.54%	2,018	2.54%
10	86,323	8.65%	54	0.07%	3,823	4.81%	3,811	4.79%	2,601	3.27%	2,601	3.27%
11	76,287	-3.98%	1,046	1.31%	1,046	1.31%	1,046	1.31%	3,139	3.95%	3,139	3.95%
Total Population	874,993											
Mean	79,545											
Deviation	No More Than +/- 5%, i.e. 75,568-83,522											

MAP 4B & MAP 4D DEVIATIONS					MAP 7 & FINAL DRAFT MAP 4/21/22 DEVIATIONS					MAJOR CHANGES FROM US CENSUS 2010				
Sum Total		Mean % of Mean			Sum Total		Mean % of Mean			District 6 has added		District 10 has added		
MAP 4B					MAP 7					24,000 people to be redistributed within the 11 Districts		almost 7,000 people to be redistributed within the 11 Districts		
East 3, 6, 9, 10	- 483	-121	99.85%	East 3, 6, 9, 10	13,888	3472	104.36%							
West 1, 2, 4, 7	- 7,349	-1837	97.69%	West 1, 2, 4, 7	- 7,457	-1864	97.66%							
Mid 5, 8, 11	7,830	2610	103.28%	Mid 5, 8, 11	4,056	1352	101.70%							
										PROPOSED FINAL MAP 4/25/22				
MAP 4D					FINAL DRAFT MAP					Sum Total		Mean % of Mean		
East 3, 6, 9, 10	14,352	3588	104.51%	East 3, 6, 9, 10	539	135	100.17%	East 3, 6, 9, 1	839	210	100.26%			
West 1, 2, 4, 7	- 8,781	-2195	97.24%	West 1, 2, 4, 7	- 11,264	-2816	96.46%	West 1, 2, 4, 7	11,816	-2954	96.29%			
Mid 5, 8, 11	- 5,573	-1858	97.66%	Mid 5, 8, 11	10,723	3574	104.49%	Mid 5, 8, 11	10,975	3658	104.60%			

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Maggie Weis](#); [Elisa Laird](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Portola western boundary belongs at PERU AVE
Date: Wednesday, April 27, 2022 9:54:47 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force again, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Maggie Weis <chair@portolasf.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2022 11:10 AM
To: Elisa Laird <elisalaird@gmail.com>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Portola western boundary belongs at PERU AVE

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings Task Force,

Tagging onto Elisa's email, please note that moving these Portola blocks, from Cambridge to Peru, back to D9 brings D11 and D9 into better population representation. If they remain in D11, D11 vote is diluted. If they move back into Portola, each district achieves equal representation and deviation averages out (lowers for D11 and slightly increases for D9) so that they are actually more equal.

On a side note, have heard from folks in D11 they do not wish to have their vote diluted and I have encouraged them to write in.

Thank you again for all your efforts and may you each have some recovery time/recreation/leisure when this all wraps up.

Best,
Maggie Weis

On Mon, Apr 25, 2022 at 4:00 PM Elisa Laird <elisalaird@gmail.com> wrote:

Greetings Task Force Members:

The most recent version of the redistricting map moved the north-western boundary of the Portola and moved several blocks of the Portola into District 11, and therefore no longer keeping the Portola united, as we have repeatedly asked for. The Portola Neighborhood Association has multiple members on those blocks.

The western boundary of the Portola neighborhood rightly belongs at Peru Ave. and Felton Street. This is clear from a simple look at the street map: all the Portola streets run east and west, making a natural boundary where Excelsior's streets meet as they run northeast/southwest. The dividing line is Peru Ave, or at the very least Madison Street, the spot where Avalon Ave. changes names and becomes Felton Street as it progresses from the Excelsior to the Portola District.

This change of a few blocks is very significant for the Portola in keeping it together, but will make a nearly insignificant change to the relative number of residents in each district. The Portola was long divided, and only since this neighborhood has been united has it been able to make long sought improvements in the quality of residents' lives. ***Please allow the Portola to stay unified within one District for the next 10 years.***

Elisa Laird

Longtime Portola resident and Board Member of the Portola Neighborhood Association

--

Maggie Weis, Chair
stewardship of our vibrant community

cell: (415) 420-7603

portolasf.org

sign up for our [email list](#)

become a steward of the community • [join the PNA](#)

Funded by the [SF Office of Economic and Workforce Development](#)

Fiscal sponsor: [Bay Area Community Resources](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Savannah Blackwell; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D5 RESIDENTS OBJECT TO THE MAP ADOPTED AT YOUR 4/21/22 MEETING
Date: Wednesday, April 27, 2022 9:54:43 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force again, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Savannah Blackwell <savannah.blackwell@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2022 5:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D5 RESIDENTS OBJECT TO THE MAP ADOPTED AT YOUR 4/21/22 MEETING

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force: I am writing to express the vehement objection that my husband, Patrick Villano, and I have to the map that you adopted on a 5-4 vote on April 21, 2022.

I have lived at 330 Parnassus Avenue for 26 and a half years. My husband has lived here with me for the last 14 of those years. We live in an apartment building that is located two blocks south of Kezar Stadium. This area has always been part of District 5. The nearest commercial districts are Cole Valley and Upper Haight Street. That is where we shop and eat, and that is the community we have always considered ourselves part of.

The map you adopted on 4/21/22 puts us in District 8. That does not make sense. We do not identify with the Castro/Noe Valley area. These neighborhoods are not within walking distance, and we do not go there to shop or eat. Residents living a couple blocks south of Kezar Stadium have never identified with District 8. We identify with District 5. You have cut us out of the community that we consider home. You have disenfranchised us from the supervisor whom we helped elect and whose job performance and representation we find highly commendable.

This map breaks up communities and neighborhoods with the obvious goal of diminishing the voting power of tenants who identify as progressive in San Francisco. IT IS OBVIOUSLY THE RESULT OF PRESSURE FROM THE MAYOR'S OFFICE AND AN AGGRESSIVE PUSH FROM THE REAL ESTATE INDUSTRY.

Please go back and do the right thing: jettison the map adopted 4/21/22 and adopt Map 7, the map that we can all support, i.e., the "HEALING MAP."

Thank you
Savannah Blackwell and Patrick Villano
Cell: (415) 902-0507

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Elisa Laird](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Maggie Weis](#)
Subject: RE: Portola western boundary belongs at PERU AVE
Date: Wednesday, April 27, 2022 9:54:40 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force again, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Elisa Laird <elisalaird@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2022 4:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Maggie Weis <chair@portolasf.org>
Subject: Portola western boundary belongs at PERU AVE

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings Task Force Members:

The most recent version of the redistricting map moved the north-western boundary of the Portola and moved several blocks of the Portola into District 11, and therefore no longer keeping the Portola united, as we have repeatedly asked for. The Portola Neighborhood Association has multiple members on those blocks.

The western boundary of the Portola neighborhood rightly belongs at Peru Ave. and Felton Street. This is clear from a simple look at the street map: all the Portola streets run east and west, making a natural boundary where Excelsior's streets meet as they run northeast/southwest. The dividing line is Peru Ave, or at the very least Madison Street, the spot where Avalon Ave. changes names and becomes Felton Street as it progresses from the Excelsior to the Portola District.

This change of a few blocks is very significant for the Portola in keeping it together, but will make a nearly insignificant change to the relative number of residents in each district. The Portola was long divided, and only since this neighborhood has been united has it been able to make long sought improvements in the quality of residents' lives. ***Please allow the Portola to stay unified within one District for the next 10 years.***

Elisa Laird

Longtime Portola resident and Board Member of the Portola Neighborhood Association

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lea McGeever](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: 4/11/22 Public comment for SF Redistricting Taskforce public comment
Date: Monday, April 25, 2022 3:19:22 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force again, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lea McGeever <lea.mcgeeever@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2022 2:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: 4/11/22 Public comment for SF Redistricting Taskforce public comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I love the transgender district. Important to my trans partner and myself. Fluid at la cocina.SF has the First (only?) transgender district. Breaking it up and diluting transgender peoples power makes y'all no better than the GOP passing anti-trans bills across this country in places like Texas and Alabama. If we're not even heard here! In SF! The where the hell will trans people be safe. Is it vitally important that you keep the transgender community together! This map effects the next ten years of trans ppl representation. Coup. National elections. California is currently working on making CA a refugee haven for trans ppl. If we can't even keep the transgender district United in SF, what hope do trans ppl have in this country?

My name is Lea McGeever.

My dear wife who is a trans woman has been sick these past few days from anti-trans bills passing including the stress of SF (which is y'all) trying to dilute trans power with this redistricting in a time when she's fighting for her survival.

And she's only been living as a trans woman for 5months! In SF! My heart goes out to those who've been fighting much longer.

We need to keep the first trans gender district in this country Whole! I'm sleep deprived so I'll read a trans activists Laur Bereznai words:

"we absolutely can't rely on the legislative process or democrat majorities.

Every time I see us playing nice or trusting cis "allies" to do the right thing, we get fucked over.

Every time we stay quiet as to not rock the boat, we suddenly find ourselves overboard anyways.

Every time we "work together", we get stabbed in the back (or face).

Yes, we need people working for our liberation from the inside & we need allies within the political machine.

But on its own, that will never be enough. Cis people are constantly showing us that carrots aren't enough & we will need sticks if we want them to do the right thing.

So don't be afraid to ruffle feathers. Don't be afraid to make a mess, to be an angry tranny, to scream at the top of your lungs. Don't be afraid to make cis people in power angry, uncomfortable & annoyed. Make them realize that we won't leave them in peace until we win."

There are a lot of face stabbers in this room.
In Solidarity with those fighting for their oppressed communities.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lea McGeever](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public Comment for SF Redistricting Task Force, April 21, 2022
Date: Monday, April 25, 2022 3:19:18 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force again, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lea McGeever <lea.mcgeeever@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2022 2:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment for SF Redistricting Task Force, April 21, 2022

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Lea McGeever's Public Comment for SF Redistricting Task Force, April 21, 2022:

Thank you for listening to Black, brown and LGBTQ+ communities and postponing the map to make amendments. I am here in solidarity with these communities.

For the map, please keep this country's first transgender cultural district whole so that our voting power is not diluted and we have a chance for survival in this country.

Just today The Florida Department of Health issued guidelines telling doctors to medically de-transition all transgender youth and banned them from social transition treatment, including "name, pronoun, and clothing changes."

In Missouri testimony for their anti-trans bills advocated for banning gender affirming care up to age 25. They are quickly proceeding with a trans genocide designed to remove trans people from existence.

In case you're not following the attempted genocide of trans people in this country, I'll read you the list of laws anti-trans bills that have advanced in this country since I last saw yall on April 13th. There's so many I fear I'll run out of time as there are **18** of them across **7** states:

On April 13th:

Missouri HB2734 "No athletic team or sport designated for females, women, or girls shall be open to students of the male sex as assigned at birth for games "

Missouri HB2461 "No public school shall knowingly allow a student of the male sex who is enrolled in such public school to participate on a school-sponsored athletic team that is exclusively for students of the female sex."

Missouri HB2197 "No school district or charter school shall allow any student to compete in an athletics competition that is designated for the biological sex opposite to the student's biological sex as correctly stated on the student's official birth certificate...No school district or charter school that violates this section shall receive any state aid under this chapter or any other revenues from the state."

Kentucky SB83 "an athletic activity or sport designated as "girls" shall not be open to members of the male sex. 2. The sex of a student for the purpose of determining eligibility to participate in an athletic activity or sport shall be determined by a student's biological sex as indicated on the student's certified birth"

April 14th:

Tennessee SB2153 “Intercollegiate or intramural athletic teams or sports that are designated for "females," "women," or "girls" and that are sponsored, sanctioned, or operated by a public institution of higher education or by a private institution of higher education whose students or teams compete against public institutions of higher education shall not be open to students of the male sex.

South Carolina S1259 “Prohibits Physicians From Performing Gender Reassignment Surgery On Minors, And To Prohibit Physicians From Prescribing Or Administering Certain Substances For The Purpose Of Attempting To Alter The Appearance Of Or Affirm The Minor's Perception Of His Gender If That Appearance Or Perception Is Inconsistent With The Minor's Biological Sex.”

April 19th:

Tennessee SB2777 “As introduced, specifies that a teacher or other employee of a public school [] is not required to refer to a student using the student's preferred pronoun if the pronoun does not align with the student's biological sex; insulates a teacher or other employee of a public school [] from civil liability and adverse employment action for referring to a student using the pronoun aligned with the student's biological sex instead of the student's preferred pronoun.”

Tennessee HB1895 (withholds state money from Local Education Agencies that respect trans kids genders) “requires the commissioner of education to withhold a portion of the state education finance funds that an Local Education Agency is otherwise eligible to receive if the Local Education Agency fails or refuses to determine a student's gender, for purposes of participation in school sports, by the student's sex at the time of birth

Arizona HB2161 “HB 2161 would make it illegal for a government employee (teacher) to withhold information that is “relevant to the physical, emotional or mental health of the parent’s child (including gender identity).”

April 20:

Tennessee SB0435 “Knowingly invites, entices, or **fraudulently induces** another into a residence for the purpose of attaining sexual arousal or gratification”

Tennessee HB2633 “As introduced, specifies that a teacher or other employee of a public school or LEA is not required to refer to a student using the student's preferred pronoun if the pronoun does not align with the student's biological sex; insulates a teacher or other employee of a public school or LEA from civil liability and

adverse employment action for referring to a student using the pronoun aligned with the student's biological sex instead of the student's preferred pronoun."

Tennessee HB2316 "As introduced, prohibits males from participating in public higher education sports that are designated for females"

Tennessee HB0474 "Knowingly invites, entices, or **fraudulently** induces another into a residence for the purpose of attaining sexual arousal or gratification"

Louisiana SB44 "Each intercollegiate, interscholastic, or intramural athletic team or sporting event that is sponsored by a school and that receives state funding shall be expressly designated, based upon biological sex...No government entity, licensing or accrediting organization, or athletic association shall entertain a complaint, open an investigation, or take any other adverse action against a school, school board, or postsecondary education management board for maintaining a separate intercollegiate, interscholastic, or intramural athletic team or athletic event reserved for students of the female biological sex"

April 21:

Missouri SB843 "This section shall be known and may be cited as the "Missouri Save Adolescents from Experimentation (SAFE) Act". 4. Any referral for or provision of gender transition procedures to an individual under eighteen years of age shall be considered unprofessional conduct and any health care provider doing so may be subject to discipline by the appropriate licensing entity or disciplinary review board with competent jurisdiction in this state

Missouri SB781 "This act shall be known and may be cited as the 'Save Women's Sports Act.' No athletic team or sport designated for females, women, or girls shall be open to students of the male sex as assigned at birth.

No governmental entity, licensing or accrediting organization, or athletic association or organization shall entertain a complaint, open an investigation, or take any other adverse action against a school or institution for maintaining separate interscholastic or intramural athletic teams or sports for students of the female sex as assigned at birth.

No public school or a private middle school or high school, or a public or private institution of postsecondary education, that has biological males as assigned at birth playing women's sports shall be eligible for any moneys appropriated by the general assembly"

Missouri HB2649 “Public funds shall not be directly or indirectly used, granted, paid, or distributed to any individual, entity, or organization that provides gender transition procedures to an individual under eighteen years of age.... Any referral for or provision of gender transition procedures to an individual under eighteen years of age is unprofessional conduct and shall be subject to discipline by the appropriate licensing entity or disciplinary review board”

Kansas SB160 “Enacting the fairness in women's sports act to require that student athletic teams only include members who are of the same biological sex unless designated as coed.”

source:

<https://freedomforallamericans.org/legislative-tracker/anti-transgender-legislation/>

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lea McGeever](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: 4/25/2022 SF Redistricting Taskforce public comment
Date: Monday, April 25, 2022 3:19:12 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force again, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lea McGeever <lea.mcgeeever@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2022 2:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: 4/25/2022 SF Redistricting Taskforce public comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Lea McGeever, I literally walk over here from my home in Trans District.

It is disappointing and demoralizing when i see people who should be in solidarity with the people of District 6 and not split up the Tenderloin and SOMA, to not dilute the vote and representation of Filipino, Black, Indigenous, trans and LGBTQ+ people, immigrants and working class people, homeless ppl, who cannot afford to skip work or who are not well enough to be here. I've heard here today how important it is that communities have a district supervisor that'll help them. Splitting up TL and SOMA makes it harder for us to get that.

I am privileged to work from home as a SF State cell & molecular biology masters student and so I've been neglecting my research to be here screaming & crying for those who are too oppressed to take time off for this.

White supremacy requires Black, Indigenous and Asian and Pacific Islander, other racialized people of color to turn on each other and oppress each other in the hopes of getting some more benefits of white power in a white supremacist society. You may think you're making gains for you or your people, but that's just in the short term. In the long term, in this country, if you're not as white as a heterosexual blue eyed, blonde Christian man, you're just hurting yourself and your people.

For those that voted to split up the Tenderloin and SOMA, today you are not allies of LBGTQ+, Indigenous, Black, brown, immigrant and working class people, even if you identify as any of those. Because you chose oppression over solidarity.

I hope one day soon you'll realize how you were a tool for white supremacy and will work to undo the damage you've done here

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Christy J Styer](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: lbenedict55@gmail.com
Subject: RE: proposal for new District 1 boundary
Date: Monday, April 25, 2022 3:19:07 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force again, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christy J Styer <cjstyler@bassilaw.com>
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2022 2:19 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: lbenedict55@gmail.com
Subject: proposal for new District 1 boundary

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern:

I strongly object to the proposal to place the homes north of Anza Street in District 2.

Geary is the natural border between District 1 and District 2. Those of us who live south of Geary between Geary and Anza do not feel part of the District north of Geary. We are immediately across the street from Rossi Park and believe that it is crucial to be able to work with a supervisor whose district includes us and Rossi Park. We also think it is important that two supervisors are invested in the Geary Street plan that makes such an impact on the lives in both Districts.

Moreover, our neighborhood association—Francisco Heights Civic Association—would be sliced in two by such a redrawing of the border. The impact of our association would be diluted by being in two different supervisorial districts.

Please do not cut us off from our natural neighbors in Francisco Heights and the Park.

Thank you.

Christy Styer
45 Almaden Ct
San Francisco CA 94118
415.350.9191

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [cheryl.thornton](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: IMG_1883.PNG
Date: Monday, April 25, 2022 3:19:01 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force again, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.


***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: cheryl.thornton <cherylthornton@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2022 2:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: IMG_1883.PNG

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include this flyer in the record.

REDISTRICTING TASK FORCE: PLEASE PUT CHASE CENTER IN D10



*D6 HAS ORACLE PARK.
WE NEED COMMUNITY BENEFITS
TO ENHANCE THE ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITIES
FOR OUR D10 RESIDENTS.*

**WHEN: MON APRIL 25, 2022 @ 10AM
WATCH: [HTTPS://BIT.LY/3K07TQ3](https://bit.ly/3K07TQ3)
PASSWORD: COMMENT
CITY HALL, ROOM 408**

FOR MORE INFO: CHERYL THORNTON @ 415-374-5100

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Eleanor Cox](#)
Subject: FW: Inner Sunset - images to share today
Date: Monday, April 25, 2022 3:18:53 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force again, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eleanor Cox <eleanor_ruth@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2022 12:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Inner Sunset - images to share today

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.


Dear task force,

These images are clipped from a 2017 report published by the Mayor's office of housing and community development on rent controlled housing stock in the city. I want to direct your view towards the Inner Sunset neighborhoods. The patterns clearly align in an east-west direction and show a lack of connection between the Inner Sunset and those neighborhoods located to our south.

-Eleanor Cox




ABSOLUTE NUMBER OF 'RENT CONTROLLED' UNITS PER NEIGHBORHOOD SAN FRANCISCO





PERCENT OF 'RENT CONTROLLED' UNITS PER NEIGHBORHOOD SAN FRANCISCO



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Virginia Burenin](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep District 1 Together
Date: Monday, April 25, 2022 3:18:33 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force again, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Virginia Burenin <snbgb@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2022 6:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep District 1 Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I have been an active member for over 25 years of the Francisco Heights Civic Association, which was founded in **1937**.

I request that the Association remain intact, represented by District 1.

Splitting the Association is illogical and unnecessarily divisive.

For eighty five years we've been a cohesive group - it makes no sense to separate us now.

We choose to align with District 1, and respectfully request that you reconsider this potentially disastrous change in redistricting.

Thank you for your consideration and your hard work on this project.

Ginger Burenin

Sent from my iPhone