regina sneed; REG - Redistricting Taskforce To:

Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS) Subject: RE: April 4 meeting

Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:29:21 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

----Original Message-----

From: regina sneed < reginasneed@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:51 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce < rdtf@sfgov.org> Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS) < prestonstaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: April 4 meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To taskforce members:

I attended this meeting remotely. I left to go to bed at 11:30 PM. You should have too. It's UNBELIEVABLE that you would go back on your vote to work with Map 4D and do so in the middle of the night. When I left the meeting, you were working on this map. I thought the meeting should have stopped due to the hour but I trusted that you were going in the right direction.

This is not transparency. The next meeting starts with mapping. It appears from the agenda that The public will not have a chance to voice it's response to this abrupt change in direction until you finish a mapping session.

I strongly disagree with your splitting District five up into three supervisor districts, You have heard all the testimony supporting the reasons why this District should be kept with the boundaries in map 4D. I will not repeat it here.

I strongly request that you start your meeting earlier in the day and limit the time to no later than 10 PM. That time is still too late for some but within reason under this short time frame.

I think the Supervisors should amend the ordinance to allow for more time to do tgis job correctly.

Regina Sneed
Resident of District 5

Sent from my iPad

Larry Roberts; REG - Redistricting Taskforce To:

Subject: RE: Keep District 5 together

Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:29:13 AM Date:

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

----Original Message-----

From: Larry Roberts < larrybob@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 9:44 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce < rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Keep District 5 together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi -

I have lived in District 5 since district elections began 20 years ago. I used to live on Oak And Baker and now I live in Hayes Valley.

District 5 has been a coalition of neighborhoods, each with their own flavor, but with many similarities in their communities.

D5 did not require much change based on the census, but map 4B makes the slicing up of D5 with an excuse of evening out other districts. But as map 4D and the Unity map shows, this is not necessary.

Time is running out. Please listen to the voices of the voters who are asking you not to carve up District 5.

Larry Roberts 520 1/2 Linden St SF CA 94102 415-378-5446

To: mikeapaul@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and

U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment. Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:29:07 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

Date:

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Mike Paul <noreply@jotform.com> Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 8:34 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the

Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From your constituent Mike Paul

Email <u>mikeapaul@gmail.com</u>

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multigenerational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
- 2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. The Inner Sunset for months has been advocating for its desire to NOT be split up. While D4 and D5 want to tear the Inner Sunset apart, the only solution for the Inner Sunset to remain a community is to be united with Golden Gate Heights -

which both neighborhoods have expressed throughout the process that they want to remain together. Also, the only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal mapthat preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

To: juliepaul164@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and

U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment. Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:29:02 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

Date:

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Julie Paul <noreply@jotform.com> Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 8:34 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Julie Paul

Email <u>juliepaul164@gmail.com</u>

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multigenerational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
- 2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. The Inner Sunset for months has been advocating for its desire to NOT be split up. While D4 and D5 want to tear the Inner Sunset apart, the only solution for the Inner Sunset to remain a community is to be united with Golden Gate Heights -

which both neighborhoods have expressed throughout the process that they want to remain together. Also, the only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal mapthat preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

To: ayhc69@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.

Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:29:00 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Angie Yap <noreply@jotform.com> Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 7:30 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs

together!.

From your constituent Angie Yap

Email <u>ayhc69@gmail.com</u>

I am a resident of District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
- 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
- 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
- 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

carole glosenger; REG - Redistricting Taskforce To:

Subject: RE: Support for map 4B

Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:53 AM Date:

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: carole glosenger <carole.glosenger@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 7:12 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support for map 4B

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

We, the Cole Valley Improvement Association, strongly support the 4B version of the various redistricting maps. The map makes sense because it keeps communities of interest together. We want to keep the Upper Haight Ashbury, Cole Valley and Buena Vista Park neighborhoods together. We have membership in these three neighborhoods and we are a community of interest. We support the Cole Valley commercial district merchants and pay a crew to clean Cole Street twice daily. We support Grattan school with grants. Some of our members clean the Alvord Lake area at the entrance to Golden Gate Park once per month. CVIA supports businesses and activities on Haight Street. The lycee Francais French grammar school and Urban High Schools are within our boundaries and many of our members attend those schools.

It is vital that the Upper Haight starting at Oak Street and the Cole Valley and Buena Vista neighborhoods be together and incorporated into District 8. We have more in common with the Castro and Noe Valley and the 4B map allows these communities to join together. Please note CVIA was mistakenly added to the list of supporters of the Community Unity Map. We do not support that map.

Thank you, Task Force members, for working so hard on this very difficult task.

Sincerely,
Carole Glosenger, President
Cole Valley Improvement Association

To: Larry Adelman; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: Please go back to 4D!

Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:48 AM Date:

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Larry Adelman <LA@newsreel.org> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 6:49 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please go back to 4D!

Dear Task Force Members:

I was shocked to learn of your 3:00 AM maneuver this morning reversing your Sunday vote which had endorsed draft Map 4D.

I have lived in San Francisco since 1971, residing at my current residence in Bernal just off of Cortland Street since 1985 where my wife Andrea Snow and I raised a family.

I watched online Sunday as residents from across the City argued in favor of draft 4D to keep communities of interest together and to preserve the voting power of Black, Brown, low-income and other historically disadvantaged communities. I couldn't agree more and was pleased when you listened to the public and voted 8-1 in favor of 4D.

I was also moved by Rev. Arnold Townsend's impassioned cry over the displacement African Americans from San Francisco over the decades.

But Map 4B will only further dilute the political power of Black communities and hasten the erasure of African Americans from San Francisco. Another reason I don't understand your vote.

What happened? Why would you ignore the input of the community and change course in the dead of night to adopt a map which will only increase the political power of the City's more affluent neighborhoods?

I am not a demographer. But having lived in the City for more than 50 years, it's clear to me that the Tenderloin and SOMA have a long history together and should remain intact; that slicing up the Haight (as 4B does, and which btw, has long been connected to the Fillmore as well as NOPA) politically decimates one of San Francisco's most historic neighborhoods; that placing Potrero Hill in a 4B's newly configured District Six will disenfranchise the residents of Potrero Terrace and Annex; that Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace, by virtue of their wealth, are connected to and share a community of interest with Presidio and Pacific Heights not the Richmond District.

I could go on. These are just a few of the many defects of Map 4B.

I urge you to return to draft Map 4D. If Russian Hill feels that 4D currently splits the neighborhood, it could be moved into District 2 (as our current map already does with much of it). Redistricting is not an easy process. You know that much better than I. But you finally had it within your grasp with Map 4D. Please return to map 4D.

Sincerely,

Larry Adelman 243 Bonview Street San Francisco, CA 94110

Quinn Todd; REG - Redistricting Taskforce To:

Subject:

Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:44 AM Date:

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Quinn Todd <quinnsky90@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 6:36 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject:

Good evening, my name is Quinn

I'm from the TL/SOMA community and have lived in SF for 4 years

We must move forward with map 4-D

We have to keep West SOMA, Central SOMA, and the Tenderloin whole and together Please do not carve up the SOMA LGBTQ cultural district and split up historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza from Mr. S Leather, or Oasis from the Powerhouse or Wicked Grounds Keep the Western Boundary at Van Ness and Division

The Southern Boundary must be Townsend

We cannot split up our LGBTQ communities, cultural assets, and important nightlife corridors like on 11th St and Folsom Street

Splitting up the TL & West and Central SOMA splits up several communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API, Filipino, and LGBTQ

Splitting up the TL & West and Central SOMA also unnecessarily splits up renters and people living in affordable housing

If D6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in D3, putting the hub in D5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established

Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in D2 would also help solve many issues

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years, violates the law

Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard

Keep the Tenderloin, West SOMA, and Central SOMA whole and together Thank you

To: Eli Kind; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: Recent Redistricting

Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:39 AM Date:

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Eli Kind <eli.kind@icloud.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 6:18 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Recent Redistricting

Good evening, my name is Jake Dontavion,

I'm from the TL/SOMA community and have lived in SF for 23 years. We must move forward with map 4-D.

We have to keep West SOMA, Central SOMA, and the Tenderloin whole and together.

Please do not carve up the SOMA LGBTQ cultural district and split up historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza from Mr. S Leather, or Oasis from the Powerhouse or Wicked Grounds!!!

Keep the Western Boundary at Van Ness and Division.

The Southern Boundary must be Townsend.

We cannot split up our LGBTQ communities, cultural assets, and important nightlife corridors like on 11th St and Folsom Street!!!

Splitting up the TL & West and Central SOMA splits up several communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API, Filipino, and LGBTQ!!!

Splitting up the TL & West and Central SOMA also unnecessarily splits up renters and people living in affordable housing.

If D6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in D3, putting the hub in D5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established;

Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in D2 would also help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years, violates the law!!!

Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard!!!

Keep the Tenderloin, West SOMA, and Central SOMA whole and together Thank you,

Jake S Dontavion

Simply More Love & Light

From: **REG - Redistricting Taskforce** To: SchuT; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: **RE: Supervisor Districts**

Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:34 AM Date:

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

----Original Message-----

From: SchuT <<u>schuttishtr@sbcglobal.net</u>> Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 5:36 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce < rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Supervisor Districts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Committee,

What would be so terrible to keep the Districts as they are now?

That would solve your problem.

If it is not broken, please don't break it with the Map created in the wee small hours of April 5th when most people were asleep.

Thank you.

Georgia Schuttish

To: robin@draperrichards.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:29 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



a Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Robin Donohoe <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 5:32 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ro

Robin Donohoe

Email

robin@draperrichards.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in

D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support map 4B because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong.

Thank you!

From: **REG - Redistricting Taskforce** To: John; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: Map 4 B Is an Embarrassment to Your Task Force"s Express Mission and Must Be Rejected

Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:23 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: John <serop2@alumni.nd.edu> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 5:28 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Map 4 B Is an Embarrassment to Your Task Force's Express Mission and Must Be Rejected

To Whom It May Concern:

As a San Francisco (and District 5) resident of almost 20 years, I write to express my utter disappointment at your last-minute decision to reconsider Map 4 B, a map that seems to go out of its way to spread my neighborhood over as many different districts as possible.

I realize that you have a difficult job to accomplish, and that you must weigh the needs of many communities and groups. I also understand that no everyone will be happy with the final map. With these thoughts in mind, it's still baffling to me how you believe that Map 4 B could possibly even be considered a viable map. One of your goals is to keep neighborhoods as intact as possible, yet just around where I live, what used to be District 5 would be included in 5 different districts, including my own would-be district (District 2) that has almost nothing in common with my neighborhood in terms of diversity, median income, housing density, or even geographic location within the city. If District 5 has to move eastward, that's fine. I can accept no longer being in District 5. But there's no reason that I should be able to walk less than a mile in a straight line and cross 5 separate district boundaries.

As much as possible, you need to keep the Haight-Ashbury, the Panhandle, Alamo Square, Japantown, and the Western Addition together. Map B not only separates those neighborhoods; it has up to 4 or 5 districts in the very same tiny neighborhood. I would be in District 2, but when I cross Divisadero Street (my corner) to catch the bus, I would suddenly be in District 5. If I walked a half mile west from my door, I would be in District 1, and if I walked the 4 blocks south to Haight Street, I would be in District 8.

Please reconsider your awful decision to revert to Map 4 B and give us a map that maintains the integrity of our neighborhood. District 5 is known as one of the most progressive districts in the city, and there are more renters here than in many other neighboring districts. Your 3 a.m. decision to revert to an already rejected map makes me wonder just how nonpartisan your task force is. Are you intentionally trying to break up the political power of a centralized, very clearly defined district? I don't know for sure, but it I wouldn't bet against that notion. Please prove me wrong. Please choose a map that respects the neighborhoods in the current District 5. If we have to lose a neighborhood, that's fine, but it should be the entire neighborhood, not bits and pieces of it.

Sincerely, John Simonian

To: Zach Erbe; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: Keep District 5 Together

Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:17 AM Date:

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Zach Erbe <zesandler@gmail.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 5:16 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Keep District 5 Together

I'm appalled by the proposed D5 map (map 4B) splitting the district in half and extending it to cover the tenderloin and SOMA. Preserve the current footprint of D5 and stop forcing unexplained and unjustified changes. We are a community that is vastly different than the tenderloin and SOMA and should remain separate. Preserve the old district boundary that represented common community.

This 4B map should be rejected immediately!

https://lwvsf.org/news-blog/f/task-force-reverses-decision-votes-for-map-4b-sidelines-4d

Thanks, Zach Erbe

Lael Robertson; REG - Redistricting Taskforce To: RE: I support the Map 4D District 10 Subject: Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:11 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Lael Robertson < laelrobertson5@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 5:14 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org> **Subject:** Re: I support the Map 4D District 10

oops. That is from Lael Robertson 94107

On Tue, Apr 5, 2022 at 5:14 PM Lael Robertson < laelrobertson5@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://bit.ly/374YEAg). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

To: John Cawley; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: Redistricting of District 5 Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:04 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: John Cawley <john@pacgourmet.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 5:12 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting of District 5

Dear Task Force members,

I am extremely disturbed and puzzled why my district is being hacked into a new configuration that scarcely resembles itself. A 3 A.M.decision to abandon the more popular and representative choice of Map 4D seems either a mistake due to sleep deprivation or a cynical choice to satisfy the Mayor's political prerogatives over the will of the people. The wealthiest neighborhoods in the city hardly seem to suffer from under-representation at city hall. A deliberate attempt to oust the more progressive supervisors on the board seems the real intent and goal. Newt Gingrich would approve. Please consider the effect this will have on the neighborhoods who know and trust their current elected officials only to see them gerrymandered out by this plan.

Thank you,

John Cawley 975 Grove St. San Francisco 94117

This message, including files attached to it, may contain confidential information that is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this information contained in this message, or the taking of any action in reliance upon the information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and destroy any and all copies of the original message.

To: <u>tkanaley@yahoo.com</u>; <u>REG - Redistricting Taskforce</u>

Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:46:15 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Thomas Kanaley <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:35 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs

together!.

From your constituent

Thomas Kanaley

Email

tkanaley@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
- 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
- 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
- 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

Siobhan Dolan; REG - Redistricting Taskforce To:

Subject: RE: Anything but 4B

Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:46:10 PM Date:

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Siobhan Dolan <sdolan@gmail.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:20 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Anything but 4B

Dear Task Force-

Please, you cannot take the large block of public housing in the South East corner of Potrero Hill and use it as a balance against the wealth of the rest of PH and SOMA as is the case in the awful 4B map. Restore the representation for the south side of Potrero Hill by placing it back in D10. I receive the impassioned emails from neighborhood orgs about how we must "keep PH together" by fighting for the inclusion of small slices of wealthy areas to the north while fully ignoring wonderful parks and public schools being sliced out to the south. I know the task force has a difficult job, and any map has pros and cons, however 4B represents, on the east side, a terrifying concentration of power for those who sue SFMTA when they don't like a new transit line or endlessly stall Rebuild Potrero. Please, please, please vote no to 4B. Please.

To: Dana S.; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force - Feedback - Use Map 4D

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:46:03 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Dana S. <dsniezko@gmail.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:16 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting Task Force - Feedback - Use Map 4D

Hello,

I expect better from this task force!

That six members of the committee made a 2:53am u-turn, selecting 4B instead of 4D is shameful and embarrassing. The task force should listen to community feedback and move forward with 4D as a starting point. We are back at square one, with the TL and much of Western SoMa chopped apart.

Redistricting is meant to be a fair and transparent process. What we have instead is gerrymandering in the dead of night, a clear attempt to concentrate even more power in this city with the powerful and special interests, rather than producing fair maps. The only reasonable option going forward is 4D.

Dana Sniezko District 6 Resident

To: juliepaul164@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:45:59 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



a Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Mike Paul <noreply@jotform.com> Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:00 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Mike Paul

Email

juliepaul164@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recents immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that make it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in

D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support map 4B because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong.

Thank you!

To: juliepaul164@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:45:53 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



a Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Julie Paul <noreply@jotform.com> Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 3:59 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Julie Paul

Email

juliepaul164@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recents immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that make it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in

D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support map 4B because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong.

Thank you!

To: David Driver; REG - Redistricting Taskforce Subject: RE: Voicing my support for map 4B Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:45:48 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: David Driver <davidrandolphdriver@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 3:27 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: Voicing my support for map 4B

Hello RDTF,

I want to repeat my statement of support for Map 4B, for the reasons detailed below.

Thanks, David Driver

On Wed, Mar 30, 2022, 1:08 PM David Driver < davidrandolphdriver@gmail.com wrote:

Hello Redistricting Commission,

I would like to voice my support for maps 4B and 4C.

I cannot support map 4D because all the west-side districts are underpopulated and all the east-side districts are overpopulated. Map 4D leaves no room for the population growth which is going to continue to be weighted to the city's east side.

I understand that it is hard to move old boundaries. But we should new create districts that can survive long into the future. If we avoid rebalancing now, we'll just have to do it 10 years from now. And it will be even harder then. Let's "rip the bandaid off" now and get it done right.

I also support combining the Western Addition with the Tenderloin in D5.

I'm a former Haight-Ashbury resident, and I saw how the political center of gravity in the current D5 is weighted towards the Haight, NoPa and Sunset neighborhoods. The Western Addition is largely ignored and taken for granted in current D5 politics. So combining the Western Addition with the Tenderloin (and possibly Central Soma) will give those core city neighborhoods a much stronger voice.

Additionally, the Haight is a wobbler neighborhood. It has connections to the surrounding neighborhoods north, west and south, and can easily integrate into those supervisorial districts if needed. Haight residents are adept at making themselves heard, and they will not suffer a decline in representation no matter which district gets that neighborhood.

Finally, as a current Portola resident, I see the value in moving us to D10. While I am happy with our current situation in D9, there <u>is</u> a strong connection between the Portola, Vis Valley, and the Bayview. So I would support combining all those neighborhoods in D10 -- especially if it helps rebalance other areas of the map.

Thank you for your work, David

David Driver 193 Dartmouth Street San Francisco, CA 94134-1427 davidrandolphdriver@gmail.com

From: **REG - Redistricting Taskforce** To: Igpetty; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: NO NO NO Map 4B

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:45:40 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: lgpetty < lgpetty@juno.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 2:30 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: NO NO NO Map 4B

Dear Task Force Members:

Please return to map 4D.

Without any public input, and totally against all principles of democracy and preserving Communities of Interest, last night you threw out the 4D map that was, in a previous meeting, overwhelmingly approved by the public and that you yourselves publicly approved in a vote of 8-1.

Last night you had no basis in fact, logic, or law to throw out that 4D map.

Please restore 4D and apply a scalpel for refinements; not a hatchet.

I am a District 5 low-income Fillmore senior and voter in San Francisco for over 50 years and have previously urged you to keep our currently unified D5 COI's together.

Map 4B would destroy our historical D5 unity of COI's and destroy our COI's individually by splintering them into ineffectual exile, or isolating them into oblivion.

This Task Force is failing the people, failing its mandated duties, and failing democracy.

Restore 4D and get back to the people's work.

Thank you. Lorraine Petty

To: <u>laurenpierik@yahoo.com</u>; <u>REG - Redistricting Taskforce</u>

Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:45:35 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: LAUREN PIERIK <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 2:23 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs

together!.

From your constituent

LAUREN PIERIK

Email

laurenpierik@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
- 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
- 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
- 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

To: <u>aislin.palladino@gmail.com</u>; <u>REG - Redistricting Taskforce</u>

Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:45:29 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Aislin Palladino <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 2:04 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs

together!.

From your constituent

Aislin Palladino

Email

aislin.palladino@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 4

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
- 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
- 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
- 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

To: Roan Kattouw; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: Minimizing district changes perpetuates inequities

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:56:45 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Roan Kattouw < roan.kattouw@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:45 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Minimizing district changes perpetuates inequities

Dear members of the Redistricting Task Force,

I was not able to call into last night's meeting, so I'm submitting a comment by email instead.

Many public commenters, and at least one of the alternative maps that has been circulated by advocacy groups, argue for not modifying districts that don't "need to be" modified because they're less than 5% away from the target population, or for minimizing the difference between the current map and the new map. However, I urge you not to see minimizing changes as a goal, or even as a good thing, because it results in inequitable outcomes, and perpetuates existing inequities.

In the current map, some districts are wildly underrepresented or overrepresented. For example, D6 (+30.35%) and D10 (+8.65%) are way too big, so its residents are underrepresented, while D1 (-8.31%), D3 (-8.78%), D4 (-8.39%) are way too small, and its residents are overrepresented. This is obviously inequitable, but that's what this redistricting process is for, to correct these inequities.

Some advocates have suggested, explicitly or implicitly, that all that needs to be done is make the smallest changes possible to get each of the districts within the +/- 5% variance that is allowed. That is not technically accurate (additional criteria need to be met, otherwise only +/- 1% is allowed), but more importantly such an approach would perpetuate the existing inequities. It would result in a map in which D6/D10 are just under 5% too big (say +4.9%), and D1/D3/D4 are just under 5% too small (say -4.9%). That's a huge difference in representation: a D6/D10 resident would be underrepresented by about 10% compared to a D1/D3/D4 resident, and the main reason those communities would be underrepresented is *because they were underrepresented before*.

Arguments that districts that are less than 5% away from the target size don't need to change at all are similarly flawed. For example, D9 is at -4.56% with its current borders, meaning it "doesn't need to change" in order to stay within the +/- 5% variance. But that would overrepresent D9 residents relative to residents of other districts, and not for any good reason, only because D9 residents were overrepresented before.

Keeping underrepresented districts underrepresented, and overrepresented ones overrepresented, is not equitable. It makes the existing inequities a little less bad, but also perpetuates them for the next decade. The redistricting process should fix these inequities, not perpetuate them with minimal improvements. If this task force were to take such a minimal change approach, and future task forces did the same, districts like D6 and D10 would be underrepresented *in perpetuity*, for decades to come, unless and until population growth patterns change dramatically. That's a deeply inequitable outcome that should be rejected.

D6 and D10 can reasonably be expected to experience greater population growth than the other districts over the next decade, if for no other reason than that that's what happened this past decade. This makes things even worse, because it means that these districts will become more underrepresented over time. Members Ho and Castillon pointed this out at last night's meeting. Member Pierce countered that the task force should focus on the present, rather than the future. If

the task force chooses to focus on the present, it should not be distracted by the past, and it should be concerned that a map where D6 and D10 are around +4.5% or more while D1 and D4 are around -4.5% would be deeply inequitable, not just in the future, but *today*. It would create a situation where the starting point is that the east side districts are underrepresented by 9%+ compared to the west side districts, even before any population growth is considered.

The task force should avoid such an outcome, and should pursue a map with lower variances, especially for districts that have been dramatically over/underrepresented for the past several years.

Roan Kattouw

Rick Girling; REG - Redistricting Taskforce To:

PrestonStaff (BOS) Cc:

RE: Shenanigans at the RDTF! Subject: Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:52:33 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Rick Girling <rzgirling@gmail.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:44 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org> **Cc:** PrestonStaff (BOS) prestonstaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: Shenanigans at the RDTF!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear RDTF,

I am appalled to learn that at 2:30 last night, you reversed your endorsement of map 4D to embrace 4B which has minimal community support.

What mysterious phone call made you change your mind"? Which SF billionaires convinced you that the majority of San Fransicans who are dissatisfied with your map proposals, such as the extreme left League of Women Voters, are misguided? In whose interest do you work for?

As someone who has lived in San Francisco for 47 years and taught in SF public high schools McAteer, Galileo and Lowell and has lived in the Richmond, the Mission, Noe Valley, the Excelsior, Glen Park and Bernal Heights, and raised 2 sons that graduated from SF public schools, I see my city being taken over by extreme wealth that is indifferent to community needs.

I beg you to look deeply at your actions in this redistricting and assure the public that this is non-partisan and not playing to the special interests of the wealthy.

Rather than refusing to even consider the Community Unity Map, you need to embrace the calls from those who are most active in addressing the many pressing economic, social and environmental needs of our city.

Sincerely, Rick Girling 182 Banks St SF CA 94110

To: Sigrun Graeff; REG - Redistricting Taskforce RE: Support for D3 United Neighborhoods Plan Subject:

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:52:31 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Sigrun Graeff <sigrungraeff@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:00 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org> Subject: Support for D3 United Neighborhoods Plan

Dear all,

Please find herewith my wholehearted support for the D3 United Neighborhoods Plan.

Living in the area since nearly a decade I feel it is crucial for the District to keep the integrity of existing neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, Nob Hill, Polk Street, and Barbary Coast).

This proposal/D3 does not create new splits in any neighborhood nor any new divisions in D3 racial/ethnic populations under the city charter.

The alternative proposal would completely separate Chinatown and Barbary Coast from the other neighborhoods who have expressed the common desire to remain in District 3 together.

The D3 United Neighborhoods Plan would be reflecting communities of interest by maintaining solidarity among neighborhood groups having a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with their fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.

Thank you for supporting the D3 United Neighborhoods Plan.

Kind regards,
Sigrun Graeff

Joycelin Craig; REG - Redistricting Taskforce To: Subject: RE: Request from OAA for one District Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:52:23 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Joycelin Craig <jcraig@astrosociety.org>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:56 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Request from OAA for one District

Redistricting Task Force 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, Ca 94102 (415) 554-4445 rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend, Vice-Chair Reiner, and Honorable Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

My Name is Joycelin Craig, Board of Director of the Ocean Avenue Association and 30+ year resident in District 7, Westwood Park. I am writing to express my strong desire to keep the Ocean Avenue Community Benefits District, also known as Ocean Avenue Association, in one single district - District 7. In other words, **precinct 9749 should remain in District 7.**

Ocean Avenue Association works closely with the supervisor of the district in the area between Manor Drive and FWY 280 to better the area in terms of cleanliness, beautification and marketing. This represents a business corridor located between Geneva and Manor Drive. This is at this time entirely in District 7 and we have full commitment from our supervisor. Ocean Avenue is an important business corridor in the southern part of San Francisco and we need the full commitment of our elected officials.

Proposals have been made to split this section of Ocean Avenue into 2 separate districts, D7 on the North side and D11 on the South side of the Avenue. We do not want to split our important business corridor in 2 separate districts. This will simply dilute our strength as a growing business corridor. We wish to remain in District 7, 100% as is.

This is a business district and businesses need to remain together on both sides of Ocean Avenue. We urge you to keep Ocean Avenue in one single district as all businesses share a unified vision for this corridor. We recognize the desire to unify the Ingleside neighborhood, but the commercial corridor serves a larger purpose and is affiliated with all the neighboring communities, not just the OMI.

Thank you for considering this appeal for unity on Ocean Avenue.

Joycelin Craig

Board Member, OAA

Business Information:

Joycelin Craig
she/her/hers/earthling
Director, Membership & Communications
w. 415.715.1417 www.astrosociety.org
390 Ashton ave. San Francisco, Ca 94112
Occupying the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone peoples

To: Rachel Noto; REG - Redistricting Taskforce Subject: RE: Unify the Inner Sunset in District 7 Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:52:18 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Rachel Noto <rachnoto@yahoo.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:54 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Unify the Inner Sunset in District 7

Hi,

My name is Rachel Noto. I am an educator with the public schools in San Francisco. I live with my two young children in the Inner Sunset near Lawton Street.

I support Map 4D to unify the Inner Sunset in District 7. Our neighborhood groups (GGHNA, SHARP, Inner Sunset Park Neighbors and the Inner Sunset Merchants Association) have all expressed a strong desire to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map, with District 7 bounded by the six-lane 19th Avenue on the west and Golden Gate Park to the north.

In addition, we hope you will include a portion of Golden Gate Park in our district, as my girls and I spend a lot of time in the Park and those issues impact District 7 residents.

Thank you,

Rachel Noto

To: Shirley Lima; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: Keep Ocean Avenue Corridor in One Single District

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:52:12 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Shirley Lima <hello@shirleylima.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:45 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Keep Ocean Avenue Corridor in One Single District

Via Email

Redistricting Task Force 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, Ca 94102 (415) 554-4445 rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend, Vice-Chair Reiner, and Honorable Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

My Name is Shirley Lima, Board Chair of the Ocean Avenue Association. I am writing to express my strong desire to keep the Ocean Avenue Community Benefits District, also known as Ocean Avenue Association, in one single district.

Ocean Avenue Association works closely with the supervisor of the district in the area between Manor Drive and FWY 280 to better the area in term of cleanliness, beautification and marketing. This represents a business corridor located between Geneva and Manor Drive. This is at this time entirely in District 7 and we have full commitment from our supervisor. Ocean Avenue is an important business corridor in the southern part of San Francisco and we need the full commitment of our elected officials.

Proposals have been made to split this section of Ocean Avenue into 2 separate districts, D7 on the North side and D11 on the South side of the Avenue. We do not want to split our important business corridor in 2 separate districts because this will put a huge burden on our tiny staff of 2 people to manage funding and expectations from multiple supervisors.

This is a business district and businesses need to remain together on both sides of Ocean Avenue. We urge you to keep Ocean Avenue in one single district as all businesses share a unified vision for this corridor. We recognize the desire to unify the Ingleside neighborhood, but the commercial corridor serves a larger purpose and is affiliated with all the neighboring communities, not just the OMI.

Thank you for considering this appeal for unity on Ocean Avenue,

Shirley Lima

Board Chair

Ocean Avenue Association

To: Stacie Morris; REG - Redistricting Taskforce Subject: RE: I support the Map 4D District 10 Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:52:02 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Stacie Morris <ddlslm@yahoo.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:14 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from me and many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://bit.ly/374YEAg). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Please keep District 10 in Map 4D, or adopt the proposed District 10 Unity Map.

Thanks, Stacie

To: Ben Holfeld; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: SF - request to not include Tenderloin in Rincon Hill District

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:51:58 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Lick here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Ben Holfeld <ben@holfeld.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:14 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: SF - request to not include Tenderloin in Rincon Hill District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

During the SF re-districting effort, as a resident of Rincon Hill / SOMA - I request not to include Tenderloin in the Rincon Hill district. Thanks!

--

Ben Holfeld

Kendra Stitt Robins; REG - Redistricting Taskforce To:

Subject: RE: Love map 4B

Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:51:53 PM Date:

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Kendra Stitt Robins <kendrasrobins@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:09 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Love map 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thanks!

Kendra Robins (current D5 resident / Haight Ashbury)

To: chelseawaite@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:51:47 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Chelsea Waite <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:01 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs

together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent

Chelsea Waite

Email

chelseawaite@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
- 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
- 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
- 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

To: karoline; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: What the [expletive] happened last night???

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:51:33 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

----Original Message-----

From: karoline < karoline@khatch.com > Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:45 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce < rdtf@sfgov.org> Subject: What the [expletive] happened last night??? This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Firstly, I do not envy your positions. This would be a hard situation for anyone to tackle.

That said, what happened last night???? 4B please absolutely NO ONE. And certainly not me, and at nearly 3 in the MORNING?? This is complete baloney and I disagree strenuously with this decision and all the ways you went about making it. You should be ashamed of yourselves.

Go back to 4D. That is not perfect, but is a better place to start on almost ALL districts.

Very sincerely, Karoline Hatch-Berens 94117

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Christy Lai

Cc: **David Dubinsky**

Subject: FW: Letter from the Pomeroy Recreation & Rehabilitation Center

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:51:19 PM

Attachments: Letter to Redistricting Task Force - 2022.04.05.pdf

image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Christy Lai <clai@prrcsf.org> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: David Dubinsky <ddubinsky@prrcsf.org>

Subject: Letter from the Pomeroy Recreation & Rehabilitation Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Please find attached a letter from the Pomeroy Recreation & Rehabilitation Center's CEO, David Dubinsky, urging your support to keep our facility within District 7, along with the San Francisco Zoo and Lake Merced. We are concurrently sending the hard copy of this letter by post.

Best,	
Christy	
_	
Christy Lai Grants & Contracts Manager, Pomeroy Recreation & Rehabilitation Ce	enter
clai@prrcsf.org http://www.prrcsf.org 207 Skyline Blvd, San Francisco, CA 94132	

207 Skyline Blvd. San Francisco CA, 94132 Website: www.prrcsf.org

Note: The information contained in this e-mail message and any attachments are confidential and intended only for the addressee(s). If you are not an addressee, you may not copy or disclose the information, or act upon it, and you should delete it entirely from your email system. Please also notify the sender that you received this e-mail in error.



Board of Directors
Officers

Ken Jones

Chair Wendy Suhr

Vice-Chair

Chenxing Ferensen *Treasurer*

Deborah Frederick, M.D.

Secretary

John Bruno
Terry Dollard
Beth Gregg
Mark Hazelwood
Claudia Jung
Matthew Miller
Scott E. Miller
Sean O'Neill
Tanya Peterson
Phillip Shamlian
Steve Sirianni

Directors Emeritus

Hon. Raymond J. Arata, Jr. David F. Elgart

Chief Executive Officer

David Dubinsky



Accredited Employment Services

Contributions are tax deductible, Federal ID#94-1394025. The Center is a Non-profit organization chartered by the State of California.

April 5, 2022

Redistricting Task Force 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94122 (415) 554-4445 rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am writing as the CEO of the Pomeroy Recreation & Rehabilitation Center to urge your support to keep our facility within District 7, along with the San Francisco Zoo and Lake Merced. We were founded in 1952 by Janet Pomeroy at a time when there were no other recreation centers dedicated for people with disabilities. We are nationally and internationally renowned for our unique programs serving people with developmental disabilities through our leadership in vocational rehabilitation, education, respite care, and other community-based programs. For many years, we have maintained a close relationship with the leadership in District 7 and we currently work closely with them as our programs evolve. As there are no residents living at the Pomeroy Center, maintaining our site in District 7 would have no impact on voting results within the city. I am sure the leadership of the San Francisco Zoo feels the same way about this redistricting project.

We are proud to serve thousands of residents across San Francisco and the region. The Pomeroy Center rents out our facilities to community and private uses because of its close proximity to Lake Merced. We also have a close partnership with our neighbor, the San Francisco Zoo, offering animal visits for our program participants.

We recognize the challenge before the Redistricting Task Force in balancing every Supervisorial District by population while attempting to keep communities of concern intact. The area surrounding the Pomeroy Center and the Zoo is not residential, but serves as a significant cultural and recreational community adjoining the nearby Lake Merced. We believe our facilities

should be represented within one district for us to sustain the level of continuity and consistency we need at the Board of Supervisors and maintaining our site in District 7 would help accomplish that goal. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

David Dubinsky

CEO

To: Shilpa Agrawal; REG - Redistricting Taskforce Subject: RE: Comments on proposed redistricting - D6

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:55 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Shilpa Agrawal <shilpa.sam21@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:02 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org> Subject: Comments on proposed redistricting - D6

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I have reviewed the maps and I would like to advocate for the version of the D6 map that separates D6 from the Tenderloin.

The economic and residential characteristics of the two areas are very different. A lot of the Rincon Hill/South Beach/Mission Rock area is newer construction, higher density housing, with relatively little retail.

The TL area is primarily low-density housing with different challenges.

In the current environment, the supervisor is focused on the challenges of the TL. I feel that South Beach areas get very little input and representation as a result.

To adequately represent our needs, the Tenderloin should be moved out of D6.

Thank you!

To: <u>awickman87@gmail.com</u>; <u>REG - Redistricting Taskforce</u>

Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:50 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Andrea Miller <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:02 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs

together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent

Andrea Miller

Email

awickman87@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
- 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
- 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
- 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

To: sftonyfox@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:45 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Anthony Fox <noreply@jotform.com> Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:00 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs

together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent

Anthony Fox

Email

sftonyfox@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 6

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
- 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
- 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. The Tenderloin has no connection to SoMa.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

To: Ted kramer; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

RE: REGARDING RUSSIAN HILL BOUNDARIES FOR REDISTRICTING - D2/D3 Subject:

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:41 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



a Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Theodore Kramer < theodore.kramer@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:47 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: REGARDING RUSSIAN HILL BOUNDARIES FOR REDISTRICTING - D2/D3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Redistricting Task Force Commissioners,

My name is Teddy Kramer and I am a resident of Russian Hill and a small business owner here in the City. I am on the board of Russian Hill Neighbors and its local business chair, I am on the board of NEXT Village, and am a member of North Beach Neighbors and the Telegraph Hill Dwellers. I have also been involved in the conversations of D3 United.

I am writing this note in my personal capacity as a resident of San Francisco. The comments below are my own and are not the official positions of any of the organizations listed above.

I'd like to advocate for you to implement map 4D for the following reasons listed below:

An appropriate split of City services across both districts

By splitting Russian Hill down Larkin you are properly dividing the following City services across two Districts:

District 2: Polk Street NCD, major SFTA bus lines (Van Ness and Polk Street), alignment with Central station police station lines.

District 3: Russian Hill parks/tourism (Russian Hill Park, George Sterling Park, Lombard Street, Hyde Street cable car, aquatic park), historic resources, and the majority of protected residential zoning.

It is also important that no schools will be impacted by map 4D. Galileo High stays in District 2 and local elementary and middle schools stay in D3 (Yick Wo and New School SF).

This only benefits Russian Hill and prevents some of the finger pointing that happened across both districts when it came to certain matters (See: Van Ness BRT). It makes each supervisor's responsibilities to Russian Hill clear and clean.

The Polk CBD and Discover Polk CBD are more like Union & Chestnut than Columbus & Grant

Over the coming week you will hear people oppose you putting the Polk CBD into D2. **What you have done is correct!** The Polk CBD has matured in the last decade and a simple eye test will show that it operates and is regulated much more like the Union Street and Chestnut Street commercial corridors than Columbus Avenue in North Beach and Grant Street in Chinatown.

Therefore, it should be in a supervisorial district that understands those challenges and can offer similar solutions rather than one that either ignores it or allows it to self-regulate, which is not what we need during these tough times for small business.

It is also a bit discouraging that at the 25th hour that many folks are starting to speak up for the commercial district, when it was never one of their initial talking points. They are simply trying to

use the Polk CBD as a bargaining chip which is not in the best interests of our merchants who are going through the immenses challenges caused by COVID-19.

The Van Ness border is artificial and ultimately everything will be okay

Finally, and most importantly, any opposition to the lines in Map 4D and a push to make Russian Hill's western border Van Ness is simply **based on a fear of change and an unwillingness to make small sacrifices for the betterment of all of San Francisco.**

It is disappointing that many in this community are placing their stakes in the ground that Van Ness MUST be our western border. Their reasoning of it being about "historic preservation" is an ineffective talking point and ultimately irresponsible.

The task at hand for D3 has been to absorb some of D6's growth from the past 10 years. Ultimately, the East Cut makes the most sense. Therefore, we need to give something up in order for other united and bonded communities of interest in D6 to stay together. It is our duty as San Franciscans to make small sacrifices so that larger issues can be properly resolved.

In conclusion, should you advance map 4D as is, or with some minor modifications **EVERYTHING WILL BE OKAY.** We will still be able to shop at the same shops, we will have a much clearer understanding of which supervisor to go to for specific issues/problems, and hopefully, ten years from now we will have seen all of the great work you have done has allowed for our community to thrive.

Thank you for your consideration of these points.

Good luck!

-Teddy Kramer

To: eddysapiro@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:36 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Eddy Sapiro <noreply@jotform.com> Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:45 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs

together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent

Eddy Sapiro

Email

eddysapiro@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
- 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
- 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
- 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

To: scoutca66@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:32 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Christina Pappas <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:44 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs

together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Christina Pappas

Email

scoutca66@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
- 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
- 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
- 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

Peter Burjanec; REG - Redistricting Taskforce To: Subject: RE: Rincon hill redistricting opposition Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:24 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

----Original Message-----

From: Peter Burjanec < <u>p.burjanec@me.com</u>>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:34 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce < rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Rincon hill redistricting opposition

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a homeowner and long term resident of Rincon Hill in the East Cut. I have been made aware that a redistricting motion is going on to bundle the Tenderloin into Rincon Hill. I strongly oppose this decision as our area will not get the adequate amount of representation should this proposal go through.

Cheers, Peter

To: leslie@podell.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:18 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Leslie Boin Podell <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:26 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs

together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Leslie Boin Podell

Email

leslie@podell.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
- 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
- 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
- 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

From: **REG - Redistricting Taskforce**

To: Joel Bean; REG - Redistricting Taskforce Subject: RE: I support the Map 4D District 10 Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:12 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Joel Bean <jdbean46@gmail.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:06 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://bit.ly/374YEAg). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

I also understand that changes were made last night that reverted back to Map 4B. This reversion is a step backwards. Please revert to Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Joel D. Bean

From: **REG - Redistricting Taskforce**

To: Claudia Stillwell; REG - Redistricting Taskforce Subject: RE: Please keep Inner Sunset in D5

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:05 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Claudia Stillwell <claudiastillwell@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 8:56 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please keep Inner Sunset in D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm a former renter in D5 and now own a home in D7! I think the character and type of rental resident makeup of inner sunset up to Funston, should continue to stay in D5 with the Haight residents! Otherwise their interests will be diluted with the conservative-leaning small homeowners that dominate so many other districts.

Thank you for your consideration.

Claudia 1659 Funston Ave. 94122 From: **REG - Redistricting Taskforce**

To: Maulik Shah; REG - Redistricting Taskforce Subject: RE: Support the District 10 Unity Map Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:02 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll **Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Maulik Shah <maulikgshah@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 8:51 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I write in support of the District 10 Unity Map, which preserves integrally linked communities in Dogpatch, Potrero, Showplace Square, and Bayview.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://bit.ly/374YEAg). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Next best, I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Maulik Shah Potrero Hill From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce

To: mischama13@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:57:56 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Jane Ma <noreply@jotform.com> Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 8:17 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs

together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent Jane Ma

Email <u>mischama13@gmail.com</u>

I am a resident of District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
- 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
- 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
- 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce

To: <u>mvrazzo@sonic.net</u>; <u>REG - Redistricting Taskforce</u>

Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:57:53 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Maryanne Razzo <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 6:17 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs

together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent

Maryanne Razzo

Email

mvrazzo@sonic.net

I am a resident of

District10

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
- 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
- 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
- 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce

To: peterboothlee@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.

Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:57:48 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Peter Lee <noreply@jotform.com> Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 5:07 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs

together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent

Peter Lee

Email

peterboothlee@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

- 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
- 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
- 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
- 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East