

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [James Meiners](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Redistricting  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:45:22 AM  
**Attachments:** [MyBoardSupervisors\\_SD\\_04022022323\\_all.pdf](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** James Meiners <meinersjames@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 10:45 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi task force,

I was going to call in but there have been like 125+ calls into the hotline and I don't want to waste more time.

I think Presidio Heights, Presidio Terrace, and Laurel Heights should stay together in one district and Lone Mountain and Anza Vista should stay in the same district.

I think I've heard more people call in about keeping Seacliff and Lake Street in District 2 vs moving it to District 1, so I put it in District 2 in my map.

It also sounds like a lot of people want to move the remainder of the inner sunset (the eastern portion) to district 5. I didn't make that change but it would make sense.

Here is a map which reflects public comments from April 2 about the issues with Map 4D:


Thanks!

James Meiners

---

James Meiners  
314.757.5143

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [brunellojones@gmail.com](mailto:brunellojones@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:45:01 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Brian Jones <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 10:40 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force



From your constituent

Brian Jones

Email

[brunellojones@gmail.com](mailto:brunellojones@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [wfbrown\\_2000@yahoo.com](mailto:wfbrown_2000@yahoo.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:57 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Whitney Jones <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 10:39 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Whitney Jones

Email

[wfbrown\\_2000@yahoo.com](mailto:wfbrown_2000@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [ashleydalzell@mindspring.com](mailto:ashleydalzell@mindspring.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:53 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Ashley Dalzell <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 10:22 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Ashley Dalzell

Email

[ashleydalzell@mindspring.com](mailto:ashleydalzell@mindspring.com)

I am a resident of

District 1

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East



Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [tesw@aol.com](mailto:tesw@aol.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Comments to Task Force  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:50 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** [tesw@aol.com](mailto:tesw@aol.com) <[tesw@aol.com](mailto:tesw@aol.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 10:14 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Comments to Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Thanks so much for working on SF's redistricting! I appreciate it, and know others do, too.

Some thoughts and questions:

**1. Census blocks vs. census tracts vs. streets.**

I've been told by two members that you will be using census blocks to redistrict.

However, we've all been drawing maps with street names, and we're told to use streets to define COIs.

**I don't understand this apparent contradiction**, and think others also will appreciate some clarity on this matter.

**2. I urge you to give more weight to people who live in or near a commercial district.** For example, I live two blocks from a commercial district. There are more issues such as more noise, litter, traffic, tourists, and parking. On the other hand, I have a library, two grocers, a post office, clubs, shops, and other services a few blocks away, and I enjoy the mix of housing and services.

People who chose to live in a strictly single family residential neighborhood, then visit a commercial area to shop, dine, etc. then go home, should have less vote than those who live 24/7 in these areas. I also point out that the strictly single family residential neighborhoods are often occupied by more homeowners. Many commercial districts have mixed single family and small apartment buildings, and more renters.

**3. Lowell High School.** Lowell high school students come from all over the city. I hope it won't be used to draw new district lines.

Thanks, Tes Welborn, D5

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [lederman@hotmail.com](mailto:lederman@hotmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:46 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Luda Lederman <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 10:12 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Luda Lederman

Email

[llederman@hotmail.com](mailto:llederman@hotmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 4

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [lederman@hotmail.com](mailto:lederman@hotmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:41 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Sem Lederman <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 10:11 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force



From your constituent

Sem Lederman

Email

[llederman@hotmail.com](mailto:llederman@hotmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 4

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Richard Cardello](#)  
**Subject:** FW: REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE MTG MON 2022-04-04 -- PUBLIC COMMENT / D3  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:28 AM  
**Attachments:** [CARDELLO -- REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE MTG MON 2022-04-04 PUBLIC COMMENT.pdf](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Richard Cardello <[richard@cardellodesign.com](mailto:richard@cardellodesign.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 9:11 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE MTG MON 2022-04-04 -- PUBLIC COMMENT / D3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

## REDISTRICTING TASK FORCE

Attached my intended public testimony regarding Russian Hill and D3, during tonight's Task Force meeting.

Please advance a map that includes all of the Russian Hill neighborhood in District 3.

This will facilitate the continued collaboration with other D3 organizations, businesses and residents to best serve all.

Thank you for your hard work on this difficult issue.

Richard Cardello  
999 Green Street Apt 903  
San Francisco CA 94133

415.948.6030 Mobile  
[richard@cardellodesign.com](mailto:richard@cardellodesign.com)

RICHARD CARDELLO 999 GREEN STREET, APT 903, SAN FRANCISCO CA 94133

# REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE

[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)

MEETING MONDAY 04-04-2022

PUBLIC COMMENT (2 MINUTES)

SFGTV 78

CALL IN: 415.655.0001 ID 2482 064 9460#/# PRESS: \*3

THANK YOU TO THE TASK FORCE FOR YOUR WORK ON THIS VERY DIFFICULT ISSUE.

MY NAME IS RICHARD CARDELLO.

SINCE MOVING TO SAN FRANCISCO IN 1982 I HAVE ONLY LIVED IN DISTRICT 3, FIRST ON TELEGRAPH HILL AND THEN ON RUSSIAN HILL, WHERE I RESIDE TO THIS DAY.

MUCH OF MY LIFE IS CENTERED AROUND THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF THE CITY I CALL HOME.

I WALKED TO AND FROM MY FIRST JOB IN JACKSON SQUARE, STOPPING AT LOCAL SHOPS, RESTAURANTS, DELIS, BAKERIES, ETC. ALONG THE WAY.

ALTHOUGH COVID HAS LIMITED MY ACTIVITIES, IT HAS REALLY HIGHLIGHTED THE IMPORTANCE OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD-SERVING BUSINESSES AND SERVICES I PATRONIZE IN NORTH BEACH AND ALONG POLK STREET -- SUCH AS: THE NORTH BEACH LIBRARY, THE 94133 POST OFFICE, WASHINGTON SQUARE PARK, MOLINARI, COLE HARDWARE, WALGREENS, SWENSON'S, AS WELL AS DELICIOUS RESTAURANTS AND TEMPTING PASTRY SHOPS, ETC.

ALTHOUGH I AM SPEAKING PERSONALLY, I AM A MEMBER OF BOTH RUSSIAN HILL NEIGHBORS AND NORTH BEACH NEIGHBORS; I ECHO THEIR POSITION THAT WE SHARE A COMMON INTEREST AND OUR VOICES ARE MORE IMPACTFUL WHEN UNITED FOR THE COMMON GOOD.

INDEED THE 21 ORGANIZATIONS WHO ALL WISH TO REMAIN IN DISTRICT 3 REPRESENT A STRONG COMMUNITY OF INTEREST, WHICH WILL BEST SERVE OUR RESIDENTS AND BUSINESSES.

VAN NESS IS THE LOGICAL WESTERN BOUNDARY OF D3.

PLEASE DO NOT SPLIT RUSSIAN HILL INTO TWO DISTRICTS NOR SEPARATE IT FROM OTHER LIKE-MINDED ORGANIZATIONS IN D3.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [mcorleywing@hotmail.com](mailto:mcorleywing@hotmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:11 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Michelle Wing <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 9:00 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Michelle Wing

Email

[mcorleywing@hotmail.com](mailto:mcorleywing@hotmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East



Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Andrea Laudate](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Map 4 D is best for our City  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:08 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Andrea Laudate <mamalaudate@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 8:59 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Map 4 D is best for our City

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Task Force

*Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.*

andrea laudate

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [dkuyeda@hotmail.com](mailto:dkuyeda@hotmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:04 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** David Uyeda <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 8:49 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

David Uyeda

Email

[dkuyeda@hotmail.com](mailto:dkuyeda@hotmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 1

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Teresita Naval](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [Teresita Naval](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting Task Force  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Teresita Naval <tita.tetet@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 8:44 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Teresita Naval <teresita@somapilipinas.org>  
**Subject:** Redistricting Task Force



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Magandang Gabi / Good evening Chair Townsend Task Force members

My name is Teresita Naval and I live in district 5 and work in district 6. I am an afterschool teacher serving Bessie Carmichael which is a microcosm of District 6. Bessie has one of the most unhoused students in the school district and has a very diverse population comprised of Filipinos, blacks, latinos, and arabs students. District 6 will also have the most affordable housing units open up in the next few years and with neighborhood preference we hope that our families which live mostly in Soma and the Tenderloin will have a chance to get stable housing.

Thank you for adopting map 4D because it keeps all of our black and brown communities who have been the heart of district 6 all together. We have been here 50 to over 100 years and I hope you will prioritize our communities over the more affluent newer neighbors.

**In order to keep our communities together and shave population in district 6, We would like to suggest moving the hub to district 8, and parts of Soma east of 2nd street and south of Harrison to district 3.**

**We are in solidarity in preserving other established neighborhoods across the city, especially those who are working class, immigrant and renter communities of interest in the city.**

**As a district 5 resident I would like to ask you to change 4D to keep the inner sunset in district 5 and district 4 with the line at function and pls don't add south of Sloat to d4 and keep Sea Cliff in district 2.**

**Thank you!**

Salamat,

Tet Naval  
Community Outreach Coordinator  
SOMA Pilipinas

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [yuenuyeda@hotmail.com](mailto:yuenuyeda@hotmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:55 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Norah Uyeda <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 8:41 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent      Norah Uyeda

Email

[yuenuyeda@hotmail.com](mailto:yuenuyeda@hotmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 1

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together.

The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Jennifer Laska](#)  
**Cc:** ["Jennifer Laska" via HVNA Board](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Updated HVNA position on Redistricting D5  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:51 AM  
**Attachments:** [2022.3.3 HVNA Redistricting Support letter.pdf](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Jennifer Laska <jennlaska@me.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 8:33 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; revtword@hotmail.com  
**Cc:** 'Jennifer Laska' via HVNA Board <board@hayesvalleysf.org>  
**Subject:** Updated HVNA position on Redistricting D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The updated HVNA position on Redistricting D5 is attached. Thank you!

**Jennifer Laska**  
**HVNA President**  
**310-709-2230**

April 4, 2022

2020 Census: Redistricting Task Force [rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)

Rev. Arnold Townsend, Chair [revtwor@hotmail.com](mailto:revtwor@hotmail.com)

**Re: Updated HVNA position on Redistricting D5**

Dear Reverend Townsend and Redistricting Task Force Committee,

HVNA appreciates that the latest redistricting map “4D” one of our prior requests to add the corridor east of Van ness to D5. This letter is in broad support of map 4D with the following modifications.

The southern boundaries of HVNA around Buchanan-Page-Laguna-Waller, including the 55 Laguna complex at Laguna and Hermann, and surrounding area, were excluded in the last redistricting. (See Figure 1, HVNA boundaries, and Figure 2 and 2A showing the existing D5 boundary vis a vis this area, attached). This area houses many tenants including legacy rent-controlled LGBT tenants, who are historically within HVNA and part of its founding, and comprise a significant community of interest. Additionally, the traffic patterns north of Market are congested and complicated, and cutting out parts of Haight and Buchanan from D5 makes this more challenging to manage, and impacts all the residents in this sector.

HVNA was also deeply engaged with the development at 55 Laguna/ Alchemy, working for years for the senior housing, and establishing a community benefits package.

We think it is extremely important to keep this entire section north of Market united in D5 under one supervisor. In addition to this letter, several of our members Gail Baugh, Paul Olsen and Jason Henderson submitted maps reflecting this COI.

We also support keeping a portion of Golden Gate Park in D5, as this is a community of interest for all of our neighborhood.

We appreciate all the work the Redistricting Task Force is doing, and we appreciate your considering our views about how this proposed map would impact us in Hayes Valley.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Laska, President

The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association

cc: Mats Anderson, HVNA Vice President  
Barbara Early, HVNA Corresponding Secretary  
HVNA Board



Attachments below




Figure 1: HVNA boundaries



Figure 2: Existing D5 Map (Dept of Elections)



Figure 2A: Existing D5 Closeup around 55 Laguna area

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [mayumikamon@yahoo.com](mailto:mayumikamon@yahoo.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:28 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Brian Bonham <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 8:16 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Brian Bonham

Email

[mayumikamon@yahoo.com](mailto:mayumikamon@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of

District 1

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Denis Mosgofian](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Comments to the RD Task Force  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:22 AM  
**Attachments:** [Comments to the RD Task Force.msg](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Denis Mosgofian <[denismosgofian@gmail.com](mailto:denismosgofian@gmail.com)>  
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:08 PM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Comments to the RD Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## 4/4/22 Comments to the RDTF

I waited on the phone but could not tell if I was connected after I followed the instructions precisely, so I hung up and am sending in my comments.

- My name is Denis Mosgofian, a 49 years resident in the Inner Sunset.
- 
- I want to tell you why the Inner Sunset does not belong in D7 and does belong in D5, which should be west to Funston. There is no historic community of interest between the D5 Inner Sunset and District 7.
- 
- Your focus must be on the people, their needs, their connections, common bonds, and history. What they do, and things they work on together with other like-minded folks.
- 
- Inner Sunset & Haight D5 folks have a long history of joint efforts to improve housing equity and protect the public domain from privatizataion. D5 residents' history is worlds apart from the residents of the detached homes and mansions that preside over the wealthier D7 district.
- 
- The Inner Sunset is a mix of 2/3rds renters and 1/3rd homeowners. Inner Sunset residents fought, 1973-1980, to save its residents' mix of older homes and apartments from demolition by developers, and to preserve its working class population from displacement.
- 
- I know of no D7 leadership or participation in the anti-displacement and affordable housing campaigns of the 1970's and 1980's here, or with the Inner Sunset Haight Ashbury-Polytechnic Coalition to build the Park View Commons 114 units of affordable ownership housing, or limiting UCSF's expansion, or in converting the Polytechnic gyms for community use, or in keeping the new Kezar Stadium open to community, or to keeping the Arboretum free to San Franciscans, and free to all before 9AM daily.
- 
- These campaigns were waged by Haight residents, and Inner Sunset residents residing in both the flatlands of Irving Street corridor and along the northern edge of D7. There is a strong Community of Interest with Inner Sunset and Haight in D5.
- 
- Inner Sunset belongs in D5 with the Haight, not the hills.
- I also strongly support D4 growing to Funston, Lincoln to Kirkham.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Emily Murase](#)  
**Cc:** [Sandy Mori](#); [Glynis Nakahara](#); [Jeremy Chan](#); [DPH-josaki](#); [Grace Horikiri](#); [Susie Kagami](#)  
**Subject:** FW: [Japantown Task Force] Proposed northern boundaries of District 5  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:07 AM  
**Attachments:** [22.4.4 D5 JCHESS Japantown Map Plus.pdf](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 7:35 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>; Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; DPH-josaki <josaki@jcyc.org>; Grace Horikiri <grace@jtowncbd.org>; Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>



**Subject:** [Japantown Task Force] Proposed northern boundaries of District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Chair Townsend, Vice Chair RAINER, Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am Dr. Emily Murase, Executive Director of the Japantown Task Force and a member of the San Francisco Japanese American Citizens League.

Thank you for honoring the Japantown boundaries and our need to remain together with the Western Addition and the Fillmore District, especially our Black neighbors, given our shared history of discrimination, exclusion, and extraordinary resilience.

Thank you for hearing our community to include the Japanese Community Youth Council and the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center in District 5.

District 5 in Map 4D includes all of our identified cultural and neighborhood assets except for one, the Japanese Community Youth Council Chibi Chan Preschool, located at 2507 Pine Street at Pierce (Electoral Precinct 9501) which is within the existing boundaries of District 5.

This preschool, serving children ages 2-5, is a thriving program of the Japanese Community Youth Council and has a sister program operating out of the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center, both of which are in District 5. The focus of the program is to serve the families of the Western Addition and Japantown.

Please RESTORE the JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool to District 5. There is a clear connection between this preschool and the Western Addition. I have attached a map of the requested boundary change with suggestions on how to limit the impact of this change on District 2.

Thank you, again, for your valuable consideration.


*Emily*

**Emily M. Murase, PhD**  
**ムラセ エミリー**  
**Executive Director**  
**Japantown Task Force, Inc.**

[1765 Sutter Street, 3rd Floor](#)  
[San Francisco, CA 94115](#)  
[www.japantowntaskforce.org](http://www.japantowntaskforce.org)  
415.346.1239 (Office)

415.297.3975 (Cell)

- Map**
- Draft boundary in Map 4D (as of 4/1/22)
  - Japantown Cultural Heritage & Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS) Boundaries
  - Existing D5 Boundary (strongly preferred)
  - No known Japantown interest



Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [kpachla@hotmail.com](mailto:kpachla@hotmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:42:18 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Koryn Pachla <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 7:26 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Koryn Pachla

Email

[kpachla@hotmail.com](mailto:kpachla@hotmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 1

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [sdrCRM@hotmail.com](mailto:sdrCRM@hotmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:42:14 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Susan McDonough <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 7:18 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Susan McDonough

Email

[sdrcrm@hotmail.com](mailto:sdrcrm@hotmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 1

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East



Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Sasha Gala](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Support for Map 4D  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:42:09 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Sasha Gala <sasha.bodala@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 7:11 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Support for Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sasha Gala  
243 Texas St

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [dave@artichokelabs.com](mailto:dave@artichokelabs.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:42:04 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** David Young <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 7:04 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

David Young

Email

[dave@artichokelabs.com](mailto:dave@artichokelabs.com)

I am a resident of

District 6

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Vincent Lee](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Please DO NOT add Sea Cliff & Presidio Terrace to District 1  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:59 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Vincent Lee <vinitlee@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 7:02 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Please DO NOT add Sea Cliff & Presidio Terrace to District 1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Hello RDTF

I am a resident of San Francisco and have become extremely alarmed to find out that all four proposed redistricting maps group Sea Cliff in D1 with the Richmond. Please do not do this. It will lead to erasure of the people that make up the soul of the Richmond.

If you must expand D1, please keep the district lines at Lake & California, then add Lake up to 3rd Ave. Also consider expanding east of USF along Turk to Divisadero, up Divisadero, and west along Geary.

Vincent Lee



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [mhurabie@yahoo.com](mailto:mhurabie@yahoo.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:56 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Marie Hurabiell <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 6:55 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Marie Hurabiell

Email

[mhurabie@yahoo.com](mailto:mhurabie@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of

District 1

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

Marie Hurabiell

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Pierre Smit](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Ocean Avenue Association  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:52 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Pierre Smit <pierres@oacbd.org>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 6:52 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>

**Subject:** Ocean Avenue Association

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

My Name is Pierre Smit, Executive Director of the Ocean Avenue Association. Ocean Avenue Association works closely with the supervisor of the District in the area between Manor Drive and FWY 280. This is a business corridor between Geneva and Manor Drive. This is at this time entirely in District 7.

Proposals have been made to split this section of Ocean Avenue into 2 separate districts, one on each side of the street. Ocean Avenue is an important business corridor in the southern part of San Francisco.

We do not want to split our important business corridor in 2 separate districts. This will simply dilute our strength as a growing business corridor.

This is a business district and businesses need to remain together on both side of the Ocean Avenue. We urge you to keep Ocean Avenue in one single district.

Thank you for the work you do.

Pierre

--

Pierre Smit

Executive Director

Ocean Avenue Association

[OceanAvenueAssociation.org](http://OceanAvenueAssociation.org)

*Something is always happening on Ocean Avenue!*

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [kaaren25@att.net](mailto:kaaren25@att.net); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:48 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** kaaren alvarado <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 6:36 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

kaaren alvarado

Email

[kaaren25@att.net](mailto:kaaren25@att.net)

I am a resident of

District 4

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [mssamanda1@gmail.com](mailto:mssamanda1@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:44 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Amanda Michael <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 6:29 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Amanda Michael

Email

[mssamanda1@gmail.com](mailto:mssamanda1@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Christen Alqueza](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Public comment on Today's Redistricting Task Force Meeting  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:40 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Christen Alqueza <christen.alqueza@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 6:26 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Public comment on Today's Redistricting Task Force Meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Hello RDTF,

I live in D1, the Richmond District. I strongly oppose adding Sea Cliff to Presidio Terrace to my district. Those communities were literally redlined to keep low-income & people of color out, so adding them to D1 would disempower low-income workers, renters, and Asian Americans like myself.

If you must expand D1, please keep the district lines at Lake & California, then add Lake up to 3rd Ave. Also consider expanding east of USF along Turk to Divisadero, up Divisadero, and west along Geary.

-Christen Alqueza

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Jean Barish](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Public Comment  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:26 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Jean Barish <jeanbbarish@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 6:20 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Public Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Below is a comment regarding the Redistricting of District 1. Please add this comment to your record. Thank you.

I'm Jean Barish, a long-time D1 resident.

A former Richmond Neighborhood Center board member and a current Planning Association for the Richmond board member. I'm speaking on my own behalf.

Thank you for supporting map 4D. We still need some changes. Please keep the Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace and West Clay Park in D2.

This is a battle for the heart and soul of the Richmond. We must protect the low-income residents, renters, and Asian Pacific Islanders who live here, including the many essential workers who put their lives on the line during the pandemic, tenants who need eviction protection, and seniors relying on food banks.

Moving high income neighborhoods into D1 is the "new gentrification." It's a power grab by some of the wealthiest people in the City who will drown out the voices of the voiceless.

Most Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace, and West Clay Park residents are wealthy homeowners with interests at odds with my D1 neighbors. They earn more money, more of them own their own, very expensive, homes, and many of their children attend private schools. In many ways they don't share the values of D1 residents. They're not a part of our community of interest, and which should determine district boundaries.

Including Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace, and West Clay Park in D1 will diminish the impact and silence the voices of thousands of hard working people who desperately need representation in City Hall.

Please, do the right thing. Stop this power grab and "new gentrification." Preserve this diverse, working-class/middle-income community of renters, essential workers, and seniors. Keep the D1 line at Lake Street and California Street, and expand along Lake Street to 3rd Avenue; east into Anza Vista; and east of USF along Turk to Divisadero. And keep Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace, and West Clay Park

*Jean*

Jean B Barish  
[jeanbbarish@hotmail.com](mailto:jeanbbarish@hotmail.com)  
415-752-0185

*Stay safe and be well*



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Larry Dorsey](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Re district  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:22 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Larry Dorsey <ldorseys@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 6:04 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Re district

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

I am opposed to combining the two districts especially since the area is going to be up and coming. I think each district has its own set of opportunities and needs. Thank you

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [rebecca.randall@sonic.net](mailto:rebecca.randall@sonic.net); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:14 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Rebecca Randall <noreply@jotform.com>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 6:01 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Rebecca Randall

Email

[rebecca.randall@sonic.net](mailto:rebecca.randall@sonic.net)

I am a resident of

District 1

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together.

The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [martha@ehmannconte.com](mailto:martha@ehmannconte.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:11 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Martha Conte <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:57 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Martha Conte

Email

[martha@ehmannconte.com](mailto:martha@ehmannconte.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Rowan Oake](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Public comment on redistricting  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:08 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Rowan Oake <roake@smith.edu>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:55 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Public comment on redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Hello,

My name is Rowan Oake and I'm a resident of the Richmond District and part of the Unity Map Coalition. Thank you for listening to the public and for moving forward with map 4D. It was mentioned yesterday during public comment, but there are still some changes that need to be made in map 4D.

If map 4D proceeds as-is, adding in wealthier neighborhoods in District 1 would disenfranchise Asian voters and voters of color, tenants, and low-income residents.

### **The Richmond District is a diverse community**

- The Richmond District community is home to 60% of tenants and 40% Chinese-speaking residents.
- The Richmond District is home to many Chinese and Russian immigrants, renters, and SROs who house low-income tenants.
- Many District 1 residents, especially seniors, currently depend on its robust public transit, community based organizations, and free meals and groceries.
- By adding areas like Sea Cliff with the highest incomes into District 1, the least-resourced community members our nonprofits serve would have their needs competing with some of the most-resourced residents in the city.

### **Keep the Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace in District 2**

- The Richmond District was settled by large immigrant populations, and the Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace were formed as caucasian-only communities to exclude these populations.
- Since their creation, the Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace have always been among the highest income levels in the city, an exclusive, wealthy part of the city with mansions. By adding the Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace into the district, we will take away voices of more marginalized communities, who are dependent on social services.
- The median listing home price in the Sea Cliff is \$8.3 million, which is nearly 5 times the median listing home price in the Richmond.

### **Specifically name streets as boundaries for expanding District 1**

- Adding more into the Inner Richmond:
  - Please keep the district line at Lake Street and California Street, and expand along Lake Street to 3rd Avenue.
- Expand east into Anza Vista:
  - Expand east of USF along Turk Boulevard to Divisadero, up Divisadero corridor and west along Geary.
- Currently, District 2 includes 27th Ave between Lake and California. This small block is the Richmond, not Sea Cliff. Please include this block in District 1.

Please keep District 1 a united district of working class neighborhoods that empowers low- and middle-income workers, renters, and the Asian American community.

Thank you,

--

**Rowan Oake**

MSW Class of 2022

A'22 ARPG Representative

Unlearning Racism Co-Facilitator

Smith College School for Social Work

[Pronouns:](#) they/she

[Location:](#) Ramaytush Ohlone Territory

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Greg Lowry](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose the proposed redistricting of District 5  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:03 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Greg Lowry <glowry1@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:54 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** I oppose the proposed redistricting of District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Please do not remove the Inner Sunset from District 5. I believe that it should remain in District 5 up to Funston Ave.

The Eastern boundary of Golden Gate Park should remain in District 5 because of the many permanent attractions on the East end of the park such as Kezar stadium, the Conservatory of Flowers, and the De Young museum. These attractions affect the residents and businesses of the Haight and Inner Sunset, and must be remain in the District for supervisorial oversight.

Thank you,

Greg Lowry

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [katesa1@gmail.com](mailto:katesa1@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:56 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Kate Anderson <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:53 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kate Anderson

Email

[katesa1@gmail.com](mailto:katesa1@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 5

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Lotusman@pacbell.net](mailto:Lotusman@pacbell.net); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:52 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** John Hurabiell, Sr. <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:52 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

John Hurabiell, Sr.

Email

[Lotusman@pacbell.net](mailto:Lotusman@pacbell.net)

I am a resident of

District 1

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

Furthermore, I abhor District elections--they are an abomination and fertile ground for corruption. I demand that we return to At Large Elections which served San Francisco well for more than a century. In those days Supervisors were concerned with San Francisco not their petty fiefdoms.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** Ben Mok; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: SF Redistricting Maps  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:49 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Ben Mok <benm@cycsf.org>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:45 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** SF Redistricting Maps

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

After reviewing the proposed redistricting maps after this past Saturday's Redistricting Task Force meeting, the Community Youth Center of SF would like to give feedback in regards to a few districts.

District 1: We urge the TF to expand District 1 boundaries eastward toward the Anza Vista and Jordan Park neighborhoods, as draft map 2B did, instead of expanding boundaries into the Seacliff neighborhood. We are concerned that D1 boundaries reflected in maps 4A-4D significantly dilute the Asian and Pacific Islander vote. Including the Seacliff neighborhood in D1 will compromise voices of the API working class families and tenants living in the Richmond district. Household median income in the Seacliff is \$60,000 higher when compared to median income in the Richmond district; these neighborhoods have very different priorities and we want to ensure API tenants and families are given ample opportunity to elect a representative that will advocate for their interests.

District 3: We firmly stand opposed to District 3 boundary proposals that jeopardize maintaining Tenderloin/West SOMA intact in D6. Maps 4A-D reflect attacks by the Task Force on the interests of low-income API residents, including seniors, by lumping high-income neighborhoods with D3. The neighborhoods of South Beach, Russian Hill, Rincon Hill, and East-Cut have up to six-and-a-half times the household median income compared to Chinatown residents. The API community living in Chinatown is most vulnerable to displacement and the disempowering effects of gentrification. We oppose expanding D3 at the precise expense of working-class families, tenants, immigrants, and the aging population.

We urge the TF to avoid expanding D3 beyond Broadway and Mason Streets in the Northwest, and limit expanding D3 into the Transbay area to 2nd street, Folsom Street, and the Embarcadero.

District 5: We appreciate the TF's decision to include Japantown in D5 and further call on the TF to amend northern D5 boundaries to include the blocks surrounded by Pine St, Scott St, Bush St, and Steiner St. The Japanese Community Youth Council Chibi Chan Preschool, located at 2507 Pine Street, is an important early childhood education center serving Japantown and Western Addition families residing within those blocks and needs to be incorporated back into District 5.

District 6: We stand with the Filipino community to oppose any proposals that divide up West SOMA, Tenderloin, and the Filipino Cultural District. We strongly urge the Task Force to prioritize the voices of Filipino and low-income residents of color who have demanded that the Tenderloin and West SOMA remain intact in District 6; members of these historical and established cultural neighborhoods have repeatedly asked the TF to remain united as wealthier neighborhoods along the waterfront have emerged. We call on the TF to refer to map 4D for a D6 configuration we want to see on the final map.

Regards,

Ben Mok

Community Relations Manager  
[benm@cycsf.org](mailto:benm@cycsf.org)

Community Youth Center of San Francisco (CYC)  
Main Office & Computer Clubhouse  
1038 Post Street  
San Francisco, California 94109  
Tel: 415.775.2636 Ext. 242  
Fax: 415.775.1345  
[www.cycsf.org](http://www.cycsf.org)

***Youth are the center of our community.***

**NOTICE:** The information and any attachments contained in this message may be privileged, confidential, and/or protected from disclosure or unauthorized use. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify CYC immediately by replying to this message and then deleting it. All emails sent to this address will be received by CYC (Community Youth Center) or one of its subsidiaries/affiliates and may be archived or reviewed. CYCSF.org accepts no liability for any loss or damage arising from this email, any virus transmitted, or its attachments.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Halog@sonic.net](mailto:Halog@sonic.net); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:43 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Constance E Halog <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:42 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Constance E Halog

Email

[Halog@sonic.net](mailto:Halog@sonic.net)

I am a resident of

District 1

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East



Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [ninasteinman@yahoo.com](mailto:ninasteinman@yahoo.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:37 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Nina Steinman <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:38 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Nina Steinman

Email

[ninasteinman@yahoo.com](mailto:ninasteinman@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of

District 1

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [jlyonsaef@gmail.com](mailto:jlyonsaef@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:35 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Jennie Lyons <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:36 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Jennie Lyons

Email

[jlyonsaef@gmail.com](mailto:jlyonsaef@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 1

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Casey Goldman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I support the Map 4D District 10  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:30 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Casey Goldman <casegoldman@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:31 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



---

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YFAg>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [jazz\\_latinlady@yahoo.com](mailto:jazz_latinlady@yahoo.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:25 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Rosario Cervantes <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:31 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Rosario Cervantes

Email

[jazz\\_latinlady@yahoo.com](mailto:jazz_latinlady@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of

District 11

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Art Persyko](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: SF Redistricting Task Force: public comment from Art Persyko, 2190 Washington Street, #608, SF/CA/94109  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:20 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Art Persyko <artpersyko@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:29 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** SF Redistricting Task Force: public comment from Art Persyko, 2190 Washington Street, #608, SF/CA/94109

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

**Dear SF Redistricting Task Force:**

**Redistricting Task Force, 1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room  
244, San Francisco, Ca 94102  
(415) 554-4445, rdtf@sfgov.org**

**Please do everything in your power to draw SF redistricting lines fairly i.e. please draw district border lines that do NOT divide constituents from their neighbors who have common interests and concerns that would be diminished and result in a lack of fair representation at the SF Board of Supervisors, as a result.**

**As the Center for American Progress says: “...When voters cast a ballot, they expect their votes to matter in choosing representatives who are responsive, reflective, and accountable to the communities they represent...”** (<https://www.americanprogress.org/article/redistricting-and-representation/>)

**So, I hope you will pay attention and act upon the recommendations below that will meet such a standard of drawing lines that maintain a genuine relationship of accountability between communities of interest in San Francisco and their elected representatives\***

**Thank you very much!**

**-Art Persyko, Board member of the SF Gray Panthers and a resident of D-2, 2190 Washington Street, #608, SF/CA/94109**

**\*Inner Sunset to Funston Remain in D5:**

- Please see that the Inner Sunset remains in D5 up to Funston
- Please do not divide the core of the inner sunset from D5, where there are shared interests and concerns that tie the Inner Sunset to the Haight and the rest of the District
- Residents of the Inner Sunset and Haight have common interests of being connected by UCSF expansion and impacts to the neighborhood, the COI of apartment buildings and renters, advocacy around Parkview Commons, public transit, and a common boundary of Golden Gate Park with its many attractions
- Geographically the Inner Sunset and Haight are connected by a flat continuous block along Irving that becomes Carl street. South of the Inner Sunset however is marked by steep hills as it transitions to D7.
- D4 shares no connection to the area South of Sloat, and logically should extend from 17th to Funston Street and down to Kirkham. The core of the Inner Sunset east of Funston should remain in

D5.

**Eastern Golden Gate Park Remain in D5:**

- The Eastern boundary of Golden Gate Park was added in 2012 after advocacy by community members, and it should remain in D5. It is unclear why it has been removed.
- The many permanent attractions on the East end of the park such as Kezar, Conservatory of Flowers, and De Young permanently affect the residents and businesses of the Haight and Inner Sunset, and must be remain in the District for supervisorial oversight.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Nathan Mee](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I support the Map 4D District 10  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:16 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Nathan Mee <nathan.mee@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:10 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



---

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YFAg>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Nathan Mee  
Potrero Hill

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [scoopfoggy@prodigy.net](mailto:scoopfoggy@prodigy.net); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:12 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Shirley Fogarino <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:10 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Shirley Fogarino

Email

[scoopfoggy@prodigy.net](mailto:scoopfoggy@prodigy.net)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Caroline Palmer](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I support the Map 4D District 10  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:08 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Caroline Palmer <linabeanp@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:08 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YFAg>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts. Potrero Hill needs representation within the city of San Francisco, so keeping the community intact is a big plus.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Caroline Palmer (longtime renter & resident of Potrero Hill)

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Rick Girling](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting proposals  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:04 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Rick Girling <rzgirling@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 5:00 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Redistricting proposals

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Hello,

My Name is Richard Girling. I have lived in SF for 47 years and been active in city politics since then. Since first moving here I have lived in a number of SF communities each with its own characteristics. I applaud the Redistricting Task Force decision to settle around Map 4D. I urge the Task Force to take one more step toward fairer representation and adopt the Community Unity Map developed by a large coalition to the many community groups that make up San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Richard Girling  
182 Banks St, San Francisco, CA 94110



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Laura ODonovan](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting Map: In support of 4D map (unless possible to bring borders closed to "Unity Map")  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:59 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Laura ODonovan <lodsf@aol.com>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 4:56 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Redistricting Map: In support of 4D map (unless possible to bring borders closed to 'Unity Map')

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map ([https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://bit.ly/374YEAg\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmYTkxNTc5NTBjZjVhMDIxMmY3MWYxYTU0MTBhMWUzZjo2OjJmMTI6MTUyZDU3N2NiZGQ4YTJkMjJlOTliYmY3Mjg5ODU2YTA0NWUxMDY3YzdkYzE1MTliMTQ3OTVmYTFmMWVhN2M5Nzp0Ok4](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmYTkxNTc5NTBjZjVhMDIxMmY3MWYxYTU0MTBhMWUzZjo2OjJmMTI6MTUyZDU3N2NiZGQ4YTJkMjJlOTliYmY3Mjg5ODU2YTA0NWUxMDY3YzdkYzE1MTliMTQ3OTVmYTFmMWVhN2M5Nzp0Ok4)). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Laura ODonovan  
1421 Rhode Island St, SF, CA 94107  
home: 415-648-4254  
cell/text: 415-515-3378



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Rodney Minott](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I support the Map 4D District 10  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:55 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Rodney Minott <[rodneyminnott@outlook.com](mailto:rodneyminnott@outlook.com)>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:56 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>

Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map ([https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://bit.ly/374YEAg\\_.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4NzJmNGQwN2Q2ZTY5YmM5ZTQwZTU2MjJiMjI0NTQ3NT02OmFmYzQ6ZjY4NTU2MjdIYjI3OTkyYWU2YTMzMTRIM2JmYjgyOWU0YjE3MDdiNjE1ZTI4ZDNiMTIyZjM1OWRIYTU5MzdjNTpwOk4](https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://bit.ly/374YEAg_.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4NzJmNGQwN2Q2ZTY5YmM5ZTQwZTU2MjJiMjI0NTQ3NT02OmFmYzQ6ZjY4NTU2MjdIYjI3OTkyYWU2YTMzMTRIM2JmYjgyOWU0YjE3MDdiNjE1ZTI4ZDNiMTIyZjM1OWRIYTU5MzdjNTpwOk4)). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Sent from my iPad

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [sivakitty@yahoo.com](mailto:sivakitty@yahoo.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:50 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Greg Syler <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 4:55 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Greg Syler

Email

[sivakitty@yahoo.com](mailto:sivakitty@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of

District 4

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Leela Gill](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [Martin Almaraz](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Please keep NOPNA in D5  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:47 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Leela Gill <leelagill1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 4:49 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Martin Almaraz <martin.almaraz@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** Please keep NOPNA in D5



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am writing as a long-time D5 resident, previous North of the Panhandle Neighborhood Association (NOPNA) president, and current NOPNA board member.

NOPNA was established in 1991, is a 501-3c, and has worked extremely hard over the many years to create a sense of community within our boundaries which are Divisadero to Masonic, and Turk to Oak.

I want to strongly urge the Task Force to respect and maintain the boundaries of our neighborhood association and keep it all in District 5.

Our neighborhood association has served our community for 31 years by creating traditions like annual block parties that include Halloween and holiday events, organizing safety initiatives, and sponsoring greening improvements (tree planting, art work, GG Park Panhandle improvements, etc). We also helped establish the Divisadero Merchants Association and the Farmers Market and consistently reported on neighborhood news for 31 years.

We are a tightly recognized community within the greater San Francisco area and splitting our neighborhood across districts would dilute our voice and make continuing our traditions extremely difficult.

**Please keep the neighborhood between Divisadero to Masonic, and Turk to Oak in District 5.**

Thank you for your time and all the hard work that the Task Force has done.

Sincerely,

Leela Gill  
30+ year D5 Resident and  
North of Panhandle Neighborhood Association Board Member

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Pride Commons](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I support the Map 4D District 10  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:43 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Pride Commons <jessica@jperla.com>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 4:48 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YFAg>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [shanehillier@gmail.com](mailto:shanehillier@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:39 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Shane Hiller <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 4:48 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Shane Hiller

Email

[shanehiller@gmail.com](mailto:shanehiller@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [John deCastro](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I support the Map 4D District 10  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:34 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: John deCastro <[2jbdecastro@gmail.com](mailto:2jbdecastro@gmail.com)>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:45 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>

Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://bit.ly/374YEAg.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmNDRiOTQ2ZjU3MmUxNmQ5ODkxMjdmYTFlYzQ4ZDcyZDo2OjVkJY6MGEzMzgyNzVlNTQzZjcxZjEzZWU4MGZjNDY3ODM4NTI4MDM3ZmU5YWU2NTlkMTlhN2YxZWl0NDM0MTBhNDpwOk4>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

John deCastro  
[2jbdecastro@gmail.com](mailto:2jbdecastro@gmail.com)



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Edward Rudolph](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I support the Map 4D District 10  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:21 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Edward Rudolph <[eddierudolph@gmail.com](mailto:eddierudolph@gmail.com)>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:44 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>

Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map ([https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://bit.ly/374YEAg\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpkMGM1Y2I1MG M0MmJhMDZmYTAzZDdhNmVjMzY5MTZmZDo2OjMyMzk6YTJmNTk0Nzc0MmFkMWU0M2ViODU1Y 2ZiMjEjZOTJjMjZlNzgwNGVmYzc3MWI5YTQzZjk0NDYxYmFkZTlZyNkMDpwOk4](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpkMGM1Y2I1MG M0MmJhMDZmYTAzZDdhNmVjMzY5MTZmZDo2OjMyMzk6YTJmNTk0Nzc0MmFkMWU0M2ViODU1Y 2ZiMjEjZOTJjMjZlNzgwNGVmYzc3MWI5YTQzZjk0NDYxYmFkZTlZyNkMDpwOk4)). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Edward Rudolph  
398 Texas St.  
SF CA 94107

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [kaaren25@att.net](mailto:kaaren25@att.net); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:15 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Kaaren Alvarado <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 4:35 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kaaren Alvarado

Email

[kaaren25@att.net](mailto:kaaren25@att.net)

I am a resident of

District 4

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [cnke888@aol.com](mailto:cnke888@aol.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:10 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Curtis Lee <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 4:27 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Curtis Lee

Email

[cnke888@aol.com](mailto:cnke888@aol.com)

I am a resident of

District 5

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [ortizbrett@yahoo.com](mailto:ortizbrett@yahoo.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:05 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Brett Ortiz <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 4:21 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Brett Ortiz

Email

[ortizbrett@yahoo.com](mailto:ortizbrett@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [barbarajheffernan@gmail.com](mailto:barbarajheffernan@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:03 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Barbara Heffernan <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 4:18 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Barbara Heffernan

Email

[barbarajheffernan@gmail.com](mailto:barbarajheffernan@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Harry S. Pariser](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Please keep the Inner Sunset in D5!  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:02 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Harry S. Pariser <[editorial@savethemanatee.com](mailto:editorial@savethemanatee.com)>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:08 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>

Subject: Please keep the Inner Sunset in D5!

Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Task Force:

As a long-term resident of the Inner Sunset, I can testify that our area is best served by being in D5.

Please do not make us be part of another, even more conservative district!

Keep us in D5!

Sincerely,

Harry S. Pariser  
Inner Sunset



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [mbeis@hotmail.com](mailto:mbeis@hotmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:38:53 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Michael Eisler <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 4:01 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Michael Eisler

Email

[mbeis@hotmail.com](mailto:mbeis@hotmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** Cheryl Meeker; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: redistricting for San Francisco  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:02:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.* **From:** Cheryl Meeker <cherylmeeker@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 6:12 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** redistricting for San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Hello,

I would like to make sure that our D5 district is kept intact -- to keep the progressive nature of D5 intact. Specifically, I want to make sure my address is included in District 5: 1296 Haight Street.

It looks like map 4D is the map that keeps District 5 most intact. Please make sure that our district stays as intact as possible.

Thanks,  
Cheryl

--

Cheryl Meeker

415 255 0668

<http://cherylmeeker.blogspot.com>

"To love. To be loved. To never forget your own insignificance. To never get used to the unspeakable violence and the vulgar disparity of life around you. To seek joy in the saddest places. To pursue beauty to its lair. To never simplify what is complicated or complicate what is simple. To respect strength, never power. Above all, to watch. To try and understand. To never look away. And never, never, to forget. ..."


- Arundhati Roy

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)  
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
Subject: FW: Map  
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:04:31 PM

I received the from the Chair just as the meeting began.

Forwarding to RTF on BCC at his request.

JEC



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Cari Jaye Sokoloff](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Potrero/Dogpatch Redistricting  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:35 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Cari Jaye Sokoloff <carijsokoloff@mac.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:56 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Potrero/Dogpatch Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Please keep our very connected neighborhoods together and intact. Choose Map D4 for district 10.  
Thank you!

Best regards,

Cari Jaye Sokoloff

M [415.793.0385](tel:415.793.0385)

[www.sokostudio.com](http://www.sokostudio.com)

[www.poshbellyskitchen.com](http://www.poshbellyskitchen.com)

[www.jayedsn.com](http://www.jayedsn.com)

Insta

@poshbellyskitchen

@forkmybelly

@asliceofpeteypie

@sokobyjayesdesign

Sent from my iPhone. Please forgive the tupis...grrrrr...typos.



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [meredithcdunn@gmail.com](mailto:meredithcdunn@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:31 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Meredith Dunn <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:51 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Meredith Dunn

Email

[meredithcdunn@gmail.com](mailto:meredithcdunn@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [traci.hollander1@gmail.com](mailto:traci.hollander1@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:28 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Traci Hollander <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:49 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Traci Hollander

Email

[traci Hollander1@gmail.com](mailto:traci Hollander1@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 5

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [bacisf@yahoo.com](mailto:bacisf@yahoo.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:25 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Marianne Schier <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:43 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Marianne Schier

Email

[bacisf@yahoo.com](mailto:bacisf@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of

District 1

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East



Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [paul.pmec@outlook.com](mailto:paul.pmec@outlook.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:23 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Paul Molloy <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:42 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Paul Molloy

Email

[paul.pmec@outlook.com](mailto:paul.pmec@outlook.com)

I am a resident of

District 5

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Paul Selmants](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:20 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Paul Selmants <[selmants@hey.com](mailto:selmants@hey.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:42 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

Paul Selmants

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [dirkprobstel@gmail.com](mailto:dirkprobstel@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:17 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Dirk Probstel <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:34 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Dirk Probstel

Email

[dirkprobstel@gmail.com](mailto:dirkprobstel@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East



Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [jennifer.yan@gmail.com](mailto:jennifer.yan@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:14 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Jennifer Yan <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:28 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Jennifer Yan

Email

[jennifer.yan@gmail.com](mailto:jennifer.yan@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [stacielyn\\_99@yahoo.com](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:09 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Stacie Johnson <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:20 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Stacie Johnson

Email

[stacielyn\\_99@yahoo.com](mailto:stacielyn_99@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of

District 5

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [wrb100@gmail.com](mailto:wrb100@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:06 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Billy Brandreth <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:17 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force



From your constituent

Billy Brandreth

Email

[wrb100@gmail.com](mailto:wrb100@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [mikeapaul@gmail.com](mailto:mikeapaul@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:03 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Mike Paul <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:09 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Mike Paul

Email

[mikeapaul@gmail.com](mailto:mikeapaul@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [stephanieadraktas@yahoo.com](mailto:stephanieadraktas@yahoo.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Stephanie Adraktas <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:04 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Stephanie Adraktas

Email

[stephanieadraktas@yahoo.com](mailto:stephanieadraktas@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of

District 5

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [juliepaul164@gmail.com](mailto:juliepaul164@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:57 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Julie Paul <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:04 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Julie Paul

Email

[juliepaul164@gmail.com](mailto:juliepaul164@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Stephen Gomez](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [arron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:arron.peskin@sfgov.org); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: District 3  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:54 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Stephen Gomez <[skg@stephenkgomez.com](mailto:skg@stephenkgomez.com)>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:02 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>; [arron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:arron.peskin@sfgov.org); Haney, Matt (BOS) <[matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org)>

Subject: District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the Redistricting Task Force of San Francisco

We are in support of the proposed D3 United Neighborhood Plan. We much prefer including a wider Russian Hill boundary.

The current neighborhoods are more aligned for small business, hotels and tourism, all of which have been hit hard by the pandemic. We also encompass the vital financial district which is another important component to revitalizing our neighborhoods.

The greatest concern for our tourist industry is the level of crime and the drug abuse on our streets. Our streets are unsafe and our City by the Bay has become an uncivilized cesspool.

We, as hard working inhabitants of our neighborhood, want to combat these perceptions. We report crime and we pick up the trash.

We already have a high density rate, perhaps the highest in the City. To make the situation untenable would be the possibility of more residents in an already overcrowded area. This is a poor master plan for the entire wellbeing of San Francisco.

Please consider the D3 United Neighborhood Map as a way to further unify our neighborhoods and common interests while maintaining our high level of diversity.

Thank you!

Stephen K. Gomez

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [jsantos1788@yahoo.com](mailto:jsantos1788@yahoo.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:49 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Jill Santos <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:53 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Jill Santos

Email

[jsantos1788@yahoo.com](mailto:jsantos1788@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of

District 5

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [kvercellino@sbcglobal.net](mailto:kvercellino@sbcglobal.net); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:46 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Kelly Vercellino <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:53 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kelly Vercellino

Email

[kvercellino@sbcglobal.net](mailto:kvercellino@sbcglobal.net)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Annika Lindner](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting Maps for SF  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:43 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Annika Lindner <[annika.lindner@icloud.com](mailto:annika.lindner@icloud.com)>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:52 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>

Subject: Redistricting Maps for SF

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am a resident in SOMA and I support maps 4A and 4C. Hoping to see one of these make it as the final draft.

Thank you,

Annika Lindner

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [prm5@georgetown.edu](mailto:prm5@georgetown.edu); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:38 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Paul Mohun <noreply@jotform.com>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:45 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Paul Mohun

Email

[prm5@georgetown.edu](mailto:prm5@georgetown.edu)

I am a resident of

District 2

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together.

The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [heather.luongo@gmail.com](mailto:heather.luongo@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:34 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Heather Luongo <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:35 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Heather Luongo

Email

[heather.luongo@gmail.com](mailto:heather.luongo@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 7

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** ~susan; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting Issues of Great Concern  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:55 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** ~susan <sdlatham@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 1:50 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Redistricting Issues of Great Concern

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

I am greatly disappointed in the draft maps this Redistricting Task Force have presented. I do not see any of the fair and good work of the Community Unity map, which represented 72 community organizations. The people have been disregarded in the TF map's presentation, as well as the many who spoke in support of all COIs therein. It is an ill informed embarrassment to the task force.

## TAKE NOTE:

### **Inner Sunset to Funston Remain in D5:**

- We request that the Inner Sunset remain in D5 up to Funston
- We just recovered from the Task Force trying to split up D5 into four different districts. Please do not divide the core of the inner sunset from D5, where there are shared interests and concerns that tie the Inner Sunset to the Haight and the rest of the District
- Residents of the Inner Sunset and Haight have common interests of being connected by UCSF expansion and impacts to the neighborhood, the COI of apartment buildings and renters, advocacy around Parkview Commons, public transit, and a common boundary of Golden Gate Park with its many attractions
- Geographically the Inner Sunset and Haight are connected by a flat continuous block along Irving that becomes Carl street. South of the Inner Sunset however is marked by steep hills as it transitions to D7.

- D4 shares no connection to the area South of Sloat, and logically should extend from 17th to Funston Street and down to Kirkham. The core of the Inner Sunset east of Funston should remain in D5.

**Eastern Golden Gate Park Remain in D5:**

- The Eastern boundary of Golden Gate Park was added in 2012 after advocacy by community members, and it should remain in D5. It is unclear why it has been removed.
- The many permanent attractions on the East end of the park such as Kezar, Conservatory of Flowers, and De Young permanently affect the residents and businesses of the Haight and Inner Sunset, and must be remain in the District for supervisorial oversight.

**I am in solidarity with D1 residents in saying keep SeaCliff out of D1. And that Anza Vista is a better fit with D1.**

**Susan D Latham**

**1965 Page Street #301**

**San Francisco, CA 94117**

**D5 Resident for over 55 years**

*~susan*

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Norman Degelman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting Map  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:51 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Norman Degelman <norkydeg@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 1:45 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Redistricting Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

To the task force members - Thank you for not cutting out the Haight and voting for Map 4D. Here are some more points I'd like you to consider:

- We request that the Inner Sunset remain in D5 up to Funston
- We just recovered from the Task Force trying to split up D5 into four different districts. Please do not divide the core of the inner sunset from D5, where there are shared interests and concerns that tie the Inner Sunset to the Haight and the rest of the District
- Residents of the Inner Sunset and Haight have common interests of being connected by UCSF expansion and impacts to the neighborhood, the COI of apartment buildings and renters, advocacy around Parkview Commons, public transit, and a common boundary of Golden Gate Park with its many attractions
- Geographically the Inner Sunset and Haight are connected by a flat continuous block along Irving that becomes Carl street. South of the Inner Sunset however is marked by steep hills as it transitions to D7.
- D4 shares no connection to the area South of Sloat, and logically should extend from 17th to Funston Street and down to Kirkham. The core of the Inner Sunset east of Funston should remain in D5.

Sincerely,

Norman Degelman  
422 Carl St



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Carol Mitchell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Keep District 6 together  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:44 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Carol Mitchell <carol\_lee\_mitch@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 1:27 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Keep District 6 together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

My name is Carol Mitchell and my husband and I have lived at 1 Federal St. in South Beach for 19 years. It has come to my attention that our district is in jeopardy of being split up.

I am in favor of keeping the district together South of Market, Mission Bay, and Treasure Island. There has been much accomplished in this district over the last two decades to create community including providing housing, schools, jobs, and transportation and planning for sea level rise, among other projects. The district is cohesive and there is much still to do.

Please keep the district together so that the groundwork that has been laid over the last couple of decades can thrive and continue to be realized in the future.

Sincerely,  
Carol Mitchell  
1 Federal St., Unit 14, SFC 94107

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [spinnerchick@gmail.com](mailto:spinnerchick@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:41 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Constance Stamas <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 1:27 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent      Constance Stamas

Email

[spinnerchick@gmail.com](mailto:spinnerchick@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 5

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more. I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [kasey.asberry](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Green Mosaic of TL, MidMarket, SoMa COI  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:34 PM  
**Attachments:** [COI\\_Env\\_Justice\\_SoMa-TL\\_Green\\_Mosaic.pdf](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Kasey Rios Asberry <[kasberry@humanorigins.org](mailto:kasberry@humanorigins.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 1:15 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Green Mosaic of TL, MidMarket, SoMa COI

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force

Please find attached our Community of Interest letter.

~~~~~  
Bloom Where You Are Planted  
~~~~~

Demonstration Gardens  
Living Waters Env Justice Center @ Federal Plaza  
Kasey Rios Asberry  
415-283-8570



To: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

April 4, 2022


Green Mosaic in Tenderloin, Mid-Market & SoMa Community of Interest

This letter documents a Community of Interest established in the downtown neighborhoods of the Tenderloin, Mid-Market & SoMa based in collective Environmental Justice work towards a Green Mosaic that pools resources to interconnect a network of Cool Islands to counteract the Urban Heat Island Effect, generational inequities with regard to air quality, access to Nature and large density of vulnerable populations (see attached SF Planning Environmental Justice Communities 2021 map), all accumulating to reduce life expectancy of our residents by as much as 15 years as compared to greener more equitable neighborhoods. The SF Planning Environmental Justice Framework emphasizes recognition of resident leadership in determining municipal and state policy. We invoke that recognized local agency in this statement and urge the Task Force to respect the municipal policy and the resilience indicators associated with ruling in alignment with clearly expressed resident will.






# DEMONSTRATION GARDENS



In consultation with the Association of the Ramaytush Ohlone, the living people whose unceded, ancestral homeland in which San Francisco and our neighborhoods lie, the Demonstration Gardens and our partners the Tenderloin Peoples Congress, the Mid-Market Neighbors Association, the Mid Market Coalition, SomaWest Community Benefits District, Faithful Fools Street Ministry, Hyde Street Studios, St Anthony's Foundation, The Living waters Environmental Justice Center at Federal Plaza and the SF Environmental Justice Community Working Group we want to underline the importance of working holistically to correct environmental injustice, requiring a collective impact approach as depicted in the Environmental Justice medicine wheel for community healing, attached.



We work together across Filipino, LGBTQ+, Latino, Black, Arabic-speaking communities and economic milieus and indeed this syncretic coalition is an essential characteristic of our District 6 co-alignments of neighborhood cultures.



The Green Mosaic COI area is encompassed roughly by:

-Tenderloin: between Geary (North), Masonic (East - North of Market), Van Ness (West)

-Mid Market: 6th Street to 12th Street

-SoMa: Freeway or Van Ness (West and South), Treasure Island (East)

The most recent SF Task Force map that most closely approximates our COI is 4D.

We also recognize the agency of other neighborhoods towards self-determination and therefore endorse the SF Unity Map.<https://www.sfrising.org/>

Redistricting that respects these processes and boundaries is respectful; it is also practical because we have developed coalitions and budgets related to District 6 relationships. Disruption of this would be inefficient and costly to the agreements we have established and plans for the next twenty - fifty years, on the scale of the now discredited and illegal economic redlining and freeway building of earlier generations.

Resident-lead work is always plagued by scarce resources; disrupting our collaborations would cost valuable benefit to our residents in quality of life, ill-health and even lives lost as we must deal with climate instability - fires, extreme heat and flooding now through reforestation, air improvement and neighborhood-based organizing.



Sincerely,

Kasey Rios Asberry

Executive Director, Demonstration Gardens

Co-Chair, SF Env Justice Framework Community Working Group

Green Mosaic Coalition, founding member

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [sjadalla@pacbell.net](mailto:sjadalla@pacbell.net); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:21 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Sandra Jadallah <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 1:11 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent      Sandra Jadallah

Email

[sjadalla@pacbell.net](mailto:sjadalla@pacbell.net)

I am a resident of

District 7

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more. I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [obriant@gmail.com](mailto:obriant@gmail.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:15 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Jeremy OBriant <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 12:53 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent      **Jeremy OBriant**



Email

[obriant@gmail.com](mailto:obriant@gmail.com)

I am a resident of

District 5

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face

the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more. I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Mariela Ceden](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: NEW: Working Maps and next Redistricting Task Force Meeting April 2, 2022 at 10am  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:11 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Mariela Ceden <mariela.e.ceden@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 12:46 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Re: NEW: Working Maps and next Redistricting Task Force Meeting April 2, 2022 at 10am

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Hello,

I am an SF resident and extremely disappointed with this proposed redistricting. At its best, it's sloppy, at its worst it seems like a deliberate effort to undo decades of organizing work of LGBTQ residents and break up marginalized communities that already have little reach into the political system.

Thank you,

Mariela Cedeño  
District 8

On Thu, Mar 31, 2022 at 1:47 PM Clerk of the Board of Supervisors <[clerksoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:clerksoffice@sfgov.org)> wrote:

[Web Version](#)

---

**SAN FRANCISCO'S OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE BOARD**

---



















*Board of Supervisors, Office of the Clerk of the Board*

You are receiving this newsletter as part of your rights as a San Francisco citizen to have access to government information that helps make your neighborhood better. You may have previously signed up to receive information from the Clerk of the Board, the Board of Supervisors or a non-profit with which we have partnered with to improve the community.

This email was sent by: City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place, San Francisco, California, 94102 United States

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Update Profile](#)

[Manage Subscriptions](#)

[Unsubscribe](#)



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Daphne Magnawa](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Keep our D10 community together!  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:05 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Daphne Magnawa <[dmagnawa@gmail.com](mailto:dmagnawa@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 12:41 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Keep our D10 community together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Here's why I support the [District 10 Unity Map](#):

- For 17 years, I have lived on the 300 block of Utah St. **in D10.**
- I helped raise thousands of dollars for the Potrero Branch library **in D10.**
- My daughter was born and raised **in D10.**
- When my daughter was only 3 months old, Daniel Webster Elementary was slated to close and I was one of the neighborhood parents that helped to keep it OPEN. She attended and graduated from Webster Elementary **in D10.**
- I helped build and open 2 preschools **in D10.**
- I am on the board of the [Green Benefits District](#) **in D10.**
- I have served on the board of the Potrero Boosters **in D10.**
- I have created lifelong friendships that began at Jackson Park **in D10.**

**My community is NOT D6. My community is D10. Keep our community whole and build the boundaries according to the District 10 Unity Map.**

Sincerely,

Daphne Magnawa  
300 Block Utah St. **in D10**



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [tesw@aol.com](mailto:tesw@aol.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Unit of Measure: Census Blocks? Census Tracts?  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** [tesw@aol.com](mailto:tesw@aol.com) <[tesw@aol.com](mailto:tesw@aol.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 12:39 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Unit of Measure: Census Blocks? Census Tracts?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Task Force, please clarify the Unit of Measure for Q2 maps: are you using Census Blocks? Census Tracts? Something else?

Thanks, Tes Welborn

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [irishslate@aol.com](mailto:irishslate@aol.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:10:51 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Margaret O'SULLIVAN <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 12:15 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent      Margaret O'SULLIVAN

Email

[irishslate@aol.com](mailto:irishslate@aol.com)

I am a resident of

District 1

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more. I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [krochemusic@aol.com](mailto:krochemusic@aol.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:10:48 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Kevin Roche <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 12:14 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent      Kevin Roche

Email

[krochemusic@aol.com](mailto:krochemusic@aol.com)

I am a resident of

District 4

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more. I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [slatehouse@aol.com](mailto:slatehouse@aol.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:10:43 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Marina Roche <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 11:52 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent      Marina Roche

Email

[slatehouse@aol.com](mailto:slatehouse@aol.com)

I am a resident of

District 4

**I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.**

Message to the  
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more. I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [james@stuffsfs.com](mailto:james@stuffsfs.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: When is the next upcoming voting on Map 4 RE: Keep SoMa in ONE DISTRICT!!!  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 2:09:32 PM  
**Attachments:** [rtf040422\\_agenda\\_EN.pdf](#)

---

There is a meeting tonight at 5:30.

On Saturday the Task Force moved forward with Map 4D, and tonight they will be making adjustments to the 4D map.

Here is an agenda for the meeting tonight, attached.

Thanks so,  
John Carroll

---

**From:** james@stuffsfs.com <james@stuffsfs.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 12:56 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** When is the next upcoming voting on Map 4 RE: Keep SoMa in ONE DISTRICT!!!  
**Importance:** High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

John,

I noticed there are new MAPS 4 for redistricting and I want to make sure I let those interesting in keeping SOMA together to write new letters in support of MAP 4A

When is the next upcoming voting on MAP 4

Thanks

James Spinillo  
SWCBD  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
[james@stuffsfs.com](mailto:james@stuffsfs.com)  
c 415-710-4288



# **REDISTRICTING TASK FORCE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AGENDA**

## **IN-PERSON MEETING**

**City Hall, Room 408  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102**

**April 4, 2022 - 5:30 PM**

## **Regular Meeting**

### **REMOTE ACCESS VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE**

**WATCH San Francisco Cable Channel 26, 78 or 99 (*depending on your provider*)**

**WATCH [www.sfgovtv.org](http://www.sfgovtv.org)**

**WATCH: <https://bit.ly/35LpDAC>**

**PASSWORD: comment**

### **PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN**

**[1 \(415\) 655-0001 / Meeting ID 2482 064 9460 # #](tel:14156550001)**

**(Press \*3 to enter the speaker line)**

### **Audio Interpretation in Cantonese – Available Until 11 PM**

**[1 \(415\) 655-0001 / Meeting ID 2494 604 3639 # #](tel:14156550001)**

**WATCH: <https://bit.ly/3wS6g49>**

**PASSWORD: comment**

### **Audio Interpretation in Spanish – Available Until 11 PM**

**[1 \(415\) 655-0001 / Meeting ID 2492 544 7274 # #](tel:14156550001)**

**WATCH: <https://bit.ly/36X1LtP>**

**PASSWORD: comment**

**1. Call to Order and Roll Call**

<b>Seat 1</b>	Jeremy Lee
<b>Seat 2</b>	Jose Maria (Chema) Hernández Gil
<b>Seat 3</b>	J. Michelle Pierce
<b>Seat 4</b>	Matthew Castillon
<b>Seat 5</b>	Rev. Arnold Townsend
<b>Seat 6</b>	Lily Ho
<b>Seat 7</b>	Raynell Cooper
<b>Seat 8</b>	Chasel Lee
<b>Seat 9</b>	Ditka Reiner

**2. Mapping**

*Members of the Task Force shall discuss visualizations of maps developed and submitted by Q2 Data and Research at Task Force's direction and may give them further instructions. By agreement the Task Force may also move to line drawing at any time if they so decide.*

*Discussion and possible action.*

*(Public Comment)*

**3. Q2 Redistricting Consultants Update**

*(Redistricting Consultants, Q2 Data and Research)*

*Discussion and possible action.*

*(Public Comment)*

**4. Task Force Member Reports and City Department Representative/Public Official Reports**

*Members of the Task Force report on their respective discussions with members of the public and/or public officials concerning current or future work within the jurisdiction of the Task Force. City Department representatives and/or public officials report on matters within the jurisdiction of the Task Force.*

*Discussion.*

*(Public Comment)*

**5. Approval of Minutes from the Special Meetings of March 23 and 25, 2022**

*Discussion and possible action.*

*(Public Comment)*

**6. General Public Comment:** Members of the public may address the Redistricting Task Force on matters that are within their jurisdiction and not on today's agenda.**7. Future Agenda Items**

*Discussion and possible action.*

*(Public Comment)*

**8. Adjournment**

### Agenda Item Information

Each item on the agenda may include: 1) Department or Agency cover letter and/or report; 2) Public correspondence; 3) Other explanatory documents. For more information concerning agendas, minutes, and meeting information, such as these documents, please contact:

Redistricting Task Force Clerk  
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445  
[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)

### Meeting Procedures

Public Comment will be taken before or during the Task Force's consideration of each agenda item. Each member of the public will be allotted the same maximum number of minutes to speak as set by the Chair at the beginning of each item, except public speakers using translation assistance will be allotted twice the amount of the public testimony time limit. Members of the public who want a document displayed should provide in advance of the meeting to the Clerk ([john.carroll@sfgov.org](mailto:john.carroll@sfgov.org)), clearly state such during testimony, and subsequently request the document be removed when they want the screen to return to live coverage of the meeting. The public is encouraged to testify at Task Force meetings and to write letters to its members: 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102.

AGENDA PACKET: Available on the internet at: <https://sf.gov/public-body/2020-census-redistricting-task-force>.

LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS: Language services are available in Spanish, Chinese and Filipino at all regular and special Board and Committee meetings if made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting to help ensure availability. For more information or to request services: Contact Wilson Ng or Arthur Khoo at (415) 554-5184.

傳譯服務: 所有常規及特別市參事會會議和常務委員會會議將提供西班牙文, 中文以及菲律賓文的傳譯服務, 但必須在會議前最少48小時作出請求, 以確保能獲取到傳譯服務. 將因應請求提供交替傳譯服務, 以便公眾向有關政府機構發表意見. 如需更多資訊或請求有關服務, 請致電 (415) 554-5184 聯絡我們.

AVISO EN ESPAÑOL: Los servicios de idiomas están disponibles en español, chino, y filipino en todas las reuniones regulares y reuniones especiales de la Junta, de los Comités, si se solicita por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión para ayudar a garantizar su disponibilidad. Para más información o solicitar servicios, por favor contactar a (415) 554-5184.

PAUNAWA: Mayroong serbisyong pang-wika sa Espanyol, Tsino at Pilipino para sa lahat ng mga regular at espesyal na pagpupulong ng Board, at Komite ng Board. Sa kasalukuyan, mayroong serbisyo sa wikang Pilipino na maaaring hilingin, 48 oras (o mas maaga) bago ng pagpupulong upang matiyak na matutugunan ang inyong kahilingan. Para sa karagdagang impormasyon o para humiling ng serbisyo pang-wika, tawagan lamang ang (415) 554-5184.

### Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)

The ADA is a civil rights law that protects people with different types of disabilities from discrimination in all aspects of social life. More specifically, Title II of the ADA requires that all programs offered through the state and local government such as the City and County of San Francisco must be accessible and usable to people with disabilities. The ADA and City policy require that people with disabilities have equal access to all City services, activities, and benefits. People with disabilities must have an equal opportunity to participate in the programs and services offered through the City and County of San Francisco. If you believe your rights under the ADA are violated, contact the ADA Coordinator.

Ordinance 90-10 added Section 2A.22.3 to the Administrative Code, which adopted a Citywide Americans with Disabilities Act Reasonable Modification Policy that requires City departments to: (1) provide notice to the public of the right to request reasonable modification; (2) respond promptly to such requests; (3) provide appropriate auxiliary aids and services to people with disabilities to ensure effective communication; and (4) train staff to respond to requests from the public for reasonable modification, and that requires the Mayor's Office on Disability to provide technical assistance to City departments responding to requests from the public for reasonable modifications.

The Redistricting Task Force supports the Mayor's Office on Disability to help make San Francisco a city where all people enjoy equal rights, equal opportunity, and freedom from illegal discrimination under disability rights laws.

To request sign language interpreters, readers, large print agendas or other accommodations, please contact (415) 554-5184 or (415) 554-5227 (TTY). Requests made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting will help to ensure availability. If further assistance is needed, please contact Wilson Ng at (415) 554-5184 ([wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org](mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org)).

### **Know Your Rights Under The Sunshine Ordinance**

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact by mail Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102; phone at (415) 554-7724; fax at (415) 554-5163; or by email at [sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org). Citizens may obtain a free company of the Sunshine Ordinance by printing the San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67, on the Internet at <http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine>.

### **Ethics Requirements**

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code, Section 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone (415) 252-3100; fax (415) 252-3112; website <http://www.sfgov.org/ethics>. Under Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, Section 1.127, no person or entity with a financial interest in a land use matter pending before the Board of Appeals, Board of Supervisors, Building Inspection Commission, Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure, Historic Preservation Commission, Planning Commission, Port Commission, or the Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors, may make a campaign contribution to a member of the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor, the City Attorney, or a candidate for any of those offices, from the date the land use matter commenced until 12 months after the board or commission has made a final decision, or any appeal to another City agency from that decision has been resolved. For more information about this restriction, visit [www.sfethics.org](http://www.sfethics.org).



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Thornton, Cheryl \(DPH\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Voting Rights Act  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 11:33:03 AM  
**Attachments:** [redistrictin.docx](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Thornton, Cheryl (DPH) <cheryl.thornton@sfdph.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 11:03 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Voting Rights Act

Hi Redistricting Taskforce,

Can you add my letter to the agenda for today under public comment?

Thank you

**Cheryl Denson-Thornton**

**Hospital Eligibility Supervisor**

**Primary Care**

**Pronouns: She/ Her/ Hers**

**Phone: 415-374-5100**

30 Van Ness, Suite 2300, San Francisco,  
CA 94102

1501610198219\_PastedImage



This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the PHI contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.

Submitted by Cheryl Thornton D10 Resident

The Voting Rights Act of 1965 is an important federal redistricting requirement that ensures our representatives reflect America's racial and ethnic diversity. "The Voting Rights Act of 1965 prohibits racial discrimination in the election process, including prohibiting the dilution of Black voting strength."

**Community of Interest:** HOPE SF Public Housing Sites which include the Sunnydale public housing located in Visitation Valley, Alice Griffith located in Double Rock, Hunters View public housing located in Bayview Hunters Point and the Potrero Hill Annex and Terrance located in Potrero Hill.

The Voting Rights Act of 1965 "The Voting Rights Act of 1965 prohibits racial discrimination in the election process, including prohibiting the dilution of Black voting strength."

District 10 must shrink not grow in population. Cutting Potrero Hill out of D10 and adding the Portola neighborhood into D10 will dilute the strength of the Black voting strength. Furthermore, Potrero Hill has always been in District 10. Whereas Portola has been in District 9 for ten years. Adding Portola and removing Potrero Hill would weaken the Black voting strength in District 10. This would violate the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

District 10 was created in the year 2000. For every election in District 10 a Black Representative was elected to represent District 10 which included two presidents of the Board of Supervisors ((Malia Cohen and Shamman Walton). District 10 is the only District to elect a Black supervisor in every election. District 10 is the only district to elect a Black supervisor in every election since the creation of district elections in 2000.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [Adkins, Joe \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment from Tim Nolan  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 11:32:39 AM  
**Attachments:** [Tim Nolan PC.pdf](#)

---

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

---

**From:** Adkins, Joe (BOS) <joe.adkins@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 10:41 AM  
**To:** Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Public Comment from Tim Nolan

Hello,

Please see attached public comment received in the mail from Tim Nolan.

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

TIMOTHY JAMES NOLAN  
2600 VAN NESS AVENUE N° 503  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA  
94109

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2022 APR -4 AM 10:33

BY



March 25, 2022

Members of the San Francisco 2022 Redistricting Task Force,

I am a 31+ year resident of San Francisco. I have lived in the Inner Sunset, the Marina, Lone Mountain and Russian Hill neighborhoods, the last being my home for 26 years. My current address falls into the boundary of District 2. This email represents my voice for inclusion in public comments regarding the San Francisco 2022 Redistricting Task Force efforts.

I strongly support the position letter submitted by the Russian Hill Neighborhood association (RHN) of keeping the Russian Hill neighborhood intact, in District 3 (D3) as well as extending the western boundary to Van Ness Avenue. Below is a link to the 02/11/2022 preliminary input from the RHN's President to the 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force:

<https://rhnsf.org/2022/02/11/redistricting-task-force-letter/>

Enclosed you should find a copy of the 03/11/2022 letter from the RHN's President to the 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force. This letter makes detailed and logical arguments for the future D3 boundaries, including the request to **reunify Russian Hill by moving its 6,000 residents who were divided from D3 during the last redistricting back into D3, with Van Ness Avenue as its western boundary.**

In summary I respectfully urge you to consider these positions when drawing the final Supervisor Districts we will live under for the next decade.

Sincerely,



Tim Nolan



**PRESIDENT**  
Carol Ann Rogers  
**VICE PRESIDENTS**  
Gregg Carr  
Pamela Meyers  
**SECRETARY**  
Suze Barrabee  
**TREASURER**  
Joyce Kucharvy

**PAST PRESIDENT**  
Tina Moylan

**DIRECTORS**  
Richard Cardello  
Peter Kindel  
Pamela Meyers  
Laurie Petipas  
Alice Alioto  
Robert Bluhm  
Lauren Bohlin  
Greg Polchow

**COMMITTEE CHAIRS**  
**Design, Zoning, and Land Use**  
Robert Bluhm  
**History**  
Al Greening  
**Communications**  
Susan Ford  
**Safety**  
Jennifer Parkes  
**Social**  
Pamela Meyers  
**Families**  
Moe Jamil  
Annie Yang  
**Parks**  
Susan Karasoff  
**Membership**  
Open  
**Transportation**  
Steve Taber  
**Neighborhood Enhancement**  
Phoebe Douglass  
**Local Businesses**  
Teddy Kramer  
**Neighborhood Organizations**  
Matthew Mansfield

**ADVISORS**  
Lucretia Rauh, Chair  
Tim Covington  
Helen Doyle  
Judy Junghans  
Jovanne Reilly

1819 Polk Street #221  
San Francisco CA 94109  
415.993.1808  
www.rhnsf.org

March 17, 2022

## **TO: 2020 Census: Redistricting Task Force**

### **RE: Why does Russian Hill belong in the Northeast Quadrant of San Francisco (D3)?**

In April 1906, the entire northeast quadrant of San Francisco, including Downtown, Chinatown, Telegraph Hill, Nob Hill, North Beach and Russian Hill were flattened by the earthquake and subsequent fires. The fire was stopped at Van Ness Avenue with only a few structures surviving intact. Many of these survivors are now official landmarks recognized by the City or the National Register of Historic Places thanks to the efforts of local residents and organizations.

Throughout the 20<sup>th</sup> century, these neighborhoods east of Van Ness Avenue rebuilt into the iconic and densely populated urban landscape that continues to characterize them today, beloved by residents, small businesses and tourists alike.

The neighborhoods east of Van Ness have been historically characterized by a diverse group of immigrants whose marks are still be seen and celebrated:

- Italian language mass is celebrated weekly at Saints Peter and Paul Church, Italian cuisine predominates and the Italian Athletic Club still sponsors its 100 year old foot race. Families with roots in Little Italy established homes on the slopes of Russian Hill where they have lived for multiple generations.
- The Mexican-American community flourished until it was displaced by the building of the Broadway tunnel. Its shrine to Our Lady of Guadalupe remains as a City landmark.
- Mid-20<sup>th</sup> century, the Chinese community began to settle in Russian Hill. These multi-generational Russian Hill property owners and residents have strong connections to Chinatown and are part of a greater Chinatown community, as are many parts of Nob Hill.

In the 1960s and 1970s, the northeastern residents east of Van Ness pushed back on high-rise development by advocating for height limits both in the neighborhoods and the northern waterfront. Although the individual neighborhoods have different names and boundaries, they share common experiences and frequent

collaborations which blur the lines separating us on official maps. For example, Columbus Avenue is not a boundary between neighborhoods but a common and vital corridor shared by the residents of the slopes that lead to it from both east and west.

In the 1980s, *Russian Hill Neighbors* led a successful effort to nominate three historic districts in its boundaries to the National Register of Historic Places, giving special protection to these treasured areas. Similarly, *RHN* and its peer organizations and residents have been devoted to preserving public open spaces such as Ina Coolbrith and Sterling Parks, Helen Wills Playground and most recently the soon-to-open Francisco Park which provide unique spaces for all of the dense northeastern residents to enjoy.

Also in the 1980s, Russian Hill joined with its northeastern neighbors to establish the first preferential parking zone in the City identified by the red "A" sticker. The boundaries today for the "A" sticker are still set at Van Ness Avenue and extend east to the Bay. These collective experiences of rebuilding, protection, preservation and resilience are part of the DNA of the D3 neighborhood groups, businesses and residents who support the United D3 Map submitted to the Redistricting Task Force.

With this common heritage, the northeastern neighborhoods of D3 remain an essential force to meet the challenges of the next ten years together demonstrated by much recent and ongoing collaboration:

- maintaining healthy and vibrant commercial corridors of small and neighborhood serving businesses;
- addressing the high cost of both ownership and rental properties that threatens residents from being able to continue to afford to live in San Francisco;
- finding solutions to quality of life issues such as clean streets, safety and security;
- advocating for the services that allow families to continue to reside in our neighborhoods, including good schools, open space, child care and support for seniors such as those provided by Next Village, Telegraph Hill Neighborhood Center and other nonprofits supported by us with both funds and volunteers;
- co-sponsoring the North Beach Neighbors Farmers Market;
- organizing volunteer street, sidewalk and green space clean-up days;
- working with the Central Station Police Station by serving on the Captain's Community Police Advisory Board (CPAB);
- offering NERT training and disaster preparedness education to residents.

The diverse mix of neighborhoods that comprise the northeast quadrant do not always agree on strategies and solutions, but we have built a sense of trust and cooperation that serve us well and encourage positive and productive approaches.

In 1906, Van Ness Avenue was the boundary that created the common experience we share as northeastern neighbors in D3. Through the decades since, Van Ness has been recognized as the logical and natural boundary between the densely populated eastern neighborhoods and the western part of the City by residents, city agencies and local tradition. It remains the appropriate and practical boundary that defines our shared relationships today.

In our original submission to the RTF, *Russian Hill Neighbors* asked that Russian Hill be unified by moving its 6,000 residents who were divided from D3 during the last redistricting back into D3. Many other neighborhood organizations support this request, including *D3 United Neighborhoods* and the *Van Ness Corridor Neighborhood Council*. Russian Hill should be unified and, by history, geography, and logic, it belongs in the northeast quadrant with its D3 neighbors and Van Ness as its western boundary.

Thank you for listening to the many voices participating in this important endeavor, for your service and for your consideration of our request.

Very truly yours,  
Carol Ann Rogers, President  
[president@rhnsf.org](mailto:president@rhnsf.org); 415-902-3980

---

*Note: The boundaries of Russian Hill Neighbors as stated in our COI statement filed with the RTF on 2.11.22 are:*

- The south side of North Point from the east side of Van Ness Avenue to the east side of Columbus Avenue
- Southeast on Columbus Avenue to the west side of Mason Street
- South on Mason Street to the south side of Pacific Avenue
- West on Pacific Avenue to the east side of Van Ness Avenue
- North on Van Ness Avenue to the south side of North Point Street



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Martin Almaraz](#)  
**Cc:** [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: NOPNA's position on D5 Redistricting  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 11:32:20 AM  
**Attachments:** [2022 SF Redistricting Letter.pdf](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Martin Almaraz <martin@nopna.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 10:37 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** NOPNA's position on D5 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi all,

I am reaching out to voice the concerns and position of the North Of the Panhandle Neighborhood Association.

Attached is our letter.

- Martin Almaraz,  
NOPNA President



**North of  
Panhandle  
Neighborhood  
Association**

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

As the president of the North of the Panhandle Neighborhood Association (NOPNA), I am writing on behalf of the NOPNA board and our community. NOPNA was established in 1991, is a 501-3c, and has worked extremely hard over the many years to create a sense of community within our boundaries which are Divisadero to Masonic, and Turk to Oak.

We appreciate the work that has been done by the Task Force and understand the gravity and importance of the Task Force's role in San Francisco's 2022 redistricting process. As such, we want to ensure our collective voice is heard.

**We strongly urge the Task Force to respect and maintain the boundaries of our neighborhood.**

NOPNA has developed neighborhood events and consistently reported on neighborhood news for 31 years. We have created neighborhood traditions, like annual block parties that include Halloween and holiday events, and organized safety, tree planting and GG Park Panhandle improvements, and helped established the Divisadero Merchants Association and the Farmers Market – all of which are accomplishments that are beloved by generations of families and residents who call this area their home.

We stand in solidarity with our nearby neighbors. We ask that you please sustain the Japantown Cultural District to the Western Addition along with other points of community interest to the Japantown community. We also appreciate and support the inclusion of the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center in District 5. BTWCSC is a historical and integral part of District 5, which has served the Western Addition/Fillmore and larger SF African American community for over 100 years.

We have reached out to the Task Force as a registered Community Of Interest, and we are worried that our request is not reflected on any recent district draft proposals. We also strongly urge the Task Force to maintain the inclusion of the NOPA neighborhood in District 5 along with the aforementioned communities: Alamo Square, Booker T., and JCYC.

Thank you for your time and all the hard work that the Task Force has done. We hope our voice is heard and reflected in the final District 5 map.

Sincerely,

Martin Almaraz

President | North of Panhandle Neighborhood Association

[www.nopna.org](http://www.nopna.org) / Email: [Board@nopna.org](mailto:Board@nopna.org)

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [LegacyBusiness \(ECN\)](#); [La Meditteranee Noe](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [msamereie@gmail.com](#); [info@castromerchants.com](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Tina Aguirre](#); [MandelmanStaff, IBOS](#)  
**Subject:** RE: La Meditteranee Castro opposes Re- Districting of our Legacy business out of the Castro  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 11:31:52 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** LegacyBusiness (ECN) <LegacyBusiness@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 9:58 AM  
**To:** La Meditteranee Noe <information@lamednoe.com>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** msamereie@gmail.com; info@castromerchants.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; LegacyBusiness (ECN) <LegacyBusiness@sfgov.org>; Tina Aguirre

<taguirre@castrolgbtq.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** RE: La Mediterranee Castro opposes Re- Districting of our Legacy business out of the Castro

Alicia,

Thank you for your letter in support of keeping Duboce Triangle in D8. I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force at [rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org). Their website is <https://sf.gov/public-body/2020-census-redistricting-task-force>.

Please correct me if I am wrong, but it appears that all four options for District 8 being presented at today's redistricting meeting ([https://sf.gov/sites/default/files/2022-04/2022.04.04\\_RTF\\_Packet\\_Agenda\\_Item\\_No.%202\\_2.pdf](https://sf.gov/sites/default/files/2022-04/2022.04.04_RTF_Packet_Agenda_Item_No.%202_2.pdf)) propose to keep Duboce Triangle with D8.

Sincerely,  
Rick

-----  
Richard Kurylo  
[Legacy Business Program](#)  
[Office of Small Business](#)  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 140  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-6680  
[LegacyBusiness@sfgov.org](mailto:LegacyBusiness@sfgov.org)

---

**From:** La Mediterranee Noe <[information@lamednoe.com](mailto:information@lamednoe.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, April 1, 2022 8:41 PM

**To:** MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <[mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org)>

**Cc:** [msamereie@gmail.com](mailto:msamereie@gmail.com); [info@castromerchants.com](mailto:info@castromerchants.com); [tom.temprano@sfgov.org](mailto:tom.temprano@sfgov.org); Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)>; LegacyBusiness (ECN) <[LegacyBusiness@sfgov.org](mailto:LegacyBusiness@sfgov.org)>; Tina Aguirre <[taguirre@castrolgbtq.org](mailto:taguirre@castrolgbtq.org)>

**Subject:** La Mediterranee Castro opposes Re- Districting of our Legacy business out of the Castro

Hello Supervisor Mandelman, Mayor Breed, Castro Merchants Association, Castro LGBTQ Cultural District, and SF Legacy Business Office,

We at La Mediterranee located at 288 Noe Street, SF, Ca 94114, are writing to formally oppose the city's decision to move our business and the other businesses located in the 200 block of Noe Street out of the historic Castro District and into a new district.

We have been a Castro business for more than 40 years and are very much attached to our community. Never has this connection to our community been more important than through the past two years of the pandemic. Our Supervisor, our Castro Merchants Association, the LGBTQ Cultural District, our neighbors at the Lookout, Jeffreys, Cafe Flor, and the laundry have all banded together to fight our way, together, through the second-most challenging period in La Meds history. The 1st was the 1980's AIDS Crisis. We at La Mediterranee are deeply

connected to our neighbors and organizations that support our community. We worked together, for example, to create the Castro Noe Art Mart and other critically engaging community events to help our district and our district businesses, artists, and community members to weather this storm of the pandemic together. And NOW, the city wants to divide us from our historically placed and historically connected community? We are very much opposed.

The Castro Farmers Market and Noe Art Mart (created and supported by our Noe Street business owners with the Merchants association) are located on the very block that is being re-drawn out of the Castro. We are an official SF Legacy Business, recognized as such for our deep connection and long term contributions to our neighborhood, the Castro.

This is not the wishes of our staff, (50% of whom identify as LGBTQ) nor myself as the owner,(who also identifies as LGBTQ), nor our customers (who are majority Castro residents!). We oppose this decision and hope that we can be heard. I cannot attend tomorrow's meeting at City Hall, so I wanted to write this message to share my appeal.

Most sincerely,  
Alicia Vanden Heuvel  
(She/Her)  
Owner  
La Mediterranee  
288 Noe Street  
San Francisco, CA 94114  
415-734-7977

SF Legacy Business  
California Green Business  
Founded 1981  
Winner Best Mediterranean Restaurant SF Weekly 2021

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [chris.schulman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Lower Polk x District 3 x Equity Priority Communities  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 11:31:46 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Chris Schulman <[chris.schulman@gmail.com](mailto:chris.schulman@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, April 4, 2022 9:44 AM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Lower Polk x District 3 x Equity Priority Communities

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Honorable Task Force Members,

Thank you for your continued support and consideration of the Lower Polk Community. I will be as brief as possible in my written testimony today. As mentioned I am a 20 year resident of Lower Polk and a neighborhood non profit director but speaking on behalf of myself.

I have provided public comment at several meetings and wanted to follow up on my most recent comments on SFCTA Equity Priority Communities- of which the majority of the Lower Polk neighborhood is one.

San Francisco's Equity Priority Communities include a diverse cross-section of populations and communities that could be considered disadvantaged or vulnerable now and in the future. Equity Priority Communities can have high levels of households with minority or low-income status, seniors, people who have limited English proficiency, people who have disabilities, and more.

<https://epc-map.sfcta.org>

Please consider taking a moment to click on this linked map and the various filters (separating the above mentioned characteristics) and you will see that District 3 is comprised of a number of Equity Priority Communities, including the Lower Polk neighborhood. District 2 has only a sliver of one priority community. We share a common bond with Chinatown, North Beach, greater Union Square and other areas of District 3 that is truly quantifiable. By this Equity Priority Community metric, which I believe is compelling on a social and economic basis, there is significant justification for Lower Polk to remain in District 3.

Thank you for your consideration,

Chris Schulman



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Julie](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 8:45:40 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Julie <[mookie520@gmail.com](mailto:mookie520@gmail.com)>  
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:44 AM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map

([https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://bit.ly/374YEAg\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzowZDYyZWUyZTM3NGJjZGI3ZmViMjVjOTJmN2VjOTMxZjo2OjJlYzU6NjRjMjE2NWU5YWRiNjUwOWJiZGMxM2MxNjY4NmYzODYxN2U2N2Q0NTUxOGFhNjdiZDQxNTUzOGFiMWE5ZTk4NDpwOk4](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzowZDYyZWUyZTM3NGJjZGI3ZmViMjVjOTJmN2VjOTMxZjo2OjJlYzU6NjRjMjE2NWU5YWRiNjUwOWJiZGMxM2MxNjY4NmYzODYxN2U2N2Q0NTUxOGFhNjdiZDQxNTUzOGFiMWE5ZTk4NDpwOk4)), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,  
Julie V

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Julie](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map  
**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 8:45:34 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Julie <[mookie520@gmail.com](mailto:mookie520@gmail.com)>  
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:44 AM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map

([https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://bit.ly/374YEAg\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozNTczNWlxYzg3ZTRjM2VjNmM2NWEwMjM2ODI4ZjAwMzo2OjhiMWE6ODQ4OTk4Y2ZlYTBlMmQ3MGJkNDhkODlhMTE5MTc3NDYxOGE5Nzc2ZmQzNjFkOWUwOThlINTYwZmZiYjc4M2U5OTpwOk4](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozNTczNWlxYzg3ZTRjM2VjNmM2NWEwMjM2ODI4ZjAwMzo2OjhiMWE6ODQ4OTk4Y2ZlYTBlMmQ3MGJkNDhkODlhMTE5MTc3NDYxOGE5Nzc2ZmQzNjFkOWUwOThlINTYwZmZiYjc4M2U5OTpwOk4)), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,  
Julie V