

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [CARLA RUFF](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Russian Hill in D3
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:54:51 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: CARLA RUFF <carlainsf@aol.com>

Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 9:44 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Russian Hill in D3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am writing to object to the registrict thing of Russian Hill. Please keep it intact as it is.

Thank you

Carla Ruff
1115 Greenwich Street,
San Francisco, 94109

Carla Ruff
415-218-1281/ mobile

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: teehines.th@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:54:43 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Toni Hines <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 10:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Toni Hines
teehines.th@gmail.com
1704 Lasalle Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Herald Tenants](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Final Draft Map
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:54:23 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Herald Tenants <heraldunite@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 10:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Final Draft Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear City Officials

The Herald Unite represents very low-income tenants living at the Herald Hotel in the heart of the Tenderloin. 80% of our tenants are monolingual Putonghua (Mandarin) and Yue (Cantonese) dialects. We are disturbed to see the lack of full translation be offered at the redistricting meetings during the whole duration of each meeting held. When 183,812 individuals are of Chinese descent in San Francisco the city can do better.

Herald Unite feels many Chinese Community members and other Asians including Filipinos have been underrepresented during the process of redistricting. We believe there is a failure of equal access.

Therefore, we can not recognize any outcomes of the redistricting process as being fair during 2022.

We thank you for listening to our concerns.

Sincerely,

Herald Unite

308 Eddy Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

heraldunite@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: 1jfarrow70@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:52:44 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: jason farrow <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 10:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

jason farrow

Email

1jfarrow70@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: randi.dodick@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:52:40 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Randi Fields <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 9:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Randi Fields

Email

randi.dodick@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ang@go.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:52:36 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Angela Sandridge <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 9:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Angela Sandridge

Email

ang@go.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact:

1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitacion Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community.

2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [William Owen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D9 Final - Mission, Bernal, Potrero United - Letter of Support
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:52:17 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image.pdf](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: William Owen <owenmed@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 5:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D9 Final - Mission, Bernal, Potrero United - Letter of Support

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

My community and I had supported an alternative redistricting solution for Potrero Hill where I have lived for over 37 years -- in which Potrero, Dogpatch, and the Design District would remain together and would join our neighborhoods to the north in D6. In a poll on NextDoor this past week for residents of Potrero, Dogpatch, and the Design District, nearly 100 respondents voted 60% to 30% to support that plan.

After watching your deliberations and community testimony for many days, however, I came to realize what a difficult task you had before you and that you did the best job possible to create equitable districts. Therefore, I support the decision of the Task Force to combine Potrero Hill with the Mission District and Bernal Heights in a new District 9 (see attached map showing the new D9 boundaries with census tract detail).

Here is why I now support the final Task Force plan for D9 approved on April 10, 2022.

[Mutual Interests of Potrero Hill, Mission, and Bernal Heights](#)

Historically, Mission, Bernal and Potrero Hill have been linked as working class residential and industrial neighborhoods. Although the original character of the three neighborhoods has changed, they remain linked in many ways.

We are communities of many older residences, mixed in with newer homes and apartments.

We utilize each other's schools, parks, restaurants, and libraries

Our public transit lines run east-west across our communities. The 22-Fillmore, 55-Dogpatch, and 48-Quintara trolley and bus lines serve both the Mission and Potrero Hill.

Health issues are a common thread for both Potrero Hill and Mission, as we are surrounded by freeways and the rate of respiratory diseases, including asthma, is among the highest in California. We have public health clinics in the Mission and Potrero Hill. The city's general hospital (ZSFGH) straddles the border between the neighborhoods.

We face similar issues: increasing crime and lack of adequate security; encroaching homelessness and absence of creative ways for solving this dilemma; the expansion of ZSFGH; traffic and parking issues; and inadequate transportation in parts of our district.

[Boundaries of our new District 9](#)

The new District 9 encompasses Potrero Hill, Mission, and Bernal Height. It is bounded on the east by Highway 280, ; on the north by the Central Freeway (Highway 101) and Potrero Avenue; on the west by Guerrero and Valencia Streets and San Jose Avenue; and on the south by Interstate Highway 280. and Cesar Chavez Street. The new D9 is contiguous, compact and has a population of 1.52% above the redistricting goal. The new district is ethnically diverse.


[Why I support the Mission, Bernal Heights, and Potrero Hill uniting in new D9](#)

The community leaders of Potrero Hill can look forward to working with those in the Mission District and Bernal Heights to confront common challenges we face in coming years. Examples of these include the issues of crime and homelessness and the question of where to build both market rate and affordable housing in our combined district. The supervisor of the new D9 will need to represent the very similar concerns of our neighborhoods.

Thank you for taking the time and effort through these arduous days of testimony and deliberations to come up with a workable and equitable solution for our districts over the next decade.

Sincerely,

William Owen



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: sherman_mark@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:50:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Mark Sherman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 5:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Mark Sherman

Email

sherman_mark@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact:

1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitacion Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community.

2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: christian.elbeck@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:50:03 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Christian Elbeck <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 4:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Christian Elbeck

Email

christian.elbeck@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact:

1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitacion Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community.

2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: cperkinssf@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:49:34 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Charles Perkins <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 4:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Charles Perkins

Email

cperkinssf@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact:

1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitacion Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community.

2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Beth Gregg](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: RTD Request: Please maintain Pomeroy Center's position within District 7
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:49:28 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Beth Gregg <beth@shopperbridge.com>

Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 4:02 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: RTD Request: Please maintain Pomeroy Center's position within District 7

Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

April 10, 2022

Beth Gregg
Pomeroy Center Board Member
718B Liggett Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94129

Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94122
415-554-4445
rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

I am writing as a Member of the Board of Directors for the Pomeroy Recreation & Rehabilitation Center (PRRC) to ask for your support to keep PRRC within District 7, along with the San Francisco Zoo and Lake Merced.

The Center was founded in 1952 by Janel Pomeroy at a time when there were no other recreation centers dedicated for people with disabilities, and now, seventy years later, the PRRC is nationally and internationally renowned for our unique programs serving people with developmental disabilities through our leadership in vocational rehabilitation, education, respite care, and other community-based programs. The positive impact Pomeroy has made for all these years is partly due to the close relationship PRRC has maintained with the leadership in District 7 and our close District 7 neighbors, The San Francisco Zoo and Lake Merced.

As the PRRC has no residents living at the Center, maintaining PRRC in District 7 would have no impact on voting results within the city. Similarly, the leadership of the San Francisco Zoo must feel the same way about this redistricting project and potential outcomes.

The Pomeroy Center proudly and adeptly serves thousands of residents across San Francisco and the Bay Area. Additionally, the PRRC rents out its facilities for community and private uses due to its close proximity to Lake Merced. Further, the Center has a close relationship with its close neighbor, the San Francisco Zoo, in which Pomeroy program participants enjoy animal visits.

We recognize the challenge the Redistricting Task Force faces to balance every Supervisorial District by population while keeping the community concerns intact. Because the area around the PRRC and Zoo serves as a significant cultural and recreations community adjoining the nearby Lake Merced, we believe our facilities should be represented within one district for us to sustain the level of continuity and consistency we need at the Board of Supervisors and maintaining our position in District 7 would help us accomplish this.

In summary, we urge your support keeping the Pomeroy Center in District 7 for two reasons: First, because Pomeroy has no residents living at the Center, maintaining PRRC in District 7 would have no impact on voting results within the city, and second, because our facility relies on the connection with the San Francisco Zoo and Lake Merced for collaborative events and activities as well as rental revenue due to our proximity and connection to Lake Merced, so having us split into separate Districts would negatively impact the Pomeroy Center's ability to provide the service and community support that we provide to our recipients and community.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Beth Gregg
Board Member
415-595-6766

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: melinda@hendersonsf.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:49:25 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mindy Henderson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 2:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Mindy Henderson

Email

melinda@hendersonsf.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact:

1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitacion Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community.

2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: melinda@hendersonsf.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:49:21 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mindy Henderson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 2:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Mindy Henderson

Email

melinda@hendersonsf.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact:

1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitacion Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community.

2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: bbb112546@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:49:18 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Barbara Brown <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 12:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Barbara Brown

Email

bbb112546@aol.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact:

1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitacion Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community.

2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: chrislambert@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:49:13 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chris Lambert <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 12:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Chris Lambert

Email

chrislambert@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact:

1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitacion Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community.

2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: hazelkawaja@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:49:09 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Hazel Kawaja <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 12:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Hazel Kawaja

Email

hazelkawaja@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact:

1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitacion Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community.

2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Barbara A Chionsini](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 7 Lakeshores Acres/Merced Manor to remain INTACT
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:49:03 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Barbara A Chionsini <bill-barbara@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 10:39 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Fwd: District 7 Lakeshores Acres/Merced Manor to remain INTACT

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Joanna Ng <woolandflax@gmail.com>
Date: April 8, 2022 at 10:04:46 PM PDT
To: redistrictingsf@gmail.com
Subject: District 7 Lakeshores Acres/Merced Manor to remain INTACT

To the Honorable District 7 and District 4 Committee,

My husband and I are native San Franciscans and have chosen to stay in this beautiful city. We have resided in Lakeshore Acres since 1985, and have raised three children who all attended neighborhood schools. We chose this part of the city to purchase a home and reside in, for its neighborhood character and strength.

We have observed and been involved in many of the incidences when the Lakeshore Acres and Merced Manor have worked together to resolve some serious issues. The issues of crime, pedestrian safety, Lakeshore/Stonestown development and support of city candidates are some of the many issues we have worked on together. These ties have allowed the two districts to strengthen San Francisco and maintain a desirable community. We, the residents in the two districts DO NOT want to be divided. Together, as District 7, we have proven to be beneficial to the districts as well as to our City.

We ask that you honor the requests of the residents. We, the residents of Lakeshores Acres and Merced Manor, want to remain together as District 7.

Thank you.

Joanna Ng
(Native San Franciscan and 37 resident of Lakeshore Acres)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lindsey Phillips](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Russian Hill in D3
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:48:57 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lindsey Phillips <phillips.lindsey91@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 10:20 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Russian Hill in D3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force:

I have lived for 32 years in a flat I purchased on Filbert Street on Russian Hill, just above Polk Street. I love the neighborhood! I support the redistricting of Russian Hill into one district, rather than being split between Districts 2 and 3. While Russian Hill has been somewhat adequately represented by Supervisors Peskin and Stefani, their main concerns appear to be with those neighborhoods in which they reside and/or where most of their constituents live. I believe Russian Hill concerns, and there are many, would be given more attention and weight by a supervisor and his/her staff if the neighborhood was represented by one supervisor rather than split between two supervisors. It makes sense to me to make Van Ness Ave. the western boundary of a Russian Hill district rather than being part of a district that extends to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights neighborhoods. I have no strong feelings about eastern, northern or southern boundaries, living where I do, but know that others in the neighborhood may have a better grasp on logical boundaries on those sides.

Thank you so much for your work on this rather daunting task.

Lindsey K. Phillips
1346 Filbert Street
SF 94109

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: marian_isel@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:48:54 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marian I Barragan <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 9:40 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Marian I Barragan
marian_isel@hotmail.com
21 Neptune Street
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [steve nakajo](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep JapanTown all in D5
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:48:39 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: steve nakajo <sknakajo@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 9:04 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Keep JapanTown all in D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Redistricting Task Force

My name is Steve Nakajo & I am a resident of SF.

I am the former ED of the JapanTown TaskForce & the former ED & co founder of Kimochi Senior Center which was started in 1971.

We are advocating that 6 Western Addition & JapanTown & neighborhood assets remain within the boundaries of District 5.

1. Japanese Community Youth Council 2012 Pine St.
2. JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool 2507 Pine St
3. Booker T Washington Community Service Center 800 Presidio.
4. Western Addition Branch Library
5. Hamilton Recreation Center 1900 Geary
6. Hokkeshu Buddhist Temple 2556 Post st

Both maps 4-B & 4-D include all JapanTown assets except for Chi Chan Pre school @ 2507 Oine St at Pierce.

Chibi Chan Pre school is a vital program of the Japanese community youth council, an anchor institution in JapanTown.

Please support JapanTown remaining whole in District 5.

Regards,
Steve Nakajo

Steve Nakajo
Mobile: (415) 279-7267
Email: sknakajo@yahoo.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mcullen2@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:48:34 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mark Cullen <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 8:39 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Mark Cullen

Email

mcullen2@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: tesw@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: HUB and 20 % Affordable Housing?
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:47:21 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 5:59 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: HUB and 20 % Affordable Housing?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Three residential tower buildings have been approved. None have affordable housing on site
One did pay for Monster in Mission, so has offsite, somewhat near.

There may be a goal to have some % of Affordable, but the rest of the lots are small.

Tes Welborn

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Linda McKay](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Portola
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:46:45 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda McKay <lmckay@pobox.com>

Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 2:40 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Portola

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm watching this crazy scene! One of you said the Portola question had to be reconsidered because people showed up to speak. Really?. Almost every one who wanted Portola in D10 was reading a script clearly prepared by an organizer. And what about all of us - individuals, speaking our own words - who wanted to keep Potrero in D10? There were at least as many of us (and since when is this just a numbers game?)

We didn't turn out a crowd for today because we thought the question of Portola vs Potrero was decided, twice.

And because you spent ALL your time on the Portola fight, most of the other changes people wanted you to consider weren't discussed. No time.

Linda McKay
Little Hollywood

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rosario Cervantes](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting in San Francisco
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:46:39 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rosario Cervantes <jazz_latinlady@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 2:36 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting in San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Chair Townsend and the Redistricting Task Force.

I misdirected my public comment and here it is.

I am here to give public comment. I lived in the Excelsior for 39 years.

With D11, keep Lakeview and OMI in District 11.

More than 180 residents and many communities of interest have come to speak in favor of Map 4D. Day in and day out, I watched and listened to the majority of SF residents pour their heart to ask you to hear them and prioritized the voices of the disenfranchised and the vulnerable and keep communities of interest. Twice when I watched, 2 meetings went after 3am. The other morning, you convened at 3:30am.

I stand and advocate on behalf of my neighbors in other districts: 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10 and 11 who ask to stay with Version Map 4D known as 5B .

Keep SOMA and Tenderloin in District 6. With District 1, I advocate and understand that placing Seacliff, Presidio Heights would further take away from the vulnerable communities and voice of the disenfranchised. The same for D10 that has a large population of African Americans that are union families and communities of interest. Listen to them. The black population in San Francisco is down to 5%. Do not decimate.

Version 4D aka 5B is not impossible! Do not move forward with what is easy--do what is right. I advocate with William Walker who presented his map on Friday afternoon at City Hall and lives in D11.

Righteousness is seldom easy.

Rosario Cervantes
District 11

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [ydidgigan](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: URGENT - Redistricting Map - Keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, Showplace Square Together
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:46:34 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Yuhum Digdigan <ydidgigan@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2022 12:19 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: URGENT - Redistricting Map - Keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, Showplace Square Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

I am writing once again to request that you seriously consider keeping our neighborhoods together - Dogpatch, Potrero Hill, and Showplace Square. Please re-unite us in the final redistricting map that you are tirelessly working on.

We depend on one another in this community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay. These neighborhoods grew out of a common heritage and interests - from churches to schools, grocery stores to restaurants, builders to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries.

You have heard from many of us already; we have written letters and testified at your hearings.

Please listen and do NOT ignore our concerns. Keep the neighborhood of Dogpatch, Potrero Hill, and Showplace Square together and intact. Do not create a problem where there is none, **UNITE** us not divide us. There already is too much divisiveness in our City, in our country, and in the world. No need to exacerbate by ignoring community concerns on this matter.

Thank you for your kind attention.

Sincerely,

Yuhum Digdigan
700 San Bruno Avenue, Potrero Hill

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: HAPPENING NOW - Redistricting Task Force Meeting
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:09:47 AM
Attachments: [Quentin -redistricting.pdf](#)

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Sent: Saturday, April 9, 2022 4:17 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: HAPPENING NOW - Redistricting Task Force Meeting

Was this already distributed?

Thank you,

Eileen

From: Denise LaPointe <denise@lapointegroupcorp.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 9, 2022 1:30 PM
To: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Cc: Quentin Kopp <quentinkopp1@gmail.com>; William Chionsini <bill-barbara@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Re: HAPPENING NOW - Redistricting Task Force Meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attached for the Task Force is a letter from former Supervisor, Senator and Retired Superior Court Judge Quentin Kopp.

D

From: "Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)" <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 at 11:57 AM
To: "Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)" <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: HAPPENING NOW - Redistricting Task Force Meeting

Hello Everyone,

On behalf of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, who is assisting the Redistricting Task Force (RDTF), I am reaching out to let you know about the RDTF Meeting that is happening now! Please see the attached agenda with the Public Comment call in information as well as the

agenda for Monday's meeting.

Visit the Redistricting Task Force Website [here](#).

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Judge Quentin L. Kopp (ret.)
380 West Portal Suite F
San Francisco, California 94127

April 6, 2022

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide information on local supervisorial district boundaries. I appreciate your commitment to the mandatory and prioritization of geographic contiguity, geographic integrity of any city, local neighborhood, or local community of interest, geographical compactness, without consideration of any candidate's residency or any incumbent, and no discrimination for or against any incumbent, candidate, or political party.

As a resident of Lakeside for over 50 years, I reject the draft map labeled 4D drafted by the Redistricting Task Force in San Francisco, and released to the public on April 4, 2022 and maps 4B and 4C which all remove Lakeside, Lakeshore and Merced Manor from District 7.

This map removes our historic associations and homeowner groups and shifts them to District 4 with the Sunset District. The new boundaries violate the law as they ignore continuity, compactness, communities of interest and other key shared cultural and historic interests of our neighborhood. They consist of residences built after World War II chiefly by Fred Gellert. Except for Lakeshore Plaza, there is little commercial property, we are single family homeowners and renters.

We face shared issues of public safety, transportation, and public health, underscored by a racially diverse and aging neighborhood. We have historically been under the umbrella of the West of Twin Peaks Central Council which was formed over 85 years ago to promote safety and improvement of our area of San Francisco.

Please correct the removal of these similar neighborhoods from District 7 and respect San Francisco history and communities of interest.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Quentin L. Kopp". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Judge Quentin L. Kopp (ret.)