Agenda Item No. 3, Part 3

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date: March 25, 2022
Date: _____

☒	☐	Mapping Procedures – From the Vice Chair - March 17, 2022
☒	☐	Maps 2A and 2B from Q2 Data and Research – March 18, 2022
☒	☐	Map 1A from Q2 Data and Research – March 9, 2022
☒	☐	Mapping and Public Input - Presentation – March 14, 2022
☒	☐	Communications Received March 21 through 22, 2022

Due to file size constraints this packet cannot include all communications received by the Task Force. The following communications are available through links to the RTF website:

☐	☐	Communications Received March 11 through 20, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received March 4 through 11, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received February 26 through March 4, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received February 19 through 25, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received February 11 through 18, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received February 4 through 11, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received January 28 through February 4, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received January 21 through 28, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received January 13 through 21, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received Dec 23, 2021 through Jan 13, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received December 3 through 23, 2021
☐	☐	Communications Received Nov 17 through Dec 3, 2021

Prepared by: John Carroll **Date:** March 22, 2022
Prepared by: John Carroll **Date:** _____
Prepared by: John Carroll **Date:** _____

Task Force (TF) Mapping Procedures

Principles:

- The TF will provide Q2 with specific instructions for a starting point for the first maps to be presented to the Task Force on 3-2-22 the first mapping meeting of the TF.
- Mapping requests and changes will be initiated by the TF and they will work with Q2 who will draw the next requested iterative map.
- Discussions will be focused on Communities of Interest, Neighborhoods, District Boundaries, Census Data and compliance with The Voting Rights Act.
- Allow Task Force to explore multiple paths or maps.
- Offer clear forward direction and clarity for the mapping process status at the end of each meeting.

Communication

Liaisons on process, clarification or info/questions between TF and Q2 for mapping will be Members Matt Castillon and Chasel Lee. Members Castillon and Chasel Lee will be in charge of coordinating the process and keeping a written log of what feedback or input is incorporated in each map. This feedback should be reflected in the public record and in the Final Report.

Task-Force Centralized Mapping for Follow Up Maps

TF members will initiate all requests for maps or mapping changes.

After the members have shared their comments there will be a recommendation to advance one of the prior maps with specific changes.

Where possible the TF will attempt to advance the next stage of the mapping process off a chosen specific and hopefully single map. If needed multiple map will be advanced but the goal will be to always advance off of one specific map.

As the new map is selected the TF will ask Q2 to come back with that map as the basis with 2 or 3 new/different versions on the next iteration.

After the TF has provided their direction to Q2 there will be public comment.

The members may again discuss the maps and any changes they would like to see if any.

The map may then be advanced without further changes or with changes recommended and approved by the TF. If consensus has been reached then the mapping request will be advanced to Q2. If there is no consensus, a formal vote may be taken.

This will be the process the TF will follow at each mapping meeting until the final map is selected on or before April 14th.

Groups and the public may submit their maps to the TF through the public website, and TF members may pick parts or all of a map to incorporate into their mapping requests. In order to maintain fairness, all outside feedback will be evaluated independently and no outside individual will be given preferential treatment over any other member of the public.

- Specifically, non-TF generated maps are a form of public comment, and no publicly generated maps will be directly presented to a member of the TF by an interest group or non-task force member.

Sample Mapping Advancement and Naming Sequence:

-**Mapping Day 1** (3-2-22): TF provides parameters to Q2 to create Map 1 A

-3-9-22 Q2 provides Map 1 A to the TF for discussion on 3-14-22

-**Mapping Day 2** (3-14-22):

- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 1 A which will then be returned named Maps 2 A and 2 B.

-**Mapping Day 3** (3-23-22): Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 2 A & 2 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move one of the maps let's say Map 2 B forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 2 B which will then be returned, if there are three, named Maps 3 A, 3 B and perhaps a 3 C.

*From here on in mapping days will be numbered but not dated as dates may be added as we go along.

-**Mapping Day 4**: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 3 A, 3 B, & 3 C

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move let's say Map 3 C forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 3 C which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 4 A & 4 B.

-**Mapping Day 5**: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 4 A & 4 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move map let's say 4 A forward.
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 4 A which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 5 A & 5 B.

The mapping continues as noted above until a final map is voted on.

Live Line Drawing

Line drawing is conducted during public sessions at officially agendized task force meetings. Line drawing may also occur at any other designated mapping meetings if the TF decided to do so. Combination of mapping and line drawing meetings may occur at the discretion of the TF.

Two specific dates, because of Q2 turn around requirements have been designated as line drawing days. They are 3-26-22 and 4-9-22.

Consultants (Q2) will be present with a mapper and digital maps at the ready.

The Task Force can start with existing lines, or with someone's proposed new lines, or with a blank map. In some cases the task force may work up "visualizations," which may be rough-sketch districts, and proceed from there.

The Chair or designee will focus on a particular area and then begin taking input from other Task Force members about particular lines.

TF members are free to give whatever reasons they think germane to their proposals, whether it were public input, or balancing populations, or known neighborhood boundaries.

1. Only one change could be considered at a time (though mappers could undo past changes as needed).

Line mapping will be done by consensus.

It's all iterative: after an area is finished (an area could be a district, multiple districts or any area of San Francisco) then public input would come in, and the TF might also do further research, and then revisit that area and make changes

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Maps 2 A and 2 B; March 18, 2022

Maps 2 A and 2 B were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 1 A:

- Keep Japantown with Western Addition
- Keep Duboce Triangle, Corona Heights, and Buena Vista Park with Castro
- Keep Visitacion Valley, including Sunnydale, in District 10
- Move the border between Districts 8 and 9 to Guerrero
- Keep Potrero Hill unified in one district
- Keep the OMI Neighborhoods in District 11
- Unify South Beach
- Drawing districts to +/-5% deviation is acceptable to respect the boundaries of recognized neighborhoods

The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of both maps.

With the exception of including Sunnydale with district 10A, each of these goals outlined by the Task Force is accomplished in Map 2 A to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.

Map 2 B is based on Map 2 A as a starting point. Sunnydale is included in district 10B, and so the northern border of that district moved south to accommodate the added population. As a result, the Tenderloin is included with district 3B to compensate for the population change in district 6B. The Financial District was added to district 6B to help balance between districts 3B and 6B and to avoid creating further large-scale impacts on the map that would contradict the direction listed above. All of the direction outlined above is accomplished in Map 2 B to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District 6B Detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



District 6A detail

This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

SAN FRANCISCO 2022

REDISTRICTING

Mapping and Public Input

Introduction: What is Redistricting?

- San Francisco has 11 supervisorial districts, used to elect the Board of Supervisors.
- Redistricting is the process of adjusting the existing supervisorial district boundaries.
- Redistricting happens every ten years, after the release of the decennial Census data used to balance the populations of the supervisorial districts.
- Redistricting provides the opportunity to bring the existing districts into compliance with all redistricting criteria.

Introduction: What is Redistricting?

Mean Population of a Supervisorial District: 79,545

District	Population	Percent Deviation
1	72,848	-8.31%
2	76,363	-3.89%
3	72,474	-8.78%
4	72,784	-8.39%
5	80,667	1.53%
6	103,564	+30.35%
7	75,436	-5.05%
8	82,418	+3.73%
9	75,829	-4.56%
10	86,323	+8.65%
11	76,287	-3.98%

What are the criteria for redistricting?

- Compliance with US and CA Constitution (equal population)
- Compliance with the federal Voting Rights Act (VRA)
- Preservation of recognized neighborhoods
- Preservation of communities of interest (CoIs)
- Contiguity
- Compactness

Constitution and the Voting Rights Act

- U.S. Constitution requires that districts be of roughly equal population.
  - Population variations between districts should be limited to ±1% of the mean (±795 people) unless additional variations, limited to 5% of the mean (±3,977 people), are necessary to prevent dividing or diluting the voting power of minorities and/or to keep recognized neighborhoods intact.
- District map must comply with the Voting Rights Act (VRA) to protect the voting power of racial and language minorities.

Recognized Neighborhoods and Communities of Interest

- Recognized neighborhoods are generally based on data and geography collected from official sources like the Planning Department. Neighborhood data may be submitted by members of the public as well. Neighborhoods may vary in size and definition depending on who is defining them.
- Communities of interest (CoIs) are generally described as a population of residents that share common interests (such as social, economic, or cultural interests) that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.
- CoIs are defined by those familiar with the community.
  - Law does not limit the kinds of interests that may bind a community.
  - It is up to those who live in or work with a community to identify and establish the interests that unite it.
  - Interests need not be limited to the current situation but can also include common goals.
- Communities of Interest do NOT include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

Examples of Common Interests

Economic interests:

- Current situation: common employment or economic opportunities (or lack thereof).
- Goals: expanding opportunities, development, job creation, etc.

Social interests:

- Current: schools, culture, transportation, parks, housing
- Goals: improving recreational opportunities or public safety, preserving historic resources, etc.

Cultural interests:

- Current: holidays, cultural businesses
- Goals: cultural meeting centers, official recognition through cultural districts

Contiguity, Compactness & Census Geography

- Districts should be <u>contiguous</u>
  - All parts of a district must be adjacent to another part of the district
  - Areas separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous
- Districts should be <u>compact</u>
  - Does not bypass nearby areas of population in favor of more distant populations
- District boundaries must generally follow census blocks

What do you think about the draft map(s)?

- What do you like about the draft map(s)? What do you want to change?
- Let the Task Force know how you want the districts to be drawn
  - Borders of recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest
  - Specific streets and landmarks
  - We welcome hand-drawn maps of any size
- How to submit your ideas:
  - Redistricting mapping tool: https://redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com/
  - Public comment at Task Force meetings
  - Email us your comments and hand-drawn maps: rdtf@sfgov.org

Next Steps for the Task Force

- All publicly submitted maps and narratives will be considered public comment. No public comment/map will be given preferential treatment over any other comment/map from the public.
- The Task Force will consider all submissions to assist in its decision-making but will not advance or vote on any map submitted by the public.
- Through an iterative mapping process, the Task Force will direct its consultant Q2 Data and Research to create the draft maps and ultimately the official final map.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	DeAnn Work; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Please Keep Our Neighborhood Together!
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:07:06 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: DeAnn Work <deannfwork@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2022 7:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Keep Our Neighborhood Together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Committee,

I am a resident of Potrero Hill, on Kansas Street between 19th and 20th. The draft redistricting map cuts off a small portion of Kansas Street in the middle of Potrero Hill and groups it with the Mission. This would result in my neighbors across the street having different supervisor, and would make it literally impossible for us to collaborate on street safety issues and other neighborhood concerns. We are separated from the balance of the Mission District by the freeway and have no particular connection to most of that district, and I cannot imagine that the supervisor representing that district will pay any attention to a few isolated blocks across the freeway. We would effectively be disenfranchised. Instead of including the bizarre eastward divot shown in the map below, the district boundary should simply follow Potrero Avenue north consistently, or as a fallback, at least follow the curve of the freeway, which is a natural boundary of sorts, consistent with the neighborhood.

Adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population to the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.



Please address this bizarre anomaly in future versions of the map, and do not cut off our small area from the rest of our neighborhood!
Thank you.

--

DeAnn Work
deannfwork@gmail.com
Cell: 415.706.1343

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Karen Melander Magoon; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Don"y split up North Beach
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:57 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Melander Magoon <karenmmagoon@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 10:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Don'y split up North Beach

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Columbus IS North Beach

And don't start splitting up Fisherman's Wharf, either.

We are what we are historically and culturally. Don't mess us up.

Thanks,

Karen
www.karenmm.com

Karen Melander-Magoon, D.Min.
600 Chestnut St. #410
San Francisco CA 94133
415 652 2670

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	emily wells; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Opposed to Redistricting
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:53 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: emily wells <emilymwells46@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 8:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Opposed to Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Columbus Avenue is NOT a boundary. It is the backbone of our neighborhood. Drawing the line there, as it does in Map 2B, would cut North Beach in half.

-Please add all of Russian Hill to District 3 to balance the population. We support the "District 3 United Neighborhood Plan", backed by more than a dozen community organizations in District 3.

-We share numerous traits and have a history of working collaboratively with Russian Hill. We have mutual reliance on hospitality and tourism, shared shopping corridors, and overlapping schools and community services.

--
Emily Wells

http://www.101surfsports.com/

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Pride Commons; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:47 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Pride Commons <jessica@jperla.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 7:58 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am glad to see that the second version of maps you have prepared keeps the whole of Potrero Hill with Dogpatch. Thank you for this work.

The maps, however, continue to separate Showplace Square from Potrero Hill at 16th Street. This puts our neighbors at Daggett Place in a different district. It splits the California College of the Art's facilities. And, most importantly, it dilutes the voices of our neighbors who continue to advocate for the promises of the Showplace/Potrero Hill Area Plan of the Eastern Neighborhoods.

Please, I like at 1010 16th st. Don't spilt me up.

I understand that population growth means somewhere has to give in District 10. But those areas of Showplace Square closest, and most reliant on Potrero Hill and Dogpatch (especially the areas south of Division and King Street) should continue to be a part of our greater neighborhood, and a part of the same District.

Sincerely,

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Elaine Villasper; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Public Comment for D11
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:42 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Elaine Villasper <elaine@filipinocc.org>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 7:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment for D11

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening task force members,

My name is Elaine Villasper and I work for the Filipino Community Center in D11. I've been serving the D11 community for 15 years years, and my children go to school in the district.

I attended the previous D11 Redistricting Task Force meeting.

One of the matters we advocated for was the inclusion of the OMI and Lakeview into D11, and we're happy to see that the task force has reflected this in the current draft of the map. The Filipino community's cultural, economic, and social lives can be found throughout the Mission Street corridor, the Excelsior, OMI, and Crocker Amazon, and the current map keeps our community whole.

I will defer comment about the inclusion or exclusion of Sunnydale into D11 to the Sunnydale community. I believe it is important to prioritize their voices on what district they want to be a part of.

I look forward to following the development of the map beyond D11, as we strive to move towards an equitable map.

Thank you,

Elaine Villasper
Associate Director

Filipino Community Center
4681 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94112
415-333-6267 | www.filipinocc.org

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Katrina Liwanag; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Task Force Mtg (Districts 7 and 11) Public Comment
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:38 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Katrina Liwanag <katrina@filipinocc.org>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 7:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force Mtg (Districts 7 and 11) Public Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi, my name is Katrina Liwanag and I live in the Excelsior District. I also work at the Filipino Community Center - located in the heart of the Excelsior.

I am born and raised in San Francisco, and am part of the Filipino community in D11, and I represent the interests and concerns in the previous D11 redistricting meeting.

Regarding the draft maps that the Task Force has put out:

I am happy to see the task force's map include the OMI in D11. The Filipino community has several businesses, residents, and cultural institutions throughout the Mission Street corridor, the Excelsior, OMI, and Crocker Amazon and that keeps our community whole.

We share the interest of preserving the diverse, working class, multi-ethnic, multilingual and multigenerational character of our district.

Thank you,

Katrina Liwanag

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Rosario Cervantes; MARY GOODNATURE; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Input from District 11 for redistricting committee
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:30 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rosario Cervantes <jazz_latinlady@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 7:00 PM
To: MARY GOODNATURE <mgoodnat@pacbell.net>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Input from District 11 for redistricting committee

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mary, it sounds good.

There are a lot of our community that are attending and are there in person in Room 406 and will speak. I will listen to their comments as well that are asking for them to approve the community unity map.

Rosario

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

On Mon, Mar 21, 2022 at 5:58 PM, MARY GOODNATURE <mgoodnat@pacbell.net> wrote:

- Hi, my name is Mary Goodnature. I have lived in district 11 of

  San Francisco for 24 years.

- I'd like you to keep my community of Oceanview-Merced Heights-Ingleside (OMI) & Lakeview together in district 11.
- I agree with SF Rising's proposal which the Redistricting Task Force has thus far appeared to ignore.
  - I want District 11

    - **to remain and be restored as a multi-ethnic, multigenerational, and multilingual district made up of diverse, working class communities, including black communities in the OMI, Chinese communities throughout the district, Filipino/a communities around Mission St corridor, Excelsior, and OMI; Latina/o communities along Mission Street corridor and throughout the district, as well as working class property owners and tenants, families, elders, and children.**
    - **We want to keep our communities whole, and that includes retaining the OMI and Lakeview neighborhoods in order to keep our communities geographically and culturally connected.**

  - I adamantly oppose the Redistricting Task Force Hearing proposal for District 11, which *makes significant changes to the boundaries of District 11 despite the fact that it was one of the districts with the least significant population changes. It also inexplicably moves the Lakeview & OMI out of the district despite these communities' shared history of struggle and solidarity and despite their clear feedback to the task force that they are communities of interest and want to remain together in District 11. This draft map does not reflect the input from D11 constituents.*

Please advise me that you have read and considered this comment from me.

Sincerely,

Mary Goodnature
926 Sargent Street
San Francisco, CA 94132
415-713-5677
mgoodnat@pacbell.net

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Karen Scarr; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Diana Taylor; james.seff@pillsburylaw.com
Subject:	RE: OPPOSE March 18th Map 2B & SUPPORT District 3 Unified Neighborhoods Plan
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:26 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Scarr <kpscarr@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 6:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Diana Taylor <dianataylor50@gmail.com>; james.seff@pillsburylaw.com
Subject: OPPOSE March 18th Map 2B & SUPPORT District 3 Unified Neighborhoods Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I write on behalf of myself and the Golden Gateway Commons I ("GGCI") Residential Association to express our deep disappointment in and strong opposition to Map 2B published on March 18, 2022.

Map 2B is an extreme proposal to carve up the city's Northeastern neighborhoods, which are united by mutual reliance on hospitality and tourism, shared shopping corridors, and overlapping schools and community services. It would cut North Beach in half at Columbus Avenue, its heart, and remove parts of Russian Hill, Chinatown, Nob Hill, and Financial District. More devastating for our residents, the plan would separate the Barbary Coast neighborhood from the Ferry Building and Sue Bierman Park (including our beloved children's playground), a critical open space for the people who live here. Map 2B completely ignores natural and historical boundaries including Van Ness, Market Street, and the northern waterfront, which have traditionally defined an area with a multitude of interests in common.

We strongly support the "District 3 United Neighborhood Plan," backed by more than a dozen community organizations in District 3. It is coherent, simple, and meets all of the redistricting criteria.

We urge you to abandon the drastic proposal that is Map 2B. Thank you for your attention.

Yours sincerely,
Karen Scarr
President, GGCI Residential Association
550 Davis Street
San Francisco, CA 94111

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	MARY GOODNATURE; REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Rosario Cervantes
Subject:	RE: Input from District 11 for redistricting committee
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:20 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: MARY GOODNATURE <mgoodnat@pacbell.net>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 5:58 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Rosario Cervantes <jazz_latinlady@yahoo.com>
Subject: Input from District 11 for redistricting committee

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

- Hi, my name is Mary Goodnature. I have lived in district 11 of

  San Francisco for 24 years.

- I'd like you to keep my community of Oceanview-Merced Heights-Ingleside (OMI) & Lakeview together in district 11.
- I agree with SF Rising's proposal which the Redistricting Task Force has thus far appeared to ignore.
  - I want District 11

    - **to remain and be restored as a multi-ethnic, multigenerational, and multilingual district made up of diverse, working class communities, including black communities in the OMI, Chinese communities throughout the district, Filipino/a communities around Mission St corridor, Excelsior, and OMI; Latina/o communities along Mission Street corridor and throughout the district, as well as working class property owners and tenants, families, elders, and children.**
    - **We want to keep our communities whole, and that includes retaining the OMI and Lakeview neighborhoods in order to keep our communities geographically and culturally connected.**

  - I adamantly oppose the Redistricting Task Force Hearing proposal for District 11, which *makes significant changes to the boundaries of District 11 despite the fact that it was one of the districts with the least significant population changes. It also inexplicably moves the Lakeview & OMI out of the district despite these communities' shared history of struggle and solidarity and despite their clear feedback to the task force that they are communities of interest and want to remain together in District 11. This draft map does not reflect the input from D11 constituents.*

Please advise me that you have read and considered this comment from me.

Sincerely,
Mary Goodnature
926 Sargent Street
San Francisco, CA 94132
415-713-5677
mgoodnat@pacbell.net

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Angela Ball; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); laurelheights2016@gmail.com; krdevincenzi@gmail.com; Richard Frisbie
Subject:	RE: Laurel Heights needs to remain in District 2
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:08 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Angela Ball <ball_angela@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 5:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; laurelheights2016@gmail.com; krdevincenzi@gmail.com; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>

Subject: Laurel Heights needs to remain in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of Laurel Heights-Parker/Spruce, Geary, Masonic, California.

I strongly request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. Our Community of Interests are not well served by a move to D1 and we would be disenfranchised by this move as there is no COI with D1 nor is there any relationship with the Supervisor of D1.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Sincerely,

Angela Ball

CC:

The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor
Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org

Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani
Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org

Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani

Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org

Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association
frfbeagle@gmail.com

M.J. Thomas, laurelheights2016@gmail.com
Kathy Devincenzi3, krdevincenzi@gmail.com

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Glen & Reiko Hatakeyama; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting District 7 Comment
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:04 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Glen & Reiko Hatakeyama <ragtime217@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 5:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting District 7 Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,
My name is Glen Hatakeyama, and I am on the Merced Extension Triangle Neighborhood Association (METNA) executive board. I want to express that I and the board wish to remain in District 7. The Merced Extension Triangle (MET) is a triangular area of land bordered by Freeway 280 on the south, Juniperro Serra on the west, and Brotherhoodway Blvd. on the north.

Our reasoning for remaining in District 7 is that: 1) we have a good working relationship the District Supervisor Melgar and her staff; 2) Supervisor Melgar's office has provided the Merced Extension Triangle (MET) with many safety features that have been neglected for several years i.e. sidewalks, radar signs, street signs, etc.: 3) more importantly District 7 has looked upon the MET as a cohesive and resilient area of residents and supports our efforts to work with the Neighborhood Empowerment Network (NEN) by forming a series of Block Champions and hub to assist neighbors in case of a catastrophic event.

Thank you for your time and service to the city of San Francisco,

Glen Hatakeyama

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Casey Whalen; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: March 18 Map 2B
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:00 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Casey Whalen <caseywhalen9@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 4:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: March 18 Map 2B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I am writing to you in regards to the suggested March 18 Map 2B. I strongly believe this move would hurt all of the neighborhoods involved. Instead, I agree with District 3 United Neighborhoods.

Columbus Avenue is NOT a boundary. It is **the backbone** of our neighborhood. Drawing the line there, as it does in Map 2B, would cut North Beach in half. And cut it at the worst part. Again, Columbus Ave. is essential to the north beach identity and community.

Please add all of Russian Hill to District 3 to balance population.

We share numerous traits and a have a history of working collaboratively with Russian Hill. We have mutual reliance on hospitality and tourism, shared shopping corridors, and overlapping schools and community services.

I myself live on the corner or filbert and mason. I walk my dog up Russian hill every morning and live eat and breathe every other moment in North Beach. These two neighborhoods are meant to be together. They are so similar in their tourism and plethora of small businesses, and most importantly their needs.

Please do not pass March 18 Map 2B and instead institute District 3 United Neighborhoods.

Thank you,
Casey Whalen
4157301552

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Arlene; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Stefani, Catherine (BOS); dominica.donovan@sf.gov; Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Richard Frisbie
Subject:	RE: Supervisorial District 2/Redistricting Task Force
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:05:55 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Arlene <arlenefilippi@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 4:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; dominica.donovan@sf.gov; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Subject: Supervisorial District 2/Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Once again I ask that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2. I am a long time resident of the Laurel Heights neighborhood and over the years, I have joined with my neighbors in other nearby D2 neighborhoods to work on issues and projects that directly affected our homes and our lives. We have formed strong relationships and I truly value the bond my neighbors and I have formed with the Legislative Aide in our Supervisor's office.

I would appreciate your consideration.

Arlene Filippi
42 Wood Street
San Francisco, CA 94118

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	MB Porfido; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: New Map
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:05:47 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: MB Porfido <mareporfido@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 4:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: New Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm writing to state my opposition to the "March 18th map 2B". I have reviewed and support the map for "District 3 United Neighborhood Plan"

I have been a resident of North Beach for many years. I love it because of its community and history. I believe the "March 18th map 2B" splits North Beach and its Italian history. Our community brings together the Russian Hill and Nob Hill communities frequently. It makes more sense to include these areas in District 3 and NOT split North Beach. I hope you will reconsider the mapping for District 3.

Thank you
MaryBeth Porfido

--
Make it a great day!!!

Mary

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	John Kuehne; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Opposition to District Map 2B - Support For District 3 United Neighborhoods Plan
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:05:42 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Kuehne <jkkuehne@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 4:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Opposition to District Map 2B - Support For District 3 United Neighborhoods Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Task Force,

While I sincerely appreciate all of the work you are doing to create equitable district maps, I cannot support the proposed the Map 2B that was presented on March 18th.

My strong belief is that North Beach, Russian Hill, Chinatown, the Downtown, and Barbary Coast neighborhoods are best served as a cohesive group in representation.

Please strongly consider the District 3 United Neighborhoods Proposed Plan.

This plan adjusts District 3's boundaries by simply extending the existing western boundary of Van Ness northward all the way to the Bay. This approach incorporates the missing "notch" bounded by Van Ness, Union St, Jones-Columbus-Leavenworth, and the Bay into D3. The remaining boundaries of D3 are unchanged.

This plan is similar to the one previously submitted by the Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association, North Beach Neighbors, Russian Hill Neighbors and other signatory organizations. It meets the Task Force's redistricting criteria while offering significant benefits, which include: • Uniting the Russian Hill neighborhood, which is currently split between D3 and D2. The Russian Hill Neighbors sent a letter to the Task Force on February 11 requesting a plan that unifies their

neighborhood and combines it with their peer neighborhoods in District 3. • Maintaining the integrity of existing neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, Nob Hill, Polk Street, and Barbary Coast). This proposal does not create new splits in any neighborhood nor any new divisions in D3 racial/ethnic populations under the city charter. • Meeting the district population requirement within 1% of "ideal." The population of D3 with the current boundaries is 72,474 or 8.9% below the ideal number of 79,545. By extending the northwestern boundary to Van Ness, D3's population would be 78,908 a mere 0.8% below the ideal.

Thanks in advance for your consideration.

John Kuehne
550 Battery St. #1019
San Francisco, CA 94111
650-743-3222

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Leland Faust; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Laurel Heights
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:05:37 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leland Faust <lfaust@taylorfaust.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 4:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Laurel Heights

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a long-time resident of Laurel Heights. I think we are better represented by being part of District 2. I urge you to keep us there.

Sincerely,

Leland H. Faust
49 Heather Ave
SF 94118

Confidentiality: This e-mail may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any review, use, distribution or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive for the recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail [or at (415) 421-9535] and delete all copies of this message. In accordance with Treasury Regulations Circular 230, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter addressed herein.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Felisia Thibodeaux; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: District 11 Redistricting
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:05:30 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Felisia Thibodeaux <fthibodeaux@itbookmancenter.org>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 4:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 11 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Felisia Thibodeaux, and I work in District 11's Lakeview-OMI area. I have worked in the OMI for over 4 years. As a member of the INVEST BLACK Lakeview-OMI Coalition, I was noticeably upset with the first map published by this taskforce in the early part of March, which moved the OMI to District 7. District 7 encompasses neighborhoods like West Portal, St. Francis Woods, Sunnyside, and Lakeside. District 7 neighborhood's needs, demographics, and socio-economic levels are not comparable to the OMI which is largely composed of immigrants and communities of color. OMI was once over 70 percent African American residents but is now around 10 percent. Lakeview-OMI health disparities, food insecurity, and heavy reliance on public benefits requires it to remain connected to similar neighborhoods like the Excelsior, Outer Mission, and Crocker Amazon. Moreover, zip code 94112 needs to be under one supervisor based on the areas' complex needs.

INVEST BLACK Lakeview-OMI is extremely pleased and happy that you all have heard our concerns. We endorse the Redistricting Taskforce 2B map that was released on March 18, 2022. This map restores the OMI's investment along the Ocean Avenue Corridor that we lost in 2012 and returns Lakeview-OMI back to District 11. INVEST BLACK Lakeview-OMI also endorses SF Rising's Unity Map for District 11 which is nearly identical to this body's 2B Map. Both maps meet the needs of the Lakeview-OMI neighborhoods

Felisia Thibodeaux, Executive Director
Southwest Community Corporation
I.T. Bookman Community Center
446 Randolph Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 586-8020 x110
fthibodeaux@itbookmancenter.org

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Mary Harris; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: What D11 Wants RE: Redistricting
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:05:22 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mary Harris <maryharris_sf@outlook.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 4:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: What D11 Wants RE: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you Honorable Task Force members,

My name is Mary C. Harris and I'm calling to voice my support for redrawing the District 11 maps as recommended to the Task Force by Advocates 11 and the Filipino American Community Forum.

It is important that we do our best to keep communities of interest intact so that friends and families are not split up unfairly.

We would first like to advocate for District 11 to be reunited with the northern half of Ingleside, from Ashton Avenue to Harold Avenue up to Ocean Avenue. We believe that it would benefit the Ocean corridor to have two supervisors working together to represent one of our most important cultural and commercial corridors.

Secondly, we believe that the mission of the Task Force is clear and that is to keep like communities together in a way which signifies the City's mission of equality. We support the area of St. Mary's Terrace – a heavily Filipino populated area – to be included and not sever the greater whole of the Filipino community.

In closing, we would like District 11 to acquire precincts 1112, 7874, 9749, 9801, and 9901. Thank you for your time.

Mary C. Harris

Sincerely,

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Sal Ahani; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Jordan Park
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:05:16 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sal Ahani <bajimoto@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 3:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Jordan Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I know for a fact that Laurel Heights will be better served by remaining in a District (District 2), where we have strong connections with other neighborhoods that have taken years to develop, and with whom we have worked effectively on many occasions, and are known to our Supervisor, Catherine Stefani. In District 1 we would be the furthest outlying neighborhood sharing no past connections with any of the neighborhoods in D1, and totally unknown to the Supervisor. We would have a greatly diminished voice in what happens around us, and to us. Jordan Park residents need to decide where our preference lies, and the I would strongly urge you to leave Jordan Park in district 2.

Respectfully,

A. Sal Ahani, DDS, MD
Jordan Park Resident.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	BATEL LIBES; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:07:18 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: BATEL LIBES <batel_libes@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2022 10:53 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Last week I listened-in to almost the entire session discussing the preliminary map proposed. I would like to compliment you on the time vested and your listening to all those who spoke, it was a very long night and you managed to stay awake, so thank you and for your efforts.

Now that a second map has been proposed, and as someone who has lived on Potrero Hill since 1984 and specifically on Vermont St. since 1990, I am glad to see and want to thank you for this version which puts me back on The Hill I love, and that the Potrero Hill area will be kept as a whole, though still not in its entirety.

This map, though an improvement on the previous version, continue to separate Showplace Square from Potrero Hill at 16th Street. The result is that our neighbors at Daggett Place are placed in a different district. This boundary splits the California College of the Arts' facilities. And, most importantly, it dilutes the voices of our neighbors who continue to advocate for the promises of the Showplace/Potrero Hill Area Plan of the Eastern Neighborhoods.

I understand that population growth means that something has to give in District 10. However, those areas of Showplace Square closest, and most reliant on Potrero Hill and Dogpatch (especially the areas south of Division and King Street) should continue to be a part of our greater neighborhood, and a part of the same District.

Thank you for considering my input and thank you for working on this difficult task,

Sincerely,
Batel Libes
Vermont St.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Rachel Strickland; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill
Date:	Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:07:12 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Rachel Strickland <rachel@flipturn.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2022 10:28 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

The second version of maps you have prepared keeps the whole of Potrero Hill together with Dogpatch. Thank you for this excellent modification.

The maps, however, continue to separate Showplace Square from Potrero Hill at 16th Street. This puts our neighbors at Daggett Place in a different district. It splits the California College of the Art's facilities. And it dilutes the voices of our neighbors who continue to advocate for the promises of the Showplace/Potrero Hill Area Plan of the Eastern Neighborhoods.

Population growth means somewhere has to be parted from District 10. But those areas of Showplace Square closest, and most reliant on Potrero Hill and Dogpatch (especially the areas south of Division and King Street) should continue to be a part of our greater neighborhood, and a part of the same District.

Sincerely,
Rachel Strickland, Homeowner and Architectural Designer
615 Rhode Island Street
San Francisco, CA

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	fareastcafe@pacbell.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS)
Subject:	RE: District 3
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 3:54:05 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Far East Cafe <fareastcafe@pacbell.net>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 3:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force of San Francisco,

We are in support of the proposed D3 United Neighborhood Plan.
Our preference would be to include a wider Russian Hill boundary.

The current neighborhoods are more aligned for small businesses, hotels and tourism, all of which have been hard hit by the pandemic. We also encompass the all important Financial District which is another key component to bringing our neighborhoods back to life.

The top concerns for out of town visitors to San Francisco is the level of crime and the homelessness. People don't feel safe and the City streets are filthy.

We work very hard in our neighborhoods to combat these perceptions. We try to keep the crime rates down and are working hard to keep our areas clean and inviting.

We already have high density rates for our residents. Perhaps, the highest in the City. To acerbate the problems with the possibility of more residents is not a good master plan for our City and its resources.

Please consider the D3 United Neighborhood Map as a way to further unify our neighborhoods and common interests while maintaining our high level of diversity.

Thank you.

Bill Lee

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	George Wooding; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: RTD redistricting plan for Midtown Terrace Home Owners Association
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 3:54:02 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: George Wooding <gswooding@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 3:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Geroge Wooding <gswooding@gmail.com>
Subject: RTD redistricting plan for Midtown Terrace Home Owners Association

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

March 21, 2022

Task Force on Redistricting
c/o Department of Elections - City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48
San Francisco, CA 94102-4635
rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force members:

The Midtown Terrace Home Owners Association (in D7) wants to keep most of District 7 intact and gives us enough population to qualify until the next census.

Preserving "communities of interest" is a vitally important criteria for redistricting. A community of interest is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically, like people who share the same bus lines, live in a similar type of housing or speak the same language

District 7 is an area that shares social, historical, and geographical features. Further identified by landmarks, main roads and natural boundaries, neighborhoods with historic homeowner associations and integrity, churches, schools and other unique other characteristics. District 7 is a "core" district with shared communities of interest. Our district is contiguous, compact and can be equally populated without a lot of changes to the existing district.
Generally speaking, we are aware that there will be tweaks in the mapping process to achieve your directive to balance the population in each district, we feel that map submitted keeps D7 intact with minimal disruption.

Reasons for the endorsement and desire to keep D7 as intact as possible by, Forest Hill Association, Forest Knolls Neighborhood Organization, Ingleside Terraces Homes Association, Lakeshore Acres Improvement Club, Merced Manor Property Owner's Association, Midtown Terrace Home Owner's Association, Monterey Heights Homes Association, Mount Davidson Manor, Miraloma Park Improvement Club, Pine Lake Park Neighborhood Association, Saint Francis Homes Association, Sherwood Forest Homeowner's Association, Twin Peaks Improvement Association, Westwood Highlands Homeowner's Association, Westwood Park Association and The Woods of San Francisco Homeowners Association are as follows:

1. There are geographical and topographical borders that warrant keeping the neighborhoods on the West side together such as Twin Peaks.
2. We are communities of interest and that is a vitally important criteria for the redistricting commission. A " Community ties of Interest "is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically.
3. Most of our neighborhoods have existing and long-standing neighborhood associations that are very active even today. Breaking us up into different supervisory districts makes no sense and dilutes fair representation in City Hall.
4. Public Safety such as fire protection etc. makes it prudent to keep us together as the topography is defined by open space, hills, flatlands, narrow roads and limited access to imbedded neighborhoods.
5. D7 is racially diverse as the last census proves.

For all the reasons stated above, The Midtown Terrace Home Owners Association (MTHOA) endorses the "Coalition

for San Francisco Neighborhoods Plan" as submitted and urges the commission to consider it as it provides minimal impact to our defined community of interest.

Respectfully,

George Wooding, President, MTHOA, 415 695-1393

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Patrick Devlin; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keeping Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights together in d1
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 3:53:59 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Patrick Devlin <patrick.devlin@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 2:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Patrick Devlin <patrick.devlin@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Keeping Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights together in d1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear RDTF,

Thank you for all of your efforts—I know this is quite a process, but am very concerned about the latest release of map 2A. Jordan Park and Laurel Heights should ABSOLUTELY remain together, and it is nonsensical that Sea Cliff is not included in D1. My main preference has always been that Jordan Park, Laurel Heights AND Presidio Heights remain together as this is what I consider to be my neighborhood—where I bank, eat, shop, recreate, visit the dentist etc. To me, it would make the most sense if ALL of these neighborhoods moved to D1.

Thank you for your consideration,

Patrick devlin

Resident of Jordan Park (140 Jordan Avenue)

Patrick A. Devlin 415-990-9625

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Mary Rose Cassa; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: District 7 Redistricting
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 3:53:55 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mary Rose Cassa <mcassa@earthlink.net>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 2:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 7 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Regarding today's redistricting meeting for Districts 7 and 11:

The latest map divides Golden Gate Heights neighborhood into four different supervisor districts.

Whether we want help with a park, stop sign, bus route or crime, Our neighborhood needs to stay united to have any influence with our supervisor. This is a clear case of gerrymandering designed to benefit certain politicians.

Inner Sunset should be united in Supervisor District 7. The biggest population adjacent to merchants of the Inner Sunset is up the hill in Golden Gate Heights. It makes sense to unite the merchants and their largest source of customers under one supervisor in D7. We don't want slices of our neighborhood pared off to be included in Supervisors Mandelman's, Mar's and Preston's districts.

Please include all of the Inner Sunset in one district, from Golden Gate Park south, and from 19th Avenue east.

Best regards,

Mary Rose Cassa
820 Ortega Street

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Paul Magee; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: district 2
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 3:53:52 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Paul Magee <paulmagee22@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 2:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: district 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a resident of Laurel Heights. I would like to voice my opinion that we would like to remain in district 2 due to continuity of interests with other neighborhoods currently within district 2. Thanks,
Paul Magee

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	DPH - mousecollector; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting boundaries District 11
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 2:14:21 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Barbara Fugate <mousecollector@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 1:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting boundaries District 11

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Cayuga Neighborhood Improvement Association stands with the OMI.
We do not agree with the “Community Unity Map” currently under review.
The CNIA does not believe the OMI should be lumped into the same
district and St Francis Woods.

As the representative for the CNIA I, and other members of our Board
will be attending this evenings’ meeting. But just to be clear I want to make
sure the task force is aware that we DO NOT LIKE OR APPROVE of this so
called “Community Unity Map”. This map ignores the fact that District 11
is made up of folks who have worked together successfully for over 30 years.
Giving up part of Dll last redistricting was always meant to be a temporary measure.

District 11 is the only district that has monthly meetings for all the community
groups within the district. We have included reps from City College
to the YMCA. Our neighborhood groups include long standing associations
such as New Mission Terrance (NMTIA), Outer Mission Residents and Merchants (OMMRA),
and Cayuga Neighborhood Improvement Association est. 1937(CNIA).
We intend to join the other organizations in Dll and fight for the OMI.

Regards
Barbara Fugate

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Catherine Magee; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Laurel Heights D2
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 2:14:10 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Catherine Magee <catherine.a.carr@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 12:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Laurel Heights D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Team,

Thank you for your hard work on getting the city districts redone with the population shifts. I am writing to you to ask that you leave Laurel Heights in District 2.

I am a resident of Laurel Heights - born and raised in this neighborhood. I chose to continue to reside here raising my own family, as I love my neighbors, faith community, neighborhood amenities, and have a community of interest with the other D2 neighborhoods.

Over the past several years (and decades!), we have worked with our neighbors in Alta Vista, Presidio heights, Pac heights, cow hollow (and more!), to support development in our community, have neighborhood block parties, join together in faith and fundraising, patronize small businesses in D2, and to support our D2 supervisor.

I, and our laurel heights neighbors, would strongly prefer to remain in D2 and not be stripped away from our established relationships and community of interest that has grown strong over the years. Our community rallied together so supportively these past 2 years with covid lockdowns, checking in on the elderly and healthcare workers, sharing PPE, and making sure our small businesses were supported. We have never interacted with D1 on neighborhood events, etc. Moving us to D1 would truly break apart our community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Catherine Carr Magee
63 Lupine Ave, SF

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Martha Ehrenfeld; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: ISPN asks that the Inner Sunset NOT be split esp. in the business district, unhappy with current draft of map
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 1:54:07 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Martha Ehrenfeld <martha@inner-sunset.org>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 1:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: ISPN asks that the Inner Sunset NOT be split esp. in the business district, unhappy with current draft of map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Committee:
The board of the Inner Sunset Park Neighbors (ISPN) is unhappy with the current map. We do not want the Inner Sunset to be split into three districts and not right in the middle of our merchant corridor which the current map does at 7th Ave. Please consider moving all of the Inner Sunset into D7.
Thank you for your time and service,
Martha Ehrenfeld,
ISPN President

Although the Inner Sunset is an informally-identified neighborhood without official boundaries, its borders are often considered to be the west side of Arguello Boulevard, the east side of 19th Avenue, the south side of Lincoln Way, and the north side of Moraga Street.

https://www.inner-sunset.org/about-ispn/about-the-inner-sunset/

--
Board President
Inner Sunset Park Neighbors
www.inner-sunset.org
(415) 297-2623

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	trishirish1@msn.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: New Proposed Maps
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 1:54:01 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: patricia herman <trishirish1@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 1:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: New Proposed Maps

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Committee Members,
As a Board member of North Beach Neighbors, a native San Franciscan and a child of a produce company owner when the produce market was on Washington Street to the Waterfront, I support the District 3 United Neighborhood Plan.
Why is this Committee intent on splitting neighborhoods? Historically North Beach, Chinatown and Russian Hill have always worked as a destination and collectively worked on many projects affecting all three neighborhoods. While I realize that the redistricting is necessary due to the information related to the Census, it should not destroy the very essence of community.
I implore the Committee to maintain Chinatown, North Beach and Russian Hill up to and including Van Ness Avenue. This would result in the numbers being of value as is required.
The idea of splitting North Beach down Columbus Avenue demonstrates that the Committee does not understand how the neighborhoods in the City work together and have similar interests, concerns and goals.
Please cancel March 18 Map 2B which would divide the neighborhood down the middle. It is critical that you value the comments and recommendations of the neighborhood groups and support District 3 United Neighborhood Plan.

Regards,
Trish Herman
(415) 601 -0635

Sent from Mail for Windows

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Jay; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: No to March 18th Map 2B...Yes to District 3 United Neighborhood Plan
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 1:53:55 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Jay <jayernst57@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 1:40 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: No to March 18th Map 2B...Yes to District 3 United Neighborhood Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Re-Districting Task Force,

As a concerned neighbor currently residing in District 3, I am writing to offer my opposition to the March 18th Map 2B, the proposal to break apart the current District 3 boundaries. I am sure this is not an easy decision and there are reasons for proposing the March 18th Map 2B but I cannot see any benefit coming from this and the idea of using Columbus Avenue as a boundary line and splitting North Beach is weak and takes little account of District 3's constituents preferences. Furthermore, you seem to be completely ignoring the historical assimilation and collaboration we have had with our northern and western neighbors and neighborhoods in shared services and business. As I understand it, you could add all of Russian Hill to District 3 to balance the population. As for the haphazard nature of Map 2B boundary lines, why would you not consider the natural and historical boundaries such as Van Ness, Market Street and the northern waterfront. Those boundary streets allow for the continuation of my previous comment on the traditional shared interests of the neighborhoods within (hospitality, tourism, shopping, overlapping schools, police and other community services). Hopefully you will listen to the many citizens alarmed by the Map 2B proposal and vote to endorse the District 3 United Neighborhood Plan. This would accommodate the needed population growth of District 3 by including all of Russian Hill, a community that is currently split between two districts. The aforementioned shared traits between Russian Hill and the rest of District 3 are best served together while the combination of portions of my neighborhood with areas stretching to the Tenderloin and the splitting of North Beach are just plain incongruous.

Thank you for listening and remember that your decision (any re-districting decisions) will have a major impact on us residents for the foreseeable future.

Respectfully,

Herbert J. Ernst
57 Ironship Plaza, 94111

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Kathy Mallegni; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting in Lakeside
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 1:53:45 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kathy Mallegni <kmallegni@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 1:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting in Lakeside

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Task Force Members,

I was recently notified that the City's redistricting plan for Supervisorial District includes a proposal to sever Lakeside One and Ocean Avenue between Junipero Serra and 19th Avenue from District 7. This would result in Lakeside II and Lakeside III being in a different district from Lakeside I.

Please reconsider and vote against this proposal. Lakeside I, II and III were built at approximately the same time for middle income families and have the same or very similar community of interest. They have many features in common, such as boundaries (19th Avenue and Junipero Serra), house style (which include being detached, a feature not common throughout San Francisco and District 4), parking easements in Lakeside I an II, similar noise issues, a common retail area on Ocean Avenue between Junipero Serra and 19th Avenue, the same transportation amenities and issues, and underground utilities. In addition, Sloat Boulevard has historically been the roadway that separated Lakeside from the Parkside. While that's not compelling, there seems to be no valid reason to change it now.

Given the above, I believe it would be a serious mistake to move any of the three Lakeside Districts which separate them for City governance and supervisorial representation. Please vote down the proposal to move Lakeside District 1 to Supevisorial District 4.

Thank you for your assistance and good judgment.

Kathy Mallegni

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Lee Robbins; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Very distressed about new maps re District 3
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 1:53:40 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lee Robbins <leerobbins@sonic.net>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 1:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Very distressed about new maps re District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a long-term resident of District 3 I am very distressed by the interim maps 2A and 2B which ignore the natural cultural boundaries of our District and separate us from our neighbors with whom we have common perspectives. One issue may be that some people may think that NOTHING matters except race or color. Of course these DO matter and I have been an activist for equity issues, for the treatment of Black people to the Vietnam war to the recent anti-Asian prejudice, all of my adult (and somewhat earlier) life. However, acting as if these are race and color ONLY thing that matters, which MAY be the reason for this poor boundaries, which I understand have often focused on these issues, is another and probably worse form of discrimination as if the nature of a person, a human being, was only determined by their race or color. Those of us who live in San Francisco and will be affected by the boundaries have for the major part lived and grown up here in a society and with connections and, to some degree choice of home, more by out cultural connections with others that what is their race or color.

Our local neighborhood association, Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association (BCNA) has submitted a proposed map adhering closely to the population size dictated by the census and keeping similar neighborhoods together. Please respect our efforts and the natural contours of our local neighborhood culture.

Lee Robbins **Cell: 415-713-1341**

- ***NOTE:*** **LeeRobbins@post.Harvard.edu** ***is my PERMANENT email address***
- *please use this in your address book as I may change ISPs and their email addresses from time to time; note auto-reply goes to LeeRobbins@Sonic.net (my current ISP) which is OK but may be changed sometime.*

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Kathy Devincenzi; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Richard Frisbie
Subject:	RE: Laurel Heights in District 2
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 1:53:21 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kathy Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 12:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Subject: Laurel Heights in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The Laurel Heights neighborhood association supports maintaining the Laurel Heights neighborhood in District 2, which is shown on proposed map 2A.

Over the years, we have developed a community of interest with other neighborhood leaders in District 2. We have worked with them on various land use and safety issues.

We appreciate your consideration of our communication and hope you will heed our request to maintain our community of interest with other neighborhood leaders we have come to know.

Thank you,

Laurel Heights Improvement Association of SF, Inc.
By: Kathy Devincenzi, President

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Erin Elliott; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: D3
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 1:53:14 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Erin Elliott <erin@erins.org>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 11:59 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings.

I oppose “March 18th Map 2B”. I support “D3 United Neighborhoods Plan”. It is critically important to me personally that my community of North Beach not be split into two districts!

Thank you,
Erin Elliott
D3 resident & home owner

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Patricia Kenney; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Do Not Cut North Beach in Half (Using Columbus Avenue)
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 1:53:01 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Patricia Kenney <pjkenney1@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 11:48 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Do Not Cut North Beach in Half (Using Columbus Avenue)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please adopt The District 3 United Neighborhoods Proposed Plan & Map. Do not cut North Beach in half. For the past nearly 4 decades, I have lived on the North Beach side of Russian Hill and consider myself to be living in and affected by North Beach. Drawing the district line down Columbus Avenue to cut my area out of North Beach makes no sense.

Patti Kenney
44 Macondray Lane #3E
San Francisco, CA 94133
415.509.3643

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Mike Paul; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Jordan Park and Laurel Heights - NO to Map 2A!
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 1:52:49 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mike Paul <mikeapaul@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 11:36 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Jordan Park and Laurel Heights - NO to Map 2A!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear RDTF,

Thank you for all of your efforts—I know this is quite a process, but am very concerned about the latest release of map 2A. Jordan Park and Laurel Heights should ABSOLUTELY remain together, and it is nonsensical that Sea Cliff is not included in D1. My main preference has always been that Jordan Park, Laurel Heights AND Presidio Heights remain together as this is what I consider to be my neighborhood—where I bank, eat, shop, recreate, visit the dentist etc. To me, it would make the most sense if ALL of these neighborhoods moved to D1.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mike Paul
Resident of Jordan Park since 2007

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Julie Paul; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Jordan Park and Laurel Heights - NO to Map 2A!
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 1:52:45 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Julie Paul <juliepaul164@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 10:55 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Jordan Park and Laurel Heights - NO to Map 2A!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear RDTF,
Thank you for all of your efforts—I know this is quite a process, but am very concerned about the latest release of map 2A. Jordan Park and Laurel Heights should ABSOLUTELY remain together, and it is nonsensical that Sea Cliff is not included in D1. My main preference has always been that Jordan Park, Laurel Heights AND Presidio Heights remain together as this is what I consider to be my neighborhood—where I bank, eat, shop, recreate, visit the dentist etc. To me, it would make the most sense if ALL of these neighborhoods moved to D1.

Thank you for your consideration,

Julie Paul
Resident of Jordan Park since 2007

Sent from my iPhone

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	John Davis; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: NORTH BEACH IS NOT TENDERLOIN
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 1:52:30 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Davis <Jdavis@davispaul.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 10:39 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: NORTH BEACH IS NOT TENDERLOIN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please leave North Beach boundaries where they are currently. North Beach and Tenderloin have nothing in common. NOTHING! Russian Hill has been a traditional part of the North Beach neighborhood. They belong together.

Yours truly,

John Davis

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Charles; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: D3 redistricting
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 1:52:19 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Charles <buzzthomas@me.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 10:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D3 redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Charles Thomas. I'm a former President and current Vice-President of North Beach Neighbors. I have been on the Board for 20 years and took part in the last redistricting of D3 several years ago.

I have looked at both proposed maps (3A and 3B) and believe that 3A is the best boundary for our D3 neighborhoods and the City in general.

I understand the incredibly difficult job you have been tasked with in the parsing up of the City's districts. I also understand that D3 must do its fair share in absorbing the very overpopulated District 6. I feel that absorbing the precincts from Rincon to the Embarcadero is the only practical way this can be achieved without causing major disruptions within the fabric of our D3 neighborhoods.

Additionally, I understand that many groups and citizens would like to absorb most of Russian Hill in order to come up to population par. But I see this as an untenable position given the pressures that have been caused by D6's overpopulation.

Thank you for your time in considering my email in your decision regarding D3.

Charles Thomas
415-254-0121

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Anthony Abuzeide; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I oppose the "March 18th Map 2B.
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 10:19:29 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Anthony Abuzeide <abuzmail@me.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 10:01 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose the "March 18th Map 2B.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I **oppose the "March 18th Map 2B.**

Instead, mention that you support the "District 3 United Neighborhoods Plan”

Anthony Abuzeide

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Jenna Josephs; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: March 18th Map 2B Opposition
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 10:19:21 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jenna Josephs <j.josephs14@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 9:31 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: March 18th Map 2B Opposition

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to oppose the March 18th Map 2B. I have lived in North Beach for over five years and do not support Columbus Avenue as a boundary of any sort. It is not an edge but the heart and backbone of our neighborhood.

I am in support of the District 3 United Neighborhood Plan and believe Russian Hill to be part of my neighborhood. Russian Hill is important to balance the population of the neighborhood and we have a mutual reliance on hospitality and tourism, shared shopping corridors, and overlapping school and community services. I believe if you asked anyone who lives in North Beach if they've spent time in Russian Hill (and vice versa!), most, if not all, would undoubtedly reply "yes".

Please do not break up our neighborhood but instead, please keep us united. I've spent all of the pandemic living in North Beach and watching the change - unity is what we need right now to maintain a clean and safe place to live. Not the opposite.

Thank you,
Jenna Josephs

--
Jennifer 'Jenna' Josephs
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign | Class of 2014
College of Agricultural, Consumer and Environmental Sciences
Agricultural and Consumer Economics | Financial Planning

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Erika Gliebe; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Opposing "March 18th Map 2B"
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 10:19:14 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Erika Gliebe <erika.gliebe@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 8:58 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Opposing "March 18th Map 2B"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello there,

I would like to communicate my opposition to the "March 18th Map 2B." I fully support the "District 3 United Neighborhoods Plan." I just can't imagine our district being cut down Columbus as this is a key corridor to a rich community. Additionally North Beach, Russian Hill and Telegraph Hill are complimentary neighborhoods that support each other and should be one district. The new proposed 2B map makes literally no sense to me.

Please strongly consider the united neighborhood plan over 2B.

Best,
Erika Gliebe

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Kathy Calegari; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 8:52:55 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Kathy Calegari <kcalegari1965@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 7:11 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear RDTF Commitee,

My name is Kathleen Calegari and I live in Jordan Park with my husband, Greg Calegari, and we strongly urge you to return Jordan Park back into District 2 from D1.

Respectfully yours,

Kathleen and Greg Calegari

Sent from my iPhone

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Janet Bidwell; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistributing Bernal Heights
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 8:52:47 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Janet Bidwell <jbidwellbrambila@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 7:00 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistributing Bernal Heights

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting team

I am a South Bernal Heights long time resident.

Bernal Heights has been loosing some of its architectural charm over the past 5 to ten years. I do not want to loose the historical charm it has. Please keep the continuity of a cohesive Bernal Heights neighborhood by not splitting it.

Also I am concerned over the amount of new developments wanting to change the architecture to industrial designs for homes and businesses here. Definitely takes away from historical aspect of this neighborhood.

I would like more information on how your plans may affect me.

Please share info.

Sincerely
Janet Bidwell
331 Park St, San Francisco, CA 94110
559-288-3598

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	henrymilic@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: redistricting
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 8:52:41 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: henry milich <henrymilic@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 6:58 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

please keep all of russian hill together in one district.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Carol Simmons; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I oppose the March 18 Map 2B
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 8:52:36 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Carol Simmons <csimm77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 6:24 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose the March 18 Map 2B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for all your hard work on redistricting, but I strongly oppose the proposed Map 2B. As a 20 year resident of the Gateway complex, I consider North Beach, Chinatown, the Financial District near me, Russian Hill and the Barbary Coast “my neighborhood”. The District 3 Neighborhood plan is contiguous, and perfectly reflects this neighborhood and our common interests..

The proposed map splits North Beach, doesn’t include the Ferry Building area, particularly my next door park, and includes areas near and beyond D3 that have very different challenges and issues.

Please reconsider your maps and redraw so that D3 is our district.

Best Regards,
Carol Simmons
440 Davis Court, Apt.1221
San Francisco, CA 94111

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Neville Morcom; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: District 3 - March 18th Map 2B
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 8:52:28 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Neville Morcom <nmorcom@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 2:10 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 3 - March 18th Map 2B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I reside at 170 Pacific Avenue, in the Barbary Coast section of the city.

I **strongly oppose** the proposed redefinition of District 3, as laid out in the March 18th Map 2B. It makes **NO rational sense.** I urge you to consider the following:

-Columbus Avenue is NOT a boundary. It is the backbone of the neighborhood. Drawing the line there, as it does in Map 2B, would cut North Beach in half.

-Please add all of Russian Hill to District 3 to balance population. This plan utilizes the natural boundaries of Van Ness and contiguous waterfront to Market Street.

-We share numerous traits and a have a history of working collaboratively with Russian Hill and the other nearby neighborhoods. We have mutual reliance on hospitality and tourism, shared shopping corridors, and overlapping schools and community services.

-Map 2B completely ignores the natural and historical boundaries such as Van Ness, Market Street and the northern waterfront, which have traditionally defined an area with a multitude of interests in common.

- I support the "District 3 United Neighborhood Plan", backed by more than a dozen community organizations in District 3. It is coherent, simple and meets all of the redistricting criteria.

Neville Morcom

District 3 Resident

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Jon Schaumberg; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Laurel Heights Must Remain in District 2
Date:	Monday, March 21, 2022 8:52:23 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Jon Schaumberg <jonschaumberg@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 12:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Laurel Heights Must Remain in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please allow Laurel Heights to remain in District 2 as we are more closely aligned with them than with District 1. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Jon and Kathy Schaumberg
31 Collins Street

Sent from my iPad