Agenda Item No. 3, Part 1

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date: March 25, 2022
Date:

☒	☐	Mapping Procedures – From the Vice Chair - March 17, 2022
☒	☐	Maps 2A and 2B from Q2 Data and Research – March 18, 2022
☒	☐	Map 1A from Q2 Data and Research – March 9, 2022
☒	☐	Mapping and Public Input - Presentation – March 14, 2022
☒	☐	COI submitted by the public through March 21, 2022

**All redistricting tool and COI submissions are available on our Airtable Chart
https://airtable.com/shrSqWhuZRnaRrNnp

Prepared by: John Carroll **Date:** March 22, 2022
Prepared by: John Carroll **Date:**
Prepared by: John Carroll **Date:**

Task Force (TF) Mapping Procedures

Principles:

- The TF will provide Q2 with specific instructions for a starting point for the first maps to be presented to the Task Force on 3-2-22 the first mapping meeting of the TF.
- Mapping requests and changes will be initiated by the TF and they will work with Q2 who will draw the next requested iterative map.
- Discussions will be focused on Communities of Interest, Neighborhoods, District Boundaries, Census Data and compliance with The Voting Rights Act.
- Allow Task Force to explore multiple paths or maps.
- Offer clear forward direction and clarity for the mapping process status at the end of each meeting.

Communication

Liaisons on process, clarification or info/questions between TF and Q2 for mapping will be Members Matt Castillon and Chasel Lee. Members Castillon and Chasel Lee will be in charge of coordinating the process and keeping a written log of what feedback or input is incorporated in each map. This feedback should be reflected in the public record and in the Final Report.

Task-Force Centralized Mapping for Follow Up Maps

TF members will initiate all requests for maps or mapping changes.

After the members have shared their comments there will be a recommendation to advance one of the prior maps with specific changes.

Where possible the TF will attempt to advance the next stage of the mapping process off a chosen specific and hopefully single map. If needed multiple map will be advanced but the goal will be to always advance off of one specific map.

As the new map is selected the TF will ask Q2 to come back with that map as the basis with 2 or 3 new/different versions on the next iteration.

After the TF has provided their direction to Q2 there will be public comment.

The members may again discuss the maps and any changes they would like to see if any.

The map may then be advanced without further changes or with changes recommended and approved by the TF. If consensus has been reached then the mapping request will be advanced to Q2. If there is no consensus, a formal vote may be taken.

This will be the process the TF will follow at each mapping meeting until the final map is selected on or before April 14th.

Groups and the public may submit their maps to the TF through the public website, and TF members may pick parts or all of a map to incorporate into their mapping requests. In order to maintain fairness, all outside feedback will be evaluated independently and no outside individual will be given preferential treatment over any other member of the public.

- Specifically, non-TF generated maps are a form of public comment, and no publicly generated maps will be directly presented to a member of the TF by an interest group or non-task force member.

Sample Mapping Advancement and Naming Sequence:

-**Mapping Day 1** (3-2-22): TF provides parameters to Q2 to create Map 1 A

-3-9-22 Q2 provides Map 1 A to the TF for discussion on 3-14-22

-**Mapping Day 2** (3-14-22):

- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 1 A which will then be returned named Maps 2 A and 2 B.

-**Mapping Day 3** (3-23-22): Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 2 A & 2 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move one of the maps let's say Map 2 B forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 2 B which will then be returned, if there are three, named Maps 3 A, 3 B and perhaps a 3 C.

*From here on in mapping days will be numbered but not dated as dates may be added as we go along.

-**Mapping Day 4**: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 3 A, 3 B, & 3 C

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move let's say Map 3 C forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 3 C which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 4 A & 4 B.

-**Mapping Day 5**: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 4 A & 4 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move map let's say 4 A forward.
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 4 A which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 5 A & 5 B.

The mapping continues as noted above until a final map is voted on.

Live Line Drawing

Line drawing is conducted during public sessions at officially agendized task force meetings. Line drawing may also occur at any other designated mapping meetings if the TF decided to do so. Combination of mapping and line drawing meetings may occur at the discretion of the TF.

Two specific dates, because of Q2 turn around requirements have been designated as line drawing days. They are 3-26-22 and 4-9-22.

Consultants (Q2) will be present with a mapper and digital maps at the ready.

The Task Force can start with existing lines, or with someone's proposed new lines, or with a blank map. In some cases the task force may work up "visualizations," which may be rough-sketch districts, and proceed from there.

The Chair or designee will focus on a particular area and then begin taking input from other Task Force members about particular lines.

TF members are free to give whatever reasons they think germane to their proposals, whether it were public input, or balancing populations, or known neighborhood boundaries.

1. Only one change could be considered at a time (though mappers could undo past changes as needed).

Line mapping will be done by consensus.

It's all iterative: after an area is finished (an area could be a district, multiple districts or any area of San Francisco) then public input would come in, and the TF might also do further research, and then revisit that area and make changes

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Maps 2 A and 2 B; March 18, 2022

Maps 2 A and 2 B were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 1 A:

- Keep Japantown with Western Addition
- Keep Duboce Triangle, Corona Heights, and Buena Vista Park with Castro
- Keep Visitacion Valley, including Sunnydale, in District 10
- Move the border between Districts 8 and 9 to Guerrero
- Keep Potrero Hill unified in one district
- Keep the OMI Neighborhoods in District 11
- Unify South Beach
- Drawing districts to +/-5% deviation is acceptable to respect the boundaries of recognized neighborhoods

The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of both maps.

With the exception of including Sunnydale with district 10A, each of these goals outlined by the Task Force is accomplished in Map 2 A to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.

Map 2 B is based on Map 2 A as a starting point. Sunnydale is included in district 10B, and so the northern border of that district moved south to accommodate the added population. As a result, the Tenderloin is included with district 3B to compensate for the population change in district 6B. The Financial District was added to district 6B to help balance between districts 3B and 6B and to avoid creating further large-scale impacts on the map that would contradict the direction listed above. All of the direction outlined above is accomplished in Map 2 B to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District 6B Detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



District 6A detail

This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

SAN FRANCISCO 2022

REDISTRICTING

Mapping and Public Input

Introduction: What is Redistricting?

- San Francisco has 11 supervisorial districts, used to elect the Board of Supervisors.
- Redistricting is the process of adjusting the existing supervisorial district boundaries.
- Redistricting happens every ten years, after the release of the decennial Census data used to balance the populations of the supervisorial districts.
- Redistricting provides the opportunity to bring the existing districts into compliance with all redistricting criteria.

Introduction: What is Redistricting?

Mean Population of a Supervisorial District: 79,545

District	Population	Percent Deviation
1	72,848	-8.31%
2	76,363	-3.89%
3	72,474	-8.78%
4	72,784	-8.39%
5	80,667	1.53%
6	103,564	+30.35%
7	75,436	-5.05%
8	82,418	+3.73%
9	75,829	-4.56%
10	86,323	+8.65%
11	76,287	-3.98%

What are the criteria for redistricting?

- Compliance with US and CA Constitution (equal population)
- Compliance with the federal Voting Rights Act (VRA)
- Preservation of recognized neighborhoods
- Preservation of communities of interest (CoIs)
- Contiguity
- Compactness

Constitution and the Voting Rights Act

- U.S. Constitution requires that districts be of roughly equal population.
  - Population variations between districts should be limited to ±1% of the mean (±795 people) unless additional variations, limited to 5% of the mean (±3,977 people), are necessary to prevent dividing or diluting the voting power of minorities and/or to keep recognized neighborhoods intact.
- District map must comply with the Voting Rights Act (VRA) to protect the voting power of racial and language minorities.

Recognized Neighborhoods and Communities of Interest

- Recognized neighborhoods are generally based on data and geography collected from official sources like the Planning Department. Neighborhood data may be submitted by members of the public as well. Neighborhoods may vary in size and definition depending on who is defining them.
- Communities of interest (CoIs) are generally described as a population of residents that share common interests (such as social, economic, or cultural interests) that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.
- CoIs are defined by those familiar with the community.
  - Law does not limit the kinds of interests that may bind a community.
  - It is up to those who live in or work with a community to identify and establish the interests that unite it.
  - Interests need not be limited to the current situation but can also include common goals.
- Communities of Interest do NOT include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

Examples of Common Interests

- Economic interests:
  - Current situation: common employment or economic opportunities (or lack thereof).
  - Goals: expanding opportunities, development, job creation, etc.
- Social interests:
  - Current: schools, culture, transportation, parks, housing
  - Goals: improving recreational opportunities or public safety, preserving historic resources, etc.
- Cultural interests:
  - Current: holidays, cultural businesses
  - Goals: cultural meeting centers, official recognition through cultural districts

Contiguity, Compactness & Census Geography

- Districts should be contiguous
  - All parts of a district must be adjacent to another part of the district
  - Areas separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous
- Districts should be compact
  - Does not bypass nearby areas of population in favor of more distant populations
- District boundaries must generally follow census blocks

What do you think about the draft map(s)?

- What do you like about the draft map(s)? What do you want to change?
- Let the Task Force know how you want the districts to be drawn
  - Borders of recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest
  - Specific streets and landmarks
  - We welcome hand-drawn maps of any size
- How to submit your ideas:
  - Redistricting mapping tool: https://redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com/
  - Public comment at Task Force meetings
  - Email us your comments and hand-drawn maps: rdtf@sfgov.org

Next Steps for the Task Force

- All publicly submitted maps and narratives will be considered public comment. No public comment/map will be given preferential treatment over any other comment/map from the public.
- The Task Force will consider all submissions to assist in its decision-making but will not advance or vote on any map submitted by the public.
- Through an iterative mapping process, the Task Force will direct its consultant Q2 Data and Research to create the draft maps and ultimately the official final map.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 21, 2022 2:15:03 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 2:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Pierre Smit

Date Submitted
3/21/2022 1:59pm

Mutual Interest

Ocean Avenue business corridor between 19th Avenue and FWY280. This is at this time in D7.

Community Location

the Ocean Avenue business corridor between 19th Avenue and FWY280. This is at this time in D7.

Why Keep Together

This is a business area and it is best to keep it in one single district from FWY280 to 19th Avenue. I understand that many people would like to keep OMI together. This is OK with our organization. However the Ocean Avenue itself should remain in one district only. A solution would be for example to have all streets up to Ocean Avenue to be in D11, but ocean Avenue to be kept in D7. So Ashton Avenue, Jules Ave, Faxon Ave, etc would be in D11, but the 1000 through 1900 blocks on Ocean Avenue should remain in D7.

Thank you.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 21, 2022 10:19:48 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 9:35 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Date Submitted
3/21/2022 9:31am

Mutual Interest

We are part of Russian Hill

Community Location

Russian Hill - Filbert Street, west side of Hyde Street

Why Keep Together

This is Russian Hill - the very top of one of the hill's two peaks - and I feel more of an affinity with the rest of Russian Hill plus Telegraph Hill, and no affinity with the Marina. The cable car passes by my corner, and the cable car runs through Nob Hill and Russian Hill - it has nothing to do with the Marina. But the current district lines place this small part of Russian Hill in the Marina's district.

My gut says the Russian Hill district would naturally start on the east side of Van Ness and head up the hill.

Thank you.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 21, 2022 8:53:22 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 8:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Matthew Lauer

Date Submitted
3/18/2022 8:19pm

Mutual Interest

the neighborhood of St. Mary's Park

Community Location

The "bell-shaped" neighborhood near the St. Mary's Park Recreation Center. This community includes Crescent ave between College ave. and Agnon ave, Murray st., Justin Dr., Benton Ave and Genebern Way and all these ~ 325

homes make up St. Mary's Park.

Why Keep Together

St Mary's Park is currently in District 9, is currently proposed to be moved to district 8 and is proposed to be part of District 11 under the widely supported "unity" district map.

The people of St. Mary's Park walk with their loved towards the Bernal Heights neighborhood. The 8 lanes of traffic on Mission Street/Bernal Cut that separates us from the Glen Park and Noe Valley areas of district 8 and 11 lanes of traffice that seperate us from the outer mission and crocker amazon areas of District 11 are strong natural barriers and would make us feel seperated from these districts physically.

St. Mary's Park has been engaged in a neighborhood discussion about redistricting via the St. Mary's Park Improvement Club (SMPIC) and the related month newsletter the Park Bell (see attached).

I am asking the Task Force to please let St. Mary's Park remain connected to the neighborhood that we are physically connected to, Bernal Heights and not tie us to other neighborhoods that we are not really connected to,

Attachments

The Park Bell

St Mary's Park Improvement Club, Est. 1941 ◆ Mar 2022

IN THIS ISSUE:

SF District Lines Being Redrawn..... 1
SMPIC Feb 2022 Meeting Minutes..... 2
2021 Financials & 2022 Dues..... 2
Acoustic Corner..... 5
Word Search..... 6
Notes from the Editors... 8

The March 2022 SMPIC meeting will be held at the St. Mary's Rec center from 6:30 to 7:45 on Tuesday, March 8th, 2022. All are welcome.

A draft agenda provided by the SMPIC secretary includes the SMPIC Easter egg hunt, other 2022 SMPIC planned events, the SF Supervisor redistricting process and SMPIC 2021 financials and dues report.

Do you want to talk about any of the above or add something to the agenda? If so, then come to the meeting and tell us all about it. Please be aware all COVID-19 protocols are enforced by the SMP Rec Center staff.

SF District Lines Being Redrawn By the Lauer Boys, Cover Photo by Michael Pegram

Everyone knows that St. Mary's Park (SMP) is one of the best places to live in the Bay Area, just look at all the great people who live in the Park. It tells you pretty much everything you need to know. For the last 100 or so years we have always had a clear understanding of our neighborhood and a strong sense of community. The "neighborlyness" in the Park seems to come pretty naturally to the folks who choose to live here and where our sense as neighbors is not likely to change much, SMP's place within San Francisco is being considered for significant change; change that may impact you directly.

As a part San Francisco's Charter (Section 13.110), the City shall be divided into 11 supervisorial districts and when **(Continued on Page 4)**

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:20:41 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 6:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Alex Tsiatas

Date Submitted
3/15/2022 4:39pm

Mutual Interest

We are a group of people who live in close proximity to the end of the Central Freeway - often known as "the Octavia Ramp" or the Market/Octavia intersection.

Community Location

The area bounded by: 14th Street, Harrison St, 12th St, Page St, Laguna St,

Guerrero St back to 14th St.

Why Keep Together

Our neighborhood has suffered because we have historically been divided among 4 different districts (5, 6, 8, 9). There are neighborhood problems unique to living near the end of the Central Freeway that no supervisor currently adequately represents, and why should they? Any problems that arise, their involvement would, at most, benefit only a tiny corner of their district's voters. This is also true of our Police districts, and we are right in the middle of several CBDs that we don't get a say in (West Soma, Civic Center, Castro).

Our neighborhood suffers because it's not always walkable. The freeway interchanges mean that traffic in the main streets in our neighborhood is often aggressive, and folks use small, neighborhood streets as cut-throughs to get around major thoroughfares. We exist where the high-speed road network intersects neighborhood streets, and that transition is jarring and dangerous, especially at intersections with narrow crosswalks, sight-lines limited by overpass columns, poor lighting, and sometimes even missing crosswalks altogether.

If we remain on the border of 4 supervisor districts, the freeway will continue to be a divider. It doesn't need to be! All streets are continuous underneath it, so it should be possible, under one supervisor's leadership, to be a steward of this area, keeping it interconnected. I would love to be able to walk (instead of drive) 3 blocks to Rainbow Grocery along Duboce and 13th St without feeling like I'm going to get run over, just inches away from speeding cars and freeway ramps.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:20:36 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 4:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Kadi Nanase Miura

Date Submitted
3/15/2022 1:15pm

Mutual Interest

The Japantown community's mutual interest is the preservation of Japanese American history, a history often ignored or downplayed, and to promote the Japanese and Japanese American culture and identity. It's a safe space for Japanese Americans AND other marginalized communities of color to exist and to simply be, able to recognize themselves and their cultures within the community.

Community Location

The Japantown community is physically within the bounds of the Cultural District, spanning from California down to Ellis, between Steiner and Gough. The Japantown Mall itself spans six blocks from Geary street. I've attached the map of the Japantown area, since describing this location is admittedly difficult without a map. Please find attached the "JCHESS Japantown Map" PDF.

The community itself, though? We span across the bay area. We've been displaced and relocated twice—I'm sure that as the city you're aware—and as a result, we don't all live within Japantown's community boundaries. It's our home, regardless.

Why Keep Together

We continue to try and keep businesses alive—especially international businesses and small businesses. Japantown was once full of small ma-and-pa shops, but external factors we can't control (COVID, rent increases, etc.) have been systematically wiping them out. We aim to have a wide variety of Asian businesses to serve the large Asian communities in San Francisco.

We also educate. We are fundamental in keeping Japanese American history and culture alive within San Francisco, a city with a very rich Asian American history. We're a historic site that links America to Japan.

I do not understand the city's obsession with shuffling around one of its oldest ethnic enclaves and cultural communities. I do not understand why a community that's survived this long still needs to justify its existence. Are we not allowed to keep our stay, after this long?

Attachments

Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:20:39 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 6:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Kadi Nanase Miura

Date Submitted
3/15/2022 1:15pm

Mutual Interest

The Japantown community's mutual interest is the preservation of Japanese American history, a history often ignored or downplayed, and to promote the Japanese and Japanese American culture and identity. It's a safe space for Japanese Americans AND other marginalized communities of color to exist and to simply be, able to recognize themselves and their cultures within the community.

Community Location

The Japantown community is physically within the bounds of the Cultural District, spanning from California down to Ellis, between Steiner and Gough. The Japantown Mall itself spans six blocks from Geary street. I've attached the map of the Japantown area, since describing this location is admittedly difficult without a map. Please find attached the "JCHESS Japantown Map" PDF.

The community itself, though? We span across the bay area. We've been displaced and relocated twice—I'm sure that as the city you're aware—and as a result, we don't all live within Japantown's community boundaries. It's our home, regardless.

Why Keep Together

We continue to try and keep businesses alive—especially international businesses and small businesses. Japantown was once full of small ma-and-pa shops, but external factors we can't control (COVID, rent increases, etc.) have been systematically wiping them out. We aim to have a wide variety of Asian businesses to serve the large Asian communities in San Francisco.

We also educate. We are fundamental in keeping Japanese American history and culture alive within San Francisco, a city with a very rich Asian American history. We're a historic site that links America to Japan.

I do not understand the city's obsession with shuffling around one of its oldest ethnic enclaves and cultural communities. I do not understand why a community that's survived this long still needs to justify its existence. Are we not allowed to keep our stay, after this long?

Attachments

Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:20:33 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 4:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Paloma Gaos

Date Submitted
3/15/2022 12:41pm

Mutual Interest

Being neighbors

Community Location

Saint Francis Square Webster, Geary, Laguna, Ellis

Why Keep Together

It's heritage, both of us want to be kept united and there's no reason for the division

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:35:02 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 10:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Dennis Minnick

Date Submitted
3/14/2022 4:53pm

Mutual Interest

I am the president of S.H.A.R.P. (https://url.avanan.click/v2/___www.sharpsf.com___.YXAzOnNimZHOyOmE6bzozMWE5Njk3ZGNjMDIwNGQ2NmYzZTRlYmNiNGM1NjczNzo1OjFlMDk6MWUwZjU0MjhiY2QyN2I0NzVkM2Y1NjU4N2NkYTkzNzRjNjkwMjYxYjQ2MmNmOTAzZjRjOWJjMTBiYzAxOWE0ODp0Ok4), a neighborhood organization based mostly in current District 7. We have had a long term relationship with our Supervisors, past and president. We would prefer to not have our area broken up into three districts...4,5,NS 7. W prefer to stay in D7 with the boundary extended north to Lincoln, and NOT have D4 extended east into our neighborhood. This would place our 9th&Irving shopping district in our district.

Community Location

our organization currently is bordered by 19th Ave, 6th AVE, Judah, and Dewey Blvd.

Why Keep Together

Our group regularly works with our supervisors on issues that effect our neighborhood. Things like disaster planning,traffic Muni, houaing, etc. We also have good working relationships wth other D7 organizations such as the Golden Gate Heights Neighborhood Assn.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:34:53 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 10:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Date Submitted
3/14/2022 4:34pm

Mutual Interest

Shared interest in culture

Community Location

Japantown, western addition

Why Keep Together

1) Maintains the Japantown borders established in the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS), adopted by the San Francisco Planning Commission in 2013, and reinforced by the Japantown Special Area Guidelines that was adopted by San Francisco Planning Commission in 2019, and

2) Maintains the historical and physical connection with the Western Addition and Fillmore neighborhoods, especially the Black community. As communities of color that have suffered institutional racism, we have a shared history and deep allyship.

Attachments

Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:34:49 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 10:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Michele Garside

Date Submitted
3/14/2022 3:15pm

Mutual Interest

We are a wonderful, walkable community with our own local newspaper The Potrero View - our own Booster's club and numerous community gardens and regular activities that bring the community together. Although we are quite diverse and often have differing views, we respect and get along with each other. Please don't split us up!

Community Location

District 10

Why Keep Together

Please see above - We are an ESTABLISHED community that would be quite damaged from the current task force plan to divide us.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:34:58 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 10:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
STEVE ISHII

Date Submitted
3/14/2022 1:47pm

Mutual Interest

We are not in favor of the dividing of our Japantown community thru the Redistricting process. We would like to continue to be in one district (District 5) within the Western Addition community.

Community Location

District 5 - Japantown/Western Addition

Why Keep Together

We are not in favor of breaking apart our Japantown community. We have been approved as a community per the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS) plan and want to stay as such.

To operate as a unified cultural community under one district supervisor.

Attachments

Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District.

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:38:17 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 12:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Lucy Takesue Fisher

Date Submitted
3/14/2022 11:48am

Mutual Interest

Our community fosters and promotes Japanese and Japanese-American culture that includes language, food, arts and literature, architecture, religious groups, schools and youth and senior groups.

Community Location

Steiner, California, Gough and Ellis - see attached map

Why Keep Together

Our common culture is strong and perseveres but is a relatively small one. The Japanese culture has - and still influences the larger world but requires physical proximity to remain viable. The ability to work with one Supervisor of District 5 is key to our viability.

Attachments



Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable

Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:38:43 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 1:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Lucy Takesue Fisher

Date Submitted
3/14/2022 11:48am

Mutual Interest

Our community fosters and promotes Japanese and Japanese-American culture that includes language, food, arts and literature, architecture, religious groups, schools and youth and senior groups.

Community Location

Steiner, California, Gough and Ellis - see attached map

Why Keep Together

Our common culture is strong and perseveres but is a relatively small one. The Japanese culture has - and still influences the larger world but requires physical proximity to remain viable. The ability to work with one Supervisor of District 5 is key to our viability.

Attachments



Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable

Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:38:11 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 12:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Greg Viloria

Date Submitted
3/14/2022 11:13am

Mutual Interest

Japantown

Community Location

Bounded by Steirer(West), California (North), Ellis(South), Gough(East)

Why Keep Together

There has been a history since 1906 of SF Japantown and we need to keep it together. We have several initiatives which need attention from the local government and dividing it would not only honor its historic boundaries, it would disrupt current projects in play.

Attachments

Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District.

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:38:05 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 11:13 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Karen Jo Koonan

Date Submitted
3/14/2022 10:56am

Mutual Interest

Japantown

Community Location

Western Addition

Why Keep Together

There is a long history and common interests between Japantown, the Western Addition and St. Francis Square where I live.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:38:02 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 11:13 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Date Submitted
3/14/2022 10:50am

Mutual Interest

Japantown/Western Addition/Fillmore

Community Location

The core of Japantown is much larger than what you think it is, based on the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS), adopted by the San Francisco Planning Commission in 2013, and reinforced by the Japantown Special Area Guidelines that were adopted by San Francisco

Planning Commission in 2019, its borders are California, Gough, Steiner and Ellis Street. And as communities of color, the Western Addition and District 5 have always included the Japanese and African American communities. Why separate us now?

Why Keep Together

Restricting year over year to me personally is a waste of time. I live on the West side of Steiner and have been in and out of District 5, but for a COMMUNITY, it is much more troublesome. Policies and guidelines were specifically set, with input from the community, to help maintain our cultural heritage and relevance in this everchanging city. Please keep Japantown as a whole with and within the Western Addition and Fillmore NEIGHBORHOOD/COMMUNITY.

Attachments



Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:27:49 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Date Submitted
3/14/2022 6:30am

Mutual Interest

Rebalance supervisorial districts according to the 2020 census data

Community Location

Japantown

Why Keep Together

Maintain the historical and physical connection with the Western Addition and Fillmore neighborhoods, especially the Black community. As communities of color that have suffered institutional racism, we have a shared history and deep allyship. As such, Japantown should NOT be split away from its historic ties with the Western Addition and Fillmore Districts.

Attachments



Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District.

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:27:34 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Chris Chang

Date Submitted
3/14/2022 1:37am

Mutual Interest

The Emerging Communities of the south of Market neighborhoods, including The East Cut (Rincon, Transbay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent – Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area – our core needs are shaped by common influences.

Community Location

Starting at Embarcadero and Market Street, go southwest along Market Street until Octavia Blvd / Highway 101 onramp, then southeast along 101/13th Street to Division Street, then northeast at Townsend Street, then southeast along 7th Street until Mariposa Street, then east to the waterfront and all the way back up along the waterfront to the starting point. Plus all of Treasure

Island.

Why Keep Together

Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation, and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea-level rise. Together, we are building socially, culturally, and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response.

The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest. For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses change markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or – where the bulk of the growth has happened – are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade - and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest. Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:27:13 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Chris Chang

Date Submitted
3/14/2022 1:30am

Mutual Interest

Our COI is the High Density Waterfront Neighborhoods. The East Cut, South Beach, Mission Bay, and Treasure Island all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent, our core needs are shaped by common influences.

Community Location

Starting at Embarcadero and Market Street, go southwest along Market Street until 2nd Street, then southeast along 2nd Stret until Folsom Street, then southwest along Folsom Street until 4th Street, then southeast along 4th Street until Townsend Street, then southwest along Townsend Street until 7th Street, then southeast along 7th Street until Mariposa Street, then east to the waterfront and all the way back up along the waterfront to the starting point. Plus all of Treasure Island.

Why Keep Together

Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation, and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea-level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally, and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

Attachments



Financial District
Embarcadero
Folsom
Montgomery Street
Transbay
Bus Crossover Signal
Rincon Hill
Bus Storage Signal
Moscone North South
Brannan
South of Market
South Beach
High Density Waterfront Neighborhoods
2nd & King
Oracle Park
Caltrain
Mission Rock Construction Site
Mission Rock
Mission Bay
Campus Way
UCSF/Chase Center
16th Street
UCSF Medical Center
Mariposa Street
I 80

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:27:01 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
David Troup

Date Submitted
3/13/2022 11:45pm

Mutual Interest

Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Transsexual communit

Community Location

The existing northern portion of D8, including the northern border at Duboce and the western border which allows both the Duboce Triangle and Corona Heights neighborhoods to be included, is critical to keeping the biggest

portions of San Francisco's LGBT community together. I would argue that the northern border should even be extended up Divisidero to Waller, and that the GLBT senior housing project at 55 Laguna should also be included in D8. On the northeast quadrant, it's important that the border to extend to Valencia St., at least as far south as 22nd St. as it does now, to retain a significant population of LGBT persons resident in all of these areas.

Why Keep Together

The entire northern third or so of the existing district is what I call the "greater Castro", and splitting this portion of D8 in any way would harm and disenfranchise our GLBT community. District 8 has traditionally had sufficient GLBT population to elect a supervisor who hears and represents our interests, which is influence which would be diluted and lost if split between two districts and supervisors.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:26:21 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Betty Traynor

Date Submitted
3/13/2022 11:39pm

Mutual Interest

St. Francis Square Co-op--we are a residential co-op in the Western Addition/Japantown area of San Francisco.

Community Location

East end of District 5--Between Geary Blvd. and Ellis St., Webster St. and Laguna St.

Why Keep Together

We are a residential co-op built in the early 1960s in the Western Addition. The initial Task Force map splits us in 1/2 down O'Farrell, one half, north of O'Farrell in D3 and the second half, south of O'Farrell in D5. This is untenable--we must be united in D5 as we have been for many years.

We want the north-east D5 line of current map moved blocks north so not only our co-op but all of Japantown may remain in D5 with the rest of the Western Addition.

Thank you.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:26:42 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Date Submitted
3/13/2022 9:41pm

Mutual Interest

The Western Addition/Fillmore has a shared history of oppression of urban renewal, displacement and institutional racism.

Community Location

Japantown is located between Fillmore and Laguna Streets, Geary Boulevard to Bush Street with organizations and churches located up to Octavia Street.

Why Keep Together

The Western Addition/Fillmore communities have historical ties with Japantown. Not only do we share many of the same struggles, but we have also worked together to support our communities collectively. The new redistricting will result in pushing back what we've all worked very hard for. Giving our communities a voice.

Attachments

Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable

Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:26:06 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Calvin Welch

Date Submitted
3/13/2022 4:19pm

Mutual Interest

the eastern portion of Golden Gate Park being maintained as p[art of D5

Community Location

The Haight-Ashbury and the Inner Sunset

Why Keep Together

because of the shared interest in maintain livability and commercial viability adjacent to Golden Gate Park

Attachments

D5 and the Community of Interest in the Eastern Portion of Golden Gate Park

Calvin Welch, member Kezar Advisory Committee*

In the 2012 redistricting boundary adjustments , the then Task Force, at the request of community residents, added the eastern portion of Golden Gate Park to D5. We would request that that line be maintained in the new D5 boundary.

The eastern portion of Golden Gate Park contain the largest year round attractions of the entire park, the Conservatory of Flowers, Kezar Pavilion and Stadium, the Children's Playground and Carrousel, Waters Arts Center, the Tennis Center, the National AIDS Memorial Grove, the De Young Museum, the Academy of Sciences, the Japanese Tea Garden, the Botanical Garden and the County Fair Building. While Bay to Breakers and Hardly Strictly certainly draw huge crowds they do so for a limited period each year. The crowds drawn to the permanent facilities in the eastern portion of the Park are a fact of life for the Haight-Ashbury and Inner Sunset residents and businesses. The constant flow of visitors to these facilities requires objective review and oversight if residents and businesses are to benefit , something the Recreation and Parks Department often fails to provide because of either indifference or actual conflict of interest in its desire to maximize revenue from facilities. It is of great utility to have a Supervisor who district spatially include the eastern portion of the Park and is not subject to either this indifference or conflict.

The first draft map of the Task Force put all of Golden Gate Park in D1, as was the case before 2012. Since there is no population involved with that boundary change, it is unclear why that decision was made. Golden Gate Park is one of the largest open spaces in any city in the nation. There is no reason that a single Supervisor should be empowered to overrule it. Indeed, it might make great sense to include the south western portion of the Park in D4, giving three Supervisors reason to pay attention to it.

Certainly the eastern portion of the Park should remain in the same District as the two most impacted neighborhoods -the Haight-Ashbury and the Inner Sunset - directly affected by the large and well used institutions located next door to them.

* for identification purposes only

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:25:40 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Steven Solomon

Date Submitted
3/13/2022 3:31pm

Mutual Interest

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Showplace Square together have a history of common interests, dating back to the Industrial Age when many of the workers in the heavy steel and shipbuilding industries in Dogpatch, and the lighter industries in Showplace Square were staffed by workers living on Potrero Hill.

To the west, the longtime Latino enclave of the Mission has had a distinct culture and language. To the south, an industrial zone has largely separated the community from the more residential parts of Bayview and Visitation Valley.

Personally speaking, the people that I naturally meet on common concerns of interest such as bike and pedestrian safety, managing the rapid new development in the area, and greening this historically industrial part of the City reside on Potrero Hill and Dogpatch. This community in fact has a taxpayer funded greening entity --Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefits District (GBD). Residents of this community of interest straddling Potrero Hill and Dogpatch voted to raise their property taxes to fund streetside greening. They literally voted with their wallets to make this a community of interest. The northwest part of the GBD is just east of Potrero Avenue and south of 17th Street, straddling Highway 101, and that is why the freeway should not be used as the dividing line.

Potrero Avenue on the west is a natural dividing line between mostly flat Mission District and Potrero HILL. The bay on the east, and "population deserts" on the north and south by historically lightly populated industrial zones form a natural community of interest.

Community Location

Potrero Avenue on the west, San Francisco Bay on the east, roughly Cesar Chavez St. on the south, and roughly 16th St. on the north. Potrero Avenue on the west is a natural dividing line between mostly flat Mission District and Potrero HILL. The bay on the east, and "population deserts" on the north and south by historically lightly populated industrial zones form a natural community of interest.

Why Keep Together

The common interests, social bonds, and solutions to problems of those on a physical hill differ from the mostly flat SOMA and Mission districts. Working with one Supervisor is more effective when seeking action to solve problems and improve an area of common interests.

Potrero Hill itself has common interests and lifestyle as a mainly lower density

residential area that shares an identity and an active and interested citizenry for its interests, evidenced by the existence of the Potrero Boosters community organization. It should never be split.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:25:53 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
AnneKarin glass

Date Submitted
3/13/2022 3:06pm

Mutual Interest

We want to remain in district 10.

Community Location

potrero Ave. , 16th st. , Pennsylvania st. , Caesar Chavez st.

Why Keep Together

Potrero Hill. District 10. Because we identify with Potrero/Bayview. We do not identify with the mission district.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:24:49 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Don Romesburg

Date Submitted
3/13/2022 9:27am

Mutual Interest

St. Francis Square Cooperative has longstanding ties to both Japantown and the Western Addition, as a community developed to mitigate the cultural loss and social dislocation involved in the "urban renewal" of the 1950s and 1960s. Being politically connected to the Western Addition and coherent as a single Cooperative in a district is essential to our historical identity and contemporary political efficacy

Community Location

St Francis Square Cooperate is bordered by Languna, Ellis, Webster and Geary

Why Keep Together

As stated above, we have shared political, zoning, and other practical interests that would be needlessly complicated if we had to appeal to two supervisors for our representation

Attachments

Map

Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:25:07 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Conny Ford

Date Submitted
3/13/2022 7:11am

Mutual Interest

I have lived in St. Francis Square for over 40 years. We just celebrated with Japontown the street crossing which clows down Geary traffic and re-connects Japontown with the southern portion of the Fillmore (including St. Francis Square). It was a long time coming but this important cross walk illustrates the necessity of our connecting with each other!

Community Location

St. Francis Square is bordered by Geary, Webster, Laguna and Ellis. O'Farrell runs right through it. Dividing our almost 60 year old Coop in 2 is unconscienable. It is also unacceptable to divide us from Japantown. So many close ties, friendships, working relationships. The new street crossing has been celebrated by all - especially the disable community. Please do not take the political power away from our communities.

Why Keep Together

Historically, shamefully, our bonded, connected communities of interest (Japanese American, African American, St. Francis Square and other area co-ops/low-income housing) were split by occurrences such as redevelopment/urban renewal and the creation of Geary Blvd. Efforts to reunite and heal the community/communities have included the creation of St. Francis Square Co-op, followed by the building of other low and moderate income co-ops and housing projects (e.g., Friendship Village, Freedom West, JFK), the J-town CBD and historical signage, and the new educational/art crosswalk at Buchanan and Geary. Instead of splitting our community/communities by *halving* St. Francis Square, and moving J-town into an *entirely* different district (D-3) from its longtime friends and allies in the Fillmore/Western Addition, as the current iteration of the map does, we need to confirm the solidarity of these elements and keep our community/communities intact and bonded in political power.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:25:27 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:27 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Calvin Welch

Date Submitted
3/12/2022 7:57pm

Mutual Interest

over 60% of housing in d5 is price controlled

Community Location

D5

Why Keep Together

it shares an interest in preserving price controlled housing in D5

Attachments

Community of Interest of D5 of Residents in Maintaining "Price Controlled" Housing
Calvin Welch, Housing and Land Use Member, Board of the Haight Ashbury Council

While folks are familiar with rent controlled apartments many are unaware that San Francisco has other forms of housing that are under various "price controls". Estimates indicate that in D5 perhaps a simple majority of the housing units in the district are subject to various forms of "price controls".

City wide , in 2013 some 63% of the total housing inventory was, in one form or another, "price controlled, some 242,000 of the 382,000 housing units in the City have price controls: 174,000 rent controlled units, 30,000 units receiving Section 8 vouchers, 6,000 public housing units, 31,000 permanently affordable non-profit units 3,000 Below Market Rate Units and 19,000 Single room occupancy (SRO's) units.

Some 80% of all multi-unit apartment buildings are rent controlled and in 2020 D5 had approximately 20,000 units in multi unit apartment buildings of its total of 40,000 almost all built before 1970 and thus covered by rent control. In addition there are some 3,600 units in the former redevelopment areas of the Western Addition all of which have restricted rents. There are approximately 2,500 permanently affordable housing units in D5 all of which are price controlled. There are some 1,100 public housing units in D5 and about an equal number of HMIR's and Section 8, combined. Thus nearly 60% of the housing in D5 is under either federal or local price restrictions.

In drafting new district lines areas with purely market rate housing, such as the HUB (the area currently in D6 at Van Ness and Market) , which has high rise, high density market rate housing in which the developers were allowed to "fee out", that is, provide no on site below market rate units, would not be in the interest of the current residents of D5.

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:23:43 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:27 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Calvin Welch

Date Submitted
3/12/2022 7:58pm

Mutual Interest

shared reliance on public transit

Community Location

D5

Why Keep Together

because it is transit reliant see attached statwement

Attachments

District 5 Core Neighborhoods Have Formed an effective Community of Interest in Public Transit

Calvin Welch, Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council Housing and Land Use Board Member

Since the 1996 vote for district elections which created District 5 the five core neighborhoods which are totally within its boundary- the Inner Sunset, the Haight Ashbury, Japan Town, Alamo Square and Hayes Valley- form viable "community of interest" in their dependence on public transit.

There are 14 bus, trolley and rail lines that serve District 5: 3,6,7,21, 22,24,31,33,37,38,43,49,66 and the N line. They tie the core neighborhoods together both east to west and north to south in the most dense public transit network in the City. They link the core neighborhoods to other neighborhoods and to the central business district. District 5 has amongst the lowest car ownership in the City because of this premier public transit system which serves our district. 8 lines-3,6,7,21,22,24,31and the 38- serve the transit dependent Western Addition meeting the needs of low income and elderly riders. Indeed, the 6 and the 21 are identified by MUNI as "routes heavily used by seniors and people with disabilities" and are in the top 15 lines serving that population carrying a combined 14,000 folks a day. The 6,66,7,21 and 38 routes serve the three main hospitals in the district- Kaiser, UCSF and St.Mary's- bringing both workers and patients from every neighborhood in the City to those major service providers and employers in our district.

Such transit service does not happen automatically, but instead require regular advocacy on the part of D5 residents. Twice in the last 10 years SFMTA has proposed cuts in transit service of one or more of these lines. D5 residents have had to ban together and collectively advocate for the continuation of this service. Both times D5 residents have prevailed and transit cuts were reduced or eliminated. Both times the Supervisor from D5 was hard at work saving transit service because of the pressure mounted by our residents. With the core neighborhoods composed of both wealthy and poor this combined action has had both reach and impact and created a truly effective "community of interest" in preserving robust public transit service to the entire district which argues for keeping these core neighborhoods together in a single district.

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:24:31 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:27 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
North side of Potrero Hill bounded by 101 on west side, Slovenian Hall on bottom of block

Date Submitted
3/12/2022 1:26pm

Mutual Interest

Neighborhood on Potrero Hill, formerly all Slovenian (hence the Slovenian Hall)

Community Location

The 500 block of Vermont St, and then up to the 600 and 700 blocks to McKinley Park, all part of Potrero Hill

Why Keep Together

These blocks are on the north side of Potrero Hill, and should be kept as part of Potrero Hill, as these blocks are geographically ON Potrero Hill. Be mindful of the geography -- the name says it all "Potrero Hill"

I've included an image below showing in yellow the actual Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods which are logically and geographically connected. The grey lines are the arbitrary and capricious proposal by the Taskforce, disconnecting our blocks from their geographic location, bounded by a busy six land highway, and 'assigning' our blocks to the Mission. Please take a moment to stand on the corner of 18th and Vermont, and walk to 18th and San Bruno, and look at Benches park, and pedestrian bridge over the highway. You will see that 101 makes a logical boundary edge for the Potrero Hill DogPatch district, and the 500 block (and 600 and 700 blocks) of Vermont are within, and should stay within, the boundary of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch district.

Attachments



View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:24:16 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:27 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
NE Waterfront Neighborhoods

Date Submitted
3/11/2022 9:46pm

Mutual Interest

Historically, Potrero Hill and Dogpatch have been linked as working class residential and industrial neighborhoods. Although the original character of the two neighborhoods has changed, they remain linked in many ways.

Our schools, parks, restaurants, and our single public library are utilized by residents of both Potrero Hill and Dogpatch.

Our public transit lines serve both communities. We have felt the importance of this link during the Covid-19 pandemic, when an important bus line was

discontinued, the #10-Townsend, linking Dogpatch with Potrero Hill and continuing to South of Market and the Financial District.

Health issues are a common thread for both Potrero Hill and Dogpatch, as we are surrounded by freeways and the rate of respiratory diseases, including asthma, is among the highest in California. We have just one public health clinic that serves both communities.

Our two neighborhoods have become much more aligned to the new neighborhoods just north of us in SOMA. While we live in Potrero Hill and Dogpatch, many of us work in SOMA. And many of the issues we face are like those of SOMA residents: increasing crime and lack of adequate security; encroaching homelessness and absence of creative ways for solving this dilemma; the expansion of UCSF into SOMA and Dogpatch; traffic and parking issues generated by the huge sports complexes; and inadequate transportation.

Community Location

I am proposing a new district that encompasses Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and SOMA. It is bounded on the east and north by San Francisco Bay; on the west by Mission Street, the Central Freeway, and Potrero Avenue, and on the south by Jerrold Avenue, Napoleon Street, and Islais Creek. The proposed district (see attached map) incorporates all the criteria required by law. It keeps together historical Potrero Hill and Dogpatch and unites them with the vibrant tech/biotech hub of SOMA by merging the part of District 10 that includes our neighborhoods with the southeastern part of District 6 that includes SOMA. The proposed district has a population of 79,428, which is the goal population for a district minus 0.15%. The new district is ethnically diverse: 12.2% Hispanic, 38.6% White, 7.0% Black, 38.4% Asian, and 1.1% Multi-Racial.

Why Keep Together

Our community organizations, like the Potrero Boosters, the Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, and the Potrero-Dogpatch Merchant Association, have been linked for many years. Our community leaders look forward to

working with leaders of SOMA neighborhood groups to confront common challenges we face in coming years. Examples of these include the rerouting of Caltrain, the potential truncating of I-280, the effect of climate change on sea and bay level rise; and the question of where to build both market rate and affordable housing in our district. SOMA, Dogpatch, and Potrero Hill need to have a common supervisorial district in the City & County of San Francisco to represent the very similar concerns of our neighborhoods.

Attachments



NE Waterfront Neighborhoods - Community of Interest Statement

The first draft of the new district map, released on Friday, March 11, 2022, is a gerrymandered abomination. It filets our Potrero Hill - Dogpatch neighborhood right down its spine, lopping off part of our community east of Hwy 101, along with blocks north of 16th Street in Showplace Square. Our community leaders have forcefully argued for keeping Potrero Hill and Dogpatch together. However, I must respectfully ask if the redistricting commissioners were paying attention to the testimony our Potrero Hill and Dogpatch community representatives presented at the recent hearings on Zoom.

In my opinion, while the map submitted by our own community leaders recognizes the historic indivisibility of Potrero Hill and Dogpatch, which is one of the necessary criteria, it does not meet the other requirements for an actual district. The criteria include near equal population compared to other districts, contiguousness, compactness, and representation of diverse communities.

Much has changed during the 36 years I have been a Potrero Hill resident. Our neighborhoods, including Dogpatch, have become much more aligned to the new neighborhoods north of us. While we live in Potrero Hill and Dogpatch, many of us work in SOMA. And many of the issues we face are like those of SOMA residents: increasing crime and lack of adequate security; encroaching homelessness and absence of creative ways for solving this dilemma; the expansion of UCSF; traffic and parking issues generated by the huge sports complexes; and inadequate transportation.

Both our Potrero Hill and Dogpatch community leaders and the members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force need to start thinking out of the box, so that we do not allow our Potrero Hill-Dogpatch community to become divided. To this end, using the redistricting map tool, I have designed a district that incorporates all the criteria listed above (see attached image). It keeps together historical Potrero Hill and Dogpatch and unites them with the vibrant tech/biotech hub of SOMA by merging the part of District 10 that includes our neighborhoods with the southeastern part of District 6 that includes SOMA.

The new district I have proposed is both compact and contiguous, bounded by the northeastern waterfront, Mission Street in SOMA, the Central Freeway, Potrero Avenue, and Islais Creek. The population of this new district is 79,428 (goal population minus 0.15%) and it is ethnically diverse: 12.2% Hispanic, 38.6% White, 7.0% Black, 38.4% Asian, and 1.1% Multi-Racial. I have given the new district a colorful nickname, "SOMA Pot Patch", which incorporates a bit of each of the names of its components, but it may be formally known as "Northeastern Waterfront Neighborhoods."

At the most recent Redistricting Task Force online hearing on Saturday, March 5, 2022, many leaders and community residents of the Visitacion Valley and Portola neighborhoods testified that it was important for them to have their neighborhoods AND Bayview included in one new supervisorial district. Our proposal to combine Potrero Hill and Dogpatch with SOMA in a new "Northeastern Waterfront Neighborhoods" district would serendipitously have the effect of supporting the aspirations of Visitacion Valley and Portola residents to combine with Bayview in one "Southeastern Waterfront Neighborhoods" district.

[View in Airtable]

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:24:01 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:27 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Judy Beck

Date Submitted
3/11/2022 11:09pm

Mutual Interest

Japantown-Fillmore-St. Francis Square-Western Addition

Community Location

The current Western Addition D-5 area, roughly Gough to Steiner, Bush to Grove.

Why Keep Together

Historically, shamefully, our bonded, connected communities of interest (Japanese American, African American, St. Francis Square and other area co-ops/low-income housing) were split by occurrences such as redevelopment/urban renewal and the creation of Geary Blvd. Efforts to reunite and heal the community/communities have included the creation of St. Francis Square Co-op, followed by the building of other low and moderate income co-ops and housing projects (e.g., Friendship Village, Freedom West, JFK), the J-town CBD and historical signage, and the new educational/art crosswalk at Buchanan and Geary. Instead of splitting our community/communities by *halving* St. Francis Square, and moving J-town into an *entirely* different district (D-3) from its longtime friends and allies in the Fillmore/Western Addition, as the current iteration of the map does, we need to confirm the solidarity of these elements and keep our community/communities intact and bonded in political power.

I am not tech-savvy, so I cannot attach the map I would like to use to illustrate my concept of J-Town cohesion, but I'm sure you can access it as the JCHESS Japantown Map.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:23:37 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 8:27 AM
To: Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
John Lum

Date Submitted
3/11/2022 6:19pm

Mutual Interest

Survival as a integrated business and cultural area.

Community Location

Quarter mile area surrounding Jtown

Why Keep Together

It's a viable family-oriented business and cultural area that contributes to the wider San Francisco area.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 10:00:56 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 4:58 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Hannah Decker

Date Submitted
3/11/2022 4:17pm

Mutual Interest

The Potrero Hill community - geographically, politically, and culturally - would like to stay together, not divided, in the proposed changes to redistricting in San Francisco.

Community Location

The 500 block of Vermont Street in San Francisco (cross streets are Mariposa & 18th)

Why Keep Together

We're technically, culturally, politically, socioeconomically and geographically linked. Potrero Hill is apart of our neighborhood, identity, history and physical location. It makes zero sense to separate us and include us in a neighborhood and population with different needs and wants than ours. Please do not tear us apart!

Attachments



View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 10:00:53 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 3:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Judith Baker

Date Submitted
3/11/2022 2:49pm

Mutual Interest

The historical and cultural ties built from years of conflict and racism to the present developments.

Community Location

Japantown including St. Francis Square built during the destruction of the Western Addition, including Rosa Parks School and the Fillmore District. Bush

Street, Laguna Street, Fell Street, and Scott Street.

Why Keep Together

Because of the history of struggle and the positive developments that have taken place in the community.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 10:00:51 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 3:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Date Submitted
3/11/2022 2:30pm

Mutual Interest

Visit SF Japantown often for cultural events, meetings and meals.

Community Location

Japantown

Why Keep Together

Definitely yes! The City has been actively reducing it since the 1940s.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 10:00:48 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 3:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Constance

Date Submitted
3/11/2022 2:14pm

Mutual Interest

Representing people of color in SF

Community Location

Japantown & Western Addition

Why Keep Together

Populations that have been historically marginalized have shared allyship.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 10:00:45 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 1:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Linda Mihara

Date Submitted
3/11/2022 1:12pm

Mutual Interest

Common neighborhood interest

Community Location

Japantown, bounded by Bush St, Octavia St, Geary Blvd, Fillmore Sts.

Why Keep Together

The shared history with Japan town and the Fillmore is an important part of SF history. There should not be a division between the two.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 10:00:42 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 1:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Judy Hamaguchi

Date Submitted
3/11/2022 11:50am

Mutual Interest

Japantown, D5 is an historical, cultural neighborhood. Preservation is survival.

Community Location

D5

Why Keep Together

Absolutely. The historical, community ties of Japanese Americans and African Americans is of great importance and value.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 10:00:38 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 1:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Blair Helsing

Date Submitted
3/9/2022 6:09pm

Mutual Interest

The North Beach, Chinatown, and Russian Hill neighborhoods share a multitude of common interests. Currently, the northern portion of Russian Hill -- North of Union Street along Van Ness Avenue -- is not in District 3. Adding this portion of Russian Hill to District 3 will bring two benefits: 1) adjust the District population to within 1% of requirements and 2) bring that Russian Hill portion into common cause with the rest of that neighborhood and its neighboring parts of District 3.

Community Location

Northeast San Francisco, the current District 3.

Why Keep Together

Many decades of aligned and overlapping culture, schools, commerce, and more. I refer to the submitted COI statement from North Beach Neighbors, with which I am in complete agreement. Thank you.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:41:42 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
D5 Diverse Social and Cultural Communities of Interest

Date Submitted
3/7/2022 6:44pm

Mutual Interest

Our mutual interest is bringing together and sustaining communities that bind our diverse D5. We are together as children, as families, and those residents and others who visit and celebrate our diversity. We'll highlight what needs to remain in D5 and areas on our boundaries that could be included:

What's here now: HIGHLIGHTS:

1. Cultural Celebrations: The Fillmore Jazz Festival, Juneteenth Celebration and parade, Japantown Numerous festivals and parades in Golden Gate Park.

2. Institutions: African American Art and Culture Center, SF Jazz, SF Symphony, Herbst Theater, SF Opera House, SF Conservatory of Music

3. Churches: over 12 African American Christian churches, some of them in historic buildings, Japanese churches, Korean churches, Eritrean Church, Russian Orthodox Church, Korean Cultural Center, Zen Buddhist Center, a variety of spiritual centers

4. Parks , Playgrounds, and Natural Areas: Margaret Hayward Playground, with courts, fields and a community center, Patricia's Green, Hayes Valley Playground, Clubhouse and Courts, Koshland Park, Rose Mini Park, Page Street Community Garden, Alamo Square, the Fillmore Mini Park between Eddy and Golden Gate, southern Golden Gate Park along Lincoln Avenue to 14th Avenue, and JFK Drive south to Lincoln, Whiskey Hill Natural Area [GGP], Panhandle Green and playground, Inner Greenbelt Natural Area [which also provides access to the Sutro Reserve] , Jefferson Square, Alamo Square, Kimball Playground, Hamilton Rec Center, Japantown Peace Center

5. Family-focused Organizations: Boys and Girls Club and their regional headquarters, SFUSD headquarters, Ella Hill Hutch Community Center and Magic Zone, Rosa Parks Senior Center, After School programs at Hayes Valley Playground, Booker T Washington, and at Ella Hill Hutch

6. Pubic schools: many located within our boundaries already. They offer more play space for our community, which doesn't have enough based on number of children and families in our boundaries. Want to retain Ben Franklin School at Geary and its programming connections to Booker T. Washington.

7. Special Areas: AIDS Memorial Grove [GGP]

8. Community-serving Institutions: UC Dental School Community Clinic, Booker T. Washington Community Center, Alchemy Apartments' Community Garden, and the Haight Street Art Center (currently in D8), and is part of Hayes Valley LGBTQ COI

Rent controlled, Limited Equity, and Price Controlled Housing: Co-ops

including St. Francis Square Cooperative, Frederick Douglas Haynes Garden, Freedom West cooperative, and Laguna Heights Cooperative Corporation. Community Land Trusts [Grove and Central, Oak and Scott]. Other Low-income housing at Booker T. Washington Community Center

Community Location

Our D5 Diverse Social and Cultural Communities of Interest includes all of D5, and we want to highlight specific boundaries to be included within your redistricting work: Haight Street Art Center & Alchemy Community Garden: Laguna, Waller, Buchanan, and Haight Streets

Why Keep Together

We know diverse communities are brought together by their cultural celebrations and community centers. We've highlighted what D5 has, but also what is missing or should be kept within the D5 boundaries. Not all of these highlights will include population shifts, but the boundaries will bind our social and cultural communities further together in our continued efforts to make our District one that serves its residents, including children and their families.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:25:32 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 8:52 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Jamie Whitaker

Date Submitted
3/6/2022 10:55pm

Mutual Interest

Please keep the high-density, mixed income waterfront neighborhoods of Embarcadero, Rincon Hill, South Beach, and Mission Bay together for the following reasons:

Our communities are significantly impacted by the Bay Bridge and Highway 280 traffic transitioning from 50 -70 MPH speeds to our high-density residential 25 MPH streets. Pedestrian safety infrastructure and traffic law enforcement are especially important in our neighborhoods.

Our communities rely upon good relationships between our Supervisor and the Port of San Francisco as our neighborhoods are adjacent to and include Port of San Francisco properties.

Our communities are significantly impacted by the regional event centers of Oracle Park and Chase Center or events like the San Francisco Marathon - adding to the traffic on roadways, utilization (or surges) on the public transit routes, and crimes of opportunity that 19,000 - 50,000 attendee events attract to our area.

Our communities have added thousands of units of below market rate affordable housing, but no new K-12 schools for the children of those families, very little in the way of new pubicly owned parks (mostly privately owned public open spaces like Yerba Buena Gardens, Salesforce Park, and the various office building smoker plazas). The desire and health need for public recreation and publicly owned parks unites us in Embarcadero, Rincon Hill, South Beach, and Mission Bay.

Our communities are subjected to second class consideration for Public Works cleaning services - forcing us to form our own Community Benefit Districts and charge ourselves a property assessment tax that is in addition to the tax we pay for funding Public Works in order to get the clean streets traditionally residential neighborhoods take for granted.

Community Location

4th Street eastward south of Market Street and north of Mariposa Street more or less covers Embarcadero, Rincon Hill, South Beach, and Mission Bay.

Why Keep Together

Our waterfront, Bay Bridge/280 freeway, and Oracle Ballpark/Chase Center shared proximities/impacts, dearth of publicly owned recreational and open spaces, and similar high-density (50+ unit multi-unit dwelling buildings/high-rises) are similar among our neighborhoods.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:25:34 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 8:52 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
John B de Castro

Date Submitted
3/5/2022 11:29am

Mutual Interest

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace

Community Location

Potrero Avenue on the west

Townsend St on the north

San Francisco Bay on the east

Cesar Chavez St on the south

Why Keep Together

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace have worked together for almost 100 years. All have common problems of industrial land being converted to office, warehouse and residential use.

We have pollution from 2 freeways, high asthma rates and significant industrial pollution that needs to be remediated before development.

Transportation Plan for the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan was never passed by the Board of Supervisors. Only the development plan was passed in January 2009.

This has led to numerous gaps in transit and significant unfunded traffic safety issues.

Freeway commuters use our neighborhoods as a bypass to save a few seconds on their commutes. Leading to unsafe driving, injury and fatal accidents.

We are divided between three Police Districts (Mission, Bayview and Southern) leading to lack of coordination on traffic safety, public safety and crime issues.

Our area (Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace) are focused on development issues, traffic safety, public transportation, use of our neighborhood as parking lots for major developments like Chase Stadium, UCSF, Pier 70 (20th & Michigan), multiple Amazon warehouses (7th & Berry & 20th & Tennessee), Power Station (23rd and Illinois) and Mission Bay.

These developments impact our neighborhood with high speed commuters, traffic, vehicle pollution and large diesel trucks traveling on neighborhood streets.

We are stronger if we are all in the same district to work with city agencies to address our concerns.

Attachments



Statement to Re-Districting Commission March 5, 2022

In the 1926 Potrero Boosters and Merchants bylaws defined the boundaries of association as:

Potrero Avenue on the west
Townsend St on the north
San Francisco Bay on the east
Army Street (now Cesar Chavez St) on the south

For almost 100 years we have worked together to form a cohesive community. Spinning off the Dogpatch Association, Dogpatch & NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District and Potrero Dogpatch Merchants Assn.

Our association helped develop the Showplace Parking plan about 5 years ago before there were many residents.

We also supported the new residents at 7th and King get a Residential Parking Program established.

The Sustainable Streets Committee that I co-chair with DNA Vice President is working on Traffic Safety and Traffic Calming for the entirety of Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace.

Just yesterday our team created a list of intersections in all the neighborhoods that need painted crosswalks in response to a request by the Eastern Neighborhoods CAC.

There were over 30 intersections with yellow access ramps and NO painted crosswalks. This effort will make disabled, seniors and young people safer when crossing streets.

Our team worked with the SFMTA, Bridge Housing and CARE San Francisco to find a solution for a high injury corridor in Potrero Public Housing on 25th St. that is currently being implemented by SFMTA.

We are also advocating for Traffic Calming by Starr King School at 23rd and Wisconsin.

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace have worked together to forge a better community for almost 100 years. We need remain together

John B. de Castro
Co Chair Dogpatch / Potrero Sustainable Streets Team
Past President Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association 1999-2003
Resident of Potrero Hill since 1979

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:25:07 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 8:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
API Council

Date Submitted
3/2/2022 2:28am

Mutual Interest

Chinatown, Little Saigon, SOMA Filipino Cultural District, Japantown, and Sunset Chinese Cultural District are Communities of Interest important to the Asian Pacific Islander Communities (AAPI) of San Francisco.

Community Location

Chinatown – Chinatown is bound to the north by Vallejo Street, making a turn

on Boardway until it reaches Montgomery Stree. Chinatown is also bound to the south at Grant Ave and Bush Street, going up to California Street as well as Sacramento Street. It is bound to the west on Powell Street.

Little Saigon – Between O'Farrell and Eddy Street; and between Polk Street and Hyde Street

SOMA Filipino Cultural District – Between 2nd Street to 11th Street; and between Market Street to Brannan Street

Japantown – Between Ellis Street and California Street; and between Fillmore and Gough Street

Sunset Chinese Cultural District – Between Lincoln Way and Sloat Blvd; and between 19th Ave and the Great Highway

Why Keep Together

There separate COIs are critical to the fabric of the API community in San Francisco, each of the AAPI COIs share common themes in history, language, cultural background, economical, and access to social services to name a few. We urge the taskforce to acknowledge these important AAPI COIs during the redistricting process.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:25:10 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 8:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
North Beach Neighbors

Date Submitted
3/3/2022 11:51am

Mutual Interest

North Beach is a neighborhood with a shared history of immigration, a working class foundation, and is a hotbed of tourism and hospitality as a result of its rich history hosting critical literary, arts, and cultural movements. Today, this community is held together by tight-knit commercial districts along Grant Ave and Columbus Ave, central open spaces like Washington Square Park and Joe DiMaggio Playground, and important transit links like the Cable Car and 30, 8, and 45 buses.

Community Location

The North Beach community is bounded by the following streets:

North - Bay Street

West - Hyde Street

South - Broadway Street

East - Montgomery Street

Why Keep Together

Dividing our neighborhood would directly impact small businesses, vulnerable residents, and threaten centuries of cohesive history.

Attachments



View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:25:12 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 8:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
On behalf of District 3 (D3) United Neighborhoods by Diana Taylor, BCNA

Date Submitted
3/3/2022 2:30pm

Mutual Interest

The northeastern area of San Francisco encompasses many sights and activities that people associate with San Francisco. Its identity is strongly linked to tourism. And the community of neighborhoods which are situated in this part of the city share a common interest in supporting, enjoying, accommodating, and benefitting from tourism.

From Aquatic Park around to the Ferry Building, the entire eastern and northern perimeter along the waterfront features famous tourist attractions. The Exploratorium, Alcatraz Tours, Pier 39, Fisherman's Wharf, The Cannery

and Ghirardelli Square lie along the waterfront. Inland lie North Beach restaurants, views from atop Russian Hill & Pioneer Park & Coit Tower, Cable Car rides, curvy Lombard Street, Chinatown shops and Union Square's hotels & shopping. Moving westward from the Embarcadero to Van Ness Avenue are many hotels (both grand and boutique) and Airbnb rentals with neighborhood restaurants nearby to serve the out-of-towners.

In summary, neighborhoods in the northeastern sector of San Francisco are united by their profound mutual interest in the success of their area's tourism attractions – a business that is a core element of San Francisco's national and international image and identity.

Community Location

Please see attached map.

The community of interest has the following boundaries:

Western Boundary: Van Ness Avenue from the Bay south to Post or Cedar streets.

Northern and Eastern Boundary: The Bay

Southern Boundary: the existing boundary for District 3 (From the Embarcadero along Mission-Steuart-Market-Cyril Magnin-then zigzagging to Cedar-Van Ness).

Why Keep Together

The most obvious and compelling reason is simple: these neighborhoods want to be kept together.

Please also see the attached map/plan submittal titled "D3 Neighborhoods United Plan and Map."

We have the majority of D3 neighborhood organizations proposing this plan

(with others agreeing in concept to the boundaries) which has addressed all the RTF criteria.

In addition, these neighborhoods have a long history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with their fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations. This includes a sustained record of shared experience and preservation advocacy among neighbors of Northeast San Francisco that has evolved over several decades.

Some notable examples include:

· Adoption of the 40-ft height limit along the waterfront in the wake of the emergence of high-rise buildings in predominantly low-rise neighborhoods during the 1960s-70s

· Protection of the Northern Waterfront

· Creation of historic districts and landmarks

· Support to prevent displacement of long-term tenants and property owners with deep roots in Northeast San Francisco including Chinatown

· A shared appreciation for local businesses in our commercial corridors

· A close working relationship with Central Police Station

· A shared commitment to the preservation and celebration of diversity in all respects for our neighborhood

For more discussion of the D3 United Neighborhoods COI, see attached letter which was also sent via RDTF@sfgov.org

Attachments

MAP SUBMITTED BY THE D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED with COI Submission to RTF*

*The D3 Neighborhoods United proposal includes the following boundaries for District 3:

- Western Boundary: Van Ness Avenue from the Bay south to Post or Cedar streets.
- Northern and Eastern Boundary: The Bay
- Southern Boundary: the existing boundary for the current District 3 (From the Embarcadero along Mission-Steuart-Market-Cyril Magnin-then zigzagging to Cedar-Van Ness).

This map meets the RTF criteria for population density which is within 1% of the ideal district population.

*A partial list of organizations supporting this map include:
Barbary Coast Neighbors, Chinatown Merchants, Chinese Historical Society, Downtown San Francisco, Gateway Tenants Association, Golden Gateway Commons HOA, Italian Community Services, Jackson Square Merchants Association, Next Village, Nob Hill Association, North Beach Neighbors, Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association, Russian Hill Community Association, Russian Hill Neighbors.

March 3, 2022

TO: The SF Redistricting Task Force (RDTF@SFGOV.ORG)

RE: **District 3 United Neighborhoods Proposed Plan & Map**

We, the undersigned neighborhood organizations, are writing to express our support for the redistricting plan shown below for District 3.[1] This plan adjusts District 3's boundaries by simply extending the existing western boundary of Van Ness northward all the way to the Bay. This approach incorporates the missing "notch" bounded by Van Ness, Union St, Jones-Columbus-Leavenworth, and the Bay into D3. The remaining boundaries of D3 are unchanged.

D3 United Neighborhoods Plan: Proposed Map & Boundaries:

Figure 1: Boundaries Van Ness (west), Bay (north & east), Mission, Stewart, Market to Cyril Magnin St, etc. (south, same as current D3)

This plan is similar to the one previously submitted by the Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association, North Beach Neighbors, Russian Hill Neighbors and other signatory organizations. **It meets the Task Force's redistricting criteria while offering significant benefits, which include:**

- Uniting the Russian Hill neighborhood, which is currently split between D3 and D2. The Russian Hill Neighbors sent a letter to the Task Force on February 11 requesting a plan that unifies their neighborhood and combines it with their peer neighborhoods in District 3.
- Maintaining the integrity of existing neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, Nob Hill, Polk Street, and Barbary Coast). This proposal does not create new splits in any neighborhood nor any new divisions in D3 racial/ethnic populations under the city charter.
- Meeting the district population requirement within 1% of "ideal." The population of D3 with the current boundaries is 72,474 or 8.9% below the ideal number of 79,545. By extending the northwestern boundary to Van Ness, D3's population would be 78,908 a mere 0.8% below the ideal.

---

[1] Other District 3 organizations have expressed agreement in-concept with the D3 United Neighborhoods Plan & Map but could not meet our submission deadline.

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:25:14 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 8:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Russian Hill Community Association by Kathleen Courtney, Chair

Date Submitted
3/3/2022 3:06pm

Mutual Interest

See Statement Attached

Community Location

See Statement Attached

Why Keep Together

See Statement Attached

Attachments

Russian Hill Community Association

1158 Green St. San Francisco, CA 94109 510-928-8243 rhcasf.com

February 28, 2022

To: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
From: Russian Hill Community Association
Re: Preliminary Comments on District 3 boundaries

San Francisco's neighborhoods are the foundation of the City.

Neighbors meeting neighbors, helping neighbors, addressing problems, opportunities and challenges as neighbors. This was the reason the Russian Hill Community Association was formed in 1992 – because a neighbor was threatened by a proposed development which would result in the loss of light in her apartment.

Concern spread from next door to across the street and then across several streets. Neighbors learned to read plans, understand City requirements, protocols and processes.

The end result was the overturning of the developer's approvals by the Planning Department, the Planning Commission and the Board of Appeals because the plans were found to be fraudulent. Neighbors helping neighbors.

San Francisco's neighborhood associations are the mortar that strengthens neighborhoods.

RHCA's original boundaries stretched from Leavenworth to Polk and from Union to Broadway. We worked with the 2010 Redistricting Task Force to make sure that RHCA would remain in one District. The Task Force's originally proposed boundary was ultimately moved from Green Street to Union Street to accommodate RHCA boundaries, acknowledging the nature of the RHCA and the role it played in the community.

Now our boundaries extend to other areas where neighbors need assistance, from Van Ness up to Jones and from Filbert over to Washington.

Today RHCA works with neighboring associations like Russian Hill Improvement Association (RHIA), Russian Hill Neighbors (RHN) and Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA) on challenges affecting our adjacent areas, including trimming falling ficus branches on the Hyde Street Corridor and addressing traffic congestion around Lombard Street's crooked portion at Hyde. RHCA works with neighboring groups on cell tower disputes at Filbert and Larkin and on challenging City Attorney agreements regarding the destruction of the historic Willis Polk residence on Chestnut and Lombard.

San Francisco neighborhood associations in the North-East Quadrant work together.

Over the years we've learned the importance of working with other neighborhood associations to better serve each of our own neighborhoods. In particular we are aware of the importance of maintaining and extending the ties of multigenerational Chinese on Russian Hill with Chinatown, supporting the community benefit districts along Polk Street and working with our Nob Hill neighbors to the south.

RHCA 2020 Redistricting Task Force 2-28-22 Page 1

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable

Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:25:16 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 8:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Marlayne Morgan

Date Submitted
3/3/2022 6:30pm

Mutual Interest

Senior residents in high density housing.

Community Location

D2 and D5, Cathedral Hill.

Why Keep Together

See attached letter.

Attachments

Cathedral Hill Neighborhood Association

sfchna.org

March 3, 2022

To: SF Redistricting Task Force

From: Marlayne Morgan, President

Re: Ellis Becomes Southern Border of D2 Between Van Ness and Gough

The Cathedral Hill area of San Francisco resulted from the SF Redevelopment Agency's 1958 decision to demolish a large area of Japantown and the Fillmore District to create a new residential neighborhood of mid rise and high rise housing.

The current neighborhood of Cathedral Hill is bounded by Van Ness Avenue, Fillmore Street, Sutter Street and Ellis Street. In 2013, the area between Laguna and Fillmore, Geary and Ellis was designated as part of the **Japantown Historical Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS)** map. The remaining part of the neighborhood between Gough and Van Ness was split between D2 and D5 in 2012.

Almost sixty years later, Cathedral Hill has continued to expand high density housing, both in standard and senior assisted housing developments, from Van Ness Avenue to Gough Street, while the neighborhood contained in the **JCHESS** area has remained near its original population with no new residential developments.

We continued to add senior housing along this corridor after 2012, with the addition of the Coventry Senior Apartments on Sutter, the Coterie Senior Living on Van Ness, and the proposed new Martin Luther Senior Housing on Ellis. They join the larger group of senior housing facilities on Cathedral Hill, including The Avenue, The Broadmoor, The Carlisle, the original Martin Luther Senior Housing and the Sequoias.

We believe there is a community of interest of senior residents who would benefit from being in the same Supervisorial District. As the CPMC California , Pacific and Van Ness hospital campuses are also in D2, we believe the senior residents in this Community of Interest would be better served by being part of District 2.

Therefore, **Cathedral Hill Neighborhood Association (CHNA)** supports the boundary of District 2 to be extended from Geary Boulevard to Ellis Street, including the western side of Van Ness, and both sides of Franklin and Gough Streets, and that the Sequoia's Senior Living at 1400 Geary Street be relocated from D5 to D2 as well.

This area was previously in D2 until redistricting in 2012, and by returning from D5 would add approximately 2,000 new residents to D2, which is currently underpopulated. Attached is the map submitted by the Van Ness Corridor Neighborhoods Council, which reflects these changes in the borders between D2 and D5.

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable

Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:25:18 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 8:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Robyn Tucker, Co-Chair Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association

Date Submitted
3/4/2022 11:31am

Mutual Interest

The following include, but are not limited to our COI's mutual interest: health and safety, quality of life, cultural diversity preservation, historic character and building design preservation, and our vital small businesses.

Community Location

Our COI extends beyond our organizations' boundaries (see attached) to

include: Russian Hill, North Beach, Telegraph Hill and Nob Hill neighborhood communities. We are intimately connected with these neighborhoods through similar interests and concerns. We are a Community of Interest.

Why Keep Together

For more than 20 years, we have been meeting with and working alongside our Russian Hill, North Beach, Telegraph Hill and Nob Hill neighborhood communities. We are intimately connected with these neighborhoods through similar interests and concerns. We are a community of interest. These areas include but are not limited to the following: health and safety, quality of life, cultural diversity preservation, historic character and building design preservation, and our vital small businesses. We come together repeatedly to help one another navigate issues. We would not know where to begin in another district.

Attachments

Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association – PANA

March 4, 2022

To: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Re: 2020 Census & Supervisorial Districts Map, from the *Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association*, a San Francisco Community of Interest

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

As leaders, members, and neighbors of the Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA), we would like to share our thoughts and ask for your support in maintaining Nob Hill and our neighborhood community within Supervisorial District 3. Our Association's boundaries include but are not limited to: from Broadway to Washington Street (North to South) and from Taylor Street to Polk Street (East to West).

For 19 years, we have been meeting with and working alongside our Russian Hill, North Beach, Telegraph Hill and Nob Hill neighborhood communities. We are intimately connected with these neighborhoods through similar interests and concerns. We are a community of interest. These areas include but are not limited to the following: health and safety, quality of life, cultural diversity preservation, historic character and building design preservation, and our vital small businesses. We come together repeatedly to help one another navigate issues. We would not know where to begin in another district.

Nob Hill is also closely connected to Chinatown through multi-generational families living in Nob Hill and shopping, doing business, and visiting family members. Many Nob Hill residents shop and socialize exclusively in Chinatown.

We basically live our lives north of California Street and east of Van Ness. Other districts being discussed to absorb our neighborhood face issues and lifestyles distinctly dissimilar from ours. Districts 2 and 6 represent opposites of where our neighborhood exists. District 2 is more affluent and homogenous. District 6, which sprawls into the South of Market area, faces unique challenges that our residential neighborhood simply does not share. We know we will be better represented by maintaining our ties with the same neighbors with whom we have worked and lived for the past 19+ years.

Regarding the 2020 Census and its impact on Redistricting
The 2020 census was conducted as the unfolding COVID19 pandemic created--according to USPS change of address requests--a dramatic population outflow from San Francisco. A deep dive into the USPS data reported by the news site *Hoodline* in

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:25:25 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 8:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Marlayne Morgan

Date Submitted
3/3/2022 6:12pm

Mutual Interest

Balancing population along the Van Ness Corridor in a thoughtful way (see attached letter)

Community Location

Districts 2, 3, 5, and 6.

Why Keep Together

See attached letter and map from the Van Ness Corridor Neighborhoods Council.

Attachments

V N C N C

VAN NESS CORRIDOR NEIGHBORHOODS COUNCIL

Cathedral Hill Neighbors Association, Golden Gate Valley Neighborhood Association, Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association, Discover Polk CBD, Lower Polk Neighbors, Pacific Heights Residents Association, Russian Hill Community Association, Russian Hill Neighbors, Western SoMa Voice

March 3, 2022

To: The San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

From: The Van Ness Corridor Neighborhoods Council

The *Van Ness Corridor Neighborhoods Council* is a coalition formed in 2017 by established San Francisco neighborhood organizations that border on Van Ness Avenue from Lombard Street to Division Street. Our collective purpose is to advocate for thoughtful, comprehensive and coherent planning, high quality design, preservation of historical resources, advanced transportation management and advocacy for the diverse range of residents, businesses and institutions in Districts 2, 3, 5 and 6, on or near the Van Ness Avenue Corridor.

Over the last five years , starting with proposing historically inspired streetlights to be integrated into the design of the Van Ness Bus Rapid Project, we have supported the establishment of the Buddhist Education Center, the housing and performance space for the Conservatory of Music, the Civic Center Realm Plan, the Performing Arts District, the refurbishment of the Opera Plaza Theaters and weighed in on numerous new planning applications along the Corridor and the HUB planned for Van Ness and Market Streets.

Therefore, by working together on redistricting as a joint project, our goal is to present a united map by redistributing population and changing District boundaries in an effort to redraw these four Districts as required by legislation. Our proposal focuses on the Van Ness Corridor and the adjacent streets.

Many of our member organizations will be submitting their own letters and maps regarding specific shifts in their Districts. Our Council's attached map is based on consideration of the following principles:

1. Do the proposed changes impact Communities of Interest positively or negatively?



View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:25:27 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 8:52 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Glynis Nakahara, Board Member, Japantown Task Force

Date Submitted
3/4/2022 4:13pm

Mutual Interest

Our COI is the Japantown/Fillmore Western Addition. Japantown's borders are well established in two documents adopted by the San Francisco Planning Department and the Board of Supervisors. They encompass all of our important cultural organizations and institutions vital to the survival of our Cultural District and one of only three Japantowns remaining in the United States.

Japantown's COI, however, reaches beyond these borders and includes the Fillmore/ Western Addition with whom we share strong historical and physical connections, especially with the Black communities. Our bond stems from the shared experience of government exploitation and oppression, particularly through urban renewal of the Western Addition in the '60s and '70s, that decimated our communities and lives. As a result, there is an enduring partnership of solidarity, activism and coalition-building/allyship expressed through institutions such as Rosa Parks Elementary School, Japanese Community Youth Council, the Buchanan YMCA, that continues to define our shared identity.

Community Location

We would like to preserve the existing/current northern boundaries of D5, specifically California street to the north, which encompasses crucial cultural and historic assets and institutions in Japantown. Other important Japantown/Fillmore Western Addition institutions important to keep within the D5 boundaries are: Booker T. Washington Community Center at 800 Presidio; Japanese Community Youth Council at 2012 Pine St; Buddhist Church of San Francisco at 1881 Pine Street and its facilities along Austin Alley; Rosa Parks Elementary School campus at 1501 O'Farrell; and Buchanan YMCA/St; and St. Francis Square. We would support integrating boundaries that the Fillmore/Western Addition Community identifies as part of their specific boundaries as part of our COI to keep our communities connected in D5.

Why Keep Together

There are three key reasons to keep our COI intact in District 5.

1) Japantown and the Fillmore/Western Addition share a number of organizational and institutional initiatives. JCYC and its programming overlap with the goals and interests of organizations in the Western Addition, including Booker T. Washington. Millenial-led organizations such as Japantown For

Justice, have also catalyzed exciting new partnerships with Black community-based initiatives. There are also shared projects, such as the recently constructed crosswalk median at Buchanan and Geary, that involve and represent Japantown and the Fillmore/Western Addition. Keeping our shared COI together will support shared funding, advocacy, and implementation.

2) Both Japantown and the Fillmore/Western Addition are socially and economically vulnerable communities that support and advocate for one another. Keeping our shared COI intact in District 5 will strengthen the social and economic fabric of our community. Splitting/separating our communities would be destructive.

3) It would be unjust to tear apart our COI and subject us to further government-imposed trauma. Our COI has endured too much. Keeping Japantown/Fillmore Western Addition intact and whole is a matter of restorative justice and equity.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:25:28 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 8:52 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Bettina Cohen

Date Submitted
3/4/2022 6:27pm

Mutual Interest

Mission Bay, Mission Rock, Central SoMa, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Treasure Island are new neighborhoods that are urban in character, densely populated and rapidly growing under the long-range master plans set forth by the SF Planning Department, Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, and the Port of San Francisco. One thing we all share and that makes us all a Community of Interest is that WE ARE BADLY UNDER REPRESENTED IN CITY GOVERNMENT! We are the redeveloping neighborhoods of District 6 that have a disparity in representation of more

than 30,000 more people living here than in two other Districts (1 & 3) as of the 2020 U.S. Census. In the two years since the 2020 Census, our population has continued to grow, as we watch more large residential buildings complete construction and become fully occupied.,As the most densely populated Supervisorial District in the City, it is only right that District 6's new Supervisorial map should be redrawn to to begin the decade with the lowest possible population allowed under the law -- which doesn't take into account the next few years that will bring MORE new neighbors who are seeking to live in the urban, redeveloping,, eastern neighborhoods South-of-Market. We are already on track to have a much larger population than other Districts once again by 2030. Our neighborhoods need to be fairly represented on the Board of Supervisors throughout the entire decade. Please take population size and our continuing population growth into account and draw the new District 6 lines to start with the smallest population allowable in the City, so that District 6 can accommodate that growth and not end the decade once again as badly under-represented in City Hall as we are today. Thank you.

--Bettina Cohen

Community Location

Eastern neighborhoods South-of-Market to 16th Street, with 7th Street to the west.

Why Keep Together

Our urban character, our large buildings, and our continuing growth as we are redeveloped under the master plans of City agencies.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:49:56 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 27, 2022 8:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Inner Sunset

Date Submitted
2/27/2022 7:22pm

Mutual Interest

The inner sunset is unlike any other neighborhood in San Francisco. There's a combination of the hospital, the neighborhood, the shopping, and the entrance to the most popular parts of the park that all go as a unit. Over and over, San Francisco has chosen to split up the neighborhood into two or three different districts. We have an important MUNI line that has problems and needs a lot of attention.

Community Location

Mostly I want to draw the eastern end of GG Park, east of 19th Avenue, west of Stanyan, and further south than most people think. I also think that the area along Lincoln going down to the beach is somewhat more similar to us than outer sunset, but it seems like people want to go the other way and chunk out parts of inner sunset and attach it to outer sunset which doesn't make sense! I also think we should be connected to the park because people really do go to the De Young and all that from our side, not from the Richmond side. We are a less car-heavy and more transit-focused neighborhood, and this end of the park should reflect that dynamism too. Having the east end of the park overseen by car-obsessed supervisors has been a problem.

Why Keep Together

We are where a lot of people come when they move to San Francisco or they come to visit, and the lack of direct attention to the neighborhood can really be felt when you walk up and down Irving. We are not the sleepier outer sunset and shouldn't be lumped in. It seems like we are the leftovers in bigger maps all mushed together and we should be treated with more care.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:49:52 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 27, 2022 5:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Tes Welborn

Date Submitted
2/27/2022 11:58am

Mutual Interest

The Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council [HANC], a neighborhood association, is part of the the greater Haight Ashbury community.

The Haight-Ashbury Neighborhood Council is a neighborhood group whose mission is to aid and encourage the people of the Haight-Ashbury neighborhood to work through democratic means and in a spirit of neighborliness, mutual respect and goodwill toward the improvement and enrichment of life and living conditions in their neighborhood.

Community Location

The boundaries of the neighborhood shall be Arguello Boulevard on the west; Fulton Street on the north; Divisadero and Castro Street on the east, and on the south 17th Street west to Clayton, south on Clayton to Carmel, west on Carmel to the point beyond Shrader at which Carmel and Arguello would intersect if both were extended.

Why Keep Together

HANC was established about 1960, as part of the Freeway Fight to keep the Central Freeway from destroying the Panhandle Park and two blocks on either side of it, and replacing them with the Central Freeway. The Freeway was planned to connect with Highway 1, with two branches tearing through Golden Gate Park.

We currently hold monthly public meetings on current topics, print a newsletter monthy, make grants, and support mutual aide programs and projects in the neighborhood. HANC also supports the development of permanently affordable housing, such as planned for 730 Stanyan Street, formerly a MacDonald's. We just hosted a community event there to demonstrate how community Interim Use of the site would be beneficial. On Feb. 26, the community event included: Kaiser Mobile Vaccinations for Kids (5+) and Adults; Kid's corner with Acrosports Fast Track, games & obstacle

course, Art Activities; Senior's corner with programs, information, referrals, and application assistance (Institute on Aging & Senior Disability Action); Homeless Services outreach; and a Movie Night.

We believe that our very diverse community is here to stay and we love the vitality and creativity it brings. We also work with the neighborhood merchants on events, Haight Ashbury Merchant Association [HAMA].

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 25, 2022 10:07:00 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 9:26 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Date Submitted
2/24/2022 8:05pm

Mutual Interest

South Russian Hill

Community Location

See Attached Map

Why Keep Together

Our community has strong ties with the Western Nob Hill, Chinatown, and North Beach. We have a substantial percentage of multi-generational multiethnic with a large percentage of Chinese families, long term rent-controlled tenants and property owners. We wish to remain connected with Western Nob Hill, Chinatown and North Beach rather than neighbors to the West that do not share our mutual connection with these neighborhoods. Our community also has a history of activism and organizing around important land use and development issues such as preserve the diverse multi ethnic diversity of our community as well as socioeconomic diversity.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:34:52 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 9:57 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee (SPEAK)

Date Submitted
2/23/2022 9:46am

Mutual Interest

The Community of Interest (COI) for Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee (SPEAK) is the Outside Lands from south of Golden Gate Park to the southern tip of Lake Merced.

The Outside Lands was the original name for this area and it even appears on an official Board of Supervisors map dated 1868.

The populated areas in SPEAK's COI are Outer Sunset, Mid Sunset, Inner Sunset, Parkside, Pine Lake, Crestlake and Merced Manor. SPEAK's COI excludes Lakeshore.

SPEAK's COI also includes the unpopulated areas of Stern Grove, Sunset Reservoir, Merced Manor Reservoir, the Oceanside Treatment Facility, Stonestown, Fort Funston (shared resource with D7 - north area only) and Lake Merced (shared resource with D7 - water area only). The Farallones off the coast are also part of SPEAK's COI.

Community Location

Lincoln Way, 7th Avenue, Kirkham, 19th Avenue, Buckingham Way, sight line from western side of Stonestown and Rolph Nicol Playground, Eucalyptus, 23rd Avenue, Sloat Boulevard, Lake Merced Boulevard, John Muir Drive, off the coast to the Farallones and back to Lincoln Way.

Why Keep Together

The Outside Lands south of Golden Gate Park is sand dunes bordered by the ocean with its wind and fog.

The Outside Lands south of Golden Gate Park is mainly working class neighborhoods of starter homes.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 11:32:48 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Maggie Weis

Date Submitted
2/22/2022 12:29pm

Mutual Interest

Portola

Community Location

southeast San Francisco from San Buno Avenue to Cambridge and from Mansell to 280.

Why Keep Together

Please read attached file and please keep Portola as one.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:09:45 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 8:22 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Cliff Bargar

Date Submitted
2/21/2022 4:48pm

Mutual Interest

Shared history, and particularly shared recent development trends. Shared interests in terms of transportation, environment, education, etc.

Community Location

Potrero Hill and Dogpatch - from Chavez to 16th and Potrero Ave to the Bay (plus potentially Showplace Square)

Why Keep Together

So that we can continue to advocate as a coherent unit

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:09:28 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 8:22 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
the Hayes Valley LGBTQ community of interest

Date Submitted
2/21/2022 3:41pm

Mutual Interest

"Although the Castro emerged as a gay neighborhood in the 1960s, the support it provided to LGBTQ people often was qualified by such limitations as socioeconomic status, race, age, and gender identity. Because Hayes Valley largely escaped the forces of gentrification into the 2000s, it offered less expensive housing and commercial spaces and retained a vibrant culture accessible to an LGBTQ population o[lesser means and greater diversity."(2019 BOS legislation for Castro LGBTQ Cultural District)

This community was actively discriminated against by those living in the Castro

because the group lived in a low-rent, high-crime area, that also included a unique commercial district. Conversely, in Hayes Valley, all residents were embraced regardless of racial/economic circumstances. Many endured the destruction of Hayes Valley and building of the Central Freeway, and years later many in this LGBTQ community became core organizers to remove the Central Freeway. This acceptance of all later became a part of the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) bylaws and a core value in recognizing the need for affordable housing. This group worked tirelessly to assure that 50% of the former freeway parcels were dedicated to marginalized groups (TAY, developmentally disabled, formerly homeless) as well as general BMR housing.

Community Location

Our Community of Interest is mostly within the current Hayes Valley Neighborhood Assn boundaries (N on Webster from Haight , E on McAllister, S on Van Ness, W on Market, N on Buchanan, W on Waller, N on Fillmore, E on Haight to Webster, N on Webster) and includes the UC Regents site of 340 units at Alchemy Apts, Haight Street Art Center, a community garden and openhouse's LGBTQ Senior Housing at 55 Laguna.

Why Keep Together

Notably, the former Oak Hill Neighborhood Association, and the larger and ongoing Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association ("HVNA ") included significant LGBTQ leadership since their formation. The HVNA took a groundbreakng position by stating a commitment to maintaining neighborhood diversity in its bylaws. LGBTQ people also had prominent roles in the campaign to demolish the Central Freeway after the 1989 earthquake and in the subsequent creation of Octavia Boulevard and Patricia's Green, as well as in advocating development of at least 50% affordable housing on parcels cleared by the freewav removal." (2019 BOS legislation for Castro LGBTQ Cultural District)

The need for LBGTQ-friendly elder housing was also important to this Hayes Valley LGBTQ community, particularly with many facing aging on a small fixed income. This community worked hard to see the new LGBTQ-friendly elder housing development at the 55 Laguna complex become a reality, as well as

ensuring it was a part of the larger neighborhood, not closed off as the developer had originally planned. Members of Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association fought hard for the inclusion of am significant number of BMR units in the large apartment complex, as well as for the low-income LGBTQ openhouse complex. Along with supporting the addition of the openhouse LGBTQ community, neighbors and members of HVNA also advocated for a neighborhood-serving community garden and neighborhood-serving community space which became the Haight Street Art Center, which has many connections in the neighborhood, especially with John Muir Elementary School and the students there. It is very important to recognize the role the HVNA community played in the establishment of the first in the nation LGBTQ-friendly senior supportive housing, and ensuring its inclusion into the larger neighborhood rather than treating it as a stand-alone (and thus isolated) institution.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:08:55 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 8:22 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Tamar

Date Submitted
2/19/2022 10:22pm

Mutual Interest

Our neighborhood, McLaren Park especially McNab lake and the pickle ball courts, the businesses on San Bruno, stopping anti-AAPI hate and anti-Black and anti-Samoan racism, raising the next generation of the families who live in this neighborhood at our various schools especially MLK Middle School.

Community Location

I'd say south of 280 and west of the 101 is Portola, and after about Mansell it starts to become vis valley. Probably the top of the hill on Felton at Peru it starts to become Excelsior.

Why Keep Together

We represent a lost culture of our city, an SF mostly untouched by the hipster popularity competition, a walking neighborhood with one of the most diverse collections of commercial entities, food and otherwise. When I'm in the Portola I feel like I'm in the San Francisco I remember from my childhood. I feel an immense positivity coming from our residents. I want our community to stay strong.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:09:11 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 8:21 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Valerie Luu

Date Submitted
2/18/2022 3:15pm

Mutual Interest

I am employed by the Portola Neighborhood Association as the Corridor Manager for San Bruno Avenue. My job is to provide technical assistance to merchants to apply for loans, grants and other City resources.

Our mutual interest is to have a thriving commercial corridor and to work together to sustain businesses through the pandemic.

Community Location

We'd like to keep Portola's boundaries as they're currently represented in D9

Why Keep Together

San Bruno Avenue merchants should be kept in the Portola so that the Corridor Manager can continue to provide technical support and create more equitable access to loans, grants and other resources that might otherwise be challenging due to language and cultural barriers and the digital divide.

Keeping the Portola merchants together allows the businesses and residents to continue to advocate for more resources to the neighborhood and creating marketing campaigns to drum up business. Splitting up this dense commercial corridor will add more injury to this neighborhood that’s still trying to survive the pandemic.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 12:08:38 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 8:21 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Jacalyn Morri

Date Submitted
2/18/2022 3:41pm

Mutual Interest

Portola Neighborhood Association

I've lived in the Portola since birth.

My family has been hin the neighborhood

about 100 years.

Community Location

Portola

Why Keep Together

Why would divide us?!

Shouldn't we be together?

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 1:26:22 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:28 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Tes Welborn, Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council, Jason Jervas, Alamo Square Neighborhood Assn, President Barbara Thompson, Ammell Park Coop, Hayes Valley Marlayne Morgan, Cathedral Hill Pia Harris, Fillmore Collaborative Tes Welborn, Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council Board Calvin Welch, Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council, Land Use Chair David Woo, Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council, Vice President, and Soma Pilipinas, D6 Gai, Baugh, Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association, past president Jason Henderson, Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association, Transportation & Land Use Chair Denis Mosgofian, Inner Sunset Lori Liederman, Inner Sunset David Hamaguchi, Japan Town Alice Kawahatsu, Japantown Task Force Susie Kagami, Japantown Task Force Jeremy Chan, Japantown Task Force, Co-chair Emily Murase , Japantown Task Force, Executive Director Glynis Nakahara, Japantown Task Force, Land Use Chair Grace Horikiri, JCBD Rufus Watkins, Midtown Ryan Booth, North of Panhandle Neighborhood Assn. Board member

Date Submitted

2/18/2022 11:26am

Mutual Interest

Over the past weeks, the attached map was developed with major activists in District 5, around the strong core of the African American community, the Japanese American community, and the Haight Ashbury. Additional input came from Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association, Inner Sunset neighbors, North of Panhandle Neighborhood Association, and Alamo Square Neighborhood Association. Contributors are listed alphabetically by organization.

We have numerous communities of interest, and will be sending

these in soon. You have already received COIs from Hayes Valley

Neighborhood Association and the Buchanan Mall.

D5 is one of the most progressive voting districts in San

Francisco. D5 is mixed ethnically, although European Americans predominate.

About 75% of our residents are renters. We have the full diversity of San

Francisco, including LGBTQ and persons currently unhoused. Our

neighborhoods range in income from zero to millionaires, often within a couple

of blocks of each other. Our diversity is a major part of our creativity, and

of our compassion, as we have many services for people facing many challenges,

including being unhoused.

Community Location

Alamo Square Neighborhood Assn

Ammell Park

Coop

Cathedral Hill

Fillmore Collaborative

Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council Board

Haight Ashbury Neighborhood

Council

Hayes Valley Neighborhood

Association

Inner Sunset

Japan Town

Japantown Task

Force

JCBD

Midtown

North of Panhandle Neighborhood Assn. Board member

Why Keep Together

Attachments



[View in Airtable]

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:16:36 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:09 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Laura Kemp

Date Submitted
2/18/2022 10:54am

Mutual Interest

Thanks to community activism and engagement and a supportive District Supervisor, the Portola has been able to enact many important community projects, for example the naming of the district as the Garden District, the Burrows Pocket Park, the Alemany Island beautification, murals along the Avenue, the extension of Burrows Pocket Park along the Western side of 101 freeway and running parallel with San Bruno Avenue, facade improvement to the Avenue Theater on San Bruno Avenue, safety improvements for pedestrians along the Avenue, Mansell pedestrian access through McLaren

Park, to name but a few of the many capital improvements for our previously long neglected neighborhood.

There are many public and private schools in the neighborhood, Phillip and Sala Burton High School, ER Taylor, Hillcrest School, MLK Middle School, Alta Vista, The San Francisco School, to name a few, as well as several neighborhood churches.

Community Location

101 freeway to the East, Alemany Boulevard to the North, Mansell Avenue to the South, and the Eastern side of McLaren Park to the East, including streets such as Cambridge Street, etc.

Why Keep Together

As neighborhood residents and activists, we would like to both continue the work that is ongoing and engage in new improvement projects. The most optimum and effective way to do this successfully is if the Portola District boundaries remain as they are now and the district remains as it is currently, within Supervisor District 9, with Supervisor Hillary Ronen. Supervisor Ronen has been an effective and supportive advocate for our district.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:15:59 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:09 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Michael Belanger

Date Submitted
2/17/2022 5:11pm

Mutual Interest

Potrero Hill

Community Location

Potrero Ave to the West, 16th St to the North, Cesar Chavez to the South and the Bay to the East.

Why Keep Together

The existing boundaries of Potrero Hill (District 9) should remain intact. As a 27-year homeowner on Connecticut St, I feel strongly that the district has a shared history with Dogpatch and the Central Waterfront in addition to shared challenges.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:16:18 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:08 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
S Jason Salfen

Date Submitted
2/17/2022 11:14am

Mutual Interest

The Portola Neighborhood

Community Location

Please see this link, which shows the boundaries: https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://data.sfgov.org/Geographic-Locations-and-Boundaries/Realtor-Neighborhoods/5gzd-g9ns___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo2MGQwMDAxNWFlNmVhYWY4NWVyYjdiNGVkNGNhYzRjMDo1OjA1NDY6ZGM1NmExNDI1NmZlZGE5M2E1Y2MxNzBlYjZmMmMyN2VlYmQ1MjAyYTI3NDExMDYwMjU1ZGI3ZWNkM2NmNTMwNTp0Ok4

Why Keep Together

Portola is a special place that combines some commerce with a large number of apartments and smaller single family homes. It is an ethnically diverse, lower to middle-income area where residents are invested in supporting the local area's success. People tend to come here so that they can still be in the City, but at a slower, almost more suburban, pace of life. We have unified interests in parking, crime, urban renewal, balancing commercial and residential needs, and especially the lack of easy public transportation access. The residents here have a long, proud, unified history. Please keep us a single unit.

Thank you

S Jason Salfen

463 Amherst Street

San Francisco, CA 94134

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:15:43 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:08 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Sean donovan

Date Submitted
2/17/2022 5:10pm

Mutual Interest

Portola district: south of Bernal, San Bruno ave. , To Mansell, bordering top of hill along McClaren.

We are predominantly asian, we live next to McClaren, we shop on San Bruno and alemany farmer market. We are working class and moderate democrats.

Community Location

Portola district

Why Keep Together

It's a geographic block, somewhat separated by other neighborhoods

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 3:22:07 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 1:58 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Chuck Farrugia

Date Submitted
2/16/2022 12:28pm

Mutual Interest

McLaren Park

Community Location

D9, D10 & D11

Why Keep Together

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date:	Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:47:03 AM

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:45 AM
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:11 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Robert

Date Submitted
2/16/2022 8:39am

Mutual Interest

Community garden projects, follow dog walkers, social network, pickle ball, McLaren Park open space

Community Location

Portola District, boundary area is Mansell Street, San Bruno Avenue,Highway 280 and McLaren Park west boundary line

Why Keep Together

To be able to live in harmony, common interests, diversity

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:04:09 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2022 4:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Michelle Cusano

Date Submitted
2/11/2022 3:51pm

Mutual Interest

My community of interest is the public schools in the Richmond District and the families who attend them.

Community Location

Our community is Arguello to ocean beach to include Roosevelt Middle School, and including Presidio Middle School on the north side, through

Golden Gate Park where so many of our families spend time in.

Why Keep Together

Perhaps it could be considered to increase east near Roosevelt middle school to keep our public schools together.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 11, 2022 11:39:28 AM

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2022 11:38 AM
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2022 11:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Russian Nill Neighbors

Date Submitted
2/11/2022 8:59am

Mutual Interest

See attached letter

Community Location

Our geographic boundaries are as follows:

· The south side of North Point from the east side of Van Ness Avenue to the east side of Columbus Avenue

· Southeast on Columbus Avenue to the west side of Mason Street

· South on Mason Street to the south side of Pacific Avenue

· West on Pacific Avenue to the east side of Van Ness Avenue

· North on Van Ness Avenue to the south side of North Point Street

Why Keep Together

See attached letter

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Thursday, February 10, 2022 1:55:09 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 10, 2022 8:43 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Andreas Loening

Date Submitted
2/10/2022 5:07am

Mutual Interest

The Potrero Hill/Dogpatch neighborhood shares a heritage in SF's maritime and industrial history, being composed of a combination of residential areas that served these works, and post-industrial areas that are being been turned into new residential areas leading to growth in this neighborhood.

Community Location

This area is defined as Potrero Hill and its adjacent slopes. The Eastern border is the water front. The Southern border is Islas creek/Cesar Chavez. The Western border is Potrero Avenue. And the Northern Border is Division/Townsend/the new UCSF campus

Why Keep Together

Given the ongoing conversion of post-industrial areas to residential and office space, this area continues to face common issues related to growth.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Thursday, February 10, 2022 1:53:37 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 5:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Maulik Shah

Date Submitted
2/8/2022 4:25pm

Mutual Interest

Equity, transportation, facilities, open space

Community Location

Potrero Hill (north, south, east, west - the whole thing), Dogpatch, Design District

Why Keep Together

For at least the last 14 years, the Rebuild Potrero project has been working to reintegrate -- physically and culturally -- the Potrero Annex and Terrace with the north and west sides of Potrero Hill. We are in the midst of a multi-decade effort to forge an community that integrates residents across the socio-economic ladder. In paralell, the residents of Potrero Hill have been working tirelessly to improve the green spaces and community facilities for the entire hill, including revitalization of the rec center, improved east-west pedestrian paths, and advocacy for public transit for all of Potrero Hill. Anything that splits the various parts of Potrero Hill, either north-south or east west, will undermine those efforts, and possibly bring back de facto segregation.

Further, Potrero Hill and Dogpatch -- areas that have seen tremendous population growth in the last decade -- have been working together to ensure adequate resources (schools, parks, community centers) are sufficiently for the region. Splitting us will upend the balances that we've struck with the city and developers.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 2:31:32 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 2:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
John Offenhartz

Date Submitted
2/8/2022 2:23pm

Mutual Interest

Potrero Hill, and specifically its Green Benefit District are an area of mutual interest to myself and my community.

Community Location

Simply look at the boundaries of the Potrero Hill GBD (attached). This covers not just Potrero hill, but also sections on the other side of 101 by hospital curve

as well as parts of Dogpatch

Why Keep Together

It's important to me that the GBD not be divided into separate districts as part of the redistricting process as this will be mean we'll have to spread our efforts among different supervisors or potentially break up the GBD into multiple districts.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Carroll, John (BOS); Tse, John (BOS)
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table
Date: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 10:23:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table:

Plan Name
New SF District 10

Submitted By

Submission ID
MyCityCouncil_SD_020420221474_New SF District 10

General Comment

This new district, "SOMA Pot Patch 94107", is contiguous and includes all of zip 94107. It is bounded on the northwest by Mission St, on the west by Potrero Av, on the south by Napoleon and Islais Creek, and on the east by San Francisco Bay. It comprises parts of current D10 and D6. It keeps together historical Potrero Hill and Dogpatch and unites them with the vibrant tech/biotech hub of SOMA. Population is 79,996 (goal plus 0.57%) and it is ethnically diverse.

PDF Map of all districts

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : SOMA Pot Patch 94107

% Deviation : 0.57

Comments : This district is contiguous including all of zip 94107; comprises parts of D10 & D6, keeps together historical Potrero Hill and Dogpatch and unites it with the tech/biotech hub of SOMA. Pop is 79,356 (goal less 0.24%) and it is ethnically diverse.

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

First page PDF

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force
Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_020420221474_New SF District 10

Plan name: New SF District 10

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: This new district, "SOMA Pot Patch 94107", is contiguous and includes all of zip 94107. It is bounded on the northwest by Mission St, on the west by Potrero Av, on the south by Napoleon and Islais Creek, and on the east by San Francisco Bay. It comprises parts of current D10 and D6. It keeps together historical Potrero Hill and Dogpatch and unites them with the vibrant tech/biotech hub of SOMA. Population is 79,996 (goal plus 0.57%) and it is ethnically diverse.

Compliance check:

Submitted by:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Comments text file

Attachments

Date Submitted
2/4/2022

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 4, 2022 9:27:17 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 4, 2022 2:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Najuawanda Daniels

Date Submitted
2/4/2022 2:01pm

Mutual Interest

My community of interest is Potrero Hill; this includes the Design district, Dogpatch, HOPE SF housing community, and the 3rd Street Corridor extending to the Central waterfront.

My community is comprised of schools public and charter, ISA High School, Enola Maxwell Middle School, Starr King Elementary, Daniel Webster, and Live Oaks charter schools among other early child daycare centers; I probably missed a few. We also have historical, monumental, and community utilized centers such as the Park and Rec centers at at 801 Arkansas, Jackson Park, and

the Potrero Hill Neighborhood House affectionately known by residents as the "NABE". In these spaces we have been able to form alliances and build relationships that surpass differences, but rather embrace the cultures, ethnicities, and demographics that support our continued growth.

We have a myriad of small businesses that help to create a thriving neighborhood economy. Yes there is always room for growth, but together, maintaining our current community as we are.

Lastly, we need to consider the access to food/ grocery stores. Currently we have Whole Foods located on Kansas at 17th Street, a neighborhood grocer at 18th and Connecticut which signifies the hardship that would further cause on what the city already knows about District 10, we are in a food desert.

Community Location

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch is bounded by Caesar Chavez Street on the south, Potrero Avenue on the west, Division Street, 7th Street, 16th Street on the north, and the Bay on the east.

Why Keep Together

For many years we have thrived as a community, for the betterment of all residents and we need to remain together. Understanding that growth and development will happen, but preserving the livelihood and choice of the people who live here should take precedence.

I have lived in this community for 42 years, have the privilege to work in this community for the biggest labor organization in the city which represents 35,000 essential workers who many are of color, I see my healthcare provider in this neighborhood, I utilize resources from organizations that are in this community such as Transformational Miracles, Stand In Peace Inc, Rafiki Wellness, CARE program, Omega Boys Club and I am ONLY afforded this ability/privilege because the boundary lines as they are encompass all these important pieces of my life. Right here in Potrero Hill. I fear that redrawing lines

would change that.

I also fear changes to any boundary lines in this neighborhood would negatively affect our political voice and subject us to "cracking"; racially polarized voting is not a challenge we currently face.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:38:28 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:37 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Jennifer Thomas

Date Submitted
2/4/2022 10:26am

Mutual Interest

UNA and NW Potrero Hill are Potrero Hill Communities that work together on community improvement projects, like Benches Garden, Fallen Bridge Park and Potrero Gateway as well as the Green Belt District.

Community Location

17th St - 20th St, Utah St. - Vermont St

Why Keep Together

Established community groups already addressing neighborhood needs

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:31:25 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:26 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Matt Lewis

Date Submitted
2/4/2022 12:08am

Mutual Interest

MUNA and NW Potrero Hill are Potrero Hill Communities that work together on community improvement projects, like Benches Garden, Fallen Bridge Park and Potrero Gateway as well as the GBD:

Community Location

Potero Hill

Why Keep Together

Flows naturally with 17th street at the north side of potrero hill

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:31:04 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:26 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Date Submitted
2/3/2022 8:32pm

Mutual Interest

Community Location

Perimeter:

Potrero Ave on the West, to Alameda street, to the roundabout of the Design center , to De Haro Street, to 16th street.

3rd Street on the East

25th street on the South

Why Keep Together

Because in general we seem to agree on what matters and which are the priorities.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:30:37 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:26 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Laurie

Date Submitted
2/3/2022 7:35pm

Mutual Interest

I live at 498 Utah Street - "west" Potrero Hill. We are actively engaged in community events with the rest of Potrero Hill. This includes somewhat formal relationships such as entering into a GBD agreement for greening the community and adding hardscape as well. Given the very close ongoing relationship between folks who live in my area of town and the rest of Potrero Hill. It would be bizarre & distruptive to put us in a different district from the rest of Potrero Hill.

Community Location

Current boundaries of Potrero hill, namely using Potrero Avenue as the western cut off of Potrero Hill. We (People who live east of Petrero Hill and west of the 101) want to remain part of Potrero Hill.

Why Keep Together

Please see comments above. The Potrero Hill community which extends to West Potrero Hill, i.e., west of the 101 and east of Potrero Street, is deeply connected. We share a lot of activities together and have formal connections such as through our GBD district. It would be a shame to separate us.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:30:21 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Friday, February 4, 2022 10:25 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Jean Bogiages

Date Submitted
2/3/2022 6:02pm

Mutual Interest

The NW PotreroHill Community, which includes homes and businesses on both sidews of Fwy101, has been working together on mini-parks and open space on Caltrans right-of-way. These areas, left by the construction of the freeway which split up the neighborhood have been a source of community, allowing us to hold block parties, egg hunts, MUNA neighborhood trash pickups and more. We are connected through mailing lists set up by working with SFSAFE. This neighborhood joined the Dogpatch and NWPotreroHill GBD and has been working for 6 years on the Potrero Gateway project which Public

Works has put up to bid. We are part of Potrero Hill and Dogpatch and share the same Supervisor.

Community Location

Northwest Potrero - This area is between Potrero Avenue and Vermont Street

Why Keep Together

We work together on neighborhood projects and need the same Supervisor to help us. We have the same interests about clean streets, community oriented parks and in general taking on projects as a community. If we did not have the same supervisor our community work would be fragmented.

An example ot our community work is the Eco-Patch on Vermont Street and the Potrero Gateway project on 17th street. I'll attach information about the Potrero Gateway project our community is working on. Thaty will give you an idea of our community work.

Attachments



Potrero Gateway Project - Update 2-2-2022

Summary
The Potrero Gateway Steering Committee has been working with Public Works (PW) for that last two years. PW provided manager (Trent Tieger) and PW designers. December 2021 the Phase 1 design was approved by Caltrans and sent out to contractors for bids. In January PW presented an overview to the Dogpatch and NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District(GBD) board of directors. At that meeting a maintenance Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) was approved by the GBD board.

The Phase 1 Project components are:
- Corner Bulbouts with retaining walls and San Francisco native planting
- Safe Bike Lane with parking removal on 17th St.
- Enlarged Sidewalk on 17th St.
- Public Art on 17th St.
- Fencing and Lighting on 17th St and Vermont (with CC funding)
- Removal of Vermont 400 block west traffic lane and addition of 6' sidewalk
- Rock Blanket under the freeway bridge (with CC funding)

Additional components not in PW Phase 1
- Native Habitat Demonstration Garden – the Eco-Patch on Vermont hillside
- Vermont Street West Lane Greening – A GBD-CCG Project
- San Bruno Avenue TBD

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Thursday, February 3, 2022 2:21:19 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 9:58 AM
To: redf@sfgov.org; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Ingrid Olasov

Date Submitted
2/3/2022 9:54am

Mutual Interest

Shared culture, shared industrial heritage, shared community resources and historic under-investment from the city

Community Location

Potrero Hill. We are bounded by Cesar Chavez, 101, the bay, and 15th/16th st. Dogpatch is part of this community. Before 280 carved a scar through our

community, the two part were one, with a strong working class community with thematic street names, community resources and cultural institutions.

Why Keep Together

We share a common working-class industrial heritage, with similar needs and general neglect from the city in terms of investment, city resources, and attention. We have banded together to build our own community resources, parks, and programs. Our children attend the same schools. We have been historically hit by poor planning decisions, designed to affect economically disadvantaged people in our neighborhood: through destructive highway construction, poor choice of housing development, lack of crime prevention, inconsistent public transit, eroding sidewalks/roads/retaining walls/hillsides, neglected investor-owned lots and abandoned properties--the list goes on. Despite all this, we strive to overcome this unnatural hurdles and support our diverse, growing community. Our neighborhood also supports many resources and developments enjoyed by the entire city, something that has come at a cost to our neighborhood but something that we feel is nonetheless worth it. Dividing the neighborhood puts at risk our representation even further in city decisions.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Thursday, February 3, 2022 2:20:52 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 9:58 AM
To: redf@sfgov.org; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Friends of Jackson Park

Date Submitted
2/3/2022 8:39am

Mutual Interest

Parks, open and green spaces

Community Location

The Bayview, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, Showplace Square...Potrero Avenue to the Bay, Division to Paul.

Why Keep Together

Our growing population needs more green and open space. Our communities are working together to renovate Jackson and Esprit Parks and support the creation of the India Basin park. Potrero Rec Center provides basketball/tennis/baseball which is currently well used and usage will only increase during the construction phases at Jackson & Esprit. Dogpatch Port Parks cannot do active recreation, so there is mutual dependence on Potrero Hill parks.

Our community of interest has historically advocated together for more green/open space in our neighborhoods. We want to stay together as we've formed alliances and great working relationships.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Thursday, February 3, 2022 2:21:43 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 10:03 AM
To: redf@sfgov.org; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Ingrid Olasov

Date Submitted
2/3/2022 9:54am

Mutual Interest

Shared culture, shared industrial heritage, shared community resources and historic under-investment from the city

Community Location

Potrero Hill. We are bounded by Cesar Chavez, 101, the bay, and 15th/16th st. Dogpatch is part of this community. Before 280 carved a scar through our

community, the two part were one, with a strong working class community with thematic street names, community resources and cultural institutions.

Why Keep Together

We share a common working-class industrial heritage, with similar needs and general neglect from the city in terms of investment, city resources, and attention. We have banded together to build our own community resources, parks, and programs. Our children attend the same schools. We have been historically hit by poor planning decisions, designed to affect economically disadvantaged people in our neighborhood: through destructive highway construction, poor choice of housing development, lack of crime prevention, inconsistent public transit, eroding sidewalks/roads/retaining walls/hillsides, neglected investor-owned lots and abandoned properties--the list goes on. Despite all this, we strive to overcome this unnatural hurdles and support our diverse, growing community. Our neighborhood also supports many resources and developments enjoyed by the entire city, something that has come at a cost to our neighborhood but something that we feel is nonetheless worth it. Dividing the neighborhood puts at risk our representation even further in city decisions.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Thursday, February 3, 2022 2:22:05 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 11:52 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Potrero Hill – Dogpatch

Date Submitted
2/3/2022 11:47am

Mutual Interest

Historically, Potrero Hill and Dogpatch have been linked as working class residential and industrial neighborhoods. Although the original character of the two neighborhoods has changed, they remain linked in many ways.

Our schools, parks, restaurants, and our single public library are utilized by residents of both Potrero Hill and Dogpatch.

Our public transit lines serve both communities. We have felt the importance of this link during the Covid-19 pandemic, when an important bus line was

discontinued, the #10-Townsend, linking Dogpatch with Potrero Hill and continuing to South of Market and the Financial District.

Health issues are a common thread for both Potrero Hill and Dogpatch, as we are surrounded by freeways and the rate of respiratory diseases, including asthma, is among the highest in California. We have just one public health clinic that serves both communities.

Community Location

East: San Francisco Bay;

North: Terry François Blvd to 16th St; 16th St to I-280; I-280 to 6th St Exit Ramp; Townsend St to Division St; Division St to Potrero Ave;

West: Potrero Ave to Bayshore Blvd Ramp Complex;

South: Bayshore Blvd Ramp Complex to Jerrold Ave; Jerrold Ave to Napoleon St; Napoleon St to (unnamed connecting line) to Islais Creek Channel; Islais Creek Channel to San Francisco Bay

Why Keep Together

Our community organizations have been linked for many years, with organizations like the Potrero Boosters, the Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, and the Potrero-Dogpatch Merchant Association. These organizations have always advocated – and continue to fight – together on behalf of our combined communities. We need to continue this cooperation not only on a non-governmental organizational level, but also with common representation in the California State Senate and Assembly, and in a common supervisorial district in the City & County of San Francisco.

Attachments

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	libby@libbydodd.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	FW: Correction: Potrero Hill COI
Date:	Tuesday, February 1, 2022 2:03:32 PM
Attachments:	WebPage.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: libby libbydodd.com <libby@libbydodd.com>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 11:23 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Correction: Potrero Hill COI

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Please accept this corrected COI statement in advance of the February 4 RDTF meeting. I had sent an earlier version and caught a spelling error.

Thank you.

What is your community of interest?

My community of interest is known as The Potrero. It includes Potrero Hill, the Design District, Dogpatch, and the Third Street Corridor, extending to the Central Waterfront.

If you take a tour of our community, you will note the many turn-of-the-century homes, historical nightlife venues, and light industrial warehouses dating back to the 1906 earthquake. But, you'll also be struck by the sheer number of new multi family apartment complexes, office spaces, and creative businesses— development largely driven by UCSF and a biotech hub to our North East.

Because of the rapid pace of change, residents have a unified voice in preserving the essential character of The Potrero, expressed through groups such as the Dogpatch Neighborhood Association and the Potrero Hill Boosters. In 2015 the community even established the city's first community benefit district devoted to carving out healthy green spaces in the public realm—the Dogpatch NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District.

Our neighborhoods also have another huge challenge—that is, highways 101 and 280. The 101 has exits at Cesar Chavez, Vermont Street, and Seventh Street. The 280 has exits at Mariposa, 6th Streets, and King Street. Heavy traffic from large commercial vehicles as well as commuter cars must use this infrastructure to enter San Francisco. The easy entry and "getaway" provides the perfect opportunity to commit crimes in the City and flee at high speeds on our streets. Additionally, freeway overpasses promote illegal dumping and encampments, as well as the attendant sanitation and fire hazards.

Where is it located?

The Potrero Hill / Dogpatch COI is roughly bounded by Cesar Chavez Street, Potrero Avenue, Division Street, 7th Street, 16th Street, and the Bay.

Why should it be kept together?

The Potrero should be kept together in a single district so that it can continue to advocate, with the help of one supervisor, for responsible development. In regard to the blight caused by freeway infrastructure, we need one supervisor who can navigate the complexities of working with San Francisco social services as well as Caltrans and CA Highway Patrol to mitigate the impacts on residents.

Libby Dodd
Torben Torp-Smith
Residents of The Potrero

Describe your San Francisco Community of Interest (COI):

Please be sure to include ***what*** makes it a Community of Interest (e.g. shared culture, common neighborhood projects, etc.) ***where*** it is located (i.e. streets, parks, freeways, or other places that make up the exterior boundaries of your COI) and ***why*** it should be kept together (e.g. easier to work with 1 Supervisor). A COI can be any size – large or small – and does not need to be the same size as a district. Often multiple COIs are included in one district.

What is your community's mutual interest?

My community of interest is known as The Protrero. It includes the Design District, Dogpatch, and the Third Street Corridor, extending to the Central Waterfront.

If you take a tour of our community, you will note the many turn-of-the-century homes, historical nightlife venues, and light industrial warehouses dating back to the 1906 earthquake. But, you'll also be struck by the sheer number of new multi family apartment complexes, office spaces, and creative businesses— development largely driven by UCSF and a biotech hub to our North East.

Because of the rapid pace of change, residents have a unified voice in preserving the essential

Where is your community located? Please be as specific as possible – what streets, highways, rivers, etc., make up the boundaries of your COI?

The Potrero Hill / Dogpatch COI is roughly bounded by Cesar Chavez Street, Potrero Avenue, Division Street, 7th Street, 16th Street, and the Bay.

Why should your community be kept together?

The Potrero should be kept together in a single district so that it can continue to advocate, with the help of one supervisor, for responsible development. In regard to the blight caused by freeway infrastructure, we need one supervisor who can navigate the complexities of working with San Francisco social services as well as Caltrans and CA Highway Patrol to mitigate the impacts on residents.

Date: January 31, 2020 Name (optional): Libby Dodd

Please **email** this completed form (or the information from this form) as soon as possible to: rdtf@sfgov.org or **mail it** to: Office of the Clerk of the Board, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or **drop it off** at any Redistricting Task Force meeting. Thank you for participating. This process would not be possible without your knowledge of your community!

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, February 1, 2022 2:17:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Diamond Heights Community Association

Mutual Interest

Diamond Heights was developed by the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency to be a model community. By design, the neighborhood was developed with market rate single family homes, condominiums, rental units and 641 units of HUD subsidized affordable rental housing. In 1981, Casa De Vida was built with 21 affordable HUD subsidized rental units for mobility challenged persons. Diamond Heights is unique to the City with its broad range of economic diversity. It is a neighborhood of single-family homes and condominium complexes distinguished by its distinctive, mid-century architecture. The community is served by the Diamond Heights Community Association, a neighborhood organization organized in the 1960's when the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency created Diamond Heights. The Agency mandated that planning in the neighborhood be coordinated with the neighborhood organization.

The DHCA has 800 people on its email list. Announcements inform residents of resources, candidates forums, community issues, events and safety concerns pertaining to Diamond Heights. The DHCA is a member of the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods. We are a neighborhood with high voter turnout and civic engagement.

Community Location

The Diamond Heights community of interest is as follows: Clipper Street west from Douglass Street to Portola Drive; Portola west to O'Shaughnessy Boulevard; O'Shaughnessy southwest to Bosworth Street; Bosworth east to Elk Street; Elk north to Sussex Street; Sussex east to Bemis Street; Bemis northeast to Miguel Street; Miguel northeast to Beacon Street; Beacon northwest to Harry Walk; Harry Walk northeast to Laidley Street; Laidley northwest to 30th Street; 30th west to Castro Street; Castro north to Valley Street, Valley west to Diamond Street: Diamond north to Duncan Street; Duncan west to Douglass Street; and Douglass north to Clipper Street.

Why Keep Together

Over time, the DHCA has developed a strong, working relationship with the D8 supervisor on important issues facing the district, be that crime prevention, traffic safety, recreation, parks, and more. The synergies the DHCA has developed would be lost or significantly reduced if all or a portion of Diamond Heights were removed from D8 to D7 and the voice of Diamond Heights at City Hall would be diminished. Diamond Heights has many issues in common with its D8 neighbors, Noe Valley and Glen Park. It is cut off from D7 by Glen Canyon Park, which forms a physical barrier to the west.

Attachments

Date Submitted
1/28/2022 4:56pm

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

Tse, John (BOS)

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Tse, John (BOS)
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
John B Fernandez de Castro

Mutual Interest

In 1926, 94 years ago, the Potrero and Dogpatch communities saw the need to have a community association that represented the interests of residents and businesses.

The Potrero Boosters are the third oldest neighborhood association in the City.

For almost 100 years we have worked together to form a cohesive community. In spite of our communities being bisected by two freeways (101 & 280) in the 1960's and our steep geography.

Organizations helped by Potrero Boosters in their formation as our neighborhood grew were:

- The Dogpatch Neighborhood Association (DNA)
- Dogpatch North West Potrero Hill Green Benefit District (GBD)
- Potrero Dogpatch Merchants Association (PDMA)

We all work together for the benefit of our Potrero and Dogpatch community with the neighbors, businesses, City Agencies and State Agencies.

The Sustainable Streets Committee that I co-chair with DNA Vice President Donovan Lacy works on Traffic Safety and Traffic Calming for the entire Potrero & Dogpatch area.

Recently, our team worked with the SFMTA, Bridge Housing and CARE San Francisco to get support from SFMTA to implement a solution to an unknown Vision Zero high injury corridor in Potrero Public Housing on 25th St. It had 2 fatalities and 6 injury accidents in under three years.

Projects of the Dogpatch / Potrero Sustainable Streets team benefit the Dogpatch / Potrero community.

- Safer intersections program to improve pedestrian safety & visibility
- Permanent Minnesota Slow Street in Dogpatch
- 18th St. Neighborhood Commercial District Traffic Calming
- Safer 17th Street bike route
- Traffic Calming installations in Potrero Hill, Potrero Public Housing and Dogpatch where we coordinate & track annual application process by impacted blocks

- North South Bike route (Bayview to SOMA)
- Traffic Calming at Potrero Community Health Center & Starr King School at request of School Principal and community leaders in Potrero Public Housing

Community Location

The 1926 bylaws defined the boundaries of the Potrero Boosters and Merchants Association now known as the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association:

- Potrero Avenue on the west
- 16th Street on the north
- San Francisco Bay on the east
- Cesar Chavez St (formerly Army St. in 1926)on the south

These boundaries today describe

- Potrero Hill
- North West Potrero Hill (Potrero Ave.,Utah St. & San Bruno St.)
- Potrero Public Housing
- Dogpatch.
- Developments at Pier 70 and Power Station (east of Illinois St)

Showplace Square Support

There is not a community wide association for the residences in Showplace Square (South of Division; west of 7th St to Potrero Ave.)

Potrero Boosters have members and help the residential occupants with Planning Dept. issues and SFMTA traffic / parking issues impacting their homes .(e.g. proposed Amazon Warehouse is just one of many issues)

Why Keep Together

We are a diverse community of all income levels that work together for the benefit of our overall neighborhood and the City.

The organizations I have mentioned and many others work for the benefit of the entire Potrero / Dogpatch community.

People depending on their interests serve groups mentioned by me and other community groups. Many people are officers of more than one community organization focused on common issues;

- Schools
- Parks and Recreation
- Public Safety and Crime reduction
- Sustainable Streets (my focus)
- Converting old industrial land into usable space for recreation
- Neighborhood Commercial District improvements on 18th, 20th and 22nd St.
- Food Distribution (SF Food Bank, CARE -SF and other community groups)
- Clean up of waterfront and Islas Creek (DNA and GBD lead these efforts)

I got involved in the Potrero Hill community when I moved here in 1979. Many of us worked for years on the multiple plans for Mission Bay. I Co-Chaired the original Potrero Hill Mission Bay committee in the 1980's.

The Potrero Boosters and Dogpatch Neighborhood Assn. were the lead community intervenors at CPUC regarding shutting down the old polluting power plant at Potrero

that was causing significant air pollution for our neighborhood and our neighbors in Bayview / Hunters Point.

We worked with our Bayview neighbors on issues like our assistance to the community CPUC intervenors on shutting down the old polluting Hunters Point power plant. Today we now all enjoy Heron Head Park instead of a polluting power plant.

A City & developer paid Aerial survey in 1998 referred to all of Dogpatch as an 'Industrial Wasteland" that should be torn down.. **A few years later the Dogpatch Historic District was created** in much of the same area.

The Potrero Boosters, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association and Bayview leaders created a plan document over many months from 1998 to 2001 on our views for Eastern Neighborhood development..

This set of documents described how our City could use what Supervisor Sophie Maxwell said "I'm not for banning, I'm for planning," (SF Business Times 10/22/2001).

The final January 2009 Eastern Neighborhood Plan used the ideas and philosophy from that early community developed document. The goal was to help both Communities and Developers know the guidelines for the Eastern Neighborhoods.

Recently Potrero Boosters and Dogpatch supported our District 10 neighbors for the 15 3rd Muni Rapid Bus to get Bayview residents downtown faster than the slow T Third line.

The 2000 census showed Potrero Hill and Dogpatch with a population of about 11,000. Today the population has more than doubled.

All of Potrero Hill and Dogpatch have worked together to forge a better community for almost 100 years. We need to remain together in District 10.

John B. Fernandez de Castro

Co Chair Dogpatch / Potrero Sustainable Streets Team

Attachments

Date Submitted
1/26/2022 4:23pm

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

Tse, John (BOS)

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Tse, John (BOS)
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
North Beach and Russian Hill

Mutual Interest

-Shared hospitality and key tourism landmarks like Coit Tower, Lombard Street, and Fisherman's Wharf

-High concentration of dense housing and apartments with tenant concerns

-Similar police boundaries and crime concerns of car break-ins

Community Location

Northeastern San Francisco:

-Fort Mason, Ghirardelli, Fisherman's Wharf, Russian Hill, North Beach, Telegraph Hill

Why Keep Together

We share similar concerns and priorities that must be under one focus.

Attachments



Date Submitted
1/26/2022 4:25pm

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table
Date: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:50:52 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 12:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table:

Plan Name
District 5 WRO

Submission ID
MyCityCouncil_SD_012020221053_District 5 WRO

General Comment

Here is my secondary input on the district 5 based on consistent geography, character, etc. In my last one it looks like I missed a few internal blocks that should have been selected to make it contiguous. I think this reflect better the consistent values and culture of a """"new"""" district 5. I did vet this with a few neighbors via the Cole Valley Facebook page and they agreed. Hopefully this input is considered in drawing more representative lines. The current district 5 proposal breaks up Cole Valley which is a core neighborhood in district 5.

PDF Map of all districts

District name : District 5 WRO

% Deviation : 3.24

Comments :



First page PDF

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012020221053_District 5 WRO

Plan name: District 5 WRO

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Here is my secondary input on the district 5 based on consistent geography, character, etc. In my last one it looks like I missed a few internal blocks that should have been selected to make it contiguous. I think this reflect better the consistent values and culture of a "'new'" district 5. I did vet this with a few neighboes via the Cole Valley Facebook page and they agreed. Hopefully this input is considered in drawing more representative lines. The current district 5 proposal breaks up Cole Valley which is a core neighborhood in district 5."

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

Comments text file

Attachments

Date Submitted
1/20/2022

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table
Date: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:50:32 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 12:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table:

Plan Name
Bills district 5

Submission ID
MyCityCouncil_SD_012020221020_Bills district 5

General Comment

Here is my input on the district 5 based on consistent geography, character, etc. I think this reflect better the consistent values and culture of a ""new"" district 5. I did vet this with a few neighbors via the Cole Valley Facebook page and they agreed. Hopefully this input is considered in drawing more representative lines. The current district 5 proposal breaks up Cole Valley which is a core neighborhood in district 5.

PDF Map of all districts

District name : New district 5

% Deviation : -2.71

Comments :



First page PDF

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012020221020_Bills district 5

Plan name: Bills district 5

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Here is my input on the district 5 based on consistent geography, character, etc. I think this reflect better the consistent values and culture of a "new" district 5. I did vet this with a few neighbors via the Cole Valley Facebook page and they agreed. Hopefully this input is considered in drawing more representative lines. The current district 5 proposal breaks up Cole Valley which is a core neighborhood in district 5.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

Comments text file

Attachments

Date Submitted
1/20/2022

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:51:47 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:46 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Dr. Emily Murase, Executive Director of the SF Japantown Task Force

Mutual Interest

Before World War II, there were over 80 Japanese and Japanese American communities across the country, at least 40 in California alone. Today, San Francisco Japantown is just one of three remaining communities in the U.S. (the others are San Jose Japantown and Little Tokyo in Los Angeles). Egregiously racist government policies such as the wartime incarceration of 120,000 Americans of Japanese ancestry in remote desert prison camps and the City's damaging use of eminent domain and evictions as part of "redevelopment" and "urban renewal" projects are responsible for the destruction of the Japanese American community. In both cases, Japanese American families and businesses were forcibly removed and largely uncompensated, impoverishing not only families and businesses, but entire communities, for decades. San Francisco Japantown has weathered these impacts and remains home to businesses, churches, community centers, social service agencies, schools, senior facilities reflecting the diversity of Japanese culture and tradition. Japantown was the very first City-designated cultural district.

Furthermore, Japantown collaborates closely with the Western Addition and Fillmore Districts, especially the Black communities, based on a shared history of resilience in the face of institutional racism. For example, the Black and Japanese communities have worked closely together at the Buchanan Street YMCA, the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center, and the Japanese Community Youth Council, just to name a few of the many agencies promoting collaborations. These strong ties remain today and continue to shape the lives of countless youth and individuals. During the pandemic, the Japanese American Religious Federation, a consortium of faith leaders, has partnered with African American/Black faith leaders in the Western Addition to create a new

initiative called "We Are One" which has been recognized by Mayor London Breed.

Community Location

Please see the attached map with highlighted borders. This map has been formally adopted by the City & County of San Francisco as part of the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS) (approved by the San Francisco Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors in 2013), and reinforced by the Japantown Special Area Design Guidelines (approved by San Francisco Planning Commission in 2019). The boundaries are as follows.

1.Northern boundary: California Street between Steiner & Gough.
2.Western boundary: (1) Steiner between California & O'Farrell, (2) Fillmore between O'Farrell & Ellis.
3.Eastern boundary: (1) Gough between California & Geary, (2) Octavia between Geary & Ellis.
4.Southern boundary: (1) O'Farrell between Steiner & Fillmore, (2) Ellis between Fillmore & Octavia, (3) Geary between Octavia & Gough.

We look to community leaders in the Western Addition and Fillmore District, especially the Black communities, to determine their boundaries which we will strongly support.

Why Keep Together

Despite our resilience, Japantown is a vulnerable community. As a result of the pandemic, many businesses have closed and tourism has plummeted. It is absolutely essential that the existing City-adopted boundaries of Japantown be honored so that Japantown can survive and thrive for many generations into the future. It is also vitally important that Japantown's historic and cultural ties with the Western Addition and the Fillmore District, especially Black communities, be protected and they, too, are vulnerable. In many varied ways, these communities contribute to the cultural life and diversity of San Francisco and sustain its world-class status.

Attachments

Map



Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District.

San Francisco Planning

Date Submitted
1/22/2022 2:21pm

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:52:11 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:47 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Mutual Interest

For those of us back on Potrero Hill and the south slopes, we recreate at Potrero del Sol, Heron's Head, and Warm Water Cove. It's an under the radar slice of the city that's maybe not intuitive until you look at a bus map (and topography). We need to stay connected to our little micro-neighborhood of "the hill and where it spills". If you want a community school, we have Starr King and Bryant which are the best to bike, walk or bus to. We have a bit more housing density back here than the many single family homes just north of us, and we will continue to increase in density with the Rebuild so we aren't worrying about diminishing parking because we are more into buses, bikes, walking and trains. So we go where the buses go, and where the walker finds the path of least resistance.

Community Location

Its a small slice of the city that follows the (pre-pandemic) path of

the 48/19/10 buses as they run along the backside of Potrero Hill on

26th, connecting this highway bound area to itself and to the city. For

streets, this COI is from about 22nd St down to Islais channel, bound on

the West by Potrero Ave and to the east, by the bay.

Why Keep Together

We are more closed off to the North by topography beyond the Rec Center. To the south, Cesar Chavez is dangerous to cross on foot with the light at Connecticut being one of the few spots. To the east the 25th street foot bridge is unlikely to open back up. That pushes both buses and residents to use 23rd street where it crosses the 101 as a corridor to the city to the west. To the east, the Rebuild Potrero project is tying the area from the bay to the hill even more tightly together.

Attachments

Date Submitted
1/24/2022 10:01pm

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table
Date: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:50:32 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 12:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table:

Plan Name
Bills district 5

Submission ID
MyCityCouncil_SD_012020221020_Bills district 5

General Comment

Here is my input on the district 5 based on consistent geography, character, etc. I think this reflect better the consistent values and culture of a ""new"" district 5. I did vet this with a few neighbors via the Cole Valley Facebook page and they agreed. Hopefully this input is considered in drawing more representative lines. The current district 5 proposal breaks up Cole Valley which is a core neighborhood in district 5.

PDF Map of all districts

District name : New district 5

% Deviation : -2.71

Comments :



First page PDF

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_012020221020_Bills district 5

Plan name: Bills district 5

District type: Board of Supervisors

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Here is my input on the district 5 based on consistent geography, character, etc. I think this reflect better the consistent values and culture of a "new" district 5. I did vet this with a few neighbors via the Cole Valley Facebook page and they agreed. Hopefully this input is considered in drawing more representative lines. The current district 5 proposal breaks up Cole Valley which is a core neighborhood in district 5.

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Board of Supervisors: Y

I want to receive email updates from the Board of Supervisors: Y

Comments text file

Attachments

Date Submitted
1/20/2022

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date:	Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:50:06 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 11:42 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Buchanan Mall in the Western Addition Community of Interest

Mutual Interest

Our mutual interest is sustaining the Buchanan Mall in the Western Addition as a whole. It is a community area whose roots are recovering from the harm of the Redevelopment Era that wiped out 2 communities: African American and the Japanese families, their businesses, and their support organizations that were eliminated. Community Green Spaces, accessible by various communities, were part of the overall plan when the Buchanan Mall was designed as part of Redevelopment in the 1960's and 70's. Part of the Mall extends into Japantown, where Ruth Asawa's sculpture is a centerpiece of the cobblestone mall area.

The Buchanan Mall community of interest includes a wide group of interested

partners who want to re-establish neighborhoods and communities, j including the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association, faith-based groups, schools, Ella Hill Hutch Community Center, Western Addition residents (elders, children, and families, some who have been born and raised in the Western Addition) who live nearby, artists and designers from across the city and Bay Area, and activists who have returned to the WA. The Citizen Film organization, led by Sophie Constantinou, has been one of the lead organizations, recruiting locals and finding others, some who were formerly incarcerated that have created new businesses, that support the activation of the Mall, creating new jobs, building lives, and new wealth for the community at large.

Community Location

The Buchanan Mall is the former Buchanan Street between Geary Street and Grove Street in the Western Addition. While the Mall is a single street, its impact radiates to the residents who live east to Van Ness and west to Divisadero.

Why Keep Together

Dividing this Mall and separating the residents who experienced the Redevelopment cultural catastrophe into different Districts is a continuation of the harm done in the 1960's and 70's. The Buchanan Mall must remain as part of the Western Addition community and its common experience of cultural and racial inequity as their homes were demolished and not replaced.

Citizen Film began engaging with the community surrounding the Buchanan Mall south of Geary Street about 5 years ago to redesign the green space and reactivate it for the benefit of all. A combination of recovered memories and future visions, expressed in new visual art, and sustainable green spaces with newly designed permanent lighting is now beginning construction, block by block. Significant community engagement has been done and funding is now in progress for the new Buchanan Mall. Maintained by SF REC and Park Department, the department and the Rec and Park commission has approved

the designs. The construction for 2 blocks of the Mall will commence this spring.

Attachments

Date Submitted
1/21/2022 6:22pm

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

Carroll, John (BOS)

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:50 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

Forwarding to RTF on BCC

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2022 11:41 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) is the community of interest

Mutual Interest

HVNA community was instrumental in developing the Market/Octavia Better Neighborhood Plan, partnering with many city agencies, especially Planning, to form a cohesive plan for a new community after the Central Freeway was demolished. The foresight of our community was instrumental in creating a new neighborhood. We believe that our community of interest will continue to have great impact on the HUB as it is developed, particularly in creating new neighborhoods.

Participating in new developments built in our community that include thoughtful community-led choices regarding 1) transit serving new developments AND the surrounding existing community 2) human-scale green spaces at street level for all to enjoy 3) significant development of affordable homes for purchase and rental apartments 4) Adding other transit options: biking, walking, ADA/family-friendly accessible sidewalks, all designed within a safe environment separate from car traffic.

Community Location

Our Community of Interest is within the current D5 boundaries and includes the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Assn boundaries (N on Webster from Haight , E on McAllister, S on Van Ness, W on Market, N on Buchanan, W on Waller, N on Fillmore, E on Haight to Webster, N on Webster).

HVNA continues to reach out to surrounding communities as well, especially on transit and new development issues. For example, HVNA worked closely with SFMTA on improve the #5 bus route (technically outside HVNA boundaries at the time) and to add the #5R. Transit First and building community are priorities for this community of interest.

Why Keep Together

By including all of the HUB (which is part of the Market/Octavia Better Neighborhood Plan https://sfplanning.org/market-street-hub-project) within D5, HVNA can positively impact the new developments to be sure that the new residents will be a part of the community and not isolated in high-rise towers above the street. **See the attached map, the red area circled.** Here is a link to the HUB general plan https://generalplan.sfplanning.org/Market-and-Octavia-Area-Plan_2020-Update.pdf Our community of interest is well informed on the issues within the HUB and how the new developments may negatively intensify or negatively create anew.

Currently, the HUB is segmented into 4 supervisorial districts: D5, 6, 8, and 9. This community has found it difficult to bring all 4 supervisors together to form a coherent group to achieve common goals of creating a new community within the HUB. We believe 1 supervisor (D5) should be responsible for the HUB. Our community of interest has initiated efforts to comment/influence/support the HUB development (though only part of the HUB lies within D5) to include more green space, community accessible space at street level, include more and safe forms of transit, and avoid car traffic logjams that currently exist in the HUB and have yet to be addressed by developers and SFMTA. The HUB is a part of the Market/Octavia Plan (https://sfplanning.org/market-octavia-area-plan) In addition, creating more affordably priced housing is a priority for this community, so there will be equal access for new living spaces. We believe there is new opportunity to create new communities within the HUB, and HVNA can positively influence the final outcome.

Attachments



Date Submitted

1/21/2022 6:13pm

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

Carroll, John (BOS)

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 2:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Jack Alber

Mutual Interest

The Bayview, Potrero, Showplace Square and Dogpatch form a solid Community of Interest with shared history and present-day issues stemming from neglect and industrial land uses. Although our demographics are diverse, our communities together face serious impacts from contaminated soil and poor air quality, massive growth, and limited investment in public infrastructure. Keeping our neighborhoods together in one district ensures that we maximize efforts to address common issues and achieve common goals.

During the first half of the 20th century, redlining discouraged home ownership by working class immigrants and people of color living in the Bayview and Potrero Hill, and exacerbated inequities with other parts of the City. Areas along the Bay were sparsely settled due to heavy industrial uses. As a result little investment went to the parks, public transportation, schools and public facilities like those provided in more affluent residential areas.

Our neighborhoods provided the real estate for freeways, artificially dividing us and polluting our air. In the last two decades, despite a stated goal of providing affordable housing, the rezoning of contaminated, formerly industrial land has led to speculation, gentrification and displacement among growing concerns for the health and safety of our residents.

It is essential that our community be allowed to navigate these challenges as a whole, rather than being split apart. Please keep District 10 consistent in future redistricting within the city. Thank you!

Community Location

The Bayview, Showplace Square, the Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. District 10, to be short.

Why Keep Together

Within this larger Community of Interest is a smaller one with Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. Together we benefit from the efforts of multiple organizations (Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, Potrero/Dogpatch Merchants Association, Potrero Democratic Club) all working closely together advocating for common interests. To divide Dogpatch from Potrero, or the north slope of Potrero from the south, imposes false boundaries that would seriously dilute our advocacy efforts.

Attachments

Date Submitted
1/18/2022 2:18pm

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

Carroll, John (BOS)

From: noreply+automations@airtableemail.com on behalf of Airtable Automations <noreply+automations@airtableemail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2022 11:48 PM
To: Tse, John (BOS); REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Seth Neill
Subject: Record recBqDbJQmT9Tfilk has been submitted

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Name

Potrero Hill

Mutual Interest

Potrero Hill has a shared culture and community of neighborhood businesses. It has a unique character formed by a mix of working class and white collar wokrers, many of whom have lived in the neighborhood for generations. It is predominantaly single-family residential housing with a limited number of large apartment and condominiums, mostly on the edges. Potrero Hill has neighborhood organizations like the Potrero-Dogpatch Merchants association and the green benefit district.

Community Location

Bounded on the South by Caesar Chavez

Bounded on the West by Potrero Avenue

Bounded on the North by Division through the traffic circle to King Street (possibly Townsend)

Bounded on the East by 7th Street and then I-280 south to Caesar Chavez

Why Keep Together

Potrero Hill should be kept together because the benefits and the problems of the community are experienced together. For example the Mission District to the west has historically had more problems with gang violence, and Potrero Hill has experienced rapid development and gentrification. The large scale development in Showplace Square/Design District has most immediately affected Potrero Hill residents, and it's important to have this area included and represented by the same supervisor.

Date Submitted

1/15/2022 7:48am UTC

Sent via Automations on
©2022 Airtable

Carroll, John (BOS)

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2022 9:25 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Tse, John (BOS)
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Chuck Obermeyer

Mutual Interest

The SF Mid-Market neighborhood is a high-density mixed-use community located in the heart of the city. Perhaps San Francisco's most uniquely diverse neighborhood, Mid-Market includes three of San Francisco's eight cultural districts: SOMA Pilipinas, Compton's Transgender, and Leather and LGBT. The resident population of Mid-Market is broadly diverse across race/ethnicity, educational background, and income level. Our community includes a large mix of both families who have lived in the neighborhood for decades and newer arrivals from all over the globe. Affordable housing buildings, SROs, market-rate housing, and condo buildings are nestled together alongside a wide range of commercial activity and government offices. This is partly because Mid-Market is a regional transit gateway, anchored by two BART and three MUNI Metro stations. As a result, the neighborhood sees intense foot traffic and commuter density each day. Mid-Market is also home to a large volume of supportive services, with people on both sides of Market street regularly accessing these services. Mid-Market also holds a unique place among other neighborhoods in SF as the social and cultural heart of the city. The community is home to a high concentration of cultural venues and regularly hosts many

large social events, celebrations, and protests attended by San Franciscans from every corner of the city.

But despite sitting in the heart of SF, Mid-Market is plagued by an enduring humanitarian crisis. Large public squares and corners in the neighborhood are dominated by open-air drug markets and street vending of stolen goods. Sidewalks are covered in loose trash, feces, broken glass, and needles. Random acts of violence, rampant property crime, and overstretched SFPD and community ambassadors mean that residents, commuting workers, and visitors to Mid-Market alike are regularly assaulted, robbed, and killed. It's no surprise then, that most people avoid walking the streets of Mid-Market after dark.

But the homeless residents of Mid-Market have it the worst of all. City services in Mid-Market are overstretched and underfunded, so many living on the street have trouble getting the addiction counseling and mental health care they so desperately need. And unlike the housed residents of Mid-Market, homeless residents have no refuge from the danger on the streets. They are regularly assaulted, exploited, and trafficked by the drug dealers and criminal syndicates operating on the streets of Mid-Market.

All those who live and work in Mid-Market are united in their desire to live in a safe, inclusive, and vibrant neighborhood. The community desperately needs larger investments in SFPD and community ambassador programs to ensure an end to open-air drug markets, fencing of stolen goods on sidewalks, and rampant violent crime. Residents of Mid-Market are uniquely proud that their neighborhood hosts such a large volume of supportive services, but want to see larger investments in city services to ensure that no one goes without care. Without large investments in public safety and supportive city services, Mid-Market's pressing humanitarian crisis will never be resolved.

Community Location

Please see attached links to google map and google doc. Page 3 of the google doc contains details on the finer points of the map.

Why Keep Together

To resolve the pressing humanitarian crisis in Mid-Market, large-scale investments in city services are needed. In order to achieve those investments, Mid-Market needs to be able to speak to a single SF Supervisor who understands the broader situation across the whole neighborhood. If the neighborhood is cut up and placed in several different districts, the Supervisors with smaller parts of Mid-Market in their district will have little reason to devote attention and energy to the issues facing those areas. Why would they? They'll have many different neighborhoods in their district to compete for their time. Ensuring Mid-Market is kept whole in one Supervisorial district is the only way to ensure that the neighborhood gets the attention and help it so desperately needs.

Additionally, the Mid-Market neighborhood should be kept whole because it is unique in SF for its diversity. Our community is home to people who live in every kind of housing type, people of every educational background and income level, both long-time residents and new immigrants from all over the world. All of us are hurt by the current conditions in our community–all of us want change. Our diversity of experience and perspective is our biggest strength, and we want to be kept together.

Attachments

Date Submitted
1/12/2022 5:11pm

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

Carroll, John (BOS)

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 10:38 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: Shared records from the "COI Table" table

Categories: COMMUNICATIONS

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 8:39 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Ellen Shaffer

Mutual Interest

District 7

Community Location

District 7, SF

Why Keep Together

Good question. When is the next scheduled meeting re: Redistricting & District 7?

Attachments

Date Submitted
1/12/2022 8:05am

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

Carroll, John (BOS)

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of John Tse via Airtable <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2022 8:39 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS); REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Seth Neill
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table

Categories: COMMUNICATIONS

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name
Alison Heath (D10 resident)

Mutual Interest

The Bayview, Potrero, Showplace Square and Dogpatch form a solid Community of Interest with shared history and present-day issues stemming from neglect and industrial land uses. Although our demographics are diverse, our communities together face serious impacts from contaminated soil and poor air quality, massive growth, and limited investment in public infrastructure. Keeping our neighborhoods together in one district ensures that we maximize efforts to address common issues and achieve common goals.

Community Location

Current District 10, between the Bay to the east and Potrero Ave and 101 to the west. From 16th Street and inclusive of Showplace Square to the north and the SF border to the South. It could include Mission Bay as well, or portions of Vis Valley to get the right population numbers.

Why Keep Together

> *During the first half of the 20th century, redlining discouraged home ownership by working class immigrants and people of color living in the Bayview and Potrero Hill, and exacerbated inequities with other parts of the City. Areas along the Bay were sparsely settled due to heavy industrial uses. As a result little investment went to the parks, public transportation, schools and public facilities like those provided in more affluent residential areas.*

> Our neighborhoods provided the real estate for freeways, artificially dividing us and polluting our air. In the last two decades, despite a stated goal of providing affordable housing, the rezoning of contaminated, formerly industrial land has led to speculation, gentrification and displacement among growing concerns for the health and safety of our residents.

Within this larger Community of Interest is a smaller one with Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. Together we benefit from the efforts of multiple organizations (Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, Potrero/Dogpatch Merchant Association, Potrero Democratic Club) all working closely together advocating for common interests. To divide Dogpatch from Potrero, or the north slope of Potrero from the south, imposes false boundaries that would seriously dilute our advocacy efforts. Keeping our neighborhoods together in one district ensures that we maximize efforts to address mutual issues and achieve common goals.

Within this larger Community of Interest is a smaller one comprised of Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. Together we benefit from the efforts of multiple organizations (Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association, Potrero/Dogpatch Merchant Association, Potrero Democratic Club) all working closely together advocating for common interests. To divide Dogpatch from Potrero, or the north slope of Potrero from the south, imposes false boundaries that would seriously dilute our advocacy efforts.

Attachments

Date Submitted
1/11/2022 4:06pm

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

Community Location

Western Boundary: Potrero Avenue

Northern Boundaries: (West to East) Division, Townsend, 7th Street, 16th Street

Eastern Boundary: the Bay

Southern Boundary: Cesar Chavez

It is located between Potrero Avenue and the Bay, north of Cesar Chavez and Islais Creek, and South of Division, Townsend and Seventh, 16th or Mariposa (to the east of 280).

Why Keep Together

Historically, the Potrero District consisted of Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Irish Hill. Development along the shore has caused the future to mirror the past. The neighborhoods face the same transportation issues, the same development issues, the same need for public facilities, the same policing issues, and so on. Residents in these neighborhoods work together, and families are part of the same school communities. They share common neighborhood and merchant groups. A management district for open space assess taxes and managed open space in Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero. The area suffers the impact of Caltrans and works to solve issues related to both the 101 and 280 corridors. Over COVID 19, a virtual holiday scavenger hunt took residents across the whole of the area. They are a single community with different neighborhood flairs.

Attachments

Date Submitted
1/10/2022 2:50pm

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center