

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Greg Brundage](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SF Redistricting
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 1:27:58 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Greg Brundage <brundage@cyprs.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 1:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I reside on the “crooked street” (Lombard) and am President of the Lombard Hill Improvement Association. Our Association, consisting of families living on the Lombard block between Hyde and Leavenworth and on Montclair St., has taken care of the “street” gardens for over 60 years during which time the “crooked street” has become one the main SF tourist attractions. As you all no doubt know, this part of Russian Hill has had its share of challenges over the last ten to fifteen years due to the surge in auto and pedestrian traffic and, of course, street crime. To date, we have managed, with significant D2 Supervisor assistance, to maintain the quality of our community life—it has not been easy. We have worked closely with Mark Farrell and his successor, Catherine Stefani, and their staffs, to control the neighborhood/community chaos created during the summer tourist season and also to significantly improve the gardens. The opening of Francisco Park later this year will, no doubt, compound the chaos. Supervisor Stefani clearly understands our situation and we have a strong relationship of trust and candor. Safety and traffic management has been the main focus of the D2 team over the years, and it is imperative that we retain this special connection.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Greg Brundage

_____ This email may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Any unauthorized review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this email is strictly prohibited. To reply to our email administrator directly, please send an email to admin@cyprs.com.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Melinda Kenyon](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Bernal Heights
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 1:27:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Melinda Kenyon <melinda.kenyon@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 12:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Bernal Heights

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern:

My mother and I own a home on College Avenue. We love the neighborhood and neighbors. It is a sense of community with many activities planned yearly as well as a close knit group in St. Mary's Park. We are a very old and well established neighborhood dating back to the original site of St Mary's College. Everyone desires to keep the neighborhood extremely nice.

I want to keep our neighborhood in District 9 and not be included in District 11. They have a different situation. We want to remain part of Bernal Heights as we support our local shops and area.

Please carefully consider the feelings, financial support of our local shops, and all in your dealings with this matter.

Thank you for your help.

Sincerely,
Lois Booth
Melinda Kenyon

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: American Indian Cultural District - D9 Redistricting
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 1:26:54 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:31 AM
To: Sharaya Souza <sharayas@americanindianculturaldistrict.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Jill Shenker <jill@sanfranciscorising.org>; Sana Sethi <sana.sethi@sanfranciscorising.org>; redistricting@lwvsf.org; membership@lwvsf.org; Alison Goh <president@lwvsf.org>
Subject: Fw: American Indian Cultural District - D9 Redistricting

Hi Ms. Souza,

Thank you for your comment on behalf of the American Indian Cultural District. I am forwarding it to the entire Redistricting Task Force and to John Carroll of the Clerk's office for record keeping.

Best regards,

Chema

From: Sharaya Souza <sharayas@americanindianculturaldistrict.org>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:08 AM
To: Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>; Jill Shenker <jill@sanfranciscorising.org>; Sana Sethi <sana.sethi@sanfranciscorising.org>; LWVSF Local Redistricting <redistricting@lwvsf.org>; membership@lwvsf.org <membership@lwvsf.org>; Alison Goh <president@lwvsf.org>
Cc: Sabory, Julia (MYR) <julia.sabory@sfgov.org>; Raquel R. Redondiez <raquel@somapilipinas.org>; Ian De Vaynes <mtamary1@gmail.com>; Paloma Flores <palomaf@americanindianculturaldistrict.org>; ErickCalle24 (erick@calle24sf.org) <erick@calle24sf.org>; juliam@advancingjustice-alc.org <juliam@advancingjustice-alc.org>; Ramses.Teon-Nichols@seiu1021.org <Ramses.Teon-Nichols@seiu1021.org>; diana@seaccusa.org <diana@seaccusa.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Administrator, City (ADM) <city.administrator@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>; Arntz, John (REG) <john.arntz@sfgov.org>; Pon, Adrienne (ADM) <adrienne.pon@sfgov.org>; Language Access (ADM) <Language.Access@sfgov.org>; Engagement, Civic (ADM) <civic.engagement@sfgov.org>; Bishop, Carrie (ADM) <carrie.bishop@sfgov.org>; Gerull, Linda (TIS) <linda.gerull@sfgov.org>; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Pelham, Leeann (ETH) <leeann.pelham@sfgov.org>; Ethics Commission, (ETH)

<ethics.commission@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Susana Rojas <susana@calle24sf.org>; Caltagirone, Shelley (CPC) <shelley.caltagirone@sfgov.org>

Subject: American Indian Cultural District - D9 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

The American Indian Cultural District would like to share their stance on redistricting as it relates to D9.

Below is the script we will be using at today's D9 Redistricting Meeting to stand in solidarity with our Latinx relatives and Calle24 to ensure American Indian voices and the American Indian Cultural District are not left out of the redistricting process.

AICD comment for the D9 Meeting:

District 9 historically has been the home of the San Francisco American Indian community and the Latinx community, despite the gentrification, displacement and evictions our communities have faced these last twenty years. I am calling today to ask the redistricting taskforce to help mitigate these impacts by expanding the area that is a core homebase for our Latinx and American Indian community and within District 9. Expand the District 9 lines past 13th street to capture the large Latino population within, and include ALL of Valencia Street within District 9, instead of splitting down the street (as currently is) in order to prevent dividing the future area of the American Indian Cultural District.

Cultural Districts are a lifeline for protecting and preserving our communities. They provide historical identification, cultural resource preservation and uplift, honor, and bring visibility to our communities, past, present and future. Please respect the American Indian Cultural District and include the updated American Indian 2020 Redistricting population (2.1%) data, include American Indians in redistricting maps, and include the American Indian voice within the redistricting process. Also, we are asking the redistricting community to keep Calle 24 LCD whole and fully within D9.

Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Thank you,

--

Sharaya Souza

Executive Director

American Indian Cultural District

2 Marina Blvd., Building D, Suite 230

San Francisco, CA 94123

Cell: (805) 706-8407

SharayaS@AmericanIndianCulturalDistrict.org

AmericanIndianCulturalDistrict.org

[LinkedIn](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#)

[SFHRC Coronavirus Resource Guide](#)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE - This email is intended only for the person(s) or entity identified above. Unless otherwise indicated, it contains information and or attachments that are confidential, privileged and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender of the error and delete the message.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Laura Kemp](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting meeting today - District 9
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 11:15:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laura Kemp <lauradk5@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:40 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting meeting today - District 9

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a Portola resident. I am writing to request that the Portola District remain as one district as the current boundaries show for 2021 and for the past 10 years.

There are many reasons why this is critical for our neighborhood. Over the past ten years Portola has been in District 9. Thanks to community activism and engagement and a supportive District Supervisor, the Portola has been able to enact many important community projects, for example the naming of the district as the Garden District, the Burrows Pocket Park, the Alemany Island beautification, murals along the Avenue, the extension of Burrows Pocket Park, facade improvement to the Avenue Theater, Mansell pedestrian access through McLaren Park, to name but a few of the many capital improvements for our previously long neglected neighborhood.

As neighborhood residents and activists, we would like to both continue the work that is ongoing and engage in new improvement projects. The most optimum and effective way to do this successfully is if the Portola District remains as it is currently, within Supervisor District 9.

As an FYI, I tried, unsuccessfully, to use the "map my boundaries" app, hence the email correspondence.

Thank you for your consideration.

Laura Kemp

Goettingen Neighbors Group, Portola

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Gloria Li](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: D6 Redistricting Recommendation - Transbay Parents
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:40:35 AM
Attachments: [D6 Redistricting Letter - Transbay Parents.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gloria Li <gloriali.hoa@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:29 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; john.campbell@sfgov.org
Subject: D6 Redistricting Recommendation - Transbay Parents

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

February 18, 2022

SF Department of Elections

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force via email: rdtf@sfgov.org:
john.campbell@sfgov.org

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The Transbay Parents group is a group of parent volunteers that reside in the Salesforce Transit Terminal vicinity. The group's mission is to create a supportive space for our next generation of San Franciscans through advocating for safety, education, community building and more focusing on the children and families.

Redistricting has a direct impact on the outcomes of many decisions that can affect the quality of life for children and families that hope to stay in the city. There are many challenges to raising children in a downtown environment and the topic of redistricting D6 is a high priority in our discussion. We are writing to highly recommend the task force to see that the South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and the most **affordable** housing units (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods--those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of

parks, recreation and open space. Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

Transbay Parents

Gloria Li - D6 resident, parent, working mom, TJPA CAC D6 Representative

Tao Tao Wang - D6 resident, parent

Alexey Rivkin - D6 resident, parent

Diem Tran - D6 resident, parent

February 16, 2022

SF Department of Elections

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force via email: rdtf@sfgov.org;
john.campbell@sfgov.org

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The Transbay Parents group is a group of parent volunteers that reside in the Salesforce Transit Terminal vicinity. The group's mission is to create a supportive space for our next generation of San Franciscans through advocating for safety, education, community building and more focusing on the children and families.

Redistricting has a direct impact on the outcomes of many decisions that can affect the quality of life for children and families that hope to stay in the city. There are many challenges to raising children in a downtown environment and the topic of redistricting D6 is a high priority in our discussion. We are writing to highly recommend the task force to see that the South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and the most **affordable** housing units (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods--those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so

requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

Transbay Parents

Gloria Li - D6 resident, parent, working mom, TJPA CAC D6 Representative

Tao Tao Wang - D6 resident, parent

Alexey Rivkin - D6 resident, parent

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Robert Mansfield](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: District 6 Redistricting
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:39:53 AM
Attachments: [02092022_D6 Redistricting Letter_sbrmbna.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Robert Mansfield <mansfield@ymail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 6:59 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 6 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Task Force,

As a long-term resident of the South Beach neighborhood (since 1995), I strongly support the thoughtful and detailed recommendation of our neighborhood association in regard to proposed redistricting and have attached their proposal to this email.

I also work in the neighborhood and have been witness to the tremendous amount of development happening in our district. With that, of course, has come tremendous growth necessitating this redistricting process.

To me, it makes perfect sense to look at keeping the areas with new development together, as they have very specific and difficult needs and a whole separate set of social issues. It is important to develop the area thoughtfully and create some much needed tax base to help the rest of the City.

I also strongly feel the area north of Market Street needs to be kept together as a community and not divided, diluting their voice in City policy. There are many, many needs that need to be addressed in this long-established neighborhood, and some of their needs run contrary to the distraction of new development.

Our group's leadership has taken a long look and come up with a very thoughtful approach that I sincerely hope you will take in serious consideration in your own recommendations.

Sincerely,

Robert Mansfield
2 Townsend Street, Apt 1-408

17 February 2022

SF Department of Elections via email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.carroll@sfgov.org
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home--are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our neighborhoods to thrive – work that is easier to accomplish together than apart.

This exponential growth from Treasure Island to the Embarcadero to Mission Bay to Western SoMa is the desired result of a cluster of redevelopment projects that are now emerging as densely populated, diverse communities working to establish ourselves as fully realized neighborhoods, integrated into the city fabric.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

As the South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association (founded in 2008), we recognized the need early on for a cohesive voice to represent these fledgling redevelopment areas at City Hall, and the equally pressing need to build a sense of community and pride of place as new neighbors moved into the area.

Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood

streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

The South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association Membership and Board of Directors

Alice Rogers, President
Katy Liddell, Vice President
Gary Pegueros, Secretary
Peggy Fahnestock, Treasurer
Bruce Agid, Director
Mike Anthony, Director

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Analytical Labs kist](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Redistricting NW Potrero Hill to D10
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:39:21 AM
Attachments: [GBD -NW Potrero Hill.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Analytical Labs kist <alsfok@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 4:14 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting NW Potrero Hill to D10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Re-districting committee,

My home is located in NW Potrero Hill. I have attached a map that illustrates the triangular area that includes a footbridge that connects our neighborhood with Potrero Hill.

Attached is a map of NW Potrero Hill, this area needs to stay with Potrero Hill in D10.

As a safe activity I walk to Fallen Bridge Park, the Benches Park and to the Potrero Hill Community Gardens and Park.

For neighborhood news and local events I log into Next Door Potrero Hill.

Since helping to establish our neighborhood Green Benefits District which includes the west part of Potrero Hill and the Dogpatch area, I have joined community groups like MUNA to improve our neighborhood. These include cleanup and caring for Fallen Bridge Park and helping with fundraising and promotion of the Potrero Gateway project.

Every summer a block party is organized at the end of San Bruno location.

In response to home break-ins and thefts and problems with homeless in Potrero Hill (including NW Potrero Hill), meetings with a member of the police department and/or SFSAFE or even the supervisor are organized by MUNA. The Slovenian Hall and the Russian Baptist Church have been used for some of these events. I am a member of the Slovenian Club.

One issue that will be resolved by the redistricting to D10 is the problem of which police department to call. when reporting and fighting crime. NW Potrero area is served by three police departments. This causes a lot of confusion about which police department serves which area. If our neighborhood was redistricted to D10 then it would eliminate the confusion, only one police department would need to be contacted.

This neighborhood needs to stay with Potrero Hill in D10.

Respectfully,
Olga Kist

467 POTRERO AVENUE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110

(415) 552-4595

alsfok@sbcglobal.net

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Claudia Bonilla Haas](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Community Feedback
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:38:29 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Claudia Bonilla Haas <claudiabhaas@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 11:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Community Feedback

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

I'm writing to urge you to keep the Portola District in the same district it's in right now and represented by the same legislators. My supervisor is Hillary Ronen, my Representative is Nancy Pelosi and up until recently, my CA State Assemblymember was David Chiu.

As a constituent, I'm very satisfied with the way these public servants have represented me. Therefore, I DO NOT WANT TO CHANGE DISTRICTS. I want to continue being represented by these politicians. I share their values and vision with them. Please do all you can to keep the Portola District intact as is.

Thank you!

Claudia B. Haas

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jean Dowdall](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [SBRBMNA Calendar](#)
Subject: FW: 2020 Redistricting
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:38:02 AM
Attachments: [02092022_D6 Redistricting Letter sbrmbna.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Jean Dowdall <dowdall.jean@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 8:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Cc: SBRBMNA Calendar <sbrmbna@gmail.com>
Subject: 2020 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing in support of maintaining D6 as a community of interest. These diverse neighborhoods share a common character and common needs for schools, for retail, and for livable neighborhoods. We meet regularly to address a wide range of issues that touch all of our lives and we take action together as we think best. Please see the attached letter for details.

Thanks for taking these issues into account in redistricting decisions.

Jean Dowdall
239 Brannan Street, Apt 16G
San Francisco CA 94107

17 February 2022

SF Department of Elections via email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.carroll@sfgov.org
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home--are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our neighborhoods to thrive – work that is easier to accomplish together than apart.

This exponential growth from Treasure Island to the Embarcadero to Mission Bay to Western SoMa is the desired result of a cluster of redevelopment projects that are now emerging as densely populated, diverse communities working to establish ourselves as fully realized neighborhoods, integrated into the city fabric.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

As the South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association (founded in 2008), we recognized the need early on for a cohesive voice to represent these fledgling redevelopment areas at City Hall, and the equally pressing need to build a sense of community and pride of place as new neighbors moved into the area.

Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood

streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

The South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association Membership and Board of Directors

Alice Rogers, President
Katy Liddell, Vice President
Gary Pegueros, Secretary
Peggy Fahnestock, Treasurer
Bruce Agid, Director
Mike Anthony, Director

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [SBRBMNA Calendar](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Katy Liddell](#); [Gary Pegueros](#); [Mike Anthony](#); [Bruce Agid](#); [Peggy Fahnestock](#); [Alice Rogers](#)
Subject: FW: D6 Community of Interest perspective for your consideration from SB|R|MB NA
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 10:37:30 AM
Attachments: [02092022_D6 Redistricting Letter sbrmbna.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: SBRBMNA Calendar <sbrmbna@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 5:08 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Cc: Katy Liddell <cliddell@icloud.com>; Gary Pegueros <garypegueros@sbcglobal.net>; Mike Anthony <manthony1948@yahoo.com>; Bruce Agid <bruce.h.agid@gmail.com>; Peggy Fahnestock <peggy.fahnestock@sbcglobal.net>; Alice Rogers <arcomnsf@pacbell.net>

Subject: D6 Community of Interest perspective for your consideration from SB|R|MB NA

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

17 February 2022

SF Department of Elections

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home—are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our neighborhoods to thrive – work that is easier to accomplish together than apart.

This exponential growth from Treasure Island to the Embarcadero to Mission Bay to Western SoMa is the desired result of a cluster of redevelopment projects that are now emerging as densely populated, diverse communities working to establish ourselves as fully realized neighborhoods, integrated into the city fabric.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

As the South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association (founded in 2008), we recognized the need early on for a cohesive voice to represent these fledgling redevelopment areas at City Hall, and the equally pressing need to build a sense of community and pride of place as new neighbors moved into the area.

Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail

where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

The South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association Membership and Board of Directors

Alice Rogers, President

Katy Liddell, Vice President

Gary Pegueros, Secretary

Peggy Fahnestock, Treasurer

Bruce Agid, Director

Mike Anthony, Director

.....
[SB|R|MB NA](mailto:sbrmbna@gmail.com)
sbrmbna@gmail.com

PDF of letter attached if preferred for distribution

17 February 2022

SF Department of Elections via email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.carroll@sfgov.org
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home--are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our neighborhoods to thrive – work that is easier to accomplish together than apart.

This exponential growth from Treasure Island to the Embarcadero to Mission Bay to Western SoMa is the desired result of a cluster of redevelopment projects that are now emerging as densely populated, diverse communities working to establish ourselves as fully realized neighborhoods, integrated into the city fabric.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

As the South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association (founded in 2008), we recognized the need early on for a cohesive voice to represent these fledgling redevelopment areas at City Hall, and the equally pressing need to build a sense of community and pride of place as new neighbors moved into the area.

Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood

streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

The South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association Membership and Board of Directors

Alice Rogers, President
Katy Liddell, Vice President
Gary Pegueros, Secretary
Peggy Fahnestock, Treasurer
Bruce Agid, Director
Mike Anthony, Director

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: tesw@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: D5 Proposed Map attached
Date: Thursday, February 17, 2022 4:50:56 PM
Attachments: [D5 Map with boundary changes.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 3:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: D5 Proposed Map attached

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, Task Force Members, I am sending in this proposed map for District 5.

Over the past weeks, the attached map was developed with major activists in District 5, around the strong core of the African American community, the Japanese American community, and the Haight Ashbury. Additional input came from Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association, Inner Sunset neighbors, North of Panhandle Neighborhood Association, and Alamo Square Neighborhood Association. Contributors are listed alphabetically by organization.

We have numerous communities of interest, and will be sending these in soon. You have already received COIs from Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association and the Buchanan Mall.

D5 is one of the most progressive voting districts in San Francisco. D5 is mixed ethnically, although European Americans predominate. About 75% of our residents are renters. We have the full diversity of San Francisco, including LGBTQ and persons currently unhoused. Our neighborhoods range in income from zero to millionaires, often within a couple of blocks of each other. Our diversity is a major part of our creativity, and of our compassion, as we have many services for people facing many challenges, including being unhoused.

We look forward to achieving a city-wide map that fairly represents the communities and diversity of District 5.


Cordially,

Tes Welborn
Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council

First Name	Last Name	Organization
Jason	Jervas	Alamo Square Neighborhood Assn, President
Barbara	Thompson	Ammell Park Coop, Hayes Valley
Marlayne	Morgan	Cathedral Hill
Pia	Harris	Fillmore Collaborative
Tes	Welborn	Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council Board
Calvin	Welch	Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council, Land Use Chair
David	Woo	Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council, Vice President, and Soma Pilipinas, D6
Gail	Baugh	Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association, past president Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association, Transportation & Land Use

Jason	Henderson	Chair
Denis	Mosgofian	Inner Sunset
Lori	Liederman	Inner Sunset
David	Hamaguchi	Japan Town
Alice	Kawahatsu	Japantown Task Force
Susie	Kagami	Japantown Task Force
Jeremy	Chan	Japantown Task Force, Co-chair
Emily	Murase	Japantown Task Force, Executive Director
Glynis	Nakahara	Japantown Task Force, Land Use Chair
Grace	Horikiri	JCBD
Rufus	Watkins	Midtown
Ryan	Booth	North of Panhandle Neighborhood Assn. Board member

Booker T. Washington Comm. Ctr. - 800 Presidio



Westside Courts - 2501 Sutter

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Marc Christensen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D7 access to meeting
Date: Thursday, February 17, 2022 4:00:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Also, if the browser you're using to access the meeting is preventing you from joining via the link, I don't know that there is anything we can do about it on our end. You may have a method of dismissing the warning from within your web browser—but I'm not sure.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marc Christensen <christensen.marc.metna@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 11:02 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: D7 access to meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I spoke with Emmy Kim regarding accessing the District meetings.

I have tried on two occasions to click on the link, but I get a message from google "not a secure site" and it won't let me advance. I did, however view the meeting on sfgate, I believe channel 78 or 98, for D11, and phoned in using the information on the tv screen and it worked very well. Hopefully that will be done for the upcoming D7 meeting, that will be easy to access.

I also know one or two persons who do not have internet access that would like to participate.

I must say that this redistricting process has been excellent in its outreach to communities throughout San Francisco. I know it is not an easy process, however the committee should be complimented on their work.

Thank you,

Marc Christensen, president

Merced Extension Triangle Neighborhood Association

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard Worner](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Kathy Devincenzi](#); [Owen Hart](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Thursday, February 17, 2022 4:00:29 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Worner <richworner@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 9:15 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine <caerine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Kathy Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com>; Owen Hart <olhart120@gmail.com>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

To Whom it May Concern:

As a past President of the Jordan Park Improvement Association, I am very aware of the alliances JPIA had with our neighboring Associations: Presidio Heights and Laurel Heights.

Our Associations collaborated on many issues to make our neighborhoods and our City a better place for our constituents and City families to live.

I implore you to keep our Associations TOGETHER in District 2.

We have an excellent relationship with our supervisor, Catherine Stefani and we need to keep that relationship in tack for years to come.

Leave Jordan Park and Laurel Heights in D-2.

Richard Worner

129 Palm Ave, San Francisco, CA 94118

--

COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE CAPITAL (DRE #00554985)

Richard A. Worner

129 Palm Ave.

San Francisco, CA. 94118

Phone: 415-314-5833

Email: worner@sbcglobal.net

This email and any files transmitted with it are solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) and may contain information that is confidential and privileged. If you receive this email in error, please advise us by return email immediately.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [David Gilliam](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Joel Engardio](#); [Frank Noto](#)
Subject: RE: Supervisorial Districts 4 & 7 Redistricting
Date: Thursday, February 17, 2022 4:00:11 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Gilliam <treesbysea@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 6:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Joel Engardio <jengardio@gmail.com>; Frank Noto <Frank@fnstrategy.com>
Subject: Supervisorial Districts 4 & 7 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

February 16, 2022

Board of Elections
Redistricting Task Force

Hello,

I'm a Golden Gate Heights resident, and write to request that District 4 be redrawn to include the Lakeshore area south of Sloat Blvd., rather than the Inner Sunset around Irving Street.

Like many of my Golden Gate and Sunset Heights neighbors, I rely on the shops, restaurants, and other services of Irving Street, just a few blocks down the hill. They in turn rely on us. Therefore, I feel that these three interrelated areas belong in District 7. Having them in the same district will concentrate their political representation while promoting clarity and cohesion in local government.

Likewise, District 4 will benefit from absorbing the Lakeshore area, as that is where many Sunset residents shop. This will be a win-win for both Districts 4 and 7. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

David Gilliam
1747 10th Avenue
San Francisco CA 94122

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [David Hirtzel](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Supervisorial District 4
Date: Thursday, February 17, 2022 4:00:03 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Hirtzel <plpna2016@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 5:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Supervisorial District 4

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Members of the Redistricting Task Force

There has been some suggestion to extend District 7 north of the current boundary on Sloat Blvd. The border would then extend into the Pine Lake Park Neighborhood, dividing it into two districts, 4 and 7. Our neighborhood stretches from Sloat Blvd to on the south, to Escolta on the north and Sunset Blvd on the west.

Pine Lake Pake has always worked with the District 4 Supervisor, especially with issues related to Pine Lake Park. Please do not move the boundary north of Sloat Blvd and complicate our 83 year effort to maintain and improve our community.

--

David

David Hirtzel

President

Pine Lake Park Neighborhood Association

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rosemary Bell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 3:24:36 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rosemary Bell <bklynbrn1826@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 2:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident of Laurel Heights-Parker/Spruce, Geary, Masonic, California street neighborhood. I've lived in this district for the past 20 plus years, and my wife was born and raised on Emerson St. in District 2.

I strongly request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods, especially Laurel Heights, and I have worked with them on social, cultural, economic , and housing projects over the years.

This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and several major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd. I have also worked closely with D2 on the potential Sagebrook Assisted Living expansion.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Please take into consideration the years of stability and cooperation that D2 residents have worked very hard to forge.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Bell

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [Hepner, Lee \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: NHA Letter on Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 3:24:30 PM
Attachments: [NHA Letter on Redistricting.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stanley Landfair <stanleylandfair3@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 12:49 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>; Hepner, Lee (BOS) <lee.hepner@sfgov.org>

Subject: NHA Letter on Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

<<...>>

Sir or Madame and Redistricting Task Force

Please find attached our preliminary comments on redistricting.

We look forward to engaging with you and with other parties of interest in this important matter.

Thank you.

Stan Landfair

President, Nob Hill Association



February 16, 2022

To: Redistricting Task Force
rdtf@sfgov.org

Re: Comments on Supervisorial Districts

I am writing on behalf of the Nob Hill Association, the oldest neighborhood association continuously in operation in San Francisco, to offer preliminary comments on the supervisorial re-districting process. We anticipate that we will offer further comments as the process moves forward.

In the meantime, the Nob Hill Association believes that the geographical integrity of this neighborhood should be maintained, with District 3 to retain its present boundaries, which are compact and distinct, and with the entirety of the neighborhood remaining in District 3.

We look forward to working with you in this process.

Sincerely,

/s/

Stanley W. Landfair

President

NobHillAssociation@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Bluesgyrl2](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force Meeting District 4 - Tonight
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 3:23:20 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bluesgyrl2 <bluesgyrl2@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 12:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force Meeting District 4 - Tonight

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

We are writing to you with the hope that our email is taken into consideration during the District 4 meeting this evening.

According to your, "San Francisco Redistricting Fact Sheet", it is conveyed that the new Supervisorial District Maps are currently not drawn but are expected to be by April 25, 2022. There has been speculation that the Task Force may consider redistricting our neighborhood from District 4 to District 7. With this in mind, we urge the Task Force to dissuade any split of the current Supervisorial Lines that would separate the Pine Lake Park neighborhood from District 4.

As members of the Pine Lake Neighborhood Association (PLPNA), we have worked tirelessly to gather members within District 4 in order to strengthen our community and to have a greater voice with various San Francisco government agencies. The PLPNA has been strong within our community for 8 decades and to have the neighborhood separated would do unmitigated damage to what we have all work so hard to accomplish over the past 80 years.

Furthermore, our Pine Lake Park neighborhood is not geographically connected to District 7. Currently divided by the borders of 19th Avenue and Sloat Blvd., the districts within these borders come with their own distinct and unique set of circumstances. For example, other San Francisco government entities such as the San Francisco Planning Department, categorize the neighborhood of Pine Lake Park (District 4) under the planning jurisdiction of the "Outer Sunset District", the other side of 19th Avenue as the "Inner Sunset District" (District 7) and the other side of Sloat Blvd. the "Ingleside District" (District 7). It is just not logical to separate the small neighborhood of Pine Lake Park into a Supervisorial District it has absolutely no investment in.

Thank you for your consideration and for reaching out the public so that our ideas and opinions are heard.

Natalie and Jerry Simotas

Pine Lake Park Residents

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peter Pirolli](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public Comments to the Redistricting Task Force re Redistricting District 4
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 3:22:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Peter Pirolli <peter.pirolli@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 11:25 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Peter Pirolli <peter.pirolli@gmail.com>
Subject: Public Comments to the Redistricting Task Force re Redistricting District 4

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am an 25 year resident of D4. My preference is to choose Alternative 3. Alternative 3 would just add the area south of D4, adding in Lowell High School.

—Peter Pirolli


From: [Stephen Gorski](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Public Comment on Redistricting D.4
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:44:21 AM
Attachments: [cid31D87949-2FF0-49DD-94EE-B2A380B355B7.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

This is to submit my public comments regarding the redistricting of District 4, where I own a home and have lived for over 40 years.

Of the choices I've seen, I choose **Alternative 3**. **Alternative 3** would just add the area south of D4, adding in Lowell High School. This would increase population to 76,717 people or only -3.56% below the ideal population. This alternative appeals to me because there are high-rises going up along Sloat that will bring many more residents to this area. These residents already are, and will continue to be, directly impacted by the decisions being made concerning important issues affecting District 4, such as the need for better and more transportation and other services, as well as the fate of the Great Highway and nearby streets in the neighborhood.



Thank you for considering my and my family's opinions regarding this issue.

Sincerely,
Stephen J. Gorski
Zip code: 94116

Sent from my iPad

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Judi Gorski](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public Comments to the Redistricting Task Force re Redistricting District 4
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:44:18 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Judi Gorski <judigorski@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 9:49 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comments to the Redistricting Task Force re Redistricting District 4


This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

To Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

This is to submit my public comments regarding the redistricting of District 4, where I own a home and have lived for over 40 years.

Of the choices I've seen, I choose **Alternative 3. Alternative 3** would just add the area south of D4, adding in Lowell High School. This would increase population to 76,717 people or only -3.56% below the ideal population. This alternative appeals to me because there are high-rises going up along Sloat that will bring many more residents to this area. These residents already are, and will continue to be, directly impacted by the decisions being made concerning important issues affecting District 4, such as the need for better and more transportation and other services, as well as the fate of the Great Highway and nearby streets in the neighborhood.



Thank you for considering my and my family's opinions regarding this issue.

Sincerely,
Judi Gorski
Zip code: 94116

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Mira](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Jordan Park
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:44:05 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Mira <miracarrington@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 6:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>
Subject: Jordan Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I'm a resident in Jordan Park residing at 61 Parker Avenue. We have owned our home since 2017. We are strongly opposed to leaving District 2. Thank you for your time.

Mira Carrington

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [nancy stretch](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:43:56 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: nancy stretch <nancystretch@mac.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 4:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We live on Jordan Ave and have since 1966. We've seen many changes, mostly good which makes a city vibrant. Naturally we are older and perhaps less tolerant of the marijuana store across Geary but the biggest change would be putting us in district 1. We are in District 2 and want to stay there for several reasons but perhaps the main one is the two huge building complexes that will soon start on the Children's Hospital and Fireman's Fund/ UCSF properties. We want a say in those developments. Thank you, Nancy and Joe Stretch, 157 Jordan

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Howard Ginsberg](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: D2
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:43:44 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Howard Ginsberg <hgpw@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 4:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>
Subject: D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of Jordan Park-Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with Laurel Heights and Pacific Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Howard Ginsberg

41 Commonwealth Avenue (resident for 50 years)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Robyn Tucker](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Betsy Brill](#)
Subject: FW: 2020 Census: Redistricting Comment & Request
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 10:43:27 AM
Attachments: [PANA - Redistricting Comment & Request.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Robyn Tucker <venturesv@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2022 10:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Betsy Brill <betsyb123@mac.com>
Subject: 2020 Census: Redistricting Comment & Request

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From the Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA)

February 14, 2022

To: Redistricting Task Force

Re: 2020 Census & Supervisorial Districts Map, from the *Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association*, a San Francisco Community of Interest

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

As leaders, members, and neighbors of the Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA), we would like to share our thoughts and ask for your support in maintaining Nob Hill and our neighborhood community within Supervisorial District 3. Our Association's boundaries include but are not limited to: from Broadway to Jackson Street (North to South) and from Taylor Street to Polk Street (East to West).

For 19 years, we have been meeting with and working alongside our Russian Hill, North Beach, Telegraph Hill and Nob Hill neighborhood communities. We are intimately connected with these neighborhoods through similar interests and concerns. These areas include but are not limited to the following: health and safety, quality of life, cultural diversity preservation, historic character and building design preservation, and our vital small businesses. We have come together many times to help one another navigate issues. We would not know where to begin in another district.

Nob Hill is also closely connected to Chinatown through multi-generational families living in Nob Hill and shopping, doing business, and visiting family members. Many Nob Hill residents shop and socialize exclusively in Chinatown.

We basically live our lives north of California Street and east of Van Ness. Other districts being discussed to absorb our neighborhood face issues and lifestyles distinctly dissimilar from ours. Districts 2 and 6 represent opposites of where our neighborhood exists. District 2 is more affluent and homogenous. District 6, which sprawls into the South of Market area, faces unique challenges that our residential neighborhood simply does not

share. We know we will be better represented by maintaining our ties with the same neighbors with whom we have worked and lived for the past 19+ years.

Regarding the 2020 Census and its impact on Redistricting

The 2020 census was conducted as the unfolding COVID19 pandemic created-- according to USPS change of address requests--a dramatic population outflow from San Francisco. A deep dive into the USPS data reported by the news site *Hoodline* in November 2020 demonstrated that the highest rate of out-migration was from zip code 94109, followed by the 94110-zip code in the Mission.

Pre-Covid, District 3 was the densest in the country per capita. Our neighborhood, which suffered San Francisco's greatest population losses during the census/pandemic year, should not be excised to another district based on what is surely an aberration caused by the pandemic outflow.

Though we can't cite statistics particular to our neighborhood, according to a July 2021 *San Francisco Chronicle* analysis of postal data, the peak net monthly loss of humans, per the USPS, came in August 2020 when there were 7,000 more changes of address for people departing SF than there were people moving in. Net out-migration in June 2021 was 1,600, just above the 1,400 seen in May, which is right around the 1,500 net departures seen in March 2020.

A more recent Chronicle analysis of Consumer Credit Panel data indicates the city's population shift may not have reversed itself.

None of this speaks to the historic draw of the Nob Hill area to those returning to the city as the pandemic recedes and lower rents attract new and returning residents.

We respectfully ask that your guidance be to maintain Nob Hill within Supervisorial District 3, along with our traditional friends and allies in Russian Hill, North Beach, and Telegraph Hill.

Sincerely,

Robyn Tucker

Betsy Brill

Co-Chairs, Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association

Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association – PANA

February 14, 2022

To: Redistricting Task Force

Re: 2020 Census & Supervisorial Districts Map, from the *Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association*, a San Francisco Community of Interest

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

As leaders, members, and neighbors of the Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA), we would like to share our thoughts and ask for your support in maintaining Nob Hill and our neighborhood community within Supervisorial District 3. Our Association's boundaries include but are not limited to: from Broadway to Jackson Street (North to South) and from Taylor Street to Polk Street (East to West).

For 19 years, we have been meeting with and working alongside our Russian Hill, North Beach, Telegraph Hill and Nob Hill neighborhood communities. We are intimately connected with these neighborhoods through similar interests and concerns. These areas include but are not limited to the following: health and safety, quality of life, cultural diversity preservation, historic character and building design preservation, and our vital small businesses. We have come together many times to help one another navigate issues. We would not know where to begin in another district.

Nob Hill is also closely connected to Chinatown through multi-generational families living in Nob Hill and shopping, doing business, and visiting family members. Many Nob Hill residents shop and socialize exclusively in Chinatown.

We basically live our lives north of California Street and east of Van Ness. Other districts being discussed to absorb our neighborhood face issues and lifestyles distinctly dissimilar from ours. Districts 2 and 6 represent opposites of where our neighborhood exists. District 2 is more affluent and homogenous. District 6, which sprawls into the South of Market area, faces unique challenges that our residential neighborhood simply does not share. We know we will be better represented by maintaining our ties with the same neighbors with whom we have worked and lived for the past 19+ years.

Regarding the 2020 Census and its impact on Redistricting

The 2020 census was conducted as the unfolding COVID19 pandemic created--according to USPS change of address requests--a dramatic population outflow from San Francisco. A deep dive into the USPS data reported by the news site *Hoodline* in November 2020 demonstrated that the highest rate of out-migration was from zip code 94109, followed by the 94110-zip code in the Mission.

Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association – PANA

Pre-Covid, District 3 was the densest in the country per capita. Our neighborhood, which suffered San Francisco's greatest population losses during the census/pandemic year, should not be excised to another district based on what is surely an aberration caused by the pandemic outflow.

Though we can't cite statistics particular to our neighborhood, according to a July 2021 *San Francisco Chronicle* analysis of postal data, the peak net monthly loss of humans, per the USPS, came in August 2020 when there were 7,000 more changes of address for people departing SF than there were people moving in. Net out-migration in June 2021 was 1,600, just above the 1,400 seen in May, which is right around the 1,500 net departures seen in March 2020.

A more recent Chronicle analysis of Consumer Credit Panel data indicates the city's population shift may not have reversed itself.

None of this speaks to the historic draw of the Nob Hill area to those returning to the city as the pandemic recedes and lower rents attract new and returning residents.

We respectfully ask that your guidance be to maintain Nob Hill within Supervisorial District 3, along with our traditional friends and allies in Russian Hill, North Beach, and Telegraph Hill.

Sincerely,

Robyn Tucker
Betsy Brill
Co-Chairs, Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Perviz Randeria](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); frfbeagle@gmail.com
Subject: FW: District 2 Redistricting Proposal
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:07:37 PM
Attachments: [LHIA & JPIA MAP OF D2001.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Perviz Randeria <pervera@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Monday, February 14, 2022 12:11 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com

Subject: District 2 Redistricting Proposal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I strongly prefer to remain within D2 as shown on the attached map and boundaries. I believe these best serve my personal Community of Interest as well as the Community Interest of my Laurel Heights neighborhood where we have had for many years a sense of Community with the neighborhood groups throughout the District.


Thank you.

Perviz E. Randeria
415-386-6318
Pervera@sbcglobal.net

March 2019

of the π - π system.

ATTACHMENT 1



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: chock313@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting recommendations for Cole Valley
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:07:01 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: chock313@gmail.com <chock313@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2022 12:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting recommendations for Cole Valley

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi there,

I'm a 20 year resident of Cole Valley and wanted to chime in on redistricting for my area. I've read about your process and am encouraged to see that you want to keep communities of interest grouped together. Here are my thoughts:

- Keep the boundaries of Cole Valley Improvement Association (CVIA) together. This group has been active in the community for many years and serve a very strong community of interest. Specific neighborhoods that this includes/should be kept together are:
 - Cole Valley
 - Upper Haight Ashbury
 - Panhandle / Park North
 - Parnassus Heights
 - Ashbury Heights
 - Clarendon Heights
- Beyond keeping CVIA boundaries together, here are some other neighborhoods that that I think have a natural affinity / communities of interest with Cole Valley/Haight Ashbury:
 - NOPA / Divisadero St.
 - Inner Sunset
 - Lower Haight
 - Corona Heights / Buena Vista
 - Duboce Triangle
 - Castro
- Here are some communities currently in District 5 that I think Cole Valley/Haight Ashbury area has very little affinities with and can probably be dropped from D5 / the new District that Cole Valley/Haight Ashbury is in:
 - Western Addition
 - Japantown
 - Lower Pacific Heights (Bush / Post streets)

Thanks for listening.

Sincerely,
Chris Hock
1585 Shrader St.,
SF, CA 94117

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [carole.glosenger](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Cole Valley and the Upper Haight Ashbury
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:06:07 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: carole glosenger <carole.glosenger@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2022 11:10 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Cole Valley and the Upper Haight Ashbury

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The Cole Valley Improvement Association is a longstanding, integral, diverse and valued neighborhood organization which is dedicated to serving all residents and businesses of The Greater Haight Ashbury. For 32 years, the CVIA has sponsored numerous community events benefiting both residents and local businesses.

Cole Valley Street Fair

Santa on Cole

Clean Cole Street (we employ a crew to clean the Cole Street business district twice per day)

Grattan Elementary School

Eat Local Cole Valley (provided coupons to encourage neighbors to support local restaurants)

Membership on the Community Police Advisory Board

Membership on the Kezar Stadium Advisory Board

Members of the Cole Valley Improvement Association urge the Redistricting Task Force to keep Cole Valley and the Upper Haight Ashbury together. This will ensure the historical importance of the connection between these two neighborhoods.

The CVIA boundaries are: Oak St. to Divisadero, Divisadero to Waller, to Buena Vista West, to 17th Street, to Clayton, to Clarendon, to Stanyan, to Parnassus, to Arguello, to Stanyan, to Oak.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Carole Glosenger, President

Cole Valley Improvement Association

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)
Subject: FW: Proposed Map for D2
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:05:39 PM
Attachments: [LHIA & JPIA MAP OF D2001.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2022 7:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Proposed Map for D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

I meant to attach this version of our map with the prior email.
It's a little prettier.
Thanks,
Richard Frisbie

Map
of the
2nd Supervisorial District
City and County of
San Francisco, California





Prepared by the Department of Elections

March 2019

— Precinct Boundary

ATTACHMENT 1



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [David; REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please do not include Seacliff and north of Lake Street in District 1
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:04:17 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David <droma4@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2022 8:34 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please do not include Seacliff and north of Lake Street in District 1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for your service to our city. Seacliff and north of Lake Street do not fit the character or the community of the Richmond district and should not be part of District 1. If District 1 needs to be expanded, it should be to the east or south.

I have lived in the Richmond for 40 years; first on 33rd Avenue and for the last 25 years on La Playa Street near Balboa. I know the Richmond district and have walked or driven down every street. I play golf at Lincoln Park and my wife and I are members of the Fine Arts Museum and regularly visit the Legion of Honor. We frequent the businesses on Geary and Clement and know the concerns of our community.

If you start walking north from Clement Street, you will notice the neighborhood start to change, the houses are bigger and their appearance more upscale. Once you cross California Street the change is unmistakable and once you get to Lake Street you are in a different neighborhood; these homes are mansions and there are few apartment buildings. Walk north of Clement to north of Lake Street, on any of the Avenues and you will see what I mean. In my opinion, these residents can't share the same concerns the renters and working families of the Richmond have. They live in a different world.

A good comparison is the Katherine Delmar Burke School, an elite private school for girls with an annual tuition of \$41,000, located at 32nd Avenue and California compared to Lafayette Middle School at 35th Avenue and Anza. Geographically, they are quite close, in other respects, they are worlds apart.

Please keep the Richmond community whole so our interests can be properly represented.

David Romano
San Francisco CA 94121

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Grant Ingram](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Request for D1 to be Re-united with Lake Street and Seacliff Corridor
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:03:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Grant Ingram <grant.ingram@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2022 4:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Request for D1 to be Re-united with Lake Street and Seacliff Corridor

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident in the Outer Richmond District (D1) near Lincoln Park.

I want to strongly encourage the D1 boundaries to be reunited to include the Lake Street and Seacliff area.

My family and I (wife, 2 daughters) have lived in this district for over 10 years. We love this neighborhood and we regularly walk around the Lands End, Seacliff, and the Lake Street area (currently D2). We absolutely consider these neighborhoods to be united with the D1 Richmond District. As you have heard from other callers, residents are frankly shocked to learn that the Seacliff and Lake Street corridors were separated from D1 through improper gerrymandering. There is absolutely no rational reason that these contiguous communities are divided at California Street.

Here are some real life examples. Both current D1 and the Seacliff /Lake Street area both are adjacent to **Lincoln Park** and **Lands End**. Both neighborhoods have the common goal of preserving and maintaining Lincoln Park and Lands End. Both neighborhoods send their kids to the same public schools and both use the same public transportation. Reuniting this neighborhood will correct a past mistake and satisfy your stated goals of shared community and contiguity and compactness.

Lastly I want to address the argument of lifetime bureaucrat Eric Mar who argues that the Seacliff/Lake Street neighborhoods must remain in D2 to prevent prejudice to less affluent neighbors who live in D1. This is a horribly cynical and jaded view of San Franciscans, and frankly racist. Mr. Mar's argument is effectively that we must disenfranchise the residents of Lake Street and Seacliff in order to ensure that Asians and low income residents will be disenfranchised. Such an argument, if used as the basis for keeping Seacliff and Lake Street separated from D1, is illegal and totally ridiculous. Mr. Mar should try uniting people and be more optimistic about the motivations of his San Francisco neighbors.

Thank you for listening to my feedback.

Best regards,

Grant Ingram
106 Point Lobos Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94121
415-519-1144

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Leslie Podell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support Presidio Heights being a part of D1
Date: Monday, February 14, 2022 5:03:23 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leslie Podell <leslie@podell.com>
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2022 3:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support Presidio Heights being a part of D1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Leslie Podell and I live at 3966 Washington Street sf ca 94118. I am in strong support of being a part of D1. I drive through D1 to get to many of my daily obligations. I shop, eat, buy groceries and recreate in D1. I have most of my family connections and friends in D1. My community is D1 and any policy or events in D1 effect my life personally. The Marina may as well be in Marin as far as my life is concerned. Please stop doing these crazy gerrymanderings and unite me with my neighborhood that I live in.

Thank you,

Leslie Podell

www.lesliepodell.com

leslie@podell.com

415-885-9395