

Revised FY 20-21 and FY 21-22 Budget Submission Summary of Major Changes

Summary of General Fund Changes

| | FY 20-21 | FY 21-22 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Base General Fund Support - please use "base amt" value in the return MBO analyst provides | 122,334,749 | 123,893,351 |
| Mandatory Reduction Requirement - MBO analyst will provide value | 5,135,171 | 7,702,756 |
| Department Proposal: Changes from Base Budget | | |
| Total GF Expenditure Reduction (use negative values for all reductions) | (5,135,171) | (7,702,756) |
| Total GF Revenue Changes (use positive values for increased revenue) | | |
| Total GF Change from Base (should match mandatory reduction requirement value in line 5) | 5,135,171 | 7,702,756 |
| Total FTE Change from Base (formula from 1B) | - | - |

(Operating funds 10000, 28070, & 28100 only)

Mandatory Reduction Requirement & Proposed Operational Changes

| Project, program, or expenditure description - add additional rows if needed | FY 20-21 GF Savings | FY 21-22 GF Savings | FY 20-21 NGF Savings | FY 21-22 NGF Savings | FY 20-21 # FTE impacted, if applicable | FY 21-22 # FTE impacted, if applicable | Please briefly describe justification & impact on department | Which loadsheet lines correspond to this change? |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Admin - Workorder to City Attorney | (70,000) | (70,000) | (30,000) | (30,000) | | | Reduce City Attorney legal services | |
| Admin Enterprise Agreement - Microsoft | (1,330,000) | | (570,000) | | | | Delay purchase of 0365 email security components - EMS & ATP from Microsoft contract | |
| Admin - Temp Salaries | (56,000) | (56,000) | (24,000) | (24,000) | | | Reduce IT Engineering Interns from 12 to 4 | |
| Applications Support - Oracle compliance | (70,000) | (70,000) | (30,000) | (30,000) | | | Delay Oracle compliance initiative | |
| Application Support - Support of Citywide telephony application | (245,000) | (245,000) | (105,000) | (105,000) | | | Delay Citywide telephony application refresh | |
| Client Support - Microsoft United support- comprehensive City-wide technical support for all MS products | (210,000) | (210,000) | (90,000) | (90,000) | | | Reduce MS Unified contract costs | |
| Cloud Services - VmWare | (140,000) | (140,000) | (60,000) | (60,000) | | | Reduce DT VmWare costs | |
| Cloud Services - Gartner technical subscription | (39,200) | (39,200) | (16,800) | (16,800) | | | Eliminate Gartner technical subscription | |
| CyberSecurity - contract services | (224,471) | (224,471) | (96,202) | (96,202) | | | Reduce various Cyber contract costs | |
| JUSTIS - Oracle middleware | (70,000) | (70,000) | (30,000) | - | | | Reduce Oracle contract costs | |
| JUSTIS - Commvault | (141,050) | (141,050) | (60,450) | - | | | Reduce Commvault contract costs | |
| Network - 200 Paul rent | (402,620) | (1,064,289) | (172,552) | (456,124) | | | Eliminate rent costs as a result of moving out of 200 Paul to 1011 Turk | |
| Policy and Governance - OnStrategy | (131,933) | (131,933) | (56,543) | (56,543) | | | Eliminate OnStrategy consulting costs | |
| Policy and Governance - Recruiting costs | (75,012) | (75,012) | (32,148) | (32,148) | | | Reducing recruitment costs including 1 seat at LinkedIn | |
| Policy and Governance - travel costs | (13,300) | (13,300) | (5,700) | (5,700) | | | Reduce travel except for minor field expenses (tokens, fast passes) | |
| Policy and Governance - training costs | (69,770) | (69,770) | (29,901) | (29,901) | | | Reduce training budget by 50% | |
| Policy and Governance - Gartner subscription | (42,000) | (42,000) | (18,000) | (18,000) | | | | |
| Public Safety Radio - radio site costs | (70,000) | (70,000) | (30,000) | (30,000) | | | Reduce budget to actual level | |
| Postions costs - assuming salary savings from MOU adjustment delay | (350,000) | | (150,000) | | | | Assuming credit for salary savings from delay of MOU adjustment | |
| Position cost - attrition of 5.25 FTE's | (522,334) | | (223,858) | | | | Realize savings by holding the hires for 5.25 FTE's | |
| Telephone - Cellular costs | (758,091) | (758,091) | (324,896) | (324,896) | | | Realize savings by reducing Citywide cellular costs by 15%, primarily accounts with no usage | |
| Telephone - PBX and circuit disconnects | (104,390) | (104,390) | (44,739) | (44,739) | | | Savings from specific PBX site shutdowns and elimination of related PBX maintenance and circuit costs | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total Proposed Savings | (5,135,171) | (3,594,506) | (2,200,788) | (1,450,052) | - | - | | |

Mandatory FY 20-21 5% Contingency

| Project, program, or expenditure description - add additional rows if needed | FY 20-21 GF Savings | FY 20-21 # FTE impacted, if applicable | Please briefly describe justification & impact on department | Which loadsheet lines correspond to this change? |
|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| DT currently working on identifying additional potential savings from one-time savings or holding recruitment of vacant positions. | | | | |

Revised FY 20-21 and FY 21-22 Budget Submission Summary of Major Changes

Summary of General Fund Changes

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |

Narrative - Programs and Services Impacted in FY 20-21 & FY 21-22

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>What are the core operations the department is planning to continue in FY 20-21? How will those operations be adjusted given both public health guidelines and budgetary reduction requirements?</p> | <p>Please see attached narrative document from CIO</p> |
| <p>What programs, projects, and services are you proposing to change, reduce, suspend, or cancel for FY 20-21 and FY 21-22? How are these changes reflected in this proposal?</p> | <p>Please see attached narrative document from CIO</p> |
| <p>What are the impacts for the department, staffing levels, public services, and residents of these reductions? Do any of the proposed budget reductions directly support vulnerable populations?</p> | <p>Please see attached narrative document from CIO</p> |
| <p>What ideas do you have about achieving citywide savings that might be applicable to other departments or require coordination? Are you proposing any reductions to discretionary work orders (IDS) - have you communicated and reached agreement with the other department?</p> | <p>Please see attached narrative document from CIO</p> |