

From: [Council of Community Housing Organizations](#)
To: [Doe, Publications \(REG\)](#)
Subject: Ballot Digest request for reconsideration -- Surplus Public Sites
Date: Saturday, August 01, 2015 1:54:07 PM
Attachments: [Surplus Public Sites ballot digest reconsideration request.docx](#)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Council of Community Housing Organizations** <ccho@sfic-409.org>
Date: Sat, Aug 1, 2015 at 1:52 PM
Subject: Ballot Digest request for reconsideration -- Surplus Public Sites
To: BSC <publications@sfgov.org>

Ballot Simplification Committee members

We request that you consider two refinements to the ballot digest for the Surplus Public Sites measure that you crafted on July 31st. Please see the attached document with those changes shown inserted in the text.

The first is to make clear in the 5th bullet point that for surplus properties that are not used 100% for affordable housing the measure's affordability standards noted here are understood as *minimums*. That is in the text of the measure and is also consistent with the Proposition K standards adopted last November.

The second is in the 4th bullet point, which is to strike the language alluding to "unless the Board approves the contract," as there is no exception to the 120-day review period by MOHCD of surplus sites. In fact that is a key element of the measure to allow sufficient period of time for feasibility analysis of a site without concern that it might be sold by an agency while under review.

Thank you for your consideration of these final changes, and for your good work crafting this digest for this fairly detailed ballot measure.

Regards,
Fernando Marti and Peter Cohen

SF Council of Community Housing Organizations
The voice of San Francisco's affordable housing movement
325 Clementina Street, San Francisco 94103
[415-882-0901](tel:415-882-0901) office
www.sfccho.org

Surplus Public Sites ballot measure digest
Request for Reconsideration
August 1, 2015

Proposed revisions shown in **BOLD RED ITALIC CAPS TEXT**

The Way It Is Now: San Francisco has a policy of using real property the City does not need (surplus property) to build affordable housing. If the property is not suitable for housing, it can be sold and the proceeds used to build affordable housing elsewhere. Under the City's policy, affordable housing is housing that is affordable to households earning up to 60% of the area median income.

City departments are required to annually identify surplus property. The City transfers the surplus property to the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, which then determines if the property is suitable for affordable housing. If so, the City solicits applications from nonprofit organizations serving the homeless to build affordable housing on the property. City property controlled by the Recreation and Parks Commission, the Port, the Airport, the Public Utilities Commission, and the Municipal Transportation Agency is exempt from the sale requirements.

The Proposal: Proposition __ would:

- expand the allowable uses of surplus property to include building affordable housing for a range of households from those with very low income (homeless and those earning under 20% of the area median income) to those with incomes up to 120% of the area median income;
- in surplus property developments with 200 or more units, allow mixed-income projects that include affordable, middle-income and market-rate housing;
- expand the annual process for identifying surplus property with specific reporting dates, public hearings and oversight by the Board of Supervisors;
- prohibit City departments from entering into contracts relating to surplus property that the City is considering developing for affordable housing for 120 days ~~UNLESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPROVES THE CONTRACT;~~
- on surplus property sold by the City, require that **A MINIMUM OF** 33% or more of the total housing units created be affordable-- **AT LEAST** 15% affordable to people earning up to 55% of the area median income and 18% affordable to people earning up to 120% of the area median income;
- maintain exemptions for City property controlled by the Recreation and Parks Commission, the Port, the Airport, the Public Utilities Commission, and the Municipal Transportation Agency; and
- make it City policy to ask all other local agencies, such as school districts, to notify the City before selling property in San Francisco and give the City the opportunity to buy it for affordable housing.

Proposition ____ would allow the Board of Supervisors to waive the requirements of this law for public purposes, such as creating facilities for health care, child care, education, open space, public safety, transit and infrastructure.

A "YES" Vote Means: If you vote "yes," you want the City to expand the allowable uses of surplus property to include building affordable housing for a range of households from those who are homeless or those with very low income to those with incomes up to 120% of the area median income.

A "NO" Vote Means: If you vote "no," you do not want to make these changes.