

Request for Reconsideration – Received from Carol Leigh on August 4, 2008 (after deadline of 12:30 p.m. on August 1, 2008)

Dear Ms. Carr,

I received this minor correction to the Prostitution measure and I am passing it on to you

CC: Greg

Hello Carol,

One point of feedback on the proposed text for the voters pamphlet.

I see a potential misunderstanding with the syntax in the "A YES VOTE MEANS" section;

The first line is clear - stop enforcing prostitution laws.

-the second line could pose confusion as it goes on to state "enforce existing laws
This could be construed as a continuation of the first line's intent which would mislead many voters to decipher the prop to also stop enforcement of existing laws against coercion, assault rape ...

I suggest that a verb be placed at the beginning of the second line to differentiate it more clearly from the prohibitive intent of the first line:

IE: "Enact enforcement of existing criminal laws that prohibit crimes of coercion, assault..."

-or-

"Continue to enforce existing"

"Increase enforcement of existing...."

Right, but I think it is too late! Good point. I am forwarding to the person from the committee.

--

Carol Leigh
Bay Area Sex Workers Advocacy Network
<http://www.bayswan.org>