

Request for Reconsideration from Brian Browne, received August 2, 2008:

Ms. Carr - Thank you for your email. I appreciate the great diligence and patience of the commissioners.

Points for Consideration (reconsideration) by the Ballot Simplification Committee

The City **does** sell electric power to San Francisco residents, commercial entities, and the city government. To say otherwise is inaccurate.

I do not find mention that passage of Proposition X would potentially create SFPUC as a sole source provider of power in the San Francisco service area and exclude all other power providers.

The HH system produces on average approximately 190 MWH on a 24/7 basis. The city currently uses approximately 110 MWH of HH power. This seems inconsistent with the bullet point "By 2012, at least 107 MWH."

PG&E is an "investor owned utility regulated by the CPUC." SFPUC is a self regulating utility. All municipal utilities in California are self-regulating.

Voter approval of revenue bond issuance has already been significantly eroded -- Prop B in 2001, Prop E in 2002, Prop A in 2008, Charter 9.107 exceptions, etc. Passage of Proposition X would transfer most of the remaining voter oversight of revenue bonds to the Board/SFPUC, etc. Voters should know this.

Revenue Bonds - "Public Utilities Commission is hereby authorized to issue revenue bonds, including notes, commercial paper or other forms of indebtedness." It is not just revenue bonds that voters are potentially approving with Proposition X. These other debt instruments should be included in your summation.

Thank you for reading -

Brian Browne