

# Monitoring Report Fiscal Year 22-23 Behavioral Health Services

Section: BHS-SUD Target Population:

Agency: San Francisco AIDS Foundation Site Visit Date: June 18, 2024

Program Reviewed:SFAF Stonewall Project - PROP 4 ALLReport Date:July 8, 2024

Program Code(s): PROP4ALL Review Period: July 1, 2022-

June 30, 2023

Site Address: 1035 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94103 Finalized Date: 08/21/2024

**CID/MOU#**: 11493 **Appendix #**: A-4

Funding Source(s): Other

On-Site Monitoring Team Member(s): Elissa Velez

Program/Contractor Representatives: Brenda Kiner, Tracey Packer, Javier Saucedo, Kyle Temple, Rick Andrews, and

Wayne Rafus

**Overall Program Rating:** 2 - Improvement Needed/Below Standards

# **Category Ratings:**

4 = Commendable/Exceeds Standards			3 = Acceptable/Meets Standards					
2 = Improvement Needed/Belov	= Improvement Needed/Below Standards			1 = Unacceptable				
N/A Program Performance 1 Program Deliverables			4	Program Compliance	4	Client Satisfaction		

#### **Sub-Categories Reviewed:**

Program Performance	Program Deliverables	Program Compliance	Client Satisfaction
Achievement of Performance Objectives	Unduplicated Clients (Unscored)		Satisfaction Survey Completed and Analyzed

#### MONITORING REPORT SUMMARY

Agency/Program: San Francisco AIDS Foundation/SFAF Stonewall Project - PROP 4 ALL

Findings/Summary: • The services provided by this program were funded by the Sources listed on page 1.

- The program was exempt of contracted performance objectives.
- The program met 43.2 percent of its contracted units of service target.
- A review of the administrative binder evidenced 82.4 percent of required compliance items.
- The program was exempt of site premise review.
- The program was exempt of Chart Documentation compliance.
- The program completed its client satisfaction survey.
- The program analyzed the client satisfaction results.

The San Francisco AIDS Foundation's Stonewall Project - PROP 4 ALL Program, is under the DPH Population Behavioral Health Services (BHS) System of Care.

The aim of the program is to reduce the harms caused by methamphetamine, opioids, and other substance use among populations of San Francisco at risk of overdose, HIV, and HCV. The program is a positive reinforcement program.

Program staff take particular pride in PROP 4 ALL for its ability to reach a broad audience through its low-barrier, easy-access structure. Among all the clients at SFAF, this program serves one of the most marginalized groups. Clients receive peer support and form meaningful relationships with staff. Notably, the program is now working with mothers and heterosexual-identified clients for the first time, demonstrating its inclusive approach to addressing a citywide issue.

Leadership has recognized the dedication of the program staff, who have consistently served clients with unwavering commitment, even in the face of substantial challenges. This dedication reflects the heart and soul of those who staff the services. The program is now looking to expand its contract to work with the African American/Black population.

**Challenges**: Early in the fiscal year, the PROP 4 ALL program site experienced a fire in the hotel above, leading to a nearly six-month closure of the program. In addition to the site closure, the street was closed due to road work, and the program was told they could not serve food. Due to these extensive barriers, the program shifted services to its Market Street location.

FY21-22 Plan of Action required?	[]	Yes	[X]	No	
If "Yes", describe program's imple	menta	ation.			
FY22-23 Plan of Action required?	[X]	Yes	[]	No	See Section 5: Plan of Action Required Report.

I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, acknowledge finding and recommendations with issues addresses and timelines I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, disagree with finding signed by:  William Temple, AVP Behavioral Health and Community Print Name and Title  RESPONSE TO THIS REPORT DUE:	gs, and attached a Plan of Action in response to deficiencies of for correction stated.  gs, response to recommendations attached.  8/19/24  Date
I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, acknowledge finding and recommendations with issues addresses and timelines I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, disagree with finding signed by:    Turple   Signature of Authorized Contract Signatory (Service Provider)	gs, and attached a Plan of Action in response to deficiencies of for correction stated.  gs, response to recommendations attached.  8/19/24  Date
I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, acknowledge finding and recommendations with issues addresses and timelines I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, disagree with finding signed by:    Tuple   Tuple   Signature of Authorized Contract Signatory (Service Provider)	gs, and attached a Plan of Action in response to deficiencies of for correction stated.  gs, response to recommendations attached.  8/19/24  Date
I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, acknowledge finding and recommendations with issues addresses and timelines.  I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, disagree with finding signed by:	gs, and attached a Plan of Action in response to deficiencies for correction stated. gs, response to recommendations attached.  8/19/24
I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, acknowledge finding and recommendations with issues addresses and timelines.  I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, disagree with finding signed by:	gs, and attached a Plan of Action in response to deficiencies for correction stated. gs, response to recommendations attached.
I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, acknowledge finding and recommendations with issues addresses and timelines.  I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, disagree with finding	gs, and attached a Plan of Action in response to deficiencies for correction stated.
I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, acknowledge finding and recommendations with issues addresses and timelines	gs, and attached a Plan of Action in response to deficiencies for correction stated.
I have reviewed the Monitoring Report, acknowledge finding	gs, and attached a Plan of Action in response to deficiencies
L <del>X</del> I	
PROVIDER RESPONSE: (please check one and sign below)	
न्धिवाममञ्ज्ञाति Title: SOC Director	
llu pom	
DocuSigned by:	
Signature of Authorizing System of Care Reviewer	
ാName ജൻ Title: Jerna Reyes, BOCC Director	
Mua Rugus งงฟอกาล Title: Jerna Reyes, BOCC Director	
DocuSigned by:	
Signature of Authorizing Departmental Reviewer	
<sup>24</sup> Nଥନୀଙ୍କିମ୍ପାଖ-Title: Elissa Velez, Business Office Contract Compli	ance Manager
11884. (11175)	
Signature of Author of This Report  USSA VUUS  USSA VUUS	



χ BOCC monitor approves POA

BOCC Monitor does not approve POA

BOCC Monitor Comments (If Applicable)

# **Program Performance & Compliance Findings**

# **Rating Criteria:**

4	3	2	1
Over 90% = Commendable/ Exceeds Standards	71% - 90% = Acceptable/Meets Standards	51% - 70% = Improvement Needed/ Below Standards	Below 51% = Unacceptable

#### **Overall Score:**

1. Program Performance (30 points possible):		
Achievement of Performance Objectives (0-30 pts):	N/A	
Program Performance Points:	0	

Total Points Given: 24/45=53%

# Points Given: 0/0 Category Score: 0% Performance Rating: N/A

#### Performance Objectives and Findings with Points

l.1	70% of clients who complete at least 8 weeks of the program "agree" that they learned new skills to address their substance use goals while enrolled in the PROP program.	Points:
1.2	60% of clients who complete at least 8 weeks of the program "agree" that they either stopped or reduced their use of stimulants (methamphetamine/cocaine) while in the PROP program.	Points:
I.3	90% of the clients who self-report having stopped or reduced their use of stimulants (from question 2), will have negative U/A toxicology results or clinical notes that will be verified by the PROP Program Director validating the reduction or abstinence in the use of stimulants.	Points:

#### **Commendations/Comments:**

Due to challenges encountered over the past year, the Population Behavioral Health System of Care has exempted the program Performance Objectives for the reporting period.

#### **Identified Problems, Recommendations and Timelines:**

The program Objectives were significantly impacted by events at the 6th Street Harm Reduction Center over the past few years. The lingering effects of COVID-19 slowed the return of groups and meetings. In May 2022, a fire at the Rose Hotel above the 6th Street Harm Reduction Center (HRC) led to a nearly six-month closure and caused the program to lose data. Staff conducted outreach, called clients, and made immense efforts to maintain contact. Despite these efforts, the program faced extensive barriers to providing consistent services and challenges with the physical space, resulting in a 40% completion rate. Due to the lost data, follow-up was challenging, and the program was unable to report on the last two objectives.

# 2.Program Deliverables (20 points possible):

Units of Service	e Deliverabl	es (0-20 pts):		0	43%	of Contracted Units of Service
		Program Delive	rables Point	<b>s:</b> 0		
Points Given:	0/20	Category Score:	0%	Performance R	ating:	Unacceptable

# **Units of Service Delivered**

Program Code	Service Description	Contracted/Actual
PROP4ALL	SecPrev-19 - SA-Sec Prev Outreach	3,000 1,297

#### **Unduplicated Clients by Program Code**

Program Code	Contracted/Actual			
PROP4ALL	100	109		

#### **Commendations/Comments:**

Based on the final invoice of the funding term (Invoice # S02JU23), the program met 43.2% of the contracted Units of Service (UOS). The actual Unduplicated Client Count (UDC) count was 109%.

In addition to the reported UOS, the program achieved several other successful metrics:

Enrolled: 109Urine Tests: 1,294

• Successful Program Completions: 36

Narcan Distribution

• Aftercare Services: 28 UDC; 252 Contacts; 40 Groups

## **Identified Problems, Recommendations and Timelines:**

Due to site closure and other challenges experienced at the program site, meeting deliverables was difficult. Staff explained that maintaining consistent programming was also a challenge because of ongoing issues with the location. Eventually, program services were shifted to the SFAF Market Street location. At this time the HRC is open and providing services.

#### 3. Program Compliance (40 points possible):

A. Declaration of	of Compliand	ce Score (5 pts):		5		Submitted Decla	ration
B. Administrative Binder Complete (0-10 pts):				9		82% of items in o	compliance
C. Site/Premises Compliance (0-10 pts):				N/A			
D. Chart Documentation Compliance (0-10 pts):			ts):	N/A			
E. Plan of Action (if applicable) (5 pts):				5		FY21-22 POA implemented FY21-22 POA	POA was required was submitted, accepted and submitted, not fully implemented required, not submitted
Program Compliance Points			ints:	19			
Points Given:	19/20	Category Score:	S	95%	Cor	npliance Rating:	Commendable/ Exceeds Standards

#### **Commendations/Comments:**

As of the time of writing this report, the new SFAF facility was not being used to see clients, so the Site review was not applicable. The program successfully met 82% of the required items in the Administrative Binder.

#### **Identified Problems, Recommendations and Timelines:**

A valid fire clearance was not evidenced for SFAF's 6th St Harm Reduction facility. A request for a Plan of Action (POA) is issued for this missing requirement.

No ADA form or required trainings were evidenced either. No POAs are required at this time; BOCC will prioritize reviewing these requirements at the next monitoring cycle.

The following required item(s) were not located in the program's Administrative Binder:

- Ensure Access to Services for Persons with Disabilities (ADA Form)
- Fire Clearance/Life Safety
- Required Trainings

# 4. Client Satisfaction (10 points possible): Program-Specific Client Satisfaction Survey

Scoring Category	Scoring Criteria	Points
Completed Program Specific Survey	Yes = 2, No = 0	2
Results Analyzed	Yes = 3, No = 0	3
Program Performance as Rated by Clients	50-59% of clients satisfied = 1 60-69% of clients satisfied = 2 70-79% of clients satisfied = 3 80-89% of clients satisfied = 4 90-100% of clients satisfied = 5	N/A
	Client Satisfaction Points:	5

Points Given:	5/5	Category Score:	100%	Client Satisfaction Rating:	Commendable/ Exceeds Standards
---------------	-----	-----------------	------	-----------------------------	--------------------------------

#### **Commendations/Comments:**

The PROP 4 ALL program conducted a client satisfaction survey in FY22-23, receiving three completed satisfaction surveys and 15 quick surveys assessing outcomes.

100% (15/15) of clients who responded to the survey question and had completed at least 8 weeks of the program "agree" that the services they received helped them reach their substance use goals. Additionally, 100% (15/15) of clients "agree" that they would recommend this program to a friend in need of similar help.

#### **Identified Problems, Recommendations and Timelines:**

As a result of the fire and the site closure, the program lost files and data and only had three surveys available. The program recognizes the need to increase the survey return rate. To address this, program staff have described efforts to implement a new quality improvement plan aimed at obtaining more surveys. This plan includes creating a single, electronic survey that will upload directly into the program's electronic health record system, Welligent.

# 5. Plan Of Action Required Report

#### Attach your Plan Of Action to the signed Monitoring Report for submission to DPH within the deadline on page 3.

Other Deficiencies				
1. Administrative Binder	The program must submit a POA to obtain a valid fire clearance and be ready to provide proof during the next monitoring cycle.			
2. Trainings	The program must submit a POA to establish a mechanism for tracking and storing evidence of all DPH-required trainings prior to the next BOCC review.			



August 16, 2024

San Francisco AIDS Foundation

Program: SFAF Stonewall Project - BHS PROP for ALL\_SUD

# Monitoring Report FY 22-23 Plan of Action

Action item #1: Administrative Binder Compliance

Plan of Action for improving Administrative Binder Compliance

Objectives:

Review compliance requirements for all facets of Administrative Binder Identify deficiencies in content

Locate and file missing elements to have on hand for next Monitoring visit

Action item #2: Trainings - establish a mechanism for tracking and storing evidence of all DPH-required trainings prior to the next BOCC review

**Plan:** Outline for Improving Management of Required Staff Training Records Objectives:

Establish a centralized system for tracking and documenting required staff training records.

Improve the efficiency and accuracy of training record management.

Enhance collaboration between the program director, director of program planning and evaluation, and the learning and development team.

Steps:

**Assess Current Processes** 

Review existing methods for tracking and documenting staff training records.

Design a Centralized Training Record Management System

Determine the required data elements for staff training records (e.g., employee name,

training course, completion date, certification expiration).

Establish clear policies and procedures for maintaining and updating training records.

Implement the Centralized System

Migrate existing training records into the new system.

Provide training and support to the program director, director of program planning and evaluation, and learning and development team on the new system.

Implement regular meetings or check-ins to discuss training needs, compliance, and record-keeping

Implement process improvements and system enhancements as needed