#### **BOARD OF APPEALS**

Date Filed: May 28, 2024



City & County of San Francisco

### **REHEARING REQUEST FOR APPEAL NO. 24-024**

Ali Shafie, Appellant(s) seeks a rehearing of Appeal No. 24-024 which was decided on May 15, 2024. This request for rehearing will be considered by the Board of Appeals on Wednesday, June 26, 2024, at 5:00 p.m. and will be held in Room 416 of San Francisco City Hall. The parties may also attend via the Zoom video platform.

Pursuant to Article V, § 9 of the Rules of the Board of Appeals, the **response** to the written request for rehearing must be submitted by the opposing party and/or Department no later than **10 days from the date of filing, on or before June 7, 2024** and must not exceed six (6) double-spaced pages in length, with unlimited exhibits. The brief shall be double-spaced with a minimum 12-point font size. An electronic copy should be e-mailed to: <a href="maileology.com/boardofappeals@sfgov.org">boardofappeals@sfgov.org</a> julie.rosenberg@sfgov.org and <a href="maileology.com/al5553@yahoo.com/al5553@yahoo.com/al5553@yahoo.com/al5553@yahoo.com/al5553@yahoo.com/al655553@yahoo.com/al65553@yahoo.com/al655553@yahoo.com/al655553@yahoo

You or your representative **MUST** be present at the hearing. It is the general practice of the Board that only up to three minutes of testimony from each side will be allowed. Except in extraordinary cases, and to prevent manifest injustice, the Board may grant a Rehearing Request only upon a showing that new or different material facts or circumstances have arisen, where such facts or circumstances, if known at the time, could have affected the outcome of the original hearing.

Based on the evidence and testimony submitted, the Board will make a decision to either grant or deny your request. Four votes are necessary to grant a rehearing. If your request is denied, a rehearing will not be scheduled and the decision of the Board will become final. If your request is granted, a rehearing will be scheduled, the original decision of the Board will be set aside, and after the rehearing, a second decision will be made. Only one request for rehearing and one rehearing are permitted under the Rules of the Board.

Requestor

Signature: Via Email

Print Name: Ali Shafie, requestor

Dear Mrs. Julie Rosenberg and members of Board of appeal,

I am requesting a re-hearing based on the standards required by the board of appeal.

This is based on

- 1- Extraordinary circumstances that exist and rehearing is needed to prevent manifest injustice.
- 2- New or different facts or circumstances have arisen that if known at the time of the original hearing could have effected outcome

I am requesting for fair accommodation to allow me to overcome my disabilities and being able to answers the upcoming questions by the board of appeal and its commissioners. I'm requesting to stop my time when I pause and loose the string of thoughts or giving me extra minutes. Being in public and speaking is an unimaginable weight on my chest. I take frequent pauses to allow me to gather my thoughts, and would ask that the clock stop when I pause and restarted when I begin speaking Please let me know what are my options in order to go through this process to the best of my capabilities.

Extraordinary circumstances that exist in regards to mini split legalization appeal and rehearing is needed to prevent manifest injustice and the new evidence that has surfaced and it is keep comping up.

1- New evidence has surfaced about undisclosed serious health safety issues and disregards of the permit holder and not speaking a word about it during the obtaining the permit in 2024. This is about lack of enough oxygen and level of carbon monoxide in the commercial unit that it was known to the owner, Mr. Olson. Test results where presented to the owner of the commercial unit in 2021; this is 6 years after alleged full

installation that is not entirely true, as the tenant had no ideal of existing AC.if you see the installation it fairly new.

Contractor never spoke of this unhealthy work environment, neither the permit holder. That is While contractor stating about stepping up and talking about me having peace of mind. Bringing an architect to present a plan that mirror the job done in 9 years ago means simply drawing what was done without consulting with an independent architect. This sound like dictating a pre executed flawed plan that has no concern for safety and health of the tenant/ previous employees of the permit holder or potential buyers that will blindly go into a contract. (exhibit A1 through A10) that is an email chain back in 05/2021) that clearly shows the knowledge of the permit holder of this important and sensitive information about safe work environment) this exhibit contains the original email chain and individually highlighted for you to see the key points about undisclosed information for permit obtained 9 years later. This is about lack of enough oxygen and level of carbon monoxide in the commercial unit that it was known to the owner, Mr. Olson. We don't see any report of this anywhere and if according to the contractor and the permit holder

- 2- The tenant of permit holder continuously caused security risk by leaving 3 doors open back to back and bring the heat and polluted air directly from the street into the building common area of residential units.
- 2A- First using trash as door stopper leaving the door from street/ side walk to the commercial unit. (Exhibit B 1)
- 2B- opening the door from the commercial unit to the lobby with another trash can and also using a small fan that was pushing the pollution to the elevator hall which is

located between the commercial unit and the storage units door and then to a hallway that has no air flow. (Exhibit B2)

2c- most importantly opening the 3rd door which is the door to the storage units area of the building which should be double locked. (Exhibit B3, B4)

This was an on going issue. When finally the tenants where approached about using the airflow system (Mr.Olson likes to call it HVAC/ AC while only an air flow was approved), His tenant said what AC?? Please read the emails that shows there was no AC to be used and all it is there. (Exhibit C: sign on the board for keeping all the doors closed.)

Exhibit D: alarm testing report. Please see AC shutdowns as N/A non applicable.

4- The permit holder was mentioning putting a window there but that didn't happen.

Also he closed and blocked the only small air flow that was provided by the Mali slot on the door and entrance. (Exhibit E)

5- according to the contractor, he goes right away to the DBI after informed in march of the 2023 by permit holder. He was told he needs plans and acquired an architect. Permits was approved in February 2024. The date on permit request in July of 2023 and final mechanical inspection was approved on September 2023. This case was on its way to the CES with notice of violation for lack of permit and plans (Exhibit F).

6- Extraordinary circumstances that exist and a rehearing prevents manifest of injustice.

Unjust refusal of scheduled inspection and inaccurate notes. One example again about the mini split which inspector Micheal Allen refuses to come for inspection and in his note you will see no point of contact, he had my number and address and had met me

in person, it was scheduled for inspection. I even sent a text to ask for ETA (Exhibit G) and on his note on the complaint (Exhibit G-a) data sheet says "no contact info and most importantly it is mentioned the scope of work may have expanded". Same day I called 311 and got connected with him and after he didn't show up and he said he has no reason to come because there is permit on file.

The permits where issued in 2024 with certain requirements. No speak or the disclosed health hazard in the commercial unit anywhere. The permit holder mentions that everything was installed at the same time in 2015 up to the code. Mr. Neville Pereira had some concern about that big silver box. the contractor was telling they went above the board but there was work done in between. The system doesn't look like from 2015. Please see the (exhibit H and H-1) correction of the cutting through fire wall after 2023. This installation in not 9 years old.

The first time the mini split was mentioned vaguely and it wasn't even mentioned, it was by inspector Michael Allen in July of 2023.

Where is the notice of violation. Mr, Neville Pereira said they get involved after a notice of violation is issued and they follow to see if everything is up to the code. Please see notes of inspector Michale Allen from PID. (Exhibit I)

(Exhibit J) EID permit status complete July 2023.

The extraordinary circumstances exist base on the approval without visit and issuing permits and rehearing is needed to prevent manifest injustice.

Sincerely

Ali Shafie

05/28/2024

From: Sean Olson <solson@fpacific.com>

To: Tricia Shafie <a href="mailto:com">tricia Shafi

#### Hi Trish,

Thanks for confirming. I'm surprised they would want that door open all day as I recall opening it on hot days myself and the noise/people was very distracting. I actually believe the tenant is convinced of the oxygen being a serious issue (whether they are correct or not is another issue). They shared a bunch of test results and appear genuinely concerned about it.

One last question. Are they keeping the Rear Commercial Unit door (to the Elevator lobby) closed? I just want to make sure they are indeed no longer opening that during the day and we will then speak with them again on trying to find a resolution.

Sean



Sean Olson President First Pacific Group, Inc





- (415) 409-6200 x2101 | 415-409-6201
- solson@fpacific.com
- fpacific.com
- 39 Avila St, San Francisco, CA 94123

We're Hiring!

On Thu, Aug 19, 2021 at 11:01 AM Tricia Shafie < <a href="mailto:triciashafie@gmail.com">triciashafie@gmail.com</a>> wrote:

Thank you very much for being on top of this. With regard to your questions above, here are my answers:

- 1. Yes, correct;
- 2. Yes, correct. The door is open from the moment someone arrives, around 8 am, and remains open throughout the day from what I have observed. It seems odd that there are low oxygen levels as soon as they arrive in the building;

  3. Yes, in fact yesterday, I could feel and smell the outside pollution in the small lobby elevator area between Ste. A and the storage

units. With the not weather and smoke and fire now making its way to SF, this will only get worse;

4. Again, as mentioned above, since they open the door as soon as they arrive in Ste. A, this really undercuts their claims of lack of fresh air from breathing in such a small room over a prolonged period of time. I'm sorry, I just don't believe their claims based on this behavior. At some point, their word may not be enough;

5. That hopefully will solve the problem, and I agree that it's a logical first step to try.

Thanks again Sean; I really appreciate your time and attention to this matter. Thanks,

Trish

On Tue, Aug 17, 2021 at 7:47 PM Sean Olson <<u>solson@fpacific.com</u>> wrote: | Hi Trish,

Apologies for not following up on this. I've been swamped with work and preparing to move.

I want to make sure we understand the latest issues on this and will then once again address them with our tenant.

- 1. Tenant was previously opening the front Suite A (outside) door to the sidewalk and the rear Suite A (elevator lobby) door at the same time. This was not appreciated by the other residents as it allowed noise and outside air to flow into the building's common area.
- 2. We talked with our tenant and after discussing the matter, they agreed to stop opening the rear Suite A (elevator lobby) door; however continue to open the front Suite A (outside) door during the day. Is this correct and are they doing this all day or just part of the day? Please confirm.
- 3. I understand you believe having even just the front Suite A (outside) door is bringing in outside air/fumes to the building and this is somehow accumulating in your storage unit? Please confirm.
- 4. I understand you believe they are doing this to avoid running the AC and incurring a larger power bill. I wish it was that simple however they have informed Nolan that they are concerned about the oxygen level in the small office space dropping during the day to unsafe levels (from breathing in a confined space for hours). I'm surprised by this however he has purchased sensors and appears very certain this is the case. I personally worked in this space for many years and did not notice it however I never purchased any sensors and found the AC made it much nicer to be in the space despite the small size. Without the AC I completely agree it was very unpleasant in the commercial unit. Even with the AC running the power bills were still low so I would be surprised if that is their reason.
- 5. Assuming the tenant's concerns are with the lack of fresh air/oxygen into the space then perhaps the solution is making one of the small front exterior windows open to the outside to allow some air flow. This could then be opened even while running the AC. It would still bring in some air however not as much and hopefully make everyone happy.

Best, Sean



Sean Olson President First Pacific Group, Inc



- (415) 409-6200 x2101 | 415-409-6201
- solson@fpacific.com
- fpacific.com
- 39 Avila St, San Francisco, CA 94123

We're Hiring!

On Mon, Aug 2, 2021 at 4:11 PM Tricia Shafie < <a href="mailto:triciashafie@gmail.com">triciashafie@gmail.com</a>> wrote:

Thanks Sean, I really appreciate it! Let me know how I can help. We don't have a fridge or store anything cold in our unit; just dry and canned goods, extra waters things like that.

On Aug 2, 2021, at 3:58 PM, Sean Olson < solson@fpacific.com > wrote:

Hi Trish

I'll speak with Nolan and the tenants when I'm back to see what can be done. Traveling all day tomorrow and then back in the office after that.

We also need to review the lease as I don't think I can realistically tell them to close the only access they have to fresh air. That would be like telling a tenant they cannot open their windows.

Based on my understanding their issue was all about getting oxygen into the small space rather than saving on utilities. The tenant said the oxygen levels go down during the day while they are breathing in the small space (I can't recall exactly if it was low oxygen levels or high carbon monoxide) however they indicated it was being created naturally simply from them being in a small space without an open window.

To be honest I'm surprised by this as I personally worked in the same space for many years with the door closed and did not experience any issues.

I want to find a solution here, however I'm not sure what our options are yet. I also want to better understand how the front door can affect the rear storage areas as they are separated by numerous closed doors. Is the food being stored in the storage areas with a refrigerator? That would create a heat/ventilation issue as well.

Best, Sean



Sean Olson
President
First Pacific Group, Inc





- (415) 409-6200 x2101 | 415-409-6201
- solson@fpacific.com
- fpacific.com
- 39 Avila St, San Francisco, CA 94123

We're Hiring!

On Mon, Aug 2, 2021 at 11:18 AM Tricia Shafie < <a href="mailto:triciashafie@gmail.com">triciashafie@gmail.com</a>> wrote:

Thanks so much for your quick reply! Yes, I'm happy to opine about why I think they are connected. It is because the same issues are present as were last time this was an issue—not just the heat (sorry for not being clear), but there is also dirt, dust and odors that are being pulled in from their front door and pushing through to the elevator and then storage unit spaces. We are (and have been since the beginning of the pandemic) storing food stuffs in our unit, and a heat spike is not good for them. Not to mention the pollution and odors.

All of these issues stopped when your tenants closed both doors. Frankly, I'm really surprised that the air conditioner, which filters air, is not eliminating the CM issue. And further, why aren't the building's CM monitors going off? When we spoke to your tenants last time this happened, they said point blank to my face that they didn't know what I was talking about in relation to an air conditioner. This leads me to believe that they are not running the AC for whatever reason, most likely a cost-saving one.

Regardless, I think the better way to approach this is to get to the bottom of where/why there is such an unhealthy CM build up, even with the running of the AC. Is it coming from the street? In which case, closing the doors is the best thing that could happen. Is it coming from the building? If so, that is troublesome for everyone in the building. Can you talk to them about why they aren't running the AC, and come to some arrangement where utilities are included, if their rent is slightly increased? If they don't have to worry about AC bills, then that might be the solution.

Do you think the building should have some sort of CM subject matter expert come out and assess what is happening? I think the window could be a short term fix, but this seems like a problem that needs more attention. What do you think? Thanks,

Trish

On Mon, Aug 2, 2021 at 12:29 PM Sean Olson < solson@fpacific.com > wrote:

Hi Trish,

Thanks for the heads up. I'm out of town this week however I can request Nolan speak with them.

The tenant said they have a serious health concern as the Carbon Monoxide levels go up during the day without some source of fresh air (and even with the AC running). They purchased a sensor and were surprised by the results. I felt confident in telling them to keep the common area door closed (to the elevator hallway) during the day as that is the HOA's property however it will be more difficult to require them to keep the front door to their space closed as that is not required in the lease. The Carbon Monoxide issue is also difficult to argue with as it is a small enclosed space so fresh air is a legitimate concern of the tenants.

Perhaps we should look at making one of the small upper windows operable? Would that be a better fix for you and give them the ability to pull in some fresh air without leaving the entire door open.

What makes you think the front door is affecting the rear storage areas? I'm not sure how they would be connected.

Best, Sean



#### Sean Olson

President

First Pacific Group, Inc





- (415) 409-6200 x2101 | 415-409-6201
- solson@fpacific.com
- fpacific.com
- 39 Avila St, San Francisco, CA 94123



On Mon, Aug 2, 2021 at 9:18 AM Tricia Shafie < <a href="mailto:triciashafie@gmail.com">triciashafie@gmail.com</a>> wrote: | Hi Sean,

I'm just checking in about this again. Things have been great until this morning. While the tenants are keeping the internal door shut, they are keeping the street door open. This, I think, is causing our storage unit to heat up. I don't know if it's a cost-saving thing or what, but the items we are keeping in our storage unit, which has always been on the cool, moist side, are warming up. Would you be able to ask your tenants to keep the front door closed as well and to use the air conditioner?

I am very sorry to bother you with this but would very much appreciate it if the front door could remain shut, to see if that fixes the heat problem in the storage unit area. Let me know if you have any questions about what's happening. Thanks,

Trish

On Thu, May 20, 2021 at 7:37 PM Sean Olson <<u>solson@fpacific.com</u>> wrote:

Nolan reached out to them today. Hopefully that solves it however let us know if there are any further issues.

Best, Sean



Sean Olson President

First Pacific Group, Inc.





- (415) 409-6200 x2101 | 415-409-6201
- solson@fpacific.com
- fpacific.com
- 39 Avila St, San Francisco, CA 94123



On Thu, May 20, 2021 at 4:24 PM Tricia Shafie <a href="mailto:kirciashafie@gmail.com">kirciashafie@gmail.com</a>> wrote: | Thanks Sean that's wonderful. Most appreciated.

On May 20, 2021, at 3:50 PM, Sean Olson < solson@fpacific.com > wrote:

Hi Trish,

Sorry for the delayed reply. I was up in the mountains and out of signal range for a bit.

I have no idea why they would be leaving both doors open and sorry about the inconvenience. Yes, we will speak to them right away. In general they have been good and quiet tenants so I'm optimistic we can solve this quickly. We also already installed air conditioning years ago to avoid the need for this.

Please let us know if the problem persists.

Best, Sean




### Sean Olson

President

First Pacific Group, Inc





- solson@fpacific.com
- fpacific.com
- 39 Avila St, San Francisco, CA 94123

We're Hiring!

On Tue, May 18, 2021 at 10:37 AM Tricia Shafie < triciashafie@gmail.com> wrote: | Hi Sean,

I hope you and your family are doing well. I'm sorry to bother you but we are getting noise and odors from

outside (lots of car, truck and bus exhaust) because your tenants are leaving their front door as well as the elevator door open. Can you please ask them to at least keep the internal building door shut? I thought that was the point of the air system that was installed - to aid with air flow. Thanks so much,
Trish Sent from my iPad

On Tue, May 18, 2021 at 10:37 AM Tricia Shafie < triciashafie@gmail.com > wrote: Hi Sean,

I hope you and your family are doing well. I'm sorry to bother you but we are getting noise and odors from outside (lots of car, truck and bus exhaust) because your tenants are leaving their front door as well as the elevator door open. Can you please ask them to at least keep the internal building door shut? I thought that was the point of the air system that was installed - to aid with air flow. Thanks so much,

Trish Sent from my iPad On May 20, 2021, at 3:50 PM, Sean Olson <solson@fpacific.com> wrote:

Hi Trish,

Sorry for the delayed reply. I was up in the mountains and out of signal range for a bit.

I have no idea why they would be leaving both doors open and sorry about the inconvenience. Yes, we will speak to them right away. In general they have been good and quiet tenants so I'm optimistic we can solve this quickly. We also already installed air conditioning years ago to avoid the need for this.

Please let us know if the problem persists.

Best, Sean



#### Sean Olson

President

First Pacific Group, Inc





- (415) 409-6200 x2101 | 415-409-6201
- solson@fpacific.com
- fpacific.com
- 39 Avila St, San Francisco, CA 94123

We're Hiring!

### On Thu, May 20, 2021 at 4:24 PM Tricia Shafie < triciashafie@gmail.com > wrote: Thanks Sean that's wonderful. Most appreciated.

On Mon, Aug 2, 2021 at 9:18 AM Tricia Shafie < triciashafie@gmail.com > wrote: Hi Sean,

I'm just checking in about this again. Things have been great until this morning. While the tenants are keeping the internal door shut, they are keeping the street door open. This, I think, is causing our storage unit to heat up. I don't know if it's a cost-saving thing or what, but the items we are keeping in our storage unit, which has always been on the cool, moist side, are warming up. Would you be able to ask your tenants to keep the front door closed as well and to use the air conditioner?

I am very sorry to bother you with this but would very much appreciate it if the front door could remain shut, to see if that fixes the heat problem in the storage unit area. Let me know if you have any questions about what's happening. Thanks.

Trish

On Mon, Aug 2, 2021 at 12:29 PM Sean Olson <solson@fpacific.com> wrote: Hi Trish.

Thanks for the heads up. I'm out of town this week however I can request Nolan speak with them.

The tenant said they have a serious health concern as the Carbon Monoxide levels go up during the day without some source of fresh air (and even with the AC running). They purchased a sensor and were surprised by the results. I felt confident in telling them to keep the common area door closed (to the elevator hallway) during the day as that is the HOA's property however it will be more difficult to require them to keep the front door to their space closed as that is not required in the lease. The Carbon Monoxide issue is also difficult to argue with as it is a small enclosed space so fresh air is a legitimate concern of the tenants.

Perhaps we should look at making one of the small upper windows operable? Would that be a better fix for you and give them the ability to pull in some fresh air without leaving the entire door open.

What makes you think the front door is affecting the rear storage areas? I'm not sure how they would be connected.

Best, Sean



Sean Olson

President

First Pacific Group, Inc





solson@fpacific.com

fpacific.com

39 Avila St, San Francisco, CA 94123

<u>We're Hiring!</u>

On Mon, Aug 2, 2021 at 11:18 AM Tricia Shafie < <a href="mailto:triciashafie@gmail.com">triciashafie@gmail.com</a> wrote:

Thanks so much for your quick reply! Yes, I'm happy to opine about why I think they are connected. It is because the same issues are present as were last time this was an issue -- not just the heat (sorry for not being clear), but there is also dirt, dus and address that are being rulled in from their front door and pushing through to the clearly and then storage unit appears. We

issues are present as were last time this was an issue -- not just the heat (sorry for not being clear), but there is also dirt, dust and odors that are being pulled in from their front door and pushing through to the elevator and then storage unit spaces. We are (and have been since the beginning of the pandemic) storing food stuffs in our unit, and a heat spike is not good for them. Not to mention the pollution and odors.

All of these issues stopped when your tenants closed both doors. Frankly, I'm really surprised that the air conditioner, which filters air, is not eliminating the CM issue. And further, why aren't the building's CM monitors going off? When we spoke to your tenants last time this happened, they said point blank to my face that they didn't know what I was talking about in relation to an air conditioner. This leads me to believe that they are not running the AC for whatever reason, most likely a cost-saving one.

Regardless, I think the better way to approach this is to get to the bottom of where/why there is such an unhealthy CM build up, even with the running of the AC. Is it coming from the street? In which case, closing the doors is the best thing that could happen. Is it coming from the building? If so, that is troublesome for everyone in the building. Can you talk to them about why they aren't running the AC, and come to some arrangement where utilities are included, if their rent is slightly increased? If they don't have to worry about AC bills, then that might be the solution.

Do you think the building should have some sort of CM subject matter expert come out and assess what is happening? I think the window could be a short term fix, but this seems like a problem that needs more attention. What do you think? Thanks,

Trish

On Aug 2, 2021, at 3:58 PM, Sean Olson < solson@fpacific.com > wrote:

Hi Trish.

I'll speak with Nolan and the tenants when I'm back to see what can be done. Traveling all day tomorrow and then back in the office after that.

We also need to review the lease as I don't think I can realistically tell them to close the only access they have to fresh air. That would be like telling a tenant they cannot open their windows.

Based on my understanding their issue was all about getting oxygen into the small space rather than saving on utilities. The tenant said the oxygen levels go down during the day while they are breathing in the small space (I can't recall exactly if it was low oxygen levels or high carbon monoxide) however they indicated it was being created naturally simply from them being in a small space without an open window.

To be honest I'm surprised by this as I personally worked in the same space for many years with the door closed and did not experience any issues.

I want to find a solution here, however I'm not sure what our options are yet. I also want to better understand how the front door can affect the rear storage areas as they are separated by numerous closed doors. Is the food being stored in the storage areas with a refrigerator? That would create a heat/ventilation issue as well.

Best, Sean



### Sean Olson

President

First Pacific Group, Inc





- (415) 409-6200 x2101 | 415-409-6201
- solson@fpacific.com
- fpacific.com
- 39 Avila St, San Francisco, CA 94123

We're Hiring!

On Mon, Aug 2, 2021 at 4:11 PM Tricia Shafie <a href="mailto:criticalshafie@gmail.com">criticalshafie@gmail.com</a> wrote: Thanks Sean, I really appreciate it! Let me know how I can help. We don't have a fridge or store anything cold in our unit; just dry and canned goods, extra waters things like that.

Apologies for not following up on this. I've been swamped with work and preparing to move. I want to make sure we understand the latest issues on this and will then once again address them with our tenant. 1. Tenant was previously opening the front Suite A (outside) door to the sidewalk and the rear Suite A (elevator lobby) door at the same time. This was not appreciated by the other residents as it allowed noise and outside air to flow into the building's common area. 2. We talked with our tenant and after discussing the matter, they agreed to stop opening the rear Suite A (elevator lobby) door; however continue to open the front Suite A (outside) door during the day. Is this correct and are they doing this all day or just part of the day? Please confirm. 3. I understand you believe having even just the front Suite A (outside) door is bringing in outside air/fumes to the building and this

- is somehow accumulating in your storage unit? Please confirm. 4. I understand you believe they are doing this to avoid running the AC and incurring a larger power bill. I wish it was that simple however they have informed Nolan that they are concerned about the oxygen level in the small office space dropping during the day
- to unsafe levels (from breathing in a confined space for hours). I'm surprised by this however he has purchased sensors and appears very certain this is the case. I personally worked in this space for many years and did not notice it however I never purchased any sensors and found the AC made it much nicer to be in the space despite the small size. Without the AC I completely agree it was very unpleasant in the commercial unit. Even with the AC running the power bills were still low so I would
- 5. Assuming the tenant's concerns are with the lack of fresh air/oxygen into the space then perhaps the solution is making one of the small front exterior windows open to the outside to allow some air flow. This could then be opened even while running the AC. It would still bring in some air however not as much and hopefully make everyone happy.

Best. Sean

Hi Trish.

### Sean Olson PACIFIC President First Pacific Group, Inc.

be surprised if that is their reason.

- (415) 409-6200 x2101 | 415-409-6201 solson@fpacific.com

On Tue, Aug 17, 2021 at 7:47 PM Sean Olson <solson@fpacific.com> wrote:

fpacific.com

On Aug 2, 2021, at 3:58 PM, Sean Olson < solson@fpacific.com > wrote:

Hi Trish.

I'll speak with Nolan and the tenants when I'm back to see what can be done. Traveling all day tomorrow and then back in the office after that.

We also need to review the lease as I don't think I can realistically tell them to close the only access they have to fresh air. That would be like telling a tenant they cannot open their windows.

Based on my understanding their issue was all about getting oxygen into the small space rather than saving on utilities. The tenant said the oxygen levels go down during the day while they are breathing in the small space (I can't recall exactly if it was low oxygen levels or high carbon monoxide) however they indicated it was being created naturally simply from them being in a small space without an open window.

To be honest I'm surprised by this as I personally worked in the same space for many years with the door closed and did not experience any issues.

I want to find a solution here, however I'm not sure what our options are yet. I also want to better understand how the front door can affect the rear storage areas as they are separated by numerous closed doors. Is the food being stored in the storage areas with a refrigerator? That would create a heat/ventilation issue as well.

Best, Sean



### Sean Olson

President

First Pacific Group, Inc





- (415) 409-6200 x2101 | 415-409-6201
- solson@fpacific.com
- fpacific.com
- 39 Avila St, San Francisco, CA 94123

We're Hiring!

On Thu, Aug 19, 2021 at 11:01 AM Tricia Shafie < <a href="mailto:triciashafie@gmail.com">triciashafie@gmail.com</a>> wrote:

Thank you very much for being on top of this. With regard to your questions above, here are my answers:

1. Yes, correct;

- 2. Yes, correct. The door is open from the moment someone arrives, around 8 am, and remains open throughout the day from what I
- have observed. It seems odd that there are low oxygen levels as soon as they arrive in the building;

  3. Yes, in fact yesterday, I could feel and smell the outside pollution in the small lobby elevator area between Ste. A and the storage units. With the hot weather and smoke and fire now making its way to SF, this will only get worse;
- 4. Again, as mentioned above, since they open the door as soon as they arrive in Ste. A, this really undercuts their claims of lack of fresh air from breathing in such a small room over a prolonged period of time. I'm sorry, I just don't believe their claims based on this behavior. At some point, their word may not be enough;
- 5. That hopefully will solve the problem, and I agree that it's a logical first step to try.

Thanks again Sean; I really appreciate your time and attention to this matter. Thanks,

Trish

From: Sean Olson <<u>solson@fpacific.com</u>>
Date: August 19, 2021 at 12:14:16 PM PDT
To: Tricia Shafie <<u>triciashafie@gmail.com</u>>

Cc: "Pamella Olson (San Francisco)" cpamellaolson@gmail.com>, Nolan Buttner <a href="mailto:nbuttner@fpacific.com">nbuttner@fpacific.com</a>>

Subject: Re: tenants pulling outside air into building by constantly leaving front door and elevator lobby door

Hi Trish,

Thanks for confirming. I'm surprised they would want that door open all day as I recall opening it on hot days myself and the noise/people was very distracting. I actually believe the tenant is convinced of the oxygen being a serious issue (whether they are correct or not is another issue). They shared a bunch of test results and appear genuinely concerned about it.

One last question. Are they keeping the Rear Commercial Unit door (to the Elevator lobby) closed? I just want to make sure they are indeed no longer opening that during the day and we will then speak with them again on trying to find a resolution.

Best, Sean



Sean Olson President


First Pacific Group, Inc.





- (415) 409-6200 x2101 | 415-409-6201
- solson@fpacific.com
- fpacific.com
- 39 Avila St, San Francisco, CA 94123


We're Hiring!











U.S.

Paid for by Nick Josefowitz for Supervisor 2018. 1782 Union Street, San Francisco, CA, 94123. Financial disclosures are available at sfethics.org

## Thomas - There were 2191 auto burglaries within hamile of your home at 864 N Point St last year.

PROPERTY CRIME MAP OF YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD



WE CANDO BETTER

security grand that from locked and unlocked killes and participated and unlocked and

1. Audio bumpin's All Commer, All Servery/Section Visition of Properties, Contribute SIPO (principlesses All Carts in Section 2017).

2. Callium property commercial Sections Section of Sections (See Francisco, Contribute SIPO) (principlesses All Carts in Section 2017).

### TOM JUE COMPANY 870 NORTHPOST HOA. 870 CHATHAINT 10 (5%) 282-5244 CONTACT SERVICE CALL 1. CONTROL PANEL CONDITION & PUNCTION HALKAP BATTERES MOKE DETECTORS 4. HEAT DETECTORS S. PLAL STATIONS ALDIBLE AND DIT VISUAL DEVICES.

IT. HAVE PERSONNEL SEEN INSTRUCTED ON PROPER USE

14 EMERGENCYCKIT LIGHTING SYSTEMS

ED ACTION TO BE

AUTOMATIC SPRINGLER SYSTEMS

y. SPRINGER THEAV. REMOTE ANNUACIATION B COOR HOLDER RELEASE 15 AC SHUTDOWN

NOUNCHANN ST #3 ELEVATOR RECALL

ADDITIONAL SURVEY REQUIRED.

16 FIRE EXTINGUISHERS

THE WASHINGTON WITH THE ABOVE NOTED DOFECTS

NEG AUTHORISIO ON OF STREET, SALES ALARM TESTING REPORT AZZ Myok Way San Francisco, CA \$4127

**EVECUENCY** 

Fax: 415-037-6828 Cell: 415-716-5292 Email: fyrtom@yahoo.com

Store

COME WALL

3030

FAIL.

263" Coa

DATE

/U/Se>e\_\_ TOM JUE & COMPANY Has Performed The Following Work: TESTING OF YOUR FIRE

WHETHE CHANNEL CHICA SERVICE PASS

NO

PLEASE CONTACT OUR OFFICE FOR ESTIMA COST AND SCHEDULE FOR REPHIRS

MATERIAL CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

15 ones Co, 10-Year Berry Cruber MALEXIDE PETS. X COMMENTS & REPRESENCE ASPERS & TES Refres out. 2) esplace D. C.) ADDL BATTS & SWITTES Q 40 FOR

COSTANDO PROSEZ FRANCIER PLA TEST HEAD & GARAGE

LE ACCEPTANCE INSTITUTION & EVENTAL (13) SHOWN DETERMENT

CONTRE & INSTALL (1) HISSING (1) FAIRED (ON VETECTOR

DYDTOM IS OUT OF SERVICE

FRE DEPT NOTPHO

### Department of Building Inspection

Plumbing Permit Details Report

Report Date:

9/27/2023 12:02:09 PM

Application Number:

PM20230713901

Address(es):

0025 / 038 : 870

NORTH POINT

ST

Description:

INSTALL NEW MINI SPLIT HEAT PUMP: MITSUBISHI# SUZK18NA

Stage:

<b>Action Date</b>	Stage	Comments
9/13/2023	COMPLETE	6071566 Final Inspection/Approved
7/13/2023	ISSUED	
7/13/2023	FILED	

### Contractor Details:

License Number:

486084

Name:

RICHARD KETTELL

Company Name:

ASSOCIATED HEATING OF SF

Address:

5786 MISSION ST SAN FRANCISCO CA, 94112

Phone:

4155850145

### Appointment Details:

Appointment Date	Appointment AM/PM	Appointment Code	Appointment Type	Description	1
9/13/2023	AM	WS	Web Scheduled	FINAL MECHANICAL INSPECTION	

### Inspection Details:

<b>Activity Date</b>	Inspector	Inspection Description	Inspection Status	
9/13/2023	David Gotelli	FINAL MECHANICAL INSPECTION	FINAL MECHANICAL INSPECT APPRVED	


For information, or to schedule an inspection, call 558-6570 between 8:30 am and 3:00 pm.


Online Permit and Complaint Tracking home page.


### Technical Support for Online Services

If you need help or have a question about this service, please visit our FAQ area.

21:48







Text Message Fri, Aug 18 at 07:39

Good morning inspector,
This is from 870 n point.
The given time frame is 8:30
to 12:00. Do you have an ETA?
Appreciate it.

inspection, call: 558-6030.

ring l

bout

COMPLAINT DATA SHEET

City and County of San Francisco Department of Building Inspection 49 South Van Ness Ave, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-1226

202312377 COMPLAINT NUMBER:

OWNER/AGENT:

OWNER'S PHONE --

CONTACT PHONE --

CONTACT NAME

COMPLAINANT:

DATE FILED: 17-AUG-23

LOCATION:

870 NORTH POINT ST

**BLOCK:** 0025

LOT: 007

SITE:

RATING:

OCCUPANCY CODE

RECEIVED BY: Suzanna Wong DIVISION: BID

Anonymous

Not Available

COMPLAINT SOURCE: OFFICE VISIT

ASSIGNED TO DIVISION: PID

COMPLAINANT'S PHONE --

**DESCRIPTION:** Mini split HVAC unit installed without benefit of a building permit and mechanical plan check in utility room common area. Large A/C unit installed in utility room common area. Cutting holes in ceiling, cutting through the fire wall. Outside original scope of permit for unit installed in garage wall by commercial unit suite A.

INSTRUCTIONS:

INSPECTOR INFORMATION

PRIORITY DISTRICT DIVISION INSPECTOR ID

6370 ALLEN PID

REFFERAL INFORMATION

COMMENT TO REFERRED BY DATE

COMPLAINT STATUS AND COMMENTS

COMMENT DIVISIONINSPECTOR STATUS DATE TYPE

CASE RECEIVED MALLEN 17-AUG-23 CASE OPENED

CASE CLOSED MALLEN

Annon-No contact info. Researched 18-AUG-23 CASE OPENED permits - one Open mech. PM20230713901 Desc scope of work may have expanded. active permit & contractor - with no inspections scheduled to date. Complaint

Closed

COMPLAINT ACTION BY DIVISION

ACTION COMMENT DESCRIPTION DIVISION DATE

NOV (HIS) NOV (BID)

## ATUS

# COMMENT

RECEIVED

CLOSED

Annon-No contact info. Researched permits - one Open mech. PM20230713901. Desc scope of work may have expanded. active permit & contractor - with no inspections scheduled to date. Complaint Closed

# ACTION COMMENT





Division:

Description:

Commercial Unit, Unit A, water heater installed in the utility room, without permit

Instructions:

INSPECTOR INFORMATION

LIL

DIVISION	INSPECTOR	ID	DISTRICT	PRIORITY
PID	ALLEN	6370		

## REFFERAL INFORMATION

COMPLAINT STATUS AND COMMENTS

DATE	TYPE	THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PA	INSPECTOR	STATUS	COMMENT
07/05/23	CASE OPENED	PID	ICO Alli	CASE RECEIVED	
07/06/23	CASE OPENED	PID	Allen	CASE UPDATE	Research permits - nonc. No permit for unit A: r/r mini elect instantaneous WH, mini split system, newest maint replacement of Dom HW tank. Spoke with two prop owners at bldg - discussed complaint.: owners made aware - their contractors need to obtain permit for emerg work. Mini insta WH & the Dom HW - leaking/emerg By next wk.

COMPLAINT ACTION BY DIVISION

NOV (HIS):

NOV (BID):

Inspector Contact Information

Online Permit and Complaint Tracking home page.

# Electrical Permit Details Report

Report Date:

9/20/2023 8:23:04 AM

Application Number: E.202307148113

Address(es):

0025/038:870

NORTH POINT

ST

Description:

INSTALL 200 AMP 220 V CIRCUIT FOR MINISPLIT

## Stage:

Action Date	Stage	Comments
7/25/2023	COMPLETE	
7/14/2023	ISSUED	
7/14/2023	FILED	

## Contractor Details:

License Number: 626673

Name:

RICHARDSHAFER

Company Name: GRANITE ELECTRIC Address: 1042 YOSEMITE DR F

1042 YOSEMITE DR PACIFICA, CA 94044

Phone:

6508671372

## Appointment Details:

Appointment	Appointment	Appointment	Appointment Type	Description Time
Date	AM/PM	Code		Slots

## Inspection Details:

Inspector	Activity Date	Activity Code	Activity Code Descr.	Description
John Birmingham	7/25/2023	301	COMPLETE	Not Available
Christopher DeMarco	7/17/2023	404	OFFICE COMMENT / PHONE CALL	Not Available

Por information, or to schedule an inspection, call: 558-6030.

# Online Permit and Complaint Tracking home page.

# Technical Support for Online Services

If you need help or have a question about this service, please visit our FAQ area.

Contact SFGov Accessibility Policies
City and County of San Francisco © 2023

### RESPONSE BRIEF SUBMITTED BY THE PERMIT HOLDER(S)

Dear Julie Rosenberg and The San Francisco Board of Appeals,

With reference to appeal No. 24-024, Application # 202402206193 to legalize NOV # 202313790 I would like to submit the following response to the Appellant's rehearing request for appeal that I received on 05-28-24. The facts stated in my original response remain accurate and factual. There are no new material facts or circumstances that were not known at the time of the original hearing on 05-15-24.

The accusations being made by the Appellant are not accurate or relevant to the permit for the mini split system in question. The emails provided are dated 08-19-21 so this information has been available for years. Further this information is not relevant as the mini split system does not bring fresh air or oxygen in/out of the interior space. The mini split system is a closed system that recirculates the same interior air.

I have personally worked within this office space for many years both with and without the mini spilt system being installed. At no time did we ever experience a problem with the amount of oxygen in the space. The prior tenant who did mention these concerns was running numerous pieces of equipment within the space and that was the likely source of their issue. At that time, I also instructed the tenant to utilize the pre-existing fresh air supply fan and that resolved the problem. The fresh air supply fan is completely separate from the mini split system and was installed when the building was originally constructed.

The entire mini split system was indeed originally installed in 2015 including the "big silver box" and it has been within the utility room ever since without any complaints. The system would not function at all without this air handler and associated ducting. In connection with

obtaining the permit at this time the city inspector required all penetrations to be thoroughly

sealed and I understand this was completed at the direction of the inspector.

As originally stated, it was an oversight that the permit was not property obtained in 2015 and

both the contractor and I have apologized for that. We have worked diligently to get the mini

split system permitted ever since it was brought to our attention. I remain committed to working

with the Appellant on any legitimate concerns he may have, however I request he please refrain

from making additional baseless accusations against me.

Best Regards,

Sean Olson

415-999-4447

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

From: <u>Joe Amato</u>

To: BoardofAppeals (PAB)

**Subject:** Letter of support re Ali Shafie rehearing **Date:** Tuesday, July 2, 2024 3:10:46 PM

Attachments: RESCHEDULING GRANTED FOR APPEAL NO. 24-024 @ 870 NORTH POINT STREET.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

#### To whom it may concern,

We live at 870 North Point and have known Ali and his wife Tricia for a year and a half. They are kind and considerate neighbors. Ali is a very engaged owner/resident looking out for the good of the building and the residents. When an issue arises- whether it be the elevator, a leak, Recology services, security, etc., Ali quickly takes action toward resolution. Most building issues are handled at least initially by residents and more often than not it is Ali who is available to help assess the situation. With only 7 units, our building is a small community and we are fortunate to have Ali and Tricia as full time residents and neighbors.

Sincerely,
Joe & Suzanne Amato
870 North Point residents

Attached letter for reference.

DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR THE ORIGINAL HEARING	<b>DATED MAY 15, 2024</b>

#### **BOARD OF APPEALS, CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

Appeal of	Appeal No. <b>24-02</b>
ALI SHAFIE,	
Appellant(s)	
vs. )	
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION,	
Respondent	

#### **NOTICE OF APPEAL**

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT** on March 8, 2024, the above named appellant(s) filed an appeal with the Board of Appeals of the City and County of San Francisco from the decision or order of the above named department(s), commission, or officer.

The substance or effect of the decision or order appealed from is the ISSUANCE on February 23, 2024 to Sean Olson, of an Alteration Permit (legalize mini-split heat pump installation for existing ground floor office space; comply with NOV 202313790) at 870 North Point Street.

#### **APPLICATION NO. 2024/02/20/6193**

#### FOR HEARING ON May 15, 2024

Address of Appellant(s):	Address of Other Parties:
Ali Shafie, Appellant(s) 870 North Point Street #301 San Francisco, CA 94109	Sean Olson, Permit Holder(s)



Date Filed: March 11, 2024

#### CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF APPEALS

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT FOR APPEAL NO. 23-024

I / We, Ali Shafie, hereby appeal the following departmental action: ISSUANCE of Alteration Permit No. 2024/02/20/6193 by the Department of Building Inspection which was issued or became effective on: February 23, 2024, to: Sean Olson, for the property located at: 870 North Point Street.

#### **BRIEFING SCHEDULE:**

Appellant's Brief is due on or before: 4:30 p.m. on **April 25, 2024**, (**no later than three Thursdays prior to the hearing date**). The brief may be up to 12 pages in length with unlimited exhibits. It shall be double-spaced with a minimum 12-point font. An electronic copy shall be emailed to: <a href="mailto:boardofappeals@sfgov.org">boardofappeals@sfgov.org</a>, <a href="mailto:julie.rosenberg@sfgov.org">julie.rosenberg@sfgov.org</a>, <a href="mailto:corey.teague@sfgov.org">corey.teague@sfgov.org</a>, <a href="mailto:tina.tam@sfgov.org">tina.tam@sfgov.org</a>, <a href="mailto:mailto

Respondent's and Other Parties' Briefs are due on or before: 4:30 p.m. on **May 9, 2024**, **(no later than one Thursday prior to hearing date)**. The brief may be up to 12 pages in length with unlimited exhibits. It shall be doubled-spaced with a minimum 12-point font. An electronic copy shall be emailed to: <a href="mailto:boardofappeals@sfgov.org">boardofappeals@sfgov.org</a>, <a href="mailto:julie.rosenberg@sfgov.org">julie.rosenberg@sfgov.org</a>, <a href="mailto:corey.teague@sfgov.org">corey.teague@sfgov.org</a>, <a href="mailto:tina.tam@sfgov.org">tina.tam@sfgov.org</a> matthew.greene@sfgov.org and <a href="mailto:al5553@yahoo.com">al5553@yahoo.com</a>

Hard copies of the briefs do NOT need to be submitted to the Board Office or to the other parties.

Hearing Date: Wednesday, May 15, 2024, 5:00 p.m., Room 416 San Francisco City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place. The parties may also attend remotely via Zoom. Information for access to the hearing will be provided before the hearing date.

All parties to this appeal must adhere to the briefing schedule above, however if the hearing date is changed, the briefing schedule MAY also be changed. Written notice will be provided of any changes to the briefing schedule.

In order to have their documents sent to the Board members prior to hearing, **members of the public** should email all documents of support/opposition no later than one Thursday prior to hearing date by 4:30 p.m. to <a href="mailto:boardofappeals@sfgov.org">boardofappeals@sfgov.org</a>. Please note that names and contact information included in submittals from members of the public will become part of the public record. Submittals from members of the public may be made anonymously.

**Please note** that in addition to the parties' briefs, any materials that the Board receives relevant to this appeal, including letters of support/opposition from members of the public, are distributed to Board members prior to hearing. All such materials are available for inspection on the Board's website at <a href="www.sfgov.org/boa">www.sfgov.org/boa</a>. You may also request a hard copy of the hearing materials that are provided to Board members at a cost of 10 cents per page, per S.F. Admin. Code Ch. 67.28.

#### The reasons for this appeal are as follows:

See attachment to the Preliminary Statement of Appeal.

**Appellant or Agent:** 

Signature: Via Email

Print Name: Ali Shafie, appellant

Hello,

I have been checking with the code enforcement to find out about the date that this case supposedly go in front of the board of directors for hearing.

I was there today to ask them again, and it looks like a permit was obtain an issued NOV number is 202313790.

The complaint was filed and the case was opened on September 25 of 2023.

Below is the picture that was given to me at the counter of code enforcement.

I have raised my concern with Mr. Matthew Greene, in a meeting that happened in the DBI building. I also brought up the issues about the entire process specially avoidance of the few inspectors to show up for this complaint, while it was scheduled for them to show up.

This is not a civil matter, and this is about the approach to this project, and also also involves another notice of violation, which I believe it was canceled.

Please contact me as soon as possible we have also sought legal advice.

This is not a civil matter, and I believe there is a lot of questions about the notes previously Written by the inspectors about this installation.

Thank you so much for your attention and please see below

I am appealing the issued permit and consider this an official request for an appeal.

Ali Shafie 415-441-2341 870 north point street #301 San Francisco 94109

#### **Permit Details Report**

Report Date: 3/8/2024 3:42:33 PM

Application Number: 202402206193

Form Number: 8

Address(es): 0025 / 038 / 0 870 NORTH POINT ST

Description: LEGALIZE MINI SPLIT HEAT PUMP INSTALLATION FOR E GROUND FL OFFICE

SPACE. COMPLY WITH NOV 202313790

Cost: \$10,000.00 Occupancy Code: B,R-2 Building Use: 10 - OFFICE

#### **Disposition / Stage:**

<b>Action Date</b>	Stage	Comments
2/20/2024	TRIAGE	
2/20/2024	FILING	
2/20/2024	FILED	
2/23/2024	APPROVED	
2/23/2024	ISSUED	

#### **Contact Details:**

#### **Contractor Details:**

License Number: 486084

Name: RICHARD KETTELL

Company Name: ASSOCIATED HEATING OF SF

Address: 5786 MISSION ST \* SAN FRANCISCO CA 94112

Phone: 4155850145

#### **Addenda Details:**

**Description:** 

Station	Rev#	Arrive	Start	Out Hold	Finish		Review Result	Hold Description
CES		3/8/24	3/8/24		3/8/24	NG JOE	Approved	OK TO PROCESS RETRO
HIS		2/20/24	2/20/24		2/20/24	LAWRIE JAMES	Approved	
INTAKE		2/20/24	2/20/24		2/20/24		Administrative	
MECH		2/21/24	2/21/24		2/21/24	NAGATA TIMOTHY	Approved	отс
MECH- E		2/22/24	2/22/24		2/22/24	JACOBO MARCO	Approved	N/A for review.
SFFD		2/22/24	2/22/24		2/22/24	DAIJO PAUL	Administrative	02-22-24 N/A PD
СРВ		2/23/24	2/23/24		2/23/24	REN	Administrative	_

This permit has been issued. For information pertaining to this permit, please call 628-652-3450.

#### **Appointments:**

III	**.	* *	Appointment Type	Description Time Slots

#### **Inspections:**

#### Activity Date Inspector Inspection Description Inspection Status

#### **Special Inspections:**

Addenda No.	Completed Date	Inspected By	Inspection Code	Description	Remarks
0			AB26	NRCA-MCH-19-A OCCUPIED STANDBY ACCEPTANCE	
0			HK79	NRCI-MCH-E MECHANICAL	

For information, or to schedule an inspection, call 628-652-3400 between 8:30 am and 3:00 pm.

Station Code Descriptions and Phone Numbers

Online Permit and Complaint Tracking home page.

#### **Technical Support for Online Services**

If you need help or have a question about this service, please visit our FAQ area.

Contact SFGov Accessibility Policies
City and County of San Francisco © 2024

## BRIEF SUBMITTED BY THE APPELLANT(S)

Dear President SWIG Board of appeal,

My name is Ali Shafie, and I am appealing the permit application number# 202402206193 issued to legalize the NOV number 202313790 issued on September 25th, 2023. (Exhibit 1). First of all I thank you for your time and giving me the opportunity to talk to you.

I was told by inspector Jimmy Guaiumi that we will get a chance to speak in front of the board of directors hearing and bring up our concerns about the history of the building violations and DBI inspections.

Please see inspector Jimmy Guiamui notes sending this case to board of director for a hearing. (Exhibit 2)

While waiting for the hearing, a permit was issued to legalize this installation.

Please see (Exhibit 3) to see the installed HVAC size for a 446 square feet office that only serves that unit. No personal installation should be in the common area for personal use. You can see cutting through the ceiling in multiple location.

Mr. Olson the owner of the commercial unit was well aware of the violations as he is in property management business and familiar with DBI rules.

Not going through proper steps to get permit and creating loop hole for obtaining permit after installation is not appropriate.

Cutting through the ceiling in multiple location and firewall, create instability and weakens the fire wall and the structure of the building floor. We are not allowed even put a nail in the sub flooring even inside our Apartment.

The wiring for the unit installed outside of the garage was also not mentioned in the original NOV by inspector Birmingham. (Exhibit 4).

Inspector Birmingham told us on the day of his visit said that he dropped the ball on this one as he was not even in the office when it was abated and some sort of miscommunication with inspector

Demarco was another reason. He sounded honest and OK guy but things changed quickly. He said he will talk to the chief and he can handle the heat to take corrective action but it will be difficult. He mentioned that he is related to the chief of his unit. He also said that he would ask the water heater to be removed for overcurrent protection violation and lack of drainage.

Inspector Birmingham canceled the NOV <u>202312380</u> and closed the complaint (exhibit 5) on 09/19/2023 stating on the record that after further investigation, there was no electrical violation. Almost 1 month later while this building still was determined by the DBI standard an unsafe building and notice of violation was still in effect, Mr. Olson sent a plumber to try and correct the over current protection after the NOV was closed. He technically got away from fines. Please see the screen shot from my phone camera. (Exhibit 6).

How Mr. Birmingham turned so quickly baffles me to this day. Also during the time that someone should have come to take a look at the HVAC installation and violations surrounding it, multiple inspectors refused to come here, even with scheduled appointment. Including inspector Mr. Allan that later he said that there is no reason for him to come for inspection while there is a permit. Now if there was a permit why was this case sent to the board of director for a hearing?

I live in building that has over 150,000.00 work without permits never reported to the city. Clearly something is not right. I will only talk about the appeal to this HVAC system that has violated all the DBI standards but there is so much more going on in the bigger picture.

You will see on the notes of inspector Guaiumi that there is no permit.

Even in Mr. Olson's email to the building with proposal back in 2015, no mechanical drawing was included. What is the point of pre inspection and signing off on a project while you can buy your way out by simply cheating the system and using loopholes?

I respectfully request a sight visit to see what is really going on. I have a letter from the technical services that installation of a kitchen hood requires a permit even if the layout of a kitchen has not changed.

An NOV was not issued. Please see the response of the technical services to my question. (Exhibit 7)

Later I met inspector John Birmingham and he informed me that he has been transferred to another district and that was it.

After that in (exhibit 8) you will see the notes of inspector Cloherty. On the note on the 10/02/2023 you will read that "Spoke to the owner today. He will provide access this week for complaint inspection." I contacted him to tell him to please come and do inspection which didn't happen. I told him it is in common area and I can give him access. I was really surprised that everyone is avoiding this building.

In the same exhibit (8) you will see on the last note that a permit was obtained from EID and yet again this case was sent to the board of director for a hearing. Which we by now know that didn't happen. I talked to Mr. Greene in person and he asked if we can provide access for him, yet I have not heard from him. Neither has he responded to my emails. (Exhibit 9).

Mr. Olson's commercial unit is 446 square feet (Exhibit 4) which also was offered for sale to the current residents (Exhibit 10 Mr. Olson's email).

A "back office" which seems to be a converted storage unit that was utilized as a fully operational extended commercial office is located at the back of the building. It was staffed with monitors installed, with office furniture and clocks that were showing different time zone. It seems that the total square footage used for the commercial unit exceeds 446. (Exhibit 11).

When asked by Ms. Olson (Mr. Olson's mother) that if the back office is included, no answer was given and she said to ask Sean Olson.

This is related to the installed un-permitted air flow unit on the garage wall (Exhibit 4) and the wiring that feed electricity to the unit. This was never put in the notes.

I hope I was able to make case and appeal this legalization as I see it was over looked and still we have problems with other inspections and responses.

I thank you for hearing me out.

Regards

Ali Shahi 04,25,2024 **Permit Details Report** 

**Report Date:** 

3/8/2024 2:29:05 PM

Application Number:

202402206193

Form Number:

Address(es): Description: 0025 / 038 / 0 870 NORTH POINT

LEGALIZE MINI SPLIT HEAT PUMP INSTALLATION FOR E GROUND FL OFFICE

ST

SPACE. COMPLY WITH NOV 202313790

Cost:

\$10,000.00 B,R-2

Occupancy Code: Building Use:

10 - OFFICE

#### **Disposition / Stage:**

<b>Action Date</b>	Stage	Comments
2/20/2024	TRIAGE	
2/20/2024	FILING	
2/20/2024	FILED	
2/23/2024	APPROVED	
2/23/2024	ISSUED	

#### **Contact Details:**

#### **Contractor Details:**

License Number:

486084

Name:

RICHARD KETTELL

Company Name: ASSOCIATED HEATING OF SF

Address:

5786 MISSION ST \* SAN FRANCISCO CA 94112

Phone:

4155850145

#### **Addenda Details:**

Description.

Description:									
Station	Rev#	Arrive	Start	In Hold	Out Hold	Finish		Review Result	Hold Description
CES		3/8/24	3/8/24			3/8/24	NG JOE	Approved	OK TO PROCESS RETRO
HIS		2/20/24	2/20/24			2/20/24	LAWRIE JAMES	Approved	
INTAKE		2/20/24	2/20/24			2/20/24	BUFKA SUSAN	Administrative	
месн		2/21/24	2/21/24				NAGATA TIMOTHY	Approved	отс
MECH- E		2/22/24	2/22/24			2/22/24	JACOBO MARCO	Approved	N/A for review.
SFFD		2/22/24	2/22/24			2/22/24	DAIJO PAUL	Administrative	02-22-24 N/A PD
СРВ		2/23/24	2/23/24			2/23/24	YU ZHANG REN	Administrative	

This permit has been issued. For information pertaining to this permit, please call 628-652-3450.

#### **Appointments:**

		T	1.	
Appointment	Appointment	Appointment	Appointment	Description Time
Date	AM/PM	Code	Type	Description Slots

#### Inspections:

#### Activity Date Inspector Inspection Description Inspection Status

#### **Special Inspections:**

Addenda No.	Completed Date	Inspected By	Inspection Code	Description	Remarks
o			AB26	NRCA-MCH-19-A OCCUPIED STANDBY ACCEPTANCE	
О			H K 79	NRCI-MCH-E MECHANICAL	

For information, or to schedule an inspection, call 628-652-3400 between 8:30 am and 3:00 pm.

SFGov | Residents | Business | Government | Visitors | Online Services

City and County of San Francisco

Home Permit Services

Plan Review Inspection Services

Most Requested

870 NORTH POINT ST

0025

038

**Key Programs** 

About Us

Help

Home » Most Requested



### **Welcome to our Permit / Complaint Tracking System!**

### **COMPLAINT DATA SHEET**

Complaint 202313790

Number:

Owner/Agent: OWNER DATA SUPPRESSED

Owner's Phone: Contact Name:

SUPPRESSED

Block: Contact Phone: COMPLAINANT DATA

Lot: Site:

Rating:

Date Filed:

Location:

Occupancy Code: Received By: Suzanna Wong

BID Division:

Complaint Source: OFFICE VISIT

Assigned to Division:

Complainant:

Complainant's

Phone:

Description:

Mini split HVAC unit installed without benefit of a building permit and mechanical plan check in utility room common area. Large A/C unit installed in utility room common area. Cutting holes in ceiling, cutting through the fire wall. Outside original scope of permit for unit installed in garage wall by commercial unit suite A.

Instructions: BID #202312379

INSPECTOR CURRENTLY ASSIGNED

DIVISION PRIORITY INSPECTOR DISTRICT 6339

REFFERAL INFORMATION

COMMENT REFERRED BY TO 12/28/2023 Julie Yu CES per inspector Jimmy Guaiumi

### **COMPLAINT STATUS AND COMMENTS**

DATE	TYPE	INSPECTOR	STATUS	COMMENT	UPDATED BY	DIV
09/25/23	CASE OPENED	Guaiumi	CASE RECEIVED		Suzanna Wong 25-SEP- 23	BID
09/25/23	OTHER BLDG/HOUSING VIOLATION	Guaiumi	CASE UPDATE	Case assigned to complaint investigation team; slw	Suzanna Wong 25-SEP- 23	BID
09/25/23	OTHER BLDG/HOUSING VIOLATION	Guaiumi	FIRST NOV SENT	1st NOV issued. JG/tt	Thu Ha Thi Truong 25- SEP-23	INS
09/25/23	OTHER BLDG/HOUSING VIOLATION	Guaiumi	CASE UPDATE	1st NOV mailed. JG/tt	Thu Ha Thi Truong 25- SEP-23	INS
09/25/23	OTHER BLDG/HOUSING VIOLATION	Guaiumi	CASE UPDATE	Issued NOV reflecting correct Lot and Block. JG		BID
12/28/23	OTHER BLDG/HOUSING VIOLATION	Guaiumi	FINAL WARNING LETTER ISSUED	no permit filed to comply with NOV. JG		BID
12/28/23	OTHER BLDG/HOUSING VIOLATION	Guaiumi	FINAL WARNING LETTER SENT	refer to CES. JG	Jimmy Guaiumi 28- DEC-23	BID
12/28/23	OTHER BLDG/HOUSING VIOLATION	Guaiumi	CASE UPDATE	Final warning letter mailed;jy	Julie Yu 28- DEC-23	INS
12/28/23	OTHER BLDG/HOUSING VIOLATION	Guaiumi	REFERRED TO OTHER DIV	Case referred to CES per JG;jy	Julie Yu 28- DEC-23	INS
12/28/23	GENERAL MAINTENANCE	Guaiumi	REFERRED TO OTHER DIV	tranfer to div CES	Julie Yu 28- DEC-23	INS
12/29/23	OTHER BLDG/HOUSING VIOLATION	Ng	CASE RECEIVED	Case received in CES-hb	Heather Brooks 29- DEC-23	CES

COMPLAINT ACTION BY DIVISION

NOV (HIS): NOV (BID): 9/25/2023

Inspector Contact Information

Online Permit and Complaint Tracking home page.

**Technical Support for Online Services** 

If you need help or have a question about this service, please visit our FAQ area.

Contact SFGov Accessibility Policies City and County of San Francisco © 2024





#### Longaway, Alec (BOA)

From: Ali Shafie <al5553@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 25, 2024 4:24 PM

To: BoardofAppeals (PAB); Rosenberg, Julie (BOA); Teague, Corey (CPC); Tam, Tina (CPC); Greene,

Matthew (DBI); Sean Olson

**Subject:** Remaining of the exhibit (original copy)

**Attachments:** kitchen hood permit is required even if the layout is original.pdf; Following up with Mr. Green after

visit in person and sending an.pdf; Mr. Olson offer for sale.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sorry for the technical difficulties. I was just in formed that submitting 10 copies is the old rule and now one electronic copy is enough. Thank you Mrs. Rosenberg.

Here is the remaining of the exhibits and hopefully the size will not cause any issues, my apologies advance.

exhibit 4 wiring that goes around the walls.





Exhibit 5


Cancelled NOV while violation of the over current protection was in place.



Overcurrent protection violation still standing while the NOV was removed. Unsafe building according to the DBI rules.

#### Exhibit 6

Plumber that removed the violation long after closing the NOV "Mr. Olson Plumber" 10/10/2023 copper pipe is removed and the hole is visible after removal.










Exhibit 7

Exhibit 8 Inspector Cloherty notes to arrange a inspection which he did not show up even I offered access to common area.




Exhibit 9. My email follow up to Mr. Mathew Greene which I did not get any reply

#### Exhibit 10. Mr. Sean Olson intent to sale

#### Back office/ conversion



Official 446 sqf from building office.



From: Ali Shafie al5553@yahoo.com

Subject: Following up on our meeting with you at the DBI and the email sent on march 8th, 2024

Date: March 26, 2024 at 5:32 PM

To: Greene, Matthew (DBI) matthew.greene@sfgov.org

Hello Mr. Greene,

I sent an email to you on March 8th, 2024 at 12:24 PM.

In our meeting with you at the DBI, you asked if we can provide access and the answer was yes and still is yes.

Yet I have not received any email or reply from you. There are issues that you can call civil matter or maintenance issues. However the over 140 K work without permit that was reported are not civil matter.

You did took note from documents that I brought to you while my sick wife was sitting there with us, but it has been a radio silence since then.

Please reply in timely manner. This loop hole for getting work done and paying later is not going to cover the damages beneath the un permitted work and legitimize it. I believe it is a safety matter and requires attention.

Sincerely

Ali Shafie



From: (DBI) Chang, Joanna (DBI Technical Services Division (TSD)) techq@sfdbi.zendesk.com & Subject: Re: [Department of Building Inspection] Please confirm that installation of kitchen hood requires permit.

Date: January 30, 2024 at 8:18 AM
To: Ali Shafie al5553@yahoo.com
Cc: techq techq@sfgov.org

JC

Your request (57463) has been updated. To add additional comments, reply to this email.

Installation of a new kitchen hood will require a building permit, even if the kitchen layout does not change.

Technical Services Division
Department of Building Inspection
49 South Van Ness Ave, Suite 500
San Francisco, CA 94103

techq@sfgov.org



On January 30, 2024 at 4:17:23 PM UTC, Ali Shafie al5553@yahoo.com wrote:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Would you please confirm that installation of the kitchen hood regardless of the change to the layout of the kitchen still requires the permit and if yes, what department needs to issue a permit for that installation?

Thank you so much

Ali Shafie

[Y7J2EL-G42M2]

From: Tricia Hynes trish.hynes@gmail.com

Subject: Fwd: Commercial Unit Date: April 13, 2023 at 1:22 PM To: Ali Shafie al5553@yahoo.com



Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Sean Olson < solson@fpacific.com > Date: August 17, 2021 at 8:00:41 PM PDT

To: Tricia Hynes <trish.hynes@gmail.com>, Ragini Mathur <ragini.mathur@gmail.com>, "Pamella Olson (San Francisco)"

<pamellaolson@gmail.com>, 870 Vaidyanathan Ashvin <ashvinvai@gmail.com>

Subject: Commercial Unit

Hi Trish, Ragini & Ashvin,

Apologies for not being an active member of the 870 HOA in recent years. Nolan has been filling in on my behalf however he was not available for the meeting yesterday.

The Office Condo has been great for many years however we finally outgrew it and needed to move. We are now in the process of moving to Palo Alto and planning to open a new office down that way in the future. There is a chance we will move some staff back into Suite A however there is also a good chance I will be interested in selling the unit.

I mention this to you first in case you have any interest in buying it. Your residential condos are likely worth \$1,500+ per foot however commercial space trades a lot cheaper than that. I'm guestiating this office condo would sell for around \$500 per foot so a bargain compared to the space above. A current appraisal would be needed to confirm the actual value of the unit.

I also think it is in everyone's best interest to have the commercial space owned by a resident of the building to help prevent any undesirable uses of the space as that would negatively affect all the residential values (my Mom included).

I'm just throwing this idea out there to see if you have any interest. I'm swamped with work and life these days and it honestly stresses me out to own the condo while not having time to participate in the HOA.

Best. Sean



Sean Olson
President
First Pacific Group, Inc

(415) 409-6200 x2101 | 415-409-6201

solson@fpacific.com

fpacific.com

39 Avila St, San Francisco, CA 94123

## BRIEF SUBMITTED BY THE PERMIT HOLDER(S)

Dear Julie Rosenberg and The San Francisco Board of Appeals,

With reference to the upcoming permit appeal for application # 202402206193 to legalize NOV # 202313790 I would like to submit the following in response to the Appellant's brief that I was provided on 04/26/24. There are numerous accusations contained within this brief that do not pertain to the permit being appealed so I have focused my response on the facts relevant to this permit.

In early 2015 I contracted with Associated Heating of S.F. to supply, install and permit a small ducted mini split AC/heat pump system to service my office condominium 870 North Point St - Suite A. This project was planned in advance and the HOA provided approval for the system to be installed. Attached is the bid from Associated Heating (with permitting to be included in the bid) and the approval letter from the HOA. It should be noted this approval letter is signed by Trish Hynes-Shafie who is now married to the Appellant and she was the legal owner of Unit # 301 at the time of the approval being issued. Trish is an attorney and was also a long-term board member of the HOA so she was fully authorized and informed to approve this project.

The system was installed in 2015 as approved by the 870 North Point St HOA however it has now come to our attention that Associated Heating did not follow through on obtaining the permit at the time of installation. We apologize for this error however after this came to our attention we have worked diligently to have the permit properly finalized and issued for the mini split system including all connected electrical components. Richard Kettell has taken responsibility for seeing this through and all requirements that we are aware of have now been satisfied for the permit to be issued and closed out.

Components of the mini split system are indeed installed within portions of the HOA common area; however this was previously agreed and approved by the HOA. These areas include the appropriate garage and utility room spaces. Please note these areas are also where all other residential units in the building have their heating systems installed (including the Appellant's personal heating system specifically servicing Unit # 301).

Please note NOV # 202313889 appears to be related to the mini split system and more specifically the electrical portion. It would be ideal if we can clarify both of these related complaints at the same time.

We appreciate the Board's time in regard to this matter and look forward to ideally resolving this as efficiently as possible. Please let me know if you have any further questions or comments. This process has taken many unnecessary hours and now thousands of dollars to try and resolve. Ideally the information I have provided will satisfy the Appellant's concerns and we can all move forward and resume working together in the future.

Best Regards, Sean Olson 415-999-4447

# ACCUSATIONS UNRELATED TO THE MINI SPLIT SYSTEM OR PERMIT # 202402206193.

Overcurrent Protection Electrical Violation Accusation: This accusation that Appellant continues to make is categorically false. Neither myself or any of my contractors have ever wired anything directly into the electrical panel or bypassed the electrical breakers. The Appellant inaccurately accused me of this and a NOV was therefore issued. After investing hours of time and significant funds on electrical contractors to perform inspections it was confirmed that this was never the case and this accusation has always been false and continues to be false. It is requested that the Complainant stop making false accusations going forward.

Plumbing Pipe Removal Accusation: There was a pre-existing and unrelated copper plumbing pipe running along the ceiling of the common area utility room. Appellant successfully had me cited with a NOV for this issue however the pipe served no purpose and was abandoned. I paid for the abandoned pipe to be removed and the NOV was therefore cleared.

Plastic Conduit and Low Voltage Wires in Photos: These wires have nothing to do with me, my unit or the mini split system. It is unknown as to why these are being mentioned by the Appellant in relation to this matter.

Intent to Sell Unit: I do indeed intend to sell my unit and have been planning to do so for a long time. As a courtesy I offered it to the existing residents in the building first. It is unknown as to why this is being mentioned by the Appellant in relation to this matter.

Work Without Permits Totaling \$150,000 Accusation: I have no knowledge of what the Appellant is referencing and no breakdown was provided. My mini split system cost approximately \$11,400 per the included bid from 2015.

Water Heater Accusation: There is a very small pre-existing water heater located in the common area utility room. This water heater services a single sink located within the office condominium above. The water heater was already installed and was understood to be permitted when I purchased the unit back in 2001. The water heater has been there for 25+ years without any problems or complaints. The water heater failed due to the age of the equipment and it was therefore replaced with a very similar small water heater. Appellant successfully had me cited for the replacement due to the fact a permit was not pulled at the time of replacement. The city inspectors later informed me they do not typically require a permit to replace an existing water heater however being that the Appellant made a complaint I would therefore be required to obtain a permit. A permit was subsequently obtained and this issue is considered resolved. This water heater has never been directly wired into electrical panel or bypassed breakers.

## ASSOCIATED HEATING OF S.F.

ASSOCIATED HEATING OF S.F. 5786 MISSION ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94112

**Estimate** Date Estimate No.

12/01/2014

1723

Exp. Date 03/01/2015

(415)585-0145 ASSOCIATEDHEATING@SBCGLOBAL.NET

Address

FIRST PACIFIC GROUP INC. 870 NORTH POINT ST, SUIT A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109

> Ship Date Sales Rep 12/01/2014 ROD

Date	Activity	Quantity	Rate	Amount
	10- PERMIT AND INSPECTION FEE.			
Butte	10- PERMIT AND INSPECTION FEE.  ** MECHANICAL DRAWINGS ARE NOT INCLUDED AS PART OF THIS PROPOSAL.**	quantity	nate	Tunedit
			Total	\$11,400.00

Accepted By



870 North Point Street, Suite A San Francisco, CA 94109

Tel 415.409.6200 Fax 415.409.6300

www.fpacific.com

Real Estate Investment and Management

March 11, 2015

RE: Commercial Unit Request to Install HVAC System (Quote Attached)

Dear 870 North Point Owners' Association,

As discussed, the Commercial Unit is granted permission to install a new HVAC system to provide cooling and heating to our Condominium Unit. As part of this request the following terms will apply and be the responsibility of the Commercial Unit (for as long as I own the unit AND including any future owners).

- 1. The cost of purchasing and installing the system at this time.
- 2. All electricity costs of running the system.
- 3. All future maintenance costs and responsibilities.
- 4. Damage (if any) to the common area caused by this system.

The system will be installed (as already discussed) in the Utility Room and Garage Area in a clean and professional manner.

It is further agreed that should Unit # 101 hear or experience any vibration while the equipment is running then the Commercial Unit would be required to find a solution to eliminate this issue and seek HOA approval on the solution. It will be at the complete discretion of the current owners of Unit # 101 to determine if any noise or vibration exists. For example, if they say they can hear it then the Commercial Unit needs to solve the problem prior to running the system without any debate on the matter. Based on all the research unit # 101 should not hear the system in any way. This commitment is simply designed to insure that to be the case.

At this time I'm working to schedule installation of the system and will keep you informed on the schedule. Please feel free to contact me with any questions you may have.

The terms stated in this letter represent a binding agreement between <u>870 North Point St Suite # A</u> (The Commercial Unit's current and any successive owners) AND the <u>870 North Point Street Owners' Association</u>.

### AGREED AND ACCEPTED BY:

### 870 North Point St Suite # A (The Commercial Unit)

By: Sean Olson Trustee of the Sean P. Olson Trust	03/11/15 Date
870 North Point Street Owners' Association (Voting Members)	
By: How Olle Olson Pamella Olson President of the 870 North Point Street Owners' Association	3/18/15 Date
By: Paul Murrell Treasurer of the 870 North Point Street Owners' Association	3/12/15 Date
By: Arish Hynes Board Member of the 870 North Point Street Owners' Association	3 , 12, 15 Date

City & County of San Francisco Board of Appeals 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Ste. 1475 San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Appeal No. 23-024, Permit No. 2024/02/20/6193

Dear Board of Appeals –

My name is Richard Kettell. I have been the owner and operator of Associated Heating in San Francisco for the past 50 years. I am writing in response to the above permit appeal.

In April 2015, our company installed an HVAC mini split for Sean Olson at 870A North Point in San Francisco. We were notified by Mr. Olson that his HOA had approved the project per our Estimate no. 1723 [Exhibit A].

Mr. Olson notified me in March of 2023, that we had neglected to take out a building permit for the above work. Immediately, I went to DBI to apply for a building permit to correct the violation. At that time, we were told we needed a set of plans for the project. We informed Mr. Olson of this as our December 2014 Estimate stated that "Mechanical Drawings are not included as part of the Proposal." We recommended a plan expediter to Mr. Olson. The process took a considerable

amount of time, however the plans were ultimately submitted and approved by DBI [Exhibit B]. A permit was issued on February 23, 2024.

We understand that we inadvertently overlooked obtaining the permit for this job, however, we immediately took appropriate steps to rectify the situation. We have paid all permit fees and penalties regarding same. We hope to have the work inspected once the permit has been reinstated to close out the project.

This is the first time in 50 plus years that this situation has ever occurred at our company. Associated Heating has acted in good faith and believes the company has abided by all mechanical and building code requirements in the installation of the HVAC equipment. As such, we are requesting the permit be reinstated.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Richard Kettell Owner/Operator of Associated Heating 5786 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94112

**EXHIBIT A** 

### 870 North Point Suite A Quote

From: Sean Olson (solson@fpacific.com)

To: associatedheating@sbcglobal.net

Cc: nbuttner@fpacific.com

Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2015 at 01:01 PM PDT

### Rod,

Thanks for coming out again yesterday. The HOA has approved the project so we are ready to move forward. I have signed the attached proposal.

Can you please confirm your schedule so that we can finalize a date that works for the installation.

Best,

Sean

### Sean Olson

First Pacific 870 North Point St - Suite A San Francisco, CA 94109

Phone: (415) 409-6200 Fax: (415) 409-6300

Email: solson@fpacific.com



NP Estimate\_1723\_from\_ASSOCIATED\_HEATING\_OF\_SF SIGNED 12-01-14.pdf

67.1kE

### ASSOCIATED HEATING OF S.F.

ASSOCIATED HEATING OF S.F. 5786 MISSION ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94112

(415)585-0145 ASSOCIATEDHEATING@SBCGLOBAL.NET

### **Estimate**

Date Estimate No.

12/01/2014

1723

Exp. Date

03/01/2015

Address

FIRST PACIFIC GROUP INC. 870 NORTH POINT ST, SUIT A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109


Ship Date	Sales Rep		
12/01/2014	ROD		


Date	Activity	Quantity	Rate	Amount
2/01/2014	FURNISH AND INSTALL A NEW 1.5-TON DUCTED MINI SPLIT SYSTEM HEAT PUMP ASSEMBLY.  WORK TO INCLUDE:  1- HANG NEW MITSUBISHI #SUZKA18NA OUTDOOR UNIT ALONG LEFT WALL IN VEHICLE ENTRY WAY. UNIT TO BE ABOVE DOOR OPENING HEIGHT FROM FLOOR.  2- HANG NEW MITSUBISHI #SEZKD18NA4 INDOOR FAN COIL IN MECHANICAL ROOM UNDER CONDITIONED SPACE.  3- CUT-IN A NEW RETURN AIR INLET IN FLOOR NEAR REAR DOORWAY.  4- CUT-IN 3 NEW SUPPLY AIR OUTLETS IN FLOOR. EXACT LOCATIONS TO BE DETERMINED BY OCCUPANTS AT TIME OF INSTALLATION.  5- NEW REFRIGERANT LINES WITH CONTROL WIRING TO CONNECT NEW INDOOR UNIT TO NEW OUTDOOR UNIT. REFRIGERANT LINES IN GARAGE AREA TO BE ROUTED INSIDE A PLASTIC DECORATIVE COVER.  6- NEW WIRELESS PROGRAMMABLE THERMOSTAT.  7- NEW ELECTRICAL CIRCUIT INSTALLED BY GRANITE ELECTRIC FROM MAIN PANEL TO CONNECT TO NEW OUTDOOR UNIT AND NEW CONDENSATE PUMP.  8- NEW R-6 INSULATED, 26GA STEEL DUCTS IN MECHANICAL ROOM TO CONNECT NEW INDOOR UNIT TO NEW RETURN AIR INLET AND SUPPLY AIR OUTLETS.  9- PREP DRAIN CONNECTION WITH CONDENSATE PUMP FOR CUSTOMER SUPPLIED PLUMBER. DRAIN LINES FOR BOTH INDOOR UNIT AND OUT DOOR UNIT TO CONDENSATE PUMP ARE INCLUDED.  Continue to the next page	1	11,400.00	11,400.0

Date	Activity	Quantity	Rate	Amount
	10- PERMIT AND INSPECTION FEE.			
	** MECHANICAL DRAWINGS ARE NOT INCLUDED AS PART OF THIS PROPOSAL.**			
			Total	\$11,400.

Accepted By

**EXHIBIT B** 





	Generated Date/Time:  CA Building Energy Efficiency Standards - 2022 Nonresidential Compliance  Compliance ID: 178341-0224-0002  Schema Version: rev 20220101  Compliance ID: 178341-0224-0002	C. Sautiling Curray Efficiency Sendards - 2022 Normalisterial Complianced   Report Vapours (2022)	Mechanical Systems  Mechanical Systems  Mechanical Systems  Caucamasta  Temporary Communicat  This downers is sent to demonstrate communication for mechanical Systems (in communication communication)  In Communication controlled for mechanical systems (in communication)  In Communication controlled for mechanical systems (in communication)  In Communication controlled for mechanication controlled for mechanication (in communication)  In Communication controlled for mechanication (in communication)  In Communication controlled for mechanication (in communication)  In Communication controlled for mechanication controlle
	Generated Date/Time:  Documentation Software: Energy Code Ace  Documentation Software: Energy Code Ace  Report Version: 2022.0.000  Report Generated: 2024-02-18 17:17:44	CA Building Energy Efficiency Strategics - 2022 is consistential Compilance C	COMPLIANCE RESULTS
	Generated Date/Time:  CA Building Energy Efficiency Standards - 2022 Nonresidential Compliance Report Version: 2022.0.000  Compliance ID: 178341-0224-0002  Schema Version: rev 20220101  Compliance ID: 178341-0224-0002  Report Generated: 2024-02-18 17:17:44	Relation provided on this Certificate of Compliance of Security of the building, and made awritishe to the mentation the builder provides to the building and made awritishe to the mature:    DEPT. OF BUILDINGS   PEB 2   PET. OF BUILDINGS   POR BUILDINGS   PEB 2   PET. OF BUILDINGS   POR BUILDINGS   PO	MACCIONION   MacCinatical Systems   MacCina
T1.0	DATE: 02/19/2024 SCALE: AS NOTED	870A NORTH POINT ST SAN FRANCISCO CA 94109	BANA INC.  1880 GOLDEN GATE AVE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94115 TEL. 415-752-2824

2/19/2024 12:30 PM

TITLE-24 NON-RESIDENTIAL ENERGY/GREEN INSPECTION (BUILDING)

REVISIONS

NOTICE

# TITLE-24 NON-RESIDENTIAL ENERGY/GREEN INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS (BUILDING)

Ensuring the completion of installation documentation as well as the required acceptance/verification testing is the lirect responsibility of the undersigned. Installation documentation must be completed by the contractor performing the nstallation. Acceptance testing must be performed by an individual licensed to perform the specific testing needed. /erification testing must be completed by a certified HERS rater. Green Building Attachment E shall be completed as per SFGBC AB-093.

accordance with the requirements of the 2022 California Energy Code, 2022 SFGBC and AB-093, the following cumentation is required for the **building** elements in this project:

ENGINEER/ARCHITECT NAME

MERLIN CAYABYAB

PHONE NO.

415-216-9620

870A

NORTH POINT ST

Please note that Certificates of Installation and/or Acceptance and/or Verification are required for this project, as indicated on this form issued with this permit. Ensuring the accurate completion of this documentation is the direct responsibility of the engineer/architect of record. This documentation is required in addition to the called inspections performed by the Department of Building Inspection.

For questions regarding the details or extent of required documentation or testing, and if there are any field problems regarding documentation or testing, please call your District Building Inspector or 628-652-3400.

Before final building inspection is scheduled, documentation of energy compliance "Certificate of Installation, Acceptance, and Verification" and green building "Attachment E" must be completed and signed by the responsible person in charge. The permit will not be finalized without compliance with the energy inspection requirements. **Energy Inspection Services Contact Information** 

ouse – Variable Speed Com

entilation Controls (AB23)
Walkways Speed Controls (AB24)
ion System (AB27)
ic Sash Closure System (AB28)
s and Condenser Fan Motor

Telephone: (628) 652-3407

dbi.energyinspections@sfgov.org

4th floor at 49 South Van Ness Ave.

Note: We are moving towards a 'paperless' mode of operation. All special inspection submittals, including final letters, may be emailed (preferred).

Installation, Acceptance, and Verification certificates can be found on the California Energy Commission website at https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/building-energy-efficiency-standards/2022-building-energy-efficiency

Information Sheet M-06 provides submittal instructions for the Title-24 installation, verification, and acceptance energy certificates. M-06 may be found on the SFDBI website at <a href="https://sf.gov/resource/2022/information-sheets-dbi">https://sf.gov/resource/2022/information-sheets-dbi</a>

QUESTIONS ABOUT TITLE-24 ENERGY INSPECTION SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO: Energy Inspection Services (628) 652-3407; or, dbi.energyinspections@sfgov.org NRCV-MCH-04-H HERS Duct Leakage Diagnostic Test (VB55)
NRCV-MCH-024-H HERS Enclosure Air Leakage Worksheet (VB61)
NRCV-MCH-027-H HERS IAQ (VB62)
NRCV-MCH-032-H HERS Local Mechanical Exhaust (VB63) Engineer/Architect of Record S merlin1088@comcast.net



SETBACK HEATING THERMOSTAT SETPOINT IF THE SYSTEM PROVIDES MECHANICAL HEATING, AND SETUP COOLING THERMOSTAT SETPOINT IF THE SYSTEM PROVIDES MECHANICAL COOLING.

FOR AIR SUPPLY AND EXHAUST EQUIPMENT
PLY AND EXHAUST EQUIPMENT SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH DAMPERS THAT AUTOMATICALLY CLOSE UPON FAN SHUTDOWN

TIC RESTART FOR SPACE-CONDITIONING SYSTEMS
TIONING SYSTEM SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH CONTROLS THAT SHALL AUTOMATICALLY RESTART AND TEMPORARILY OPERATE THE SYSTEM AS

TIC SHUT-OFF FOR SPACE-CONDITIONING SYSTEMS
TIONING SYSTEM SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CONTROLS CAPABLE OF AUTOMATICALLY SHUTTING OFF THE SYSTEM

IME SWITCH CONTROL PER 110.9, WITH ACCESSIBLE MANUAL OVERRIDE ALLOWING SYSTEM OPERATION FOR UP TO 4 HOURS, CY SENSOR, OR

TAT CONTROLS LING SUPPLY TO EACH SPACE-CONDITIONING ZONE OR DWELLING UNIT SHALL BE CONTROLLED BY AN INDIVIDUAL THERMOSTATIC CONTROL THAT PERATURE IN THE ZONE AND MEETS 120.2(b) REQUIREMENTS.

S PROHIBITED FOR NATURAL GAS EQUIPMENT
PROHIBITED ON NATURAL GAS FAN-TYPE CENTRAL FURNACES, POOL HEATERS, SPA HEATERS, AND FIREPLACES.
D DEMAND CONTROL VENTILATION
E REQUIRED FOR A SPACE WITH A DESIGN OCCUPANCY DENSITY >= 25 PEOPLE/1,000 FT2 IF THE SYSTEM SERVING THE SPACE HAS ONE OR MORE OF

HERMOSTATS

JOLING SYSTEMS NOT CONTROLLED BY A CENTRAL ENERGY MANAGEMENT CONTROL SYSTEM (EMCS) SHALL HAVE A SETBACK THERMOSTAT WITH

1 THAT ALLOWS THE BUILDING OCCUPANT TO PROGRAM THE TEMPERATURE SETPOINTS FOR AT LEAST FOUR PERIODS WITHIN 24 HOURS.

**NDITIONING EQUIPMENT EFFICIENCY** MEET APPLICABLE EFFICIENCY REQUIREMENTS IN TABLE 110.2-A THROUGH TABLE 110.2-N

IONING EQUIPMENT LISTED IN §110.2 SHALL ONLY BE INSTALLED IF CERTIFIED TO THE ENERGY COMMISSION TO MEET ALL APPLICABLE §110.2







# Space Conditioning Mandatory Measures:

**Conditioning Mandatory Measures:** 

EACH SPACE-CONDITIONING SYSTEM SERVING MULTIPLE ZONES WITH A COMBINED CONDITIONED FLOOR AREA OF MORE THAN 25,000 FT2 SHALL BE DESIGNED,
INSTALLED AND CONTROLLED TO SERVE ISOLATION AREAS.

• EACH ZONE, OR COMBINATION OF ZONES <25,000 FT2, SHALL BE A SEPARATE ISOLATION AREA.

• EACH SOLATION AREA SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH ISOLATION AREAS.

• EACH ISOLATION AREA SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH ISOLATION AREAS.

• EACH ISOLATION AREA SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH ISOLATION AREAS.

• EACH ISOLATION AREA SHALL BE PROVIDED BY A DEVICE MEETING THE REQUIREMENTS OF 120.2(e)1.

120.2(j) DIRECT DIGITAL CONTROLS (DOC)

DDC TO THE ZONE SHALL BE PROVIDED AS SPECIFIED BY TABLE 120.2-A. THE DDC SYSTEM SHALL MEET CONTROL LOGIC REQUIREMENTS OF 120.2(e)1.

120.2(j) AND BE CAPABLE OF ALL OF THE FOLLOWING:

1. MONITORING ZONE AND SYSTEM DEMAND FOR FAN PRESSURE, PUMP PRESSURE, HEATING AND COOLING

2. TRANSFERRING ZONE AND SYSTEM DEMAND FOR FAN PRESSURE, PUMP PRESSURE, HEATING AND COOLING TROLLERS AND FROM AIR DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM CONTROLLERS

3. AUTOMATICALLY DETECTING THE ZONES AND SYSTEMS THAT MAY BE EXCESSIVELY DRIVING THE RESET LOGIC AND GENERATE AN ALARM OR OTHER INDICATION TO THE SYSTEM OPERATOR REMOVAL OF ZONE(S) FROM THE RESET ALGORITHM

5. FOR NEW BUILDINGS, TRENDING AND GRAPHICALLY DISPLAYING INPUT AND OUTPUT POINTS

6. RESETTING HEATING AND COOLING SETPOINTS IN ALL NON-CRITICAL ZONES UPON RECEIPT OF A SIGNAL FROM A CENTRALIZED CONTACT OR SOFTWARE POINT AS DESCRIBED IN 110.12(b).

120.2(i) OPTIMUM START/STOP CONTROLS

SPACE-CONDITIONING SYSTEMS WITH DOC TO THE ZONE SHALL HAVE OPTIMUM START/STOP CONTROLS. CONTROL ALGORITHM SHALL, AS A MINIMUM, BE A POINT ON THE OPTIMUM, BE AND COCUPANCY.

870A NORTH POINT ST SAN FRANCISCO CA 94109

BANA INC. 1880 GOLDEN GATE AVE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94115 TEL 415-752-2824

AS NOTED

02/19/2024

SCALE:

AND MECHANICAL INSPECTION

MANDATORY MEASURES