Citywide Affordable Housing Loan Committee Small Sites Program Loan Evaluation

Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure Controller's Office of Public Finance

2901 16th Street

Up to \$30,000,000 Permanent Financing Request

Evaluation of Request for: Permanent Financing

Loan Committee Date: June 21, 2024

Prepared By: Amanda Fukutome-Lopez, Project Manager

Construction Representative: Holly Babe Faust

Sources and Amounts of New Funds

Recommended:

Up to \$30,000,000 SSP Soft Debt

NOFA/PROGRAM/RFP: 2019 Acquisition and Rehabilitation Financing

for Small Sites Program Properties

Applicant/Sponsor(s) Name: Mission Economic Development Agency

2901-2929 16th Street (between South Van Project Address (with cross street):

Ness Avenue and Capp Street)

Supervisor and District: Hillary Ronen/District 9

Project Summary:

Located in District 9, 2901 16th Street consists of a four-story building comprising 6 one-bedroom units, 55 studio units, 2 SRO units and 8 commercial units. Due to the seller's decision to keep units vacant to improve marketability of the Project, 85% of the residential units are vacant. Seven out of eight commercial spaces are occupied.

The Project is located approximately a block away from the 16th Street BART station and 1979 Mission Street, the site of 2 future affordable housing developments being codeveloped by MEDA and Mission Housing. The acquisition of 2901 16th Street presents an opportunity to 1) provide over 60 units of affordable housing upon rehabilitation completion and 2) continue stabilizing and improving housing stock and economic activity in the neighborhood.

In 2021, through the Community Opportunity to Purchase Act, MEDA (Sponsor) was made aware of the Site's availability. After two and a half years and many negotiations, the seller and MEDA have executed a Purchase and Sale Agreement for 2901 16th Street. The Project will be acquired and rehabilitated with MOHCD financing and is scheduled for closing in August 2024.

The Project is in contract for a sales price of \$20,350,000 (\$286,620/unit). The Sponsor is requesting approximately \$30,000,000 (\$422,535/unit) in Small Sites soft debt, which is lower than the maximum amount of subsidy allowed per the SSP Guidelines, but which is about average for an SSP project. Though the unit count is higher than most SSP properties, and should allow for a lower per unit cost, the high cost of rehabilitation, including structural work, has increased the cost of the Project.

The Project is in moderate condition. The rehabilitation scope will include voluntary but substantial seismic upgrade; fire detection and suppression system improvements; an electrical upgrade; window replacements throughout; roof repairs; skylight replacement; brick repointing; and significant unit and common area improvements.

The Project is anticipated to be fully leased by mid to late-2027 due to a projected 30-month preconstruction and construction period. The extended completion period necessitates a \$1.4M vacancy reserve. See Section 9.4.

MOHCD staff is recommending Loan Committee approval for 2901 16th Street's permanent financing, which provides 63 affordable units in the City's Mission neighborhood for families, individuals, couples, and older adults, ranging from those previously experiencing homelessness to long-term residents within a variety of ethnic and racial backgrounds.

1. BACKGROUND

Mission Economic Development Agency ("MEDA," "MEDA Small Properties LLC," or "Sponsor") requests up to \$30,000,000 in Small Sites Program ("SSP") funding from the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development ("MOHCD") for the acquisition, rehabilitation, and permanent financing of the property located at 2901-2929 16th Street in the Mission neighborhood of San Francisco ("2901 16th Street," the "Project," or "Site"). The Project went into contract on May 3, 2024, and the purchase is anticipated to close in August 2024.

2901 16th Street will be acquired under the Community Opportunity to Purchase Act ("COPA"), which was enacted in 2019 to give Qualified Nonprofits ("QNPs") the Rights of First Offer and First Refusal on multifamily properties to prevent displacement and promote the preservation of naturally occurring affordable housing. The Sponsor will record a Declaration of Restrictions that will protect the affordability of all units for at least 99 years. MOHCD permanent financing will fund the acquisition, rehabilitation, and permanent conversion of the building to a 100% affordable housing Small Site.

Project History

Built in 1914, 2901 16th Street is a 48,288-square foot residential property consisting of a 4-story building with 63 units (2 SROs, 55 studios, 6 one-bedrooms) and 12,315 square feet of ground floor commercial in eight spaces. The building's residential units are currently 15% occupied, and seven out of the eight commercial spaces are occupied.

2901 16th Street is part of a four-property portfolio, the Imhoff Portfolio, which includes two properties in the Mission District (2901 16th Street and 2059 Mission Street) and two properties in the Tenderloin. MEDA became aware of the Property in 2021, when the Imhoff Portfolio went through the COPA process. MEDA was interested in both Mission District properties, due to their location, size, and high vacancy, which would enable more efficient relocation for rehabilitation and allow more affordable units to be available to the community immediately after construction completion. However, funding was not available for these buildings when the initial COPA notice went out in 2021.

COPA requires listed properties to notice QNPs annually, and so when the owner sent out a notice the following year, MEDA expressed interest in 2901 16th Street and began to engage the seller in negotiations. In April 2023, the seller and MEDA entered into a PSA for both Mission District properties. While MEDA's goal was always to acquire both properties, the availability of City's funding for Preservation projects was uncertain, and MEDA chose to pursue the acquisition of 2901 16th Street due to its larger unit count. MEDA began due diligence work, the majority of which was completed by July 2023. However, due to MOHCD's ongoing budgetary constraints, the Project was unable to move forward, and the contract was cancelled.

MEDA continued to work with the City to find a path forward for the Project and for 2059 Mission Street. In 2024, the seller re-noticed the Imhoff Portfolio; however, the COPA notice bundled the four properties, essentially requiring a prospective buyer to purchase all four buildings. MEDA expressed interest in April 2024, and after extensive negotiations with the seller, agreed to the purchase of 2901 16th Street and 2059 Mission Street. Entering into a Purchase and Sale Agreement (PSA) for both properties was a condition to get back into contract on 2901 16th Street.

While MOHCD will directly fund the acquisition and rehabilitation of 2901 16th Street, the San Francisco Housing Accelerator Fund intends to fund the acquisition and rehabilitation of 2059 Mission Street with MOHCD committed to taking out the Project upon its completion, so long as the Project meets MOHCD requirements. MOHCD will provide the Housing Accelerator Fund with a letter of support for 2059 Mission Street this summer. To meet the seller's requirement of purchasing both Mission District properties, both properties will close nearly concurrently, with 2059 Mission Street anticipated to close in mid-August and 2901 16th Street anticipated to close at the end of August. The acquisition of the Projects will support the continued tenancy of approximately 19 long-term, vulnerable households (10 at 2901 16th Street and nine at 2059 Mission Street) and provide nearly 50 additional affordable units for lease upon construction completion at 2901 16th Street and approximately 26 units at 2059 Mission Street, furthering the City's goal of community stabilization.

The Project is in moderate, serviceable condition. The proposed rehabilitation scope has been reviewed by a MOHCD's Construction Representative and includes voluntary but substantial seismic upgrade; fire detection and suppression system improvements; an electrical upgrade; window replacements throughout; roof repairs, skylight replacement, brick repointing; and significant unit and common area improvements. For more on the proposed rehabilitation scope, please see **Sections 7.5 and 7.6**.

The project is approximately 85% vacant, as the owner suspended lease up from the time the property was listed in 2021. Achieving full occupancy will require 6 months of leasing following the completion of the residential scope, which is anticipated to be complete after the structural scope. Existing tenants, which include some current MEDA clients, have been supportive of the Project's acquisition under SSP and are aware of SSP's income, rent, rehabilitation, and relocation requirements. All households will complete income certification by loan closing. MEDA is beginning the income certification process of existing tenants and anticipates having income certifications and executed leases for existing tenants in early August 2024. SSP's income certification threshold of 80% (51 units/63 units) will be met through the counting of vacant units.

The Site is a block away from the 16th Street BART Station, where MEDA and Mission Housing will lead the development of two 100% affordable housing developments at 1979 Mission Street, and BART will lead the redevelopment of the southwest and northeast BART Plazas at 16th and Mission Street. The acquisition of 2901 16th Street would continue to support MEDA's improvement of the neighborhood's housing stock, stabilization of the local community, including local small businesses, and provide potential synergies across the developments, particularly relating to any required service provisions for planned referral units. Finally, the addition of these two buildings would also allow MEDA's portfolio to reach the size that would enable the organization to establish their own property management arm.

Total Project Costs and Sources

Funding Source	Current Request
SSP Funding Request	Up to \$30,000,000 (\$422,535/unit)

This funding request is only for SSP funds of up to \$30,000,000, \$1,750,000 (\$24,648/unit) below the maximum SSP funding of \$31,750,000 (\$447,183/unit) allowable under SSP Guidelines. See Appendix A for Maximum Allowable Subsidy Per Current SSP Guidelines.

The Project will add between \$9M and \$12M in PASS funds to the Project to complete its rehabilitation, fund reserves, and fund other costs associated with the PASS loan. The estimated Total Development Cost ("TDC") of the Project is \$38,666,714 (\$544,602/unit). Since PASS funds are not available at this time, the Sponsor will acquire the Project and begin its rehabilitation as new PASS bonds are being issued, estimated for January 2025. Once the new PASS funds are available, the Project will return to Loan Committee and the Board of Supervisors, as required, for approval of the Project's PASS loan. At that time, the Project will be re-underwritten to reflect the most up-to-date information for the Project, and the Loan Committee and Board of Supervisors will have another opportunity to check in on progress and the budget. For more on PASS Sizing and Availability, please see **Section 2**.

2. PRINCIPAL DEVELOPMENT ISSUES

Referral Units.

MEDA will set aside approximately 20 units for homeless and formerly homeless adults and families. Currently, MEDA does not have agreements related to these units; however, MEDA previously reached out to the San Francisco Housing Authority and the Department of

Applicant: Mission Economic Development Agency 2901 16th Street

Project Name and Address:

Homelessness and Supportive Housing in 2023 to see if there were available subsidies for the Project. At the time, HSH indicated interest in the Site. As a condition of this loan, MEDA will (1) continue to engage with HSH around incorporating the referral units at the Site and (2) be required to meet with MOHCD project staff monthly to discuss progress towards securing referral agreements. If referral agreements are not secured by the lease up period, MOHCD will work with MEDA to determine the best path forward for these units, which may include renting the units at approximately 60% of AMI. For Loan Conditions, please see **Section 12**.

PASS Sizing and Availability.

In addition to the requested SSP funds, the Project will require approximately \$9-\$12M in PASS funds for acquisition, rehabilitation, and permanent financing. The City has previously issued two tranches of PASS funding (2019A and 2020C). Due to an uncertain budgetary situation and a high interest rate environment, MOHCD waited to begin the issuance process for the PASS 2024X¹ until there was a project queue to support the need for the issuance of the third tranche. With the commitment of SSP funds to 2901 16th Street, along with commitments to approximately six other projects, MOHCD has started the issuance process for 2024X. The funds are expected to be available at the end of 2024 or early 2025.

Because the issuance process has just started, the interest rate is not set. MOHCD estimates it will be approximately 5-5.5%; however, this could change by the time the funds are issued. Staff have run several scenarios to understand how the varying interest rates and proposed rents could impact the Project's anticipated debt sizing. The Project is anticipated to be able to leverage at least \$9M and, at most \$12M. Once the PASS funds are issued, the Project will return to Loan Committee and the Board of Supervisors, as required, to request the approval of the Project's PASS loan.

3. BORROWER/GRANTEE PROFILE

MEDA's mission is to strengthen low- and moderate-income Latino families by promoting economic equity and social justice through asset building and community development. Inspired by and rooted in the Mission District of San Francisco, MEDA envisions generations of Latino families that are part of vibrant, diverse, proud, and forward-thinking communities in which residents own their homes and businesses and are rooted and actively engaged in the civic and political life of their neighborhoods, and the institutions that affect their lives. MEDA provides integrated, culturally and linguistically responsive services to community members in the Mission District and beyond, including: policy, advocacy, and community leadership development; early learning and K-12 educational supports through their Mission Promise Neighborhood collaborative; financial capability coaching; business technical assistance; housing and homeownership counseling; affordable housing development; business and real estate lending through our subsidiary CDFI, Fondo Adelante; workforce development training and career placement; and free tax preparation.

Applicant:

Mission Economic Development Agency

Project Name and Address:

2901 16th Street

¹ X is a placeholder letter until the new tranche is assigned a series letter.

In 2023, MEDA directly served 16,354 community members and impacted 43,918 people through outreach and engagement work. 92% of client households are considered low-to-moderate income, and 85% identify as Latino.

3.1 Racial Equity Vision

The MEDA team is committed to advancing racial equity, and racial equity is central to MEDA's mission. MEDA's Small Sites Program acquisitions have articulated a clear plan for reversing the displacement of and stabilizing and sustaining target populations in alignment with the racial equity vision of MOHCD. MEDA dedicates resources through programming, policies, and practices to foster equal access to all social, economic, health, and political opportunities regardless of race or socioeconomic standing.

The overarching goal of MEDA's comprehensive community development is to expand opportunities for socially and economically underserved individuals and ensure that health, housing, and justice are never limited by race/ethnicity, class, gender identity, age, sexual orientation, religion, immigration status, country of origin, or disability status.

Additionally, MEDA's Racial Equity Vision is reflected in the high percentage of BIPOC staffing throughout all levels of MEDA. MEDA's nine-member Board of Directors are 75% Latinx and Chaired by Rafael Yaquian.

Staff:

Organization: Luis Granados (Chief Executive Officer) leads MEDA with its Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer, all three BIPOC, and two of them women. 75% of the 12-person Management team are people of color and 60% identify as Latinx. MEDA's current staff is 74% Latinx; 15% white; 7% Asian/Pacific Islander, 1% black; 3% Mixed/Other

Development Team: The team of 17 including the Community Real Estate Officer is 95% people of color, over ¾ Latinx, 1% black, and over 50% women.

3.2 Board of Directors and Staff

An eleven-person Board of Directors, composed of community members, non-profit, and corporate professionals with relevant experience, governs MEDA. The Chairperson is Rafael Yaquian, Partner, Goldfarb & Lipman LLC; the Vice Chair is M. Teresa García, Family Resource Center Program Associate, First 5 San Francisco; the Treasurer is Whitney Jones, Director of Housing Development, Chinatown CDC; and the Secretary is Marco Chavarin, Senior Vice President, Financial Access Partnership Manager at Citi. Additional board members include Ed Cabrera, Regional Public Affairs Officer at the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development; Ysabel Duron, Founder/President of Latino Cancer Institute; Teddy Gray King, Partner Synergy Public Affairs; Rich Gross, Founding President Emeritus of the Board and member of the Credit Committee for the Housing Accelerator

Fund; Dr. Carina Marquez, Assistant Professor of Medicine at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF); Carolina Martinez, CEO, California Association for Micro Enterprise Opportunity (CAMEO), Manuel Santamaria, Senior Philanthropic Leader, and Kevin Stein, Associate Director with the California Reinvestment Coalition.

For more detailed experience of key staff, see **Appendix B.** For recent development activity, see **Appendix C.**

3.3 <u>Asset Management Performance & Capacity</u>

MEDA maintains the largest portfolio of Small Sites projects among all Small Sites sponsors. Seven MEDA Small Sites went through a forbearance process in 2021 in the face of market fluctuations caused by COVID. This process ensured the long-term success of the sites.

MEDA has over 250 SSP residential units in their portfolio. The current vacancy rate of MEDA SSP properties is 5.8%, and the vacancy rate over the past two years has ranged between 5% and 6%. MEDA's Asset Management and Leasing teams also have extensive experience with managing and leasing commercial spaces. To date, MEDA maintains 31 commercial units across its Small Sites Portfolio. MEDA's leasing team has maintained over 95% commercial occupancy over the last two and a half years and 100% of their commercial spaces are occupied to date. As of the 2022 reporting year, 30 out of MEDA's 32 Annual Monitoring Reports were submitted late. SSP Guidelines allow Sponsors that have an SSP building to request interest forgiveness of unpaid interest due for that year if the building generates insufficient cash flow to repay the interest due. However, a Sponsor must submit their AMRs and audited financials on time to request this forgiveness. To ensure retaining the opportunity to request interest forgiveness for their SSP profile and to conform with MOCHD's requirements, MEDA is committed to providing audited financials and AMRs on time. MEDA will provide 2023 AMRs once their audit is completed in July 2024.

3.4 <u>Property Management</u>

The acquisition of 2901 16th Street will enable MEDA to pursue the development of their own property management division by increasing MEDA's unit count to over 400 units. MEDA internally determined that providing in-house property management services penciled at 400+ units, with the ability to achieve efficiency at 500 units and the ability to maintain the services around the third or fourth year with approximately 600 units. To date, the property management of MEDA's Small Site acquisitions has been outsourced to third parties, and a consistent third-party property manager has not been identified. MEDA will be the first QNP to bring the function in house, and it will help to ensure continuity of services across their portfolio.

Propiedades Adelante will be a MEDA-affiliated property management entity that will provide property management of MEDA's properties to ensure residents have affordable and secure housing. The entity will start off managing MEDA's Small Sites preservation properties with the eventual management of MEDA's tax credit properties. The property management entity will

have in-house staffing and contract back-office functions with MEDA. Propiedades Adelante will be established as an entity with a separate board and members from MEDA staff and board. MEDA's hiring from 2021-2023 has been with an eye to this transition. Asset management staff have decades of property management (PM) experience, and MEDA has planned for at least two of the new property management positions to be filled by existing MEDA asset management staff that will transition over the property management department. The Accounting Department will need to grow and will be paid through fees already collected, including PM and AM fees, bookkeeping fees, and fees for performing tenant income certifications. MEDA projects that Property Management should break even in 2026 and will scale according to need.

Propiedades Adelante will launch in August 2024 and will manage 2901 16th Street. The submission of a MOHCD approved property management plan will be a condition of closing. The Property Management Plan must include a narrative of how MEDA will plan for the property management needs of direct referral tenants. For Closing Conditions, please see **Section 11**.

3.4 <u>Development Experience.</u>

In addition to MEDA's experience in commercial real estate development and management, it has a number of Small Sites Program residential real estate projects in its portfolio.

MEDA Small Sites Program Properties:

	In Development	Completed	Owned
No. Projects	2	34	36
No. Units	15	277	292

4. SELECTION PROCESS

4.1 Small Sites Program Funding

A Notice of Funding Availability ("NOFA") was published on July 24, 2014 to provide acquisition and rehabilitation financing for multi-family rental buildings of 5 to 25 units. The NOFA established a fund to help stabilize buildings occupied by low- to moderate-income tenants throughout San Francisco that are particularly susceptible to market pressure resulting in property sales, evictions, and rising tenant rents. Since the NOFA's publication and submission deadline, the Small Sites Program aims to fund projects on a first come first served basis according to funding availability.

This Project is subject to the revised guidelines for the SSP program published in November 2022. Based on the current SSP Guidelines, the Project receives a baseline score of 92, exceeding the minimum threshold of 70 points and indicating that it accomplishes the core

goals of the SSP, including community stabilization, geographic equity and housing affordability goals. A multiplier of 125% is available to projects that receive a high baseline score. With the multiplier, the project score increases to 115 points. **Appendix A** shows how the scoring rubric determines the amount of per unit and total subsidy the Project is eligible for.

SSP Scoring Rubric: Project Name/Address

Category	Points
Housing Affordability	35/35
Community Stabilization	35/35
Geographic Equity (D9)	22/30
Total Base Score	92/100
Eligible Multiplier	125%
Total After Multiplier	115/100

The SSP Scoring Rubric was intended for typical SSP Projects, which are generally fully occupied at acquisition. The SSP Scoring Rubric does not account for projects with this level of vacancy. As a result, consideration of existing residents and future residents was incorporated in the Housing Affordability and Community Stabilization categories.

4.2 <u>Preservation and Seismic Safety Program (PASS)</u>

The Preservation and Seismic Safety Program (PASS) provides low-cost and long-term financing to fund seismic retrofits, as well as the acquisition, rehabilitation, and preservation of affordable multi-family housing. The Program was created to complement the City's anti-displacement and preservation strategy, including the Small Sites Program. PASS was initially funded by repurposing \$261 million in underutilized bond authority funds from the 1992 Seismic Safety Loan Program. \$72 million was funded in the first issuance of the PASS program in February 2019. The second issuance of \$103 million closed in December 2020. The third issuance is scheduled for late 2024/early 2025. The Project is considered an eligible property under Section 2.1 of the PASS Program Regulations.

5. SITE

5.1 Brief Site Description.

Located in the Mission Neighborhood between South Van Ness and Capp Streets, 2901-2929 16th Street is a four-story, 71-unit mixed-use building, with 63 residential units (2901 16th Street) and 8 commercial units (2901-2929 16th Street). No units will be added or removed during rehabilitation.

5.2 Site Characteristics.

Address:	2901-2929 16 th Street
Lot/Block:	Lot 001/Block 3570
Lot Square footage:	14,967 sf
Building age:	110 years old
Number of buildings:	1
Number of floors:	4
Building typology:	Residential (Apartment 15 units or
	more)
Unusual characteristics	Historic Building (Class A)
(including surrounding uses):	

5.3 Environmental Issues/Site Suitability.

Phase I/II Site Assessment Status and Results: A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment report was prepared by Partner Engineering and Science, Inc. on July 6, 2023. The Phase I identified the following Recognized Environmental Conditions ("RECs") during its assessment:

 During the building's history, units 2909, 2921, and 2929 have building permits and/or tenant names that indicate that tenants provided dry cleaning or self-serve coin operated laundry services. Because of the lack of detailed information regarding the chemicals used, and the variations of dry-cleaning chemicals that existed from decade to decade, the existence of dry-cleaning facilities at the Site is considered an REC.

Due to the age of the building, the report suggested the possibility of asbestos containing materials ("ACMs") and lead-based paint ("LBP) onsite. Because of the RECs and suspected ACMs and LBP, Partner recommended the following:

- A limited subsurface investigation should be conducted to determine the presence or absence of soil, soil vapor, and/or groundwater contamination due to the historical use of the subject property. The limited subsurface investigation will be required as a closing condition.
- An Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Program should be implemented to safely manage the suspect ACMs and LBP located at the subject property.

Potential/Known Hazards: A Phoenix Environmental Consulting, LLC Environmental Assessment Asbestos Inspection Report, dated July 15, 2023, was requested to determine the presence of ACMs. Fifteen bulk samples were collected from the apartments and common hallways only. The samples were taken to determine if asbestos was present in the sheet vinyl, ceramic flooring, plaster and skim coat, hardwood flooring, or vinyl tile. No asbestos was detected in the bulk samples.

An Environmental Lead Detect, Inc. Lead Paint Inspection report, dated June 21, 2023, was requested to determine the presence of lead paint in the building. Lead paint was detected in some of the units, half of the commercial spaces, in the interior common areas, and in the exterior surfaces. Remediation of lead-containing materials is recommended, but at the very least, an Operations & Maintenance Program for any remaining lead-containing materials after rehabilitation is complete, will be required as a condition of this loan.

5.4 Commercial Space.

Seven of the eight commercial spaces are currently occupied. Businesses include Irma's Pampanga Filipino Restaurant (2901 16th Street), Vanysol Hair Salon (2905 16th Street), El Yucateco Bakery (2907 16th Street), Cocina Maya Restaurant (2909 16th Street), ewaste SF Electronics Recycling and Paper Shredding (2915 16th Street), City Club Bar (2919 16th Street), and Don Rafa's Cyclery (2929 16th Street). Long-term tenants include Irma's Filipino Restaurant (operating since 1998) and an ewaste SF Electronics Recycling and Paper Shredding (operating since 2005). Because of the relatively stable commercial occupancy, the proforma assumes a 20% commercial vacancy loss assumption. As a condition of this loan, MEDA will work with Irma's and any other potentially qualifying business to determine if they are eligible to be designated as a Legacy Business with the City, and MEDA will provide a commercial leasing plan to address the current and any future vacancies.

6. ENTITLEMENTS

6.1 Zoning.

The Property is zoned UMU – Urban Mixed Use and is in the 68-X Height and Bulk District. The rehabilitation work will not change the use, height, or bulk of the building.

6.2 <u>Local/Federal Environmental Review.</u>

Staff expects the Project to fall within the Categorical Exemption 1- Existing Facilities under CEQA. MEDA will be required to obtain formal Planning Department determination when required, including for issues related to historic preservation.

6.3 Article 34 Authority.

The MOHCD approval letter is pending and will be issued prior to closing.

7. DEVELOPMENT PLAN

7.1 Site Control.

Purchase Price: \$20,350,000

Status of Purchase & Sale Contract: Executed May 3, 2024

Applicant: Mission Economic Development Agency

Project Name and Address:

2901 16th Street

P & S Contingencies:

Financing Contingency- 6/21/2024

Hard Closing Date and other deadlines: 8/29/2024

7.2 Appraisal.

The property was appraised by Golden Gate Appraisal, Inc. on May 17, 2024. The value conclusions were:

Market Values	
As Is	\$20,350,000
Hypothetical Stabilized Value with Market Rents	\$25,080,000
Hypothetical Value with MOHCD Restricted Rents	\$22,440,000

7.3 Title Issues.

No title issues were identified in the preliminary title report dated April 21, 2023.

7.4 <u>Proposed Property Ownership Structure.</u>

MEDA Small Properties LLC will own fee title to the land and the improvements of the subject property. Mission Economic Development Agency is the sole member and manager of MEDA Small Properties LLC.

7.5 Rehabilitation Scope.

2901 16th Street is in moderate, serviceable condition overall. However, it is an unreinforced brick masonry-steel frame building in need of a (voluntary) seismic upgrade, with a worn exterior and building envelope, and insufficient mechanical, plumbing, electrical, and fire systems. Roughly half of the units had been remodeled with flooring, kitchen cabinetry and appliances, and bathroom upgrades prior to acquisition. This is a Class A historic resource.

The rehabilitation scope was determined through the following:

- Review of the Capital Plan, prepared by Association Reserves, dated July 21, 2023, with updated Capital Needs Assessment costs prepared by Sponsor December 18, 2023.
- Review of the Structural Conditions Assessment, prepared by Jaime Neilson Structural Engineer, dated July 11, 2023.
- The MOHCD Construction Rep inspection, accompanied by structural engineer Russell Berkowitz.

- Structural engineer's drawings for seismic upgrade, prepared by Jaime Neilson
 Structural Engineer, dated April 19, 2024. Jaime Neilson has been active with the
 Concrete Building Safety Working Group, and he is well versed in the current and future
 codes related to seismic upgrades for concrete and brick masonry buildings. Because
 this building has a steel frame, it has not been on the unreinforced masonry list. In 1999,
 the property underwent a minor seismic upgrade with a brace frame installed at one of
 the commercial units.
- Three general contractors' walk throughs, preliminary scope reviews, and their detailed pricing provided April 30, 2024.

The proposed repairs and upgrades are projected to keep the building in above-average condition for at least 20 years. Significant items from rehabilitation scope include:

- 1. Seismic upgrade: New footings, foundations, brace frames, and steel columns and beams in the basement with reinforcing connections to the first residential floor
- 2. Exterior: All windows replaced with wood at visible facades and vinyl at back facades; brick repointing throughout
- 3. Electrical system: Service upgrade, new main panel, subpanels and wiring, new lighting, and intercom system
- 4. Roof: Roof repairs, skylights (7) replacement
- 5. Common Area: Minor laundry room upgrades
- 6. Unit Upgrades: New interior doors, hardware and closet doors, and painting and flooring at 36 units; new cabinets, countertops, and kitchen appliances at 36 units; full bathroom upgrades at 20 units; new bathroom exhaust at all units. 4 units on the first residential floor will comply with Chapter 11B alterations accessibility upgrades.
- 7. HVAC: Bath exhaust at all units, kitchen hoods at 46 units
- 8. Fire systems: Fire Alarm and Sprinkler systems upgrades throughout
- 9. Commercial Spaces: ADA bathroom and path of travel upgrades to accompany the seismic reinforcing work; one new mini-split furnace to serve each commercial space; new patch and paint; new polished concrete slab

7.6 MOHCD Construction Representative Evaluation

The level of rehabilitation scope and the construction budget for the Project are roughly comparable to several rehab projects in the MOHCD portfolio. The Throughline scattered sites and South Park scattered sites projects, with structural and systems upgrades, provide useful comparisons, giving MOHCD staff confidence about the validity of budget for this project. Because rehab projects vary so much, comparisons across the portfolio lack sufficient accuracy. However, to put the costs into perspective, this project is priced at \$171K/unit. South Park and Throughline were \$233K/unit and \$260K/unit respectively. The efficiency of working in one building rather than scattered sites may account for the lower cost for 16th Street, among other factors.

The budget of \$10.76M is based on Structural Engineer's drawings (with guidance from a Geotechnical Engineer), the capital needs assessment, and a comprehensive owner assessment

of the needs of the interiors, as well as the MOHCD CR assessments, and walk throughs and pricing from three general contractors who have done many MOHCD-funded rehabs. The Project Team used the highest bid as the basis for this financing request. The construction cost is buffered by 30% in contingencies which is reasonable and comparable to other similar rehabs int eh portfolio: 2% contractor contingency, 13% design/bid/plan check contingency, and 15% hard cost contingency. The contingencies account for the risks inherent in historic planning reviews and requirements, anticipated cost escalation due to the delay between the pricing exercise and subcontractor bids, potential unforeseen conditions common to older buildings, and the potential for anything the contractor may have overlooked in their pricing in terms of qualification and exclusions. Factoring in the next highest bidder's pricing, this total construction cost is \$1.22M higher, suggesting the highest bidder padded the costs conservatively, thus providing yet more buffer for the unknowns. Though the project obtained pricing from general contractors, this Project will be formally bid out to contractors and subcontractors in Fall 2025.

Given the existing structural condition, the safety of the residents has taken priority and drives the budget. This voluntary, but substantial, seismic upgrade accounts for \$3.2M of the budget. Below is the rough breakdown of the primary cost drivers:

Seismic retrofit	\$3,200,000
Unit upgrades	\$2,100,000
Exterior upgrades	\$1,500,000
Electrical upgrades	\$1,500,000
Fire alarm and sprinklers	\$300,000

7.7 Performance Schedule

		Estimated or
No.	Performance Milestone	Actual Date
1.	SSP Financing Commitment	<u>6/21/2024</u>
2.	Site Acquisition	8/29/2024
3.	Pre-Construction- Design Team Procurement	
	Design Bid/Scope of Work Preparation	9/12/2024
	Design Packet Internal Review	9/26/2024
	Design RFP NOISB and Bid Posting Approval	10/17/2024
	Design Bid Posting	11/14/2024
	Design Team Contract Signing	1/16/2024
4.	Pre-Construction- Permits	
	Building Permit Application Submitted	5/16/2025
	Permit Approval Intake (Planning and DBI)	8/14/2025

5.	Pre-Construction- Construction Team	
	Procurement	
	Construction Bid/SOW Preparation (Residential	8/28/2025
	and Structural)	
	Construction Packet Internal Review	9/11/2025
	Construction NOISB and Bid Posting Approval	10/2/2025
	Construction Bid Posting	10/30/2025
	Construction Team Contract Signing	11/27/2025
6.	Construction	
a.	Notice to Proceed Approved by MOHCD	12/25/2025
b.	Permits Pulled	1/8/2026
C.	Structural Scope Complete	<u>10/6/2024</u>
b.	Residential Scope Construction	1/14/2027
e.	PG&E Inspection and Perm Power Connection	3/18/2027
7.	Marketing & Lease-up	
a.	Lease Vacant Units	7/18/2027
8.	Close Out MOHCD SSP Loan	8/29/2024
9.	Close Out MOHCD PASS Loan(s)	3/31/2024

7.8 Population to Be Served

The occupied units at the Project accommodate long-term residents that include families and seniors. Most existing residents are low-income and come from a variety of ethnic and racial backgrounds. Currently, the average rent AMI of the ten existing households is 50.5% of AMI. Approximately 20 units will be set aside for homeless and formerly homeless households. Vacant units not set aside for referrals will be leased to low- to moderate-income households. The household income of tenants that occupy the Project after its acquisition must be less than 120% of AMI.

7.9 Unit Mix & Affordability

The average rent AMI of the Project at full occupancy, including the potential homeless referral units and associated subsidy, will be approximately 60% of AMI. The ten existing households currently have an average rent AMI of 50.5%. The approximately 20 set-aside referral units are projected to have an average rent of 60% of AMI, which includes any applicable subsidy, and non-set aside units are projected to have an average rent of around 63% AMI. Recent market studies conducted at 1979 Mission Street and other area comps highlighted that 2024 Fair Market Rent, which is currently \$2,592 for a studio, is likely not achievable at this building in

this current rental market. The Project will be required to provide a comp study to support additional underwriting for the PASS loan, as a condition of this loan.

The Project qualifies for the Small Sites Program with at least 80% of the Project (51 out of 63 households) having "income certified" and earning an average income at or below 80% AMI. Small Sites Guidelines allow counting vacant units towards the income certification requirement. Because the Project has over 51 vacancies, it automatically satisfies this requirement. To conform with SSP Guidelines, the Project will aim to achieve an average rent of 80% of AMI.

Marketing & Occupancy Preferences.

The Project is planning to set aside approximately 20 units for homeless and formerly homeless households. So long as these approximately 20 units are used for direct referrals, they will not be subject to MOHCD's marketing procedures subject to City Attorney approval and any other required departmental approval. All units not being used for direct referrals, and any units that cease to be used for direct referrals in the future, are subject to MOHCD's marketing procedures and future vacant units will be marketed according to multifamily marketing procedures. These units will be subject to the Certificate of Preference Program and the Live/Work in San Francisco Preference, and if more than five units in the building are marketed at the same time, the Displaced Tenant Housing Preference Program. Submission of a Marketing Plan six months before rehabilitation is complete will be a condition of this loan.

7.11 Relocation

The Project is anticipating offsite relocation of 10 households for up to one year. The Sponsor anticipates \$420K in residential relocation costs. The Project will require commercial relocation for up to nine months. During those nine months, the businesses will not pay rent, and the Sponsor will provide each business with a monthly stipend equal to their monthly amount of rent. The Sponsor will continue to market the vacant commercial unit, despite the forthcoming rehabilitation. Assuming all eight commercial units are occupied at the time of rehabilitation, the cost of the rent concession and stipend will be approximately \$609K.

Item	Cost	Total
Move In/Move Back	\$6,000/unit (10 units)	\$60,000
Relocation Rent	\$3,000/unit/month	\$360,000
	(10 units for 12 months)	
Commercial Relocation Rent	\$32,686/month (9 months)	\$304,515
Concession		
Commercial Relocation	\$32,686/month (9 months)	\$304,515
Stipend		
Commercial Relocation	\$20,000/unit (8 units)	\$160,000
Moving and Storage		
Total Relocation Costs		\$1,189,030

Applicant: Mission Economic Development Agency 2901 16th Street

Project Name and Address:

A MOHCD-approved residential and commercial relocation plan will be required as a closing condition. The Sponsor will also be required to provide executed SNDAs for all commercial businesses and executed relocation agreements for existing tenants.

8. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

8.1 Project Manager.

Name: Lupe Mercado

Percentage Time Spent on Project: 20%

8.2 Architect.

Firm: Architect will be procured through CMD-approved bidding process.

8.3 Contractor.

Firm: Contractor will be procured through CMD-approved bidding process.

Procurement Requirements: Prevailing wage and LBE

8.4 Construction Manager.

Firm: Construction Manager will be procured through CMD-approved bidding process.

8.5 Other Consultants. Other Consultants will be procured through the CMD bidding process, as necessary.

9. FINANCING PLAN

9.1 Sources and Uses. See Exhibit A

9.2 Loan Terms

The Project is requesting the following loan and terms:

Program	SSP
Term	40 years
Note	Soft debt
Туре	Loan

Loan Amount	\$30,000,000
Per unit	\$422,535
Rate	3% simple
Repayment type	residual receipts
Loan Priority	Subordinate to senior financing & City's Declaration of Restrictions

1. SSP Term: 40 years from closing.

2. SSP Repayment: Residual receipts

3. SSP Priority: Subordinate to the City's Declaration of Restrictions.

9.3 <u>Underwriting Requirements & Refinancing Assumptions</u>

The following underwriting requirements and refinancing assumptions have been applied to determine the size of the respective loans:

Residential Vacancy	5% to ensure project stability, which is lower than the 10% in the Small Sites Program Guidelines. 5% is requested due to the high unit count of the building.
Commercial Vacancy	20% to comply with Small Sites Program Guidelines. Seven out of eight commercial spaces are currently occupied.
Replacement and Operating Reserves	Replacement and Operating Reserves will be funded when the Project takes on the PASS loan.
	Projected to be funded through Year 20 of the Project's lifecycle to ensure that refinancing will not be required until at least that time. See more on reserves in Section 9.4.1 below.

9.4 <u>Development Budget</u>

<u>Development Budget Analysis/Comments.</u> All fees are sized based on the current SSP Guidelines.

1. Sufficiency of Reserves

Applicant: Mission Economic Development Agency

Project Name and Address: 2901 16th Street

Vacancy Reserve: The Sponsor is requesting a vacancy reserve of up to \$1,408,000 to support the building's operations during rehabilitation. The reserve is sized to cover vacancy loss from maintaining vacant units, building operations, and interest-only PASS debt service. The Vacancy Reserve maintains a 5% contingency for unanticipated expenses. Vacancy Reserves will be retained by MOHCD and released through MOCHD's standard draw process. As a loan condition, any remaining funds in the Project's Vacancy Reserve will be required to seed the deposit for the Project's Replacement Reserve, once rehabilitation is complete. Please see the Replacement Reserve section below for more.

Commercial Vacancy Reserve: The Sponsor is requesting a Capitalized Commercial Vacancy Reserve in the amount of \$71,575 to support any unanticipated operations period vacancy. The capitalized reserves are sized based per MOHCD's Commercial Underwriting Guidelines at \$10,000 plus \$5/sf (\$10,000 + 12,315 sf * \$5/sf = \$71,575) for operations period vacancy.

Replacement Reserves: Replacement Reserves will not be capitalized until the Project completes its rehabilitation. At that time, any remaining funds from the Vacancy Reserve will seed the initial deposit to the Replacement Reserves, and a Replacement Reserve deposit of approximately \$2.25M, which will include any remaining Vacancy Reserve funds, will be deposited into the Replacement Reserve account to pay necessary replacement costs for the next 20 years, as specified in an approved 20-year CNA, in accordance with SSP Guidelines. An estimated \$312,169 in welfare tax exemption refunds is expected to be added to the Replacement Reserves when it is received in 2027.

Operating Reserves: Operating Reserves will not be capitalized until the Project completes its rehabilitation. At that time, capitalized operating reserves in the amount of approximately \$352,860 (25% of the first-year operating budget) are budgeted to support unanticipated operating costs for at least 20 years, in line with SSP Guidelines.

2. Developer Fee

Developer fee in the amount of \$815,000 meets the SSP Guidelines and is broken down as follows:

- \$105,000 standard cash-out at acquisition
- An additional \$710,000 (\$10,000 per unit per SSP Guidelines) payable at the end of rehabilitation. This fee is at risk, covering any construction cost overruns for the Project.

9.5 <u>Disbursement</u>

This request includes both the acquisition and rehabilitation portions of the Project. Funds for the acquisition, developer fee, and closing costs will be released at escrow through a closing draw. Vacancy reserves and all other funds will be released on a reimbursement basis through MOHCD's standard draw process.

MEDA has been incurring costs for the Project since June 1, 2023, when it began contracting for due diligence work. Thus, with this approval, MEDA may submit draws for reimbursement of invoices related to the Project dated on or after June 1, 2023. A MOHCD Construction Representative will monitor the progress of the rehabilitation and provide approval for construction-related draws.

10. PROJECT OPERATIONS

10.1 Annual Operating Budget: see Exhibit B

10.2 <u>Annual Operating Budget Analysis/Comments.</u>

The Project's Annual Operating Budget includes the following:

PUPY Operating Expense: (w/out reserves):	\$10,827 The operating budget will continue to be underwritten as the pathway for the referral units is determined.
Annual Reserve Deposits:	\$21,300 per SSP Underwriting Guidelines of \$300/PUPY, beginning in Year 3.
Property Taxes:	The Project will qualify for the full welfare tax exemption for all residential units. The commercial spaces are not welfare tax exempt and associated property taxes are included in the Operating Budget in the amount of \$64,619. The Welfare Tax Exemption will be applied for upon construction completion and any associated refunds will be deposited into the Project's replacement reserve within 60 days of receipt by the Sponsor.
Surplus Cash:	\$101,708 in 2028 (Year 4), the first full year of stabilized operations.
Annual Monitoring Fees:	None until the PASS loan is assumed around early 2025.
Asset Management Fee:	MEDA has requested that Asset Management Fee be applied according to the MOHCD's Multifamily Affordable Housing Operating Fee Policy rather than the SSP Guidelines.

10.3 <u>20-year Cash Flow & Debt Service Coverage Ratio (DSCR)</u>

As required by the SSP Guidelines, the cash flow remains positive for 20 years even with the following assumptions: 2.5% escalation of income per year and 3.5% escalation in expense assumptions.

Applicant: Mission Economic Development Agency

Project Name and Address: 2901 16th Street

11. RECOMMENDED CLOSING CONDITIONS

- 1. All reserve accounts must be established in separate FDIC insured, interestbearing accounts prior to closing.
- 2. Sponsor must provide, and MOHCD must approve, residential and commercial relocation plans.
- 3. Sponsor must provide executed SNDAs for the commercial businesses and executed relocation agreements for the residential tenants.
- 4. Sponsor must provide MOHCD with the recommended limited subsurface investigation before the date of the Mayor's signature on the loan documents.
- 5. Sponsor must have initiated CMD procurement process by close of escrow.
- 6. MEDA must submit a MOHCD-approved Property Management Plan. The Property Management Plan must address how MEDA will plan for the property management needs of properties with direct referral tenants.

12. RECOMMENDED LOAN CONDITIONS

- 1. Once received, the approximately \$312,169 Welfare Tax Exemption refund must be deposited into the replacement reserve.
- 2. MEDA must submit an Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Program to MOHCD for review and approval within 60 days of closing.
- 3. MEDA must meet with MOHCD staff monthly to discuss progress towards securing agreements for referral units.
- 4. Marketing plan must be submitted no later than 6 months before anticipated Construction Completion Date.
- 5. MEDA must apply for Historic or 4% Tax Credits, if deemed feasible by MOHCD.
- 6. MEDA will provide a MOHCD-approved commercial leasing plan for the vacant commercial unit and any future vacancies, within 60 days of closing.
- 7. MEDA will work with the existing commercial tenants to determine eligibility for designation as a legacy business within 90 days of closing.
- 8. A rent comp study will be required within 90 days of closing.
- 9. The Sponsor will work with MOHCD to determine DAHLIA requirements, if any, for referral units.
- 10. The Sponsor will provide MOHCD with monthly Project reports and will meet with MOHCD staff no less than monthly, or as required by MOHCD.
- 11. The Sponsor will deposit any remaining funds from the Project's Vacancy Reserve into the Replacement Reserve account, once the Project's rehabilitation is complete.
- 12. Any excess funds remaining after the Project is complete and all reserves have been fully funded will be used to pay down the Project's SSP loan, and loan documents will be amended to reflect the reduced SSP loan amount.

Attachments:

Appendix A	Calculation of Maximum Allowable SSP Subsidy Per Unit (According to November 2022 SSP Guidelines)
Appendix B	Development and Asset Management Teams
Appendix C	Recent Development Activity
Exhibit A	Sources and Uses
Exhibit B	Annual Operating Budget
Exhibit C	20-Year Cash Flow

LOA	N APPR	ROVAL RECOM	/ENDA	ATION		
[]	APPROVE.	[]	DISAPPROVE.	
						Date:
		ns, Director fice of Housing	and Co	mmunit	y Development	
[]	APPROVE.	[]	DISAPPROVE.	
						Date:
		cin, Deputy Dire ommunity Inves		and Infr	astructure	
[]	APPROVE.	[]	DISAPPROVE.	
						Date:
Salv	ador M	enjivar, Housing	g Direc	tor		
Dep	artmen	t of Homelessn	ess and	d Suppo	rtive Housing	
[]	APPROVE.	[]	DISAPPROVE.	
·———						Date:
		egna, Director Office of Public	r Finan	re		
5011						

FW: REQUEST FOR PERMANENT FINANCING FOR 2901 16TH STREET

Adams, Dan (MYR) < Dan.Adams@sfgov.org>

Fri 6/21/2024 11:34 AM

To:Amaya, Vanessa (MYR) < Vanessa. Amaya@sfgov.org>

I also vote yes for the above listed request.

Daniel Adams Director Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development City and County of San Francisco

Request for Permanent Financing for 2901 16th Street

Slutzkin, Marc (CII) <marc.slutzkin@sfgov.org>

Fri 6/21/2024 11:50 AM

To:Amaya, Vanessa (MYR) <Vanessa.Amaya@sfgov.org>
Cc:Ely, Lydia (MYR) <lydia.ely@sfgov.org>;Kaslofsky, Thor (CII) <Thor.Kaslofsky@sfgov.org>;Colomello, Elizabeth (CII) <elizabeth.colomello@sfgov.org>;Adams, Dan (MYR) <Dan.Adams@sfgov.org>

Hi Vanessa,

I approve the above request on behalf of OCII.

Thanks

Marc



Marc Slutzkin Deputy Director

One South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

www.sfocii.org

2901 16th St.

Menjivar, Salvador (HOM) <salvador.menjivar1@sfgov.org>

Thu 7/11/2024 11:48 AM

To:Amaya, Vanessa (MYR) <Vanessa.Amaya@sfgov.org> Cc:Ely, Lydia (MYR) <lydia.ely@sfgov.org>

I support Mission Economic Development Agency (MEDA) request of up to \$30,000,000 in Small Sites Program funding for the permanent financing of the property located at 2901 16th Street, a project comprised of 63 residential units and eight commercial spaces.

Best,

Salvador



Salvador Menjivar
Director of Housing
Pronouns: He/Him
San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive
Housing
salvador.menjivar1@sfgov.org | 415-308-2843

Learn: [dhsh.sfgov.org]hsh.sfgov.org | Follow: @SF_HSH |

Like: @SanFranciscoHSH

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.

RE: REQUEST FOR PERMANENT FINANCING FOR 2901 16TH STREET

Trivedi, Vishal (CON) < vishal.trivedi@sfgov.org>

Fri 6/21/2024 11:28 AM

To:Amaya, Vanessa (MYR) <Vanessa.Amaya@sfgov.org> Cc:Adams, Dan (MYR) <Dan.Adams@sfgov.org> I vote yes.

Vishal Trivedi | Financial Analyst
Office of Public Finance | City & County of San Francisco
Email | vishal.trivedi@sfgov.org

Appendix A: Calculation of Maximum Allowable SSP Subsidy Per Unit According to November 2022 SSP Guidelines

Unit type(s)	Jnit type(s) # of units S		Subsidy total				
SRO	2	\$275,000	\$550,000				
Studio	55	\$350,000	\$19,250,000				
1-bedroom	6	\$400,000/unit	\$2,400,000				
Commercial Spaces	8	\$400,000/unit	\$3,200,000				
TOTAL		\$357,746/unit	\$25,400,000				
Multiplier bonus for high-s projects based on SSP Scor	_	125%	125%				
Maximum Subsidy: (Project base score of 87/87/100 with bonus applie		\$447,183/unit	\$31,750,000				
Requested Amount		Up to \$422,535/unit	Up to \$30,000,000				

Appendix B: Development and Asset Management Teams.

Jose Garcia, Associate Director, Preservation. Jose attended Cal Poly San Luis Obispo, receiving a B.A. in Business Administration with a concentration in International Business. He is determined to keep a strong Latino Community in the Mission District. Before joining MEDA, Jose was a Relocation Consultant for Overland, Pacific, and Cutler LLC, where he worked alongside MEDA during the rehabbing of five former public housing developments under the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) program (a total of 439 units for seniors and disabled San Franciscans). Jose has been involved in 29 out of the 39 SSP buildings in different phases. Jose has completed one new construction project: 681 Florida, a 130-unit building for formerly homeless individuals and families, and is currently managing 1979 Mission Street. The development is envisioned as two separate buildings on the site, each addressing unique housing needs. The first is anticipated to accommodate up to 150 units, 100% of which will be reserved for formerly houseless individuals. The second building is anticipated to accommodate up to 300 units of family affordable housing.

Lupe Mercado, Assistant Project Manager, Preservation. Lupe holds an associate degree in accounting and has been working with MEDA for six years in various roles in MEDAs ABP, ERAP Housing Coordinator during COVID for MEDA under LISC, Financial Capability Coach, HUD Certified Housing Counselor, Leasing Specialist, and now Assistant Project Manager. She also has one year of experience working with title companies. Lupe holds several certifications, including HUD Certified Housing Counselor, NeighborWorks Post Purchase Education Housing Counselor, Advanced Tax Credit Specialist, Foreign Student Tax Certificate, and COA IRS ITIN. She successfully completed the final construction for 239 Clayton Street and secured permanent loan financing through MOHCD. Similarly, she acquired 40 Sycamore Street with permanent financing through MOHCD.

Michelle Rolon, Assistant Project Manager, Preservation. Michelle attended the University of California, Los Angeles, where she completed her two undergraduate degrees in Chicana/o Studies and Spanish, and a master's degree in Urban and Regional Planning with a focus in Community Economic Development and Housing. Before joining MEDA, she worked as a program manager of the Mission SRO Collaborative (MSROC), where she supported tenant rights advocacy, community programming, and city-wide coalition building. Her work revolves around affordable housing development, multi-ethnic coalition building, and grassroots frameworks in urban planning. Michelle completed construction at 2676 Folsom Street and secured permanent financing through MOHCD. She also oversaw ADU construction at 239 Clayton, and acquired 3661 19th Street with MOHCD financing. Currently, she is supervising construction of 3661 19th Street and 40-42 Sycamore Street.

Sara Lope, Construction Consultant. Sara was the MEDA Construction Manager for over two years and has now transitioned to Construction Management Consultant. She holds a B.A. in Architecture from Newschool of Architecture and Design in San Diego, and a B.A. in Construction Management from Universidad Politecnica de Burgos, Spain. Her architectural background has helped MEDA with ADUs and commercial ADA improvements, and her

construction management expertise has allowed her to assist in over 33 SSP projects.

Leslie Molina, Associate Director of Asset Management (100%FTE) Leslie was hired in November 2021. She is responsible for the overall physical and financial health of MEDA's residential and commercial property portfolio. She is a real estate professional with thirty (30) years of experience in the nonprofit, affordable-housing sector and the San Francisco conventional market. She brings a wealth of knowledge and deep understanding of organizational management, financial management and asset management. She holds industry standard certifications from the National Center for Housing Managers (NCHM) and the San Francisco Apartment Association (SFAA). In addition, Leslie holds a real estate license from the California Department of Real Estate and has been a licensed real estate agent for eighteen (18) years. She specializes in single family residence (SFR), residential and commercial condominiums, mixed-use buildings and multi-family units. Leslie has successfully managed and executed real estate strategies that exceed organizational objectives.

Emmanuel Zuniga, Senior Asset Management (100%FTE) Emmanuel Zuniga was hired November 2021. He has over seventeen (17) years of experience in Affordable Housing Property Management with different nonprofit organizations. He has managed multi-family properties that include SRO, Family, TAY units, senior housing, and more. Emmanuel holds the following industry certifications from the National Center for Housing Managers: Tax Credit Specialist; Certified Occupancy Specialist; Certified Manager of Housing; Certified Manager of Maintenance; and Certified Financial Specialist. Emmanuel holds an industry designation as an Accredited Residential Manager from the Institute of Real Estate Management and an industry designation of a Registered Housing Manager from the National Center for Housing Managers.

Karina Parraga, Asset Manager Small Sites Operations (100% FTE) Karina earned a bachelor's degree in Interior Design at the Vicente Rocafuerte University in Ecuador. San Francisco's Mission District is where she began to understand the United States system of operations and became immersed in the community. Karina worked at La Raza Information Center and was an active member of Mujeres Unidas -- their leadership training awarded her the opportunity to become involved in the Latino community. She worked for ten years as a Property Manager at Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation (TNDC), serving San Francisco's most vulnerable populations. Karina has a deep understanding of San Francisco's low-income housing programs, Tax Credit, RAD, and HUD. She is a Tax Credit Specialist and has completed courses in computer technology at City College of San Francisco and UC Berkeley.

Brittany Burrows, Asset Manager of Leasing & Compliance (100% FTE) Brittany holds a BA degree in Criminal Justice from SFSU. She also obtains the following certifications: Tax Credit Specialist, Certified Manager of Housing, Certified Occupancy Specialist, Certified Occupancy Specialist for Public Housing, Certified Manager of Maintenance; and Certified Financial Specialist. In addition, she has a five (5) year High Rise Fire Safety Director certificate with CCSF. Brittany has over 8 years of experience working with nonprofit housing organizations in San Francisco's Tenderloin neighborhood. She is responsible for the leasing and compliance of MEDA's Small Sites Portfolio as it relates to Compliance and Regulatory obligations, which include developing standard operating procedures, compliance policies, and procedures to

ensure compliance with program guidelines and local, Federal/State regulatory agreements. This includes reviewing partnership agreements, financing documents, regulatory agreements, and other sources to determine ownership objectives.

Luis Cruz, Affordable Housing Leasing Admin (100% FTE)

Luis has over two (2) years of office administration experience and is currently using his administrative experience to assist the leasing efforts and income certifications for asset management. Luis' role consists of maintaining a high occupancy rate across the portfolio and responding to potential tenant interest for rental properties in person and via digital platforms. In addition, maintain the building's waitlist, and unit showing, answer questions, and finalize leases. Luis also prepares potential tenant background checks, including reference letters, rental history, income verification, and lease application.

Edgar Garcia Solis, Capital Improvements Manager (100% FTE)

Edgar Garcia Solis brings over 15 years of extensive experience in the construction industry to his role. Before joining MEDA, he served as an Assistant Project Manager in construction management with a prominent general contractor, overseeing projects valued at over \$15 million. Currently, Edgar serves as the Capital Improvements Manager, leading the charge in stabilizing MEDA's small sites portfolio spread across over 30 properties throughout the city of San Francisco.

Appendix C: Recent Development Activity.

	Name/Location	Status/Year Completed	Total Units
1	3661 19th Street	Acquired 01/27/2023, In Construction	12 Units
2	40 Sycamore Street	Acquired 06/23/2023, In Construction	3 Units
3	566 Natoma	Acquired 6/15/2020, Construction Completed 11/17/2022, Converted 11/14/2023	5 Units
4	3225 24th Street	Acquired 01/19/2020, Construction Completed 11/22/22, Converted 3/24/23	6 Units

Application Date: Project Name: Project Address:	6/5/2024 2901 16th Street 2901-2929 16th			# Units: # Bedrooms: # Beds:	71]		Small Sites Proiect	
Project Sponsor:	Mission Econom			# Beas:					
SOURCES	28,401,531	10,265,182 Deferred to	-	-	-	-	Total Sources 38,666,714	Comments	I
USES Is source a bridge loan? (select Yes/No) Bridge loans total:	MOHCD/OCII	Perm							
ACQUISITION Acquisition cost or value	20,350,000						20,350,000		I
Legal / Closing costs / Broker's Fee Holding Costs Transfer Tax	25,000	0					25,000 0		
TOTAL ACQUISITION	20,375,000	Ö	0	0	0	0	20,375,000		
CONSTRUCTION (HARD COSTS) Unit Construction/Rehab	2.690.699	4 369 636					7 060 335	Include FF&E	ī
Commercial Shell Construction Demolition	2,000,000	0					(
Environmental Remediation Onsight Improvements/Landscaping Offsite Improvements		0					(Construction line item costs
Infrastructure Improvements Parking	50.044	0					(as a % of hard costs
GC Bond Premium/GC Insurance/GC Taxes GC Overhead & Profit CG General Conditions	53,814 403,839 53,814	87,393 655,826 87,393					141,207 1,059,665 141,207		1.7% 12.6% 1.7%
Sub-total Construction Costs Design Contingency (remove at DD)	3,202,166 160,108	5,200,248 260,013	0	0	0	0	8,402,414 420,121	5% up to \$30MM HC. 4% \$30-\$45MM, 3% \$45MM+	5.0%
Bid Contingency (remove at bid) Plan Check Contingency (remove/reduce during Plan Rev Hard Cost Construction Contingency	128,087 128,087 480,325	208,010 208,010 780.037					336,097	5% up to \$30MM HC, 4% \$30-\$45MM, 3% \$45MM+ 4% up to \$30MM HC, 3% \$30-\$45MM, 2% \$45MM+ 5% new construction / 15% rehab	4.0% 4.0% 15.0%
Sub-total Construction Contingencies TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS	896,607 4,098,773	1,456,070 6,656,318	0	0	0	0	2,352,677 10,755,091		13.0%
SOFT COSTS Architecture & Design									
Architect design fees	141,408	0					141,408	See MOHCD A&E Fee Guidelines: http://sfmohcd.org/documents-reports-and-forms	
Design Subconsultants to the Architect (incl. Fees) Architect Construction Admin		0					0		
Reimbursables Additional Services Sub-total Architect Contract	30,000 171,408	0		0	0	0	30,000 171,408	Structural Design Fees	
Other Third Party design consultants (not included under Architect contract)	454.400	0							ĺ
Total Architecture & Design Engineering & Environmental Studies Survey	171,408	0	0	0	0	0	171,408		Ī
Geotechnical studies Phase I & II Reports	23,000 2,200	0					23,000 2,200)	
CEQA / Environmental Review consultants NEPA / 106 Review CNA/PNA (rehab only)	36.095	0					36.095		
Other environmental consultants	43,700	0					43,700	Asbestos: \$3,400, Lead Report: \$12,500, Pest \$3800 + Special Inspections Allowance \$24,000	
Total Engineering & Environmental Studies Financing Costs Construction Financing Costs	104.995	0	0	0	0	0	104.995		
Construction Loan Origination Fee Construction Loan Interest		0					(
Title & Recordina CDLAC & CDIAC fees Bond Issuer Fees		0					(
Other Bond Cost of Issuance Other Lender Costs (specify)		0					0		
Sub-total Const. Financing Costs Permanent Financing Costs Permanent Loan Origination Fee	0	118,863	0	0	0	0	118,863		T
Credit Enhance. & Appl. Fee Title & Recording		20,000					20.000		
Sub-total Perm. Financing Costs Total Financing Costs	0	138,863 138,863	0	0	0	0	138,863 138,863		•
Leaal Costs Borrower Leaal fees Land Use / CEQA Attorney fees	20.000	15.000					35.000		I
Tax Credit Counsel Bond Counsel		0					0		
Construction Lender Counsel Permanent Lender Counsel Other Legal (specify)	15,000	15,000 0					30,000		
Total Legal Costs Other Development Costs		30,000	0	0	0	0	65,000	1	- -
Appraisal Market Study * Insurance	4,000 64,043	0					4,000 0 64,043		
Property Taxes Accounting / Audit	480,260	0					480,260		
Organizational Costs Entitlement / Permit Fees Marketing / Rent-up	97,298 14.200	27,910					125,208 14.200		
* Furnishings PGE / Utility Fees		0						\$2,000/unit; See MOHCD U/W Guidelines: http://sfmohcd.org/documents-reports-and-forms	
TCAC App / Alloc / Monitor Fees * Financial Consultant fees		0					(
Construction Management fees / Owner's Rep Security during Construction	35,000 420,000	0					35,000 (420,000		
* Relocation Commercial Relocation PASS Fees	769,030	0 7,255					769,030 7,255		Total Soit Cost
Other (specify) Total Other Development Costs	1.883.831	35.165	0	0	0	0	1.918.996		Contingency as % of Total
Contingency (Arch, Eng., Fin, Legal & Other Dev) TOTAL SOFT COSTS	219,523 2,414,758	20,403 224,430	0	0	0	0	239,926 2,639,18 7	Should be either 10% or 5% of total soft costs.	Soft Costs 10.0%
RESERVES * Operating Reserves		352,860	1			1	352,860	ı	т
Replacement Reserves * Tenant Improvements Reserves		2,250,000					2,250,000		
Vacancy Reserve Capitalized Commercial Vacancy Reserve	1,408,000	71,575 0					1,408,000 71,575		
Other (specify) TOTAL RESERVES	1,408,000	2,674,435	0	0	0	0	4,082,435		
Developer Fee - Cash-out Paid at Milestones	105,000	710.000					105,000		I
Developer Fee - Cash-out At Risk Commercial Developer Fee Developer Fee - GP Equity (also show as source)		710,000 0					710,000		
Developer Fee - Deferred (also show as source)		0						Need MOHCD approval for this cost, N/A for most	
Development Consultant Fees Other (specify) TOTAL DEVELOPER COSTS	105,000	710,000	0	0	0	0		proiects	
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COST	28,401,531	10,265,182	0	0	0	0	38,666,714		I
Development Cost/Unit by Source Development Cost/Unit as % of TDC by Source	400,022 73.5%	144,580 26.5%	0.0%	0.0%			544,602 100.0%		İ
Acquisition Cost/Unit by Source	286,620	0	0	0	0	0	286,620		I
Construction Cost (inc Const Contingency)/Unit By Source Construction Cost (inc Const Contingency)/SF	57,729 84.88	93,751 137.85		0.00					I
*Possible non-eligible GO Bond/COP Amount:	3,669,202								
City Subsidy/Unit Tax Credit Equity Pricing:	400,022 N/A	l							
Construction Bond Amount: Construction Loan Term (in months):	N/A N/A								
Construction Loan Interest Rate (as %):	N/A	J							
Small Sites Combined Loan to Value Ratio: % of Acquisition Cost by Source	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	190%		
Small Sites Maximum Developer Fee	790,000	J76	J76	370		J76	100%	•	
•									

Small Sites Project

6/5/2024 2901 16th Street 2901-2929 16th St Mission Economic Development Agency Application Date Project Name: Project Address: Project Sponsor: Comments Perm loan amount is more than bridge loan(s) by: 34944891.07 ACQUISITION
Acquisition cost or value
Legal / Closing costs / Broker's Fee
Holding Costs
Transfer Tax 18,892,531 5,787,177 3,201,680 520,142 28,401,531 TOTAL ACQUISITION 18,892,531 5,787,177 3,201,680 * Unit Construction/Rehab

* Commercial Shell Construction

* Demolition

Environmental Remediation

* Onsight Improvement 4,369,636 Include FF&E 4,369,636 Demolition
Environmental Remediation
Onsight Improvements/Landscaping
Offsite Improvements
Infrastructure Improvements
Parking HOPE SF/OCII costs for streets etc Infrastructure Improvements
Parking
GC Bond Premium/GC Insurance/GC Taxes
GC Overhead & Profit
CG General Conditions
Sub-total Constru 0 87,393 655,826 87,393 655,826 87,393 655,826 87,393 65,200,248 260,013 5% up to \$30MM HC, 4% \$30-\$45MM, 3% \$45MM+780,037 5% up to \$30MM HC, 4% \$30-\$45MM, 3% \$45MM+780,037 5% new construction / 15% rehab 87,393 655,826 87,393 5,200,248 LCG General Conditions

Sub-total Construction Costs

Design Contingency (remove at DD)

Bid Contingency (remove at bid)

Plan Check Contingency (remove/reduce during Plan R

Hard Cost Construction Contingency 5.0% 4.0% 4.0% 15.0% Sub-total Construction Contingencies
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS 1,456,070 6,656,318 SOFT COSTS
Architecture & Design See MOHCD A&E Fee Guidelines: http://sfmohcd.org/documents-reports-and-forms Architect design fees
Design Subconsultants to the Architect (incl. Fees)
Architect Construction Admin Additional Services

Sub-total Architect Contract

Other Third Party design consultants (not included under Architect contract)

Total Architecture & Design Engineering & Environmental Studies

Survey Consultants not covered under architect contract; name consultant type and contract amount Engineering & Environmental Studies

Survey
Geotechnical studies
Phase I & II Reports
Phase I & II Reports
CEOA / Environmental Review consultants
NEPA / 106 Review
CNA/FNA (rehab only)
Other environmental consultants
Total Engineering & Environmental Studies
Financing Costs
Construction Financing Costs
Construction Financing Costs
Construction Loan Origination Fee
Construction Loan Interest
Title & Recording
CDLAC & CDIAC Fees
Bond Issuer Fees
Other Bond Cost of Issuance
Other Ender Costs (specify)
Permanent Financing Costs
Permanent Loan Origination Fee
Credit Enhance, & Appl. Fee
Title & Recording
Sub-total Perm. Financing Costs
Permanent Loan Origination Fee
Credit Enhance, & Appl. Fee
Title & Recording
Sub-total Perm. Financing Costs
Legal Costs
Borrower Legal fees
Borrower Legal fees Name consultants & contract amounts 118,863 118,863 Legal Costs
Borrower Legal fees
Land Use / CEQA Attorney fees
Tax Credit Counsel
Bond Counsel
Construction Lender Counsel
Permanent Lender Counsel
* Other Legal (specify) Total Legal Costs 30.000 30,000 her Development Costs
Appraisal
Market Study
Insurance
Property Taxes
Accounting / Audit
Organizational Costs
Entitlement / Permit Fees
Marketing / Rent-up 27,910 27,910 \$2,000/unit; See MOHCD U/W Guidelines on: http://sfmohcd.org/documents-reports-and-forms Furnishings
PGE / Utility Fees
TCAC App / Alloc / Monitor Fees
Financial Consultant fees
Construction Management fees / Owner's Rep
Security during Construction
Relocation Relocation
Commercial Relocation
PASS Fees
Other (specify) 7.255 7,255 Total Other Development Costs 25 165 25 165 Soft Cost Contingency
[Contingency (Arch, Eng, Fin, Legal & Other Dev)
TOTAL SOFT COSTS 20,403 Should be either 10% or 5% of total soft costs 224,430 RESERVES

Operating Reserves
Replacement Reserves
Tenant Improvements Reserves
Vacancy Reserve
Capitalized Commerical Vacancy Reserve 352,860 Escalated to 2027 2,250,000 352,860 2,250,000 71.575 71.575 TOTAL RESERVES 2.674.435 2.674.435 VELOPER COSTS

Developer Fee - Cash-out Paid at Milestones

Developer Fee - Cash-out At Risk

Commercial Developer Fee

Developer Fee - GP Equity (also show as source)

Developer Fee - Deferred (also show as source) 710,000 710,000 Need MOHCD approval for this cost, N/A for most Development Consultant Fees
Other (specify)
TOTAL DEVELOPER COSTS 0 projects 710,000 710,000 TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COST

Development Cost/Unit by Source

Development Cost/Unit as % of TDC by Source **29,157,714** 410,672 75.4% 5,787,177 3,201,680 0 0 0.0% **38,666,714** 544,602 0.0% 100.0% Acquisition Cost/Unit by Source 266,092 81,510 45,094 7,326 0 0 400,022 93,751 137.85 0 93,751 **4,794,071** 410,672 *Possible non-eligible GO Bond/COP Amount: City Subsidy/Unit Tax Credit Equity Pricing: Construction Bond Amount: Construction Loan Term (in months): Construction Loan Interest Rate (as %): Combined Loan to Value Ratio: % of Acquisition Cost by Source 0% 67% 20% 11% 2% 0% Small Sites Maximum Developer Fee 790.000

Exhibit B: Annual Operating Budget

Application Date: Total # Units: First Year of Operations (provide data assuming that Year 1 is a full year, i.e. 12 months of operations): 2025		Project Name: 2901 16th Street Project Address: 2901-2929 16th St Project Sponsor: Mission Economic Development Agency		
Small Sites Project INCOME	Total	Comments	PUPA	PUPI
Residential - Tenant Rents Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments (SOS Payments)	1,127,111	Links from 'Existing Proj - Rent Info' Worksheet Comments	15,875	1,323
Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments (Other Non-LOSP)	0	Links from 'Existing Proj - Rent Info' Worksheet	-	-
Residential - LOSP Tenant Assistance Payments Commercial Space Residential Parking	0 406,020 0	from 'Commercial Op. Budget' Worksheet; Commercial to Residential allocation: 100% Links from 'Utilities & Other Income' Worksheet	5,719	
Miscellaneous Rent Income Supportive Services Income	0		:	
Interest Income - Project Operations Laundry and Vending	0	Links from 'Utilities & Other Income' Worksheet Links from 'Utilities & Other Income' Worksheet	:	
Tenant Charges Miscellaneous Residential Income	0	Links from 'Utilities & Other Income' Worksheet Links from 'Utilities & Other Income' Worksheet	:	
Other Commercial Income Withdrawal from Capitalized Reserve (deposit to operating account)		from 'Commercial Op. Budget' Worksheet; Commercial to Residential allocation: 100%	:	
Gross Potential Income Vacancy Loss - Residential - Tenant Rents		Vacancy loss is 5% of Tenant Rents.	(794)	
Vacancy Loss - Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments Vacancy Loss - Commercial	(81,204)	#DIV/0!) from 'Commercial Op. Budget' Worksheet; Commercial to Residential allocation: 100%	(1,144)	
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME OPERATING EXPENSES	1,395,571	PUPA: 19,656		
Management Management Fee	63,254	HUD Fee of \$80.84	891 366	74
Asset Management Fee Sub-total Management Expenses	89,263	2023 MOHCD Operating Fees Policy escalated by 3.5% twice for 2025 PUPA: 1,257	300	
Salaries/Benefits Office Salaries	145.675	Links from 'Staffing' Worksheet	2.052	
Manager's Salary Health Insurance and Other Benefits	64,639	Links from 'Staffing' Worksheet	2,052 910	
Other Salaries/Benefits Administrative Rent-Free Unit			:	
Sub-total Salaries/Benefits Administration	210,315	PUPA: 2,962		
Advertising and Marketing Office Expenses	4,736	Per Joe: Not applicable due to own assistant manager/manager onsite Software	67	
Office Rent Legal Expense - Property		\$250PUPA	259	
Audit Expense Bookkeeping/Accounting Services		2022 Audit cost per property \$42 PUPM	49 248	
Bad Debts Miscellaneous			-	
Sub-total Administration Expenses Utilities	44,193	PUPA: 622		
Electricity Water	46,985 52,942	O+M PG&E + 15% O+M Water + 15%	662 746	55 62
Gas Sewer			-	-
Sub-total Utilities Taxes and Licenses	99,927	PUPA: 1,407		
Real Estate Taxes Payroll Taxes	64,619	26% Commercial	910	
Miscellaneous Taxes, Licenses and Permits Sub-total Taxes and Licenses	64,619	PUPA: 910	-	
Insurance			725	
Property and Liability Insurance Fidelity Bond Insurance Worker's Compensation	51,449	O+M Insurance (+15%)	-	
Director's & Officers' Liability Insurance Sub-total Insurance	51,449	PUPA: 725	:	
Maintenance & Repair				
Payroll Supplies		Links from 'Staffing' Worksheet	1,590	
Contracts Garbage and Trash Removal	22,853	Janitorial Contracts 2020 Actuals + 20%	628 322	
Security Payroll/Contract HVAC Repairs and Maintenance	0	Links from 'Staffing' Worksheet	:	
Vehicle and Maintenance Equipment Operation and Repairs Miscellaneous Operating and Maintenance Expenses		\$200 PUPA Misc, + \$500 (Pest Control)	294	
Sub-total Maintenance & Repair Expenses	201,211	PUPA: 2,834		
Supportive Services Commercial Expenses	7,761	Links from 'Staffing' Worksheet from 'Commercial Op. Budget' Worksheet; Commercial to Residential allocation: 100%	109	
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	768,738	PUPA: 10,827		
Reserves/Ground Lease Base Rent/Bond Fees				
Ground Lease Base Rent Bond Monitoring Fee	7.974	PASS Monitoring Fee	112	
Replacement Reserve Deposit Operating Reserve Deposit	21,300	\$300 PUPY	300	
Other Required Reserve 1 Deposit Other Required Reserve 2 Deposit			:	
Required Reserve Deposit/s, Commercial Sub-total Reserves/Ground Lease Base Rent/Bond Fees	29,274	from 'Commercial Op. Budget' Worksheet; Commercial to Residential allocation: 100% PUPA: 412 Min DSCR: 1.15		
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES (w/ Reserves/GL Base Rent/ Bond	798,012	PUPA: 11,240 Mortgage Rate: 5.00% Term (Years): 40		
NET OPERATING INCOME (INCOME minus OP EXPENSES)	597,559	Supportable 1st Mortgage Pmt: 421,352 PUPA: 8,416 Supportable 1st Mortgage Amt: \$7,281,813		
DEBT SERVICE/MUST PAY PAYMENTS ("hard debt"/amortized loans)		Proposed 1st Mortgage Amt: \$5,787,177		
Hard Debt - First Lender Hard Debt - Second Lender (HCD Program 0.42% pymt, or other 2nd Le	113,004	PASS- MR Provide additional comments here, if needed. PASS- BMR Provide additional comments here, if needed.		
Hard Debt - Third Lender (Other HCD Program, or other 3rd Lender) Hard Debt - Fourth Lender	0	Provide additional comments here, if needed.		
Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE	519,582	from 'Commercial Op. Budget' Worksheet: Commercial to Residential allocation: 100%		
CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE)	77,978			
AVAILABLE CASH FLOW	77,978			
USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHCD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL "Below-the-line" Asset Mgt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy)	1.15	,		
Below-the-line: Asset Mgt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (aka "LP Asset Mgt Fee") (see policy for limits)				
Other Payments Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1 (select lender in comments field)		Provide additional comments here, if needed.		
Non-amortizing Loan Pmint - Lender 1 (select lender in comments field) Non-amortizing Loan Pmint - Lender 2 (select lender in comments field) Deferred Developer Fee (Enter amt <= Max Fee from cell 1/130)		Provide additional comments here, if needed. Provide additional comments here, if needed. Def. Develop. Fee split: 0% Provide additional comments here, if needed.		
Deterred Developer Fee (Enter amt <= Max Fee from cell I130) TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD	0	Det. Develop. Fee split: 0% Provide additional comments here, if needed. PUPA: 0		
RESIDUAL RECEIPTS (CASH FLOW minus PAYMENTS PRECEDING	77,978			
Residual Receipts Calculation	,576			
Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation? Will Project Defer Developer Fee?	Yes No	Project has MOHCD ground lease? No		
Max Deferred Developer Fee/Borrower % of Residual Receipts in Yr 1: % of Residual Receipts available for distribution to soft debt lenders in	33% 67%			
or distribution to sort dest tenders in		T		
Soft Debt Lenders with Residual Receipts Obligations MOHCD/OCII - Soft Debt Loans	(Select lender na	Distrib. of Soft me/orogram from drop down) Total Principal Amt Debt Loans		
MOHCD/OCII - Ground Lease Value or Land Acq Cost	Ground Lease	Loans payable from res. rects		
HCD (soft debt loan) - Lender 3 Other Soft Debt Lender - Lender 4 Other Soft Debt Lender - Lender 5		0.00% 0.00% 0.00%		
Other Soft Debt Lender - Lender 5 MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE		0.00%		
MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount Due Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Loan Repayment	51,985	67% of residual receipts, multiplied by 100% MOHCD's pro rata share of all soft debt Enter/override amount of residual receipts proposed for loan repayment.		
Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Loan Repayment Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Leasi REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT	0	Enter/override amount of residual receipts proposed for loan repayment. If applicable, MOHCD residual receipts amt due LESS amt proposed for loan repymt. Total Resid Receipts due not allocated, please revise F142		
NON-MOHOD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT	∠5,993	Total music necespts due not allocated, please revise r142		
NON-MOHOD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE HCD Residual Receipts Amount Due Lender 4 Residual Receipts Due	0			
Lender 5 Residual Receipts Due Total Non-MOHCD Residual Receipts Debt Service	0			
REMAINDER (Should be zero unless there are				
distributions below) Owner Distributions/Incentive Management Fee	25,993 25,993			
Other Distributions/Uses Final Balance (should be zero)	0			

Exhibit C: 20-Year Cash Flow

2901 16th Street	Small Site:	s Project																			
Total # Units:	: 71	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20
		2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044
INCOME	% annual increase	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total
Residential - Tenant Rents Residential - SOS Payments	2.5% 4.0%	199,465	204,451	1,184,170	1,213,775	1,244,119	1,275,222	1,307,103	1,339,780	1,373,275	1,407,607	1,442,797	1,478,867	1,515,838	1,553,734	1,592,578	1,632,392	1,673,202	1,715,032	1,757,908	1,801,855
Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments (Other Non-LOSP) Commercial Space	n/a 2.5%	406.020	104.043	426.575	437.239	448.170	459.374	470.859	482.630	494.696	507.063	519.740	532.733	546.052	559.703	573.696	588.038	602.739	617.807	633,253	649.084
Other Income		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vacancy Loss - Residential - Tenant Rents	n/a	1,089,485 (19,946)	1,172,494 (20,445)	1,783,945 (325,647)	1,651,014 (60,689)		1,734,596 (63.761)	1,777,961 (65,355)	1,822,410 (66,989)	1,867,971 (68,664)			2,011,600 (73,943)		2,113,437 (77,687)	2,166,273 (79,629)	2,220,430 (81,620)	2,275,941 (83,660)	2,332,839 (85,752)	(87,895)	2,450,939 (90,093)
Vacancy Loss - Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments	n/a	(81 204)	(20,809)	(85 315)	(87,448)	-	(91,875)	(94,172)	-										(123,561)		
Vacancy Loss - Commercial EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME	n/a	988,334	1,131,240	1,372,983	1,502,877	1,540,449	1,578,960	1,618,434	1,658,895	1,700,368	1,742,877	1,786,449	1,831,110	1,876,888	1,923,810	1,971,905	2,021,203	2,071,733	2,123,526	2,176,614	2,231,030
OPERATING EXPENSES																					
Management	3.5%	89,263 210,315	92,388 217,676	95,621 225,294	98,968 233,180	102,432 241,341	106,017 249 788	109,728	113,568	117,543	121,657	125,915	130,322	134,883 317,800	139,604	144,490	149,547	154,782 364 683	160,199 377,446	165,806	171,609
Salaries/Benefits Administration	3.5%	210,315 44,193	45,740	225,294 47.341	233,180 48.998	241,341 50.713	249,788 52.488	258,530 54,325	267,579 56.226	276,944 58,194	286,637 60,231	296,669 62,339	307,053 64,521	66,779	328,923 69.117	340,435 71,536	352,350 74,039	76,631	79,313	390,657 82.089	404,330 84,962
Utilities	3.5%	49,964	51,712 33,440	107,045	110,791 71,644	114,669 74,152	118,682	122,836	127,136	131,585	136,191	140,958	145,891	150,997 97,644	156,282	161,752 104,598	167,413	173,273	179,337 115,970	185,614	192,111
Taxes and Licenses Insurance	3.5%	51,449	53 249	69,221 55,113	57,042	59.038	76,747 61,105	79,433 63,243	82,213 65,457	85,091 67,748	88,069 70,119	91,151 72,573	94,342 75,113	77,742	101,061 80,463	83.279	108,259 86,194	112,048 89,211	92.333	120,029 95,565	124,230 98,910
Maintenance & Repair	3.5% 3.5%	178,926	185,188	215,542	223,086	230,894	238,975	247,339	255,996	264,956	274,230	283,828	293,762	304,043	314,685	325,699	337,098	348,897	361,108	373,747	386,828
Supportive Services Commercial Expenses	3.370	7,761	8,032	8,313	8,604	8,906	9,217	9,540	9,874	10,219	10,577	10,947	11,330	11,727	12,137	12,562	13,002	13,457	13,928	14,415	14,920
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES		631,870	687,426	823,491	852,313	882,144	913,019	944,975	978,049	1,012,281	1,047,711	1,084,381	1,122,334	1,161,616	1,202,272	1,244,352	1,287,904	1,332,981	1,379,635	1,427,922	1,477,900
PUPA (w/o Reserves/GL Base Rent/Bond Fees))	8,900	U37,720	020,701	552,513	00±,177	0.0,013	J7,51 J	0.0,040	.,0.2,201	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,00-7,001	,,,004	.,,010	,,,,_,	.,,002	.,20.,004	.,002,001	.,0.0,000	.,,522	.,,500
Reserves/Ground Lease Base Rent/Bond Fees Ground Lease Base Rent	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bond Monitoring Fee		7,974	7,974	7,974 21,300	7,974	7,974	7,974 21,300	7,974	7,974 21,300	7,974	7,974 21,300	7,974	7,974 21,300	7,974 21,300	7,974	7,974	7,974 21,300	7,974	7,974	7,974	7,974
Replacement Reserve Deposit Operating Reserve Deposit		0	0	21,300	21,300 0	21,300 0	21,300	21,300 0	21,300	21,300 0	6,000	21,300 6,000	6,000	6,000	21,300 6,000	21,300 6,000	6,000	21,300 6,000	21,300 6,000	21,300 6,000	21,300 6,000
Other Required Reserve 1 Deposit Other Required Reserve 2 Deposit		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Required Reserve Deposit/s, Commercial		0	0	ő	Ö	0	Ö	ő	Ö	0	ő	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-total Reserves/Ground Lease Base Rent/Bond Fees		29,274	7,974	29,274	29,274	29,274	29,274	29,274	29,274	29,274	35,274	35,274	35,274	35,274	35,274	35,274	35,274	35,274	35,274	35,274	35,274
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES (w/ Reserves/GL Base Rent/ Bond Fees)		661,144	695,400	852,765	881,587	911,418	942,293	974,249	1,007,323	1,041,555	1,082,985	1,119,655	1,157,608	1,196,890	1,237,546	1,279,626	1,323,178	1,368,255	1,414,909	1,463,196	1,513,174
PUPA (w/ Reserves/GL Base Rent/Bond Fees) NET OPERATING INCOME (INCOME minus OP EXPENSES))	9,312 327,190	435,840	520,218	621,290	629,031	636,667	644,185	651,572	658,813	659,892	666,794	673,502	679,998	686,264	692,279	698,025	703,478	708,617	713,418	717,856
DEBT SERVICE/MUST PAY PAYMENTS ("hard debt"/amortized loans)																					
Hard Debt - First Lender] :	282,125	376,167	406,577	406,577	406,577	406,577	406,577	406,577	406,577	406,577	406,577	406,577	406,577	406,577	406,577	406,577	406,577	406,577	406,577	624,223
Hard Debt - Second Lender (HCD Program 0.42% pymt, or other 2nd Lender)		44,023	58,697	113,004	113,004	113,004	113,004	113,004	113,004	113,004	113,004	113,004	113,004	113,004	113,004	113,004	113,004	113,004	113,004	113,004	
Hard Debt - Third Lender (Other HCD Program, or other 3rd Lender)				-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-				-	-		
Hard Debt - Third Lender (Other HCD Program, or other 3rd Lender) Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Sergice		-	- :	- :	- :	- :	- :	-	- :	-	- :	- 1	- :		- :	- :	- :	- :	- :		===
Hard Debt - Third Lender (Other HCD Program, or other 3rd Lender) Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE		- - - 326,148	434,864	519,582	519,582	519,582	519,582	- - 519,582	519,582	519,582	519,582	519,582	519,582	519,582	519,582	519,582	519,582	519,582	519,582	519,582	624,223
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service		326,148 1,042	- - - 434,864 976	519,582 637	519,582 101,708	519,582 109,449	519,582 117,085	519,582 124,604	519,582 131,991	519,582 139,231	519,582 140,311	519,582 147,213	519,582 153,921	519,582 160,417	519,582 166,682	519,582 172,698	519,582 178,443	519,582 183,897	519,582 189,036	519,582 193,837	624,223 93,633
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.)	DSCR:	1,042	. ,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE)		1,042	976	637	101,708	109,449	117,085	124,604	131,991	139,231	140,311	147,213	153,921	160,417	166,682	172,698	178,443	183,897	189,036	193,837	93,633
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHED DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter amt <= Max Fee from row 131) Felow-the-line" Asset Mig Fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy)	DSCR:	1,042	976	637	101,708	109,449	117,085	124,604	131,991	139,231	140,311	147,213	153,921	160,417	166,682	172,698	178,443	183,897	189,036	193,837	93,633
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHED DEBT SERVICE IN WATERRALL [Deferred Developer Fee (Enter amt es- Max Fee from row 131) 'Below-the-iner' Asset Mig fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (kait "PA Sext Mig Tee") (see policy for limits)		1,042	976	637	101,708	109,449	117,085	124,604	131,991	139,231	140,311	147,213	153,921	160,417	166,682	172,698	178,443	183,897	189,036	193,837	93,633
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHCD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Einer antt <= Max Fee from row 131) "Below-the-line" Asset Mgt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (aka "LP Asset Mgt Fee") (see policy for limits) Cibher Payments	DSCR:	1,042	976	637	101,708	109,449	117,085	124,604	131,991	139,231	140,311	147,213	153,921	160,417	166,682	172,698	178,443	183,897	189,036	193,837	93,633
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHCD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter ant <= Max Fee from row 131) "Below-the-line" Asset Mgt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (aka "L" P Asset Mgt Fee") (see policy for limits) Other Payments Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1	3.5% 3.5%	1,042	976	637	101,708	109,449	117,085	124,604	131,991	139,231	140,311	147,213	153,921	160,417	166,682	172,698	178,443	183,897	189,036	193,837	93,633
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW SELOW (The row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHED DEBT SERVICE IN WATERRALL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter amt e.e. Max Ree from row (3)) Felow-the-line" Asset Mat fee (uncommon in new protects, see policy) Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (alx "I" Passet Migt Fee") (see policy for limits) Other Payments Non-amortizing Loan Pmrt - Lender 1	3.5% 3.5%	1,042	976	637	101,708	109,449	117,085	124,604	131,991	139,231	140,311	147,213	153,921	160,417	166,682	172,698	178,443	183,897	189,036	193,837	93,633
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHCD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter antt <= Max Fee from row 131) "Below-the-line" Asset Mgt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (aka "L" P Asset Mgt Fee") (see policy for limits) Other Payments Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1	3.5% 3.5%	1,042	976	637	101,708	109,449	117,085	124,604	131,991	139,231	140,311	147,213	153,921	160,417	166,682	172,698	178,443	183,897	189,036	193,837	93,633
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHCD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter antt <= Max Fee from row 131) "Below-the-line" Asset Mqt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (aka "L" P Asset Mqt Fee") (see policy for limits) Other Payments Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 2 TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS (CASH FLOW minus PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD)	3.5% 3.5%	1,042 1.003	976 1.002	637 1.001	101,708 1.196	109,449 1.211 - - - - - - - -	117,085 1.225	124,604	131,991 1.254	139,231 1.268	140,311 1.27 - - - - - - - -	147,213 1.283	153,921 1.296	160,417 1.309	166,682 1.321	172,698 1.332 - - - - - - - - -	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1.354	189,036 1.364	193,837 1.373	93,633
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHCD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Finer antt <= Max Fee from row 131) "Below-the-line" Asset Mgt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (aka "L" P Asset Mgt Fee") (see policy for limits) Other Payments Non-amortizing Loan Pmrtt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmrtt - Lender 2 TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS (CASH FLOW minus PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD) Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation? Will Project befer Developer Fee'	3.5% 3.5%	1,042 1.003	976 1.002	637 1.001	101,708 1.196	109,449 1.211 - - - - - - - -	117,085 1.225	124,604	131,991 1.254	139,231 1.268	140,311 1.27 - - - - - - - -	147,213 1.283	153,921 1.296	160,417 1.309	166,682 1.321	172,698 1.332 - - - - - - - - -	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1.354	189,036 1.364	193,837 1.373	93,633
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE WOHCD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter antt <= Max Fee from row 131) "Below-the-line" Asset Mgt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnershib Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (aka "L" P Asset Mgt Fee") (see policy for limits) Other Payments Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 2 TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS (CASH FLOW minus PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD) Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation? Will Project Defer Developer Fee? Residual Receipts split for all years Lender/Owner	74s No 17% / 33% Dist. Soft	1,042 1.003	976 1.002	637 1.001	101,708 1.196	109,449 1.211	117,085 1.225	124,604	131,991 1.254	139,231 1.268	140,311 1.27 - - - - - - - -	147,213 1.283	153,921 1.296	160,417 1.309	166,682 1.321	172,698 1.332 - - - - - - - - -	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1.354	189,036 1.364	193,837 1.373	93,633
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHOD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Ericar ant 1x = Max Fee from row 131) Teleview-The-line* Asset Migt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnershb Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (aka "L" PASSET Migt Fee") (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (aka "L" PASSET Migt Fee") (see policy for limits) Non-amortizing Loan Pmrt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmrt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmrt - Lender 2 TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation? Will Project Defer Developer Fee? Residual Receipts spirit for all years - Lender/Owner	DSCR: 3.5% 3.5% 3.5% Yes No (7% / 33% Dist. Soft) Debt Loans	1,042 1.003 	976 1.002 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	637 1.001 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	101,708	109,449 1.211	117.085 1.225 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	124,604	131,991 1.254 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	139,231 1.268 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	140,311	147,213 1.283	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1.309	166,682 1.321 	172,698 1.332 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1.354 	189,036 1.364 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	193,837 1.373 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	93,633
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHOD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Eriner ant 1-s Max Fee from row 131) The converted of the second of the secon	74s No 17% / 33% Dist. Soft	1,042	976 1.002	637 1.001	101,708 1.196	109,449 1.211	117,085 1.225	124,604	131,991 1.254	139,231 1.268	140,311 1.27 - - - - - - - -	147,213 1.283	153,921 1.296	160,417 1.309 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	166,682 1.321	172,698 1.332 - - - - - - - - -	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1.354	189,036 1.364	193,837 1.373	93,633 1.15 - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRESCEIE MOHED DEBT SERVICE IN WATERALL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter amt est Max Fee from row 13.1) Fellow-the-line" Asset Mig fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (sat "12 Passet Mig Fee") (see policy for limits) Other Payments Non-amortizing Loan Print - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Print - Lender 2 TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD) Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation? Will Project Defer Developer Fee? Residual Receipts shift or all years - Lender/Owner MOHCD REsidual Receipts Amount Due Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease	DSCR: 3.5% 3.5% 3.5% Yes No (7% / 33% Dist. Soft) Debt Loans	1,042 1.003 	976 1.002 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	637 1.001 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	101,708	109,449 1.211	117.085 1.225 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	124,604	131,991 1.254 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	139,231 1.268 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	140,311	147,213 1.283	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1.309	166,682 1.321 	172,698 1.332 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1.354 	189,036 1.364 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	193,837 1.373 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	93,633
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECIDES MOHED DEBT SERVICE IN WATERALL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter amt es: Max Fee from row 13.1) Teleview-he-iner' Asset Mig fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (kar' TP Asset Mig Fee") (see policy for limits) Other Payments Non-amortizing Loan Pmrt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmrt - Lender 2 TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD) RESIDUAL RECEIPTS (CASH FLOW minus PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD) Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation? Will Project Defer Developer Fee? Residual Receipts split for all years - Lender/Owner MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Replacement Reserve REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE	DSCR: 3.5% 3.5% 3.5% Yes No (7% / 33% Dist. Soft) Debt Loans	1,042 1.003 	976 1.002	637 1.001 	101,708 1.196 	109,449 1.211 	117,085 1.225 	124,604 1.24 	131,991 1.254 	139,231 1.268 	140,311 1.27 	147,213 1.283 	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1.309 	166,682 1.321 	172,698 1.332 	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1.354 	189,036 1.364 	193,837 1.373 	93,633 1.15
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PIRCEDE MOHED DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL DEBT THAT DEBT DEBT DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL DEBT DEBT DEBT DEBT DEBT DEBT DEBT DEBT	DSCR: 3.5% 3.5% Yes No. 17% / 33% Dist. Soft Debt Loans 100.00%	1,042 1.003 	976 1.002	637 1.001 	101,708 1.196 	109,449 1.211 	117,085 1.225 	124,604 1.24 	131,991 1.254 	139,231 1.268 	140,311 1.27 	147,213 1.283 	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1.309 	166,682 1.321 	172,698 1.332 	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1.354 	189,036 1.364 	193,837 1.373 	93,633 1.15
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECIDES MOHED DEBT SERVICE IN WATERALL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter amt es: Max Fee from row 13.1) Teleview-he-iner' Asset Mig fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (kar' TP Asset Mig Fee") (see policy for limits) Other Payments Non-amortizing Loan Pmrt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmrt - Lender 2 TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD) RESIDUAL RECEIPTS (CASH FLOW minus PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD) Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation? Will Project Defer Developer Fee? Residual Receipts split for all years - Lender/Owner MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Replacement Reserve REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE	DSCR: 3.5% 3.5% 3.5% Yes No i7% / 33% Dist. Soft Debt Loans 100.00%	1,042 1.003 	976 1.002	637 1.001 	101,708 1.196 	109,449 1.211 	117,085 1.225 	124,604 1.24 	131,991 1.254 	139,231 1.268 	140,311 1.27 	147,213 1.283 	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1.309 	166,682 1.321 	172,698 1.332 	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1.354 	189,036 1.364 	193,837 1.373 	93,633 1.15
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHOD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Einter ant 1-5 Max Fee from row 131) "Below-the-line" Asset Mit fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnersho Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (aka "L" Asset Mit Fee") (see policy for limits) Unter Payment Joan Pmrt - Lender 1 Non-amoritzing Loan Pmrt - Lender 1 Non-amoritzing Loan Pmrt - Lender 2 TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD) RESIDUAL RECEIPTS (CASH FLOW minus PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD) Doss Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation? Will Project Deler Developer Fee? Residual Receipts Amount Due Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lesse Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Replacement Reserve REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE NON-MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE NON-MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE HCD Residual Receipts Amount Due Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Replacement Reserve REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE NON-MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE HCD Residual Receipts Amount Due Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Debt SERVICE NON-MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE HCD Residual Receipts Amount Due Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Debt SERVICE Lender 4 Residual Receipts Due	DSCR: 3.5% 3.5% 3.5% Yes No 17% / 33% Dist. Soft Debt Loans 100.00% 0.00%	1,042 1.003 	976 1.002	637 1.001 	101,708 1.196 	109,449 1.211 	117,085 1.225 	124,604 1.24 	131,991 1.254 	139,231 1.268 	140,311 1.27 	147,213 1.283 	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1.309 	166,682 1.321 	172,698 1.332 	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1.354 	189,036 1.364 	193,837 1.373 	93,633 1.15
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHED DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter ant) C= Max Fee from row 131 Below-the-like / Assist Miglifee (Lonominon in new projects, see policy) Discourable of the commercial forms of the projects, see policy) Discourable of the commercial forms of the projects of the policy of the policy of the policy of the project o	DSCR: 3.5% 3.5% 3.5% Yes No 17% / 33% Dist. Soft Debt Loans 100.00% 0.00%	1,042 1.003 	976 1.002	637 1.001 	101,708 1.196 	109,449 1.211 	117,085 1.225 	124,604 1.24 	131,991 1.254 	139,231 1.268 	140,311 1.27 	147,213 1.283 	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1.309 	166,682 1.321 	172,698 1.332 	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1.354 	189,036 1.364 	193,837 1.373 	93,633 1.15
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHCD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter and te- Max Fee from row 131) Below-the-line' Asset Mgt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnershold Montagement Fee (see policy for limits) Tother Payments Non-amortizing Loan Pmart - Lender 1 Non-Bortizing Loa	DSCR: 3.5% 3.5% 3.5% Yes No 17% / 33% Dist. Soft Debt Loans 100.00% 0.00%	1,042 1,003 	976 1.002	637 1.001	101,708 1.196 	109,449 1.211 	117,085 1.225 	124,604 	131,991 1.254 	139,231 1.268 	140,311 1.27 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	147,213 1.283 	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1.309 	166,682 1.321 	172,698 1.332	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1.354 	189,036 1,364 	193,837 1,373 	93,633 1.15
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHOD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Einter ant t= Max Fee from row 131) "Below-the-line" Asset Mot fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnersho Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (aka "L" Asset Mit Fee") (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (aka "L" Asset Mit Fee") (see policy for limits) Non-amoritzing Loan Pmitt - Lender 1 Non-amoritzin	DSCR: 3.5% 3.5% 3.5% Yes No 17% / 33% Dist. Soft Debt Loans 100.00% 0.00%	1,042 1,003 	976 1.002	637 1.001	101,708 1.196 	109,449 1.211 	117,085 1.225 	124,604 1.24 	131,991 1.254 	139,231 1.268 	140,311 1.27 	147,213 1.283 	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1.309 	166,682 1.321 	172,698 1.332	178,443 1.34	183,897 1,354 	189,036 1,364 	193,837 1,373 	93.633 1.15
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHED DEBT SERVICE IN WATERALL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter amt est Max Fee from row 13.1) Televen-the-iner' Asset Mig fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits) (There Payments Non-amortizing Loan Pmrt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmrt - Lender 2 TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHED RESIDUAL RECEIPTS (CASH FLOW minus PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD) Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation? Will Project Defer Developer Fee? Residual Receipts Suff for all years - Lender/Owner MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount Dee Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Replacement Reserve REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE HCD Residual Receipts Due Lender 4 Residual Receipts Due Lender 5 Residual Receipts Due Total Non-MOHCD Residual Receipts Best Service Total Romannia Receipts Due Lender 4 Residual Receipts Due Total Non-MOHCD Residual Receipts Best Service Total Romannia Receipts Due Total Non-MOHCD Residual Receipts Best Service REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE HCD Residual Receipts Due Total Non-MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Replacement Reserve REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE HCD Residual Receipts Due Total Non-MOHCD Residual Receipts Debt Service Total Non-MOHCD Residual Receipts Debt Service Total Non-MOHCD Residual Receipts Debt Service FEMAINER Should be zero unless there are distributions below) Owner Distributions/Uses Final Balance (should be zero)	74s No 77% 1 33% Dist Soft Debt Loans 100.00% 0.00% 0.00%	1,042 1,003	976 1.002	637 1.001	101,708 1.196 	109,449 1.211 	117,085 1.225 	124,604	131,991 1.254 	139,231 1.268 	140,311 1.27	147,213 1.283 	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1,309 	166.682 1.321 	172,698 1.332	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1,354 	189,036 1,364 	193,837 1,373 	93,633 1.15
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (The row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHED DEBT SERVICE USES THAT PRECEDE MOHED DEBT SERVICE IN MATERIAL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter amt e.e. Max Fee from row 1.51) Felow-the-iner' Asset Mid fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits) Investor Service Fee (sea "T-D Asset Mig Fee") (see policy for limits) Other Payments Non-amortizing Loan Pmmt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmmt - Lender 2 TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD) RESIDUAL RECEIPTS (CASH FLOW minus PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD) Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation? Will Project Defer Developer Fee? Residual Receipts split for all years - Lender/Owner MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Replacement Reserve REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE NON-MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Replacement Reserve REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE NON-MOHCD Residual Receipts Dub Total	74s No 17% / 33% No 17% / 33% No 100.00% 0.00% 0.00%	1,042 1,003 	976 1.002	637 1.001	101,708 1.196 	109,449 1.211	117,085 1.225 	124,604	131,991 1.254 	139,231 1.268 	140,311 1.27	147,213 1.283 	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1.309 	166.682 1.321 	172,698 1,332	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1,354 	189,036 1.364 	193,837 1,373 	93,633 1.15
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHOD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter and te- Max Fee from row 131) "Below-the-line" Asset Myl fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnersho Management Fee (See policy for limits) (The Payments Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 2 TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS (CASH FLOW minus PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD) Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation? Will Project Defer Developer Fee? MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount Due Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Replacement Reserve REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE HCD Residual Receipts Amount Due NON-MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE HCD Residual Receipts Amount Due REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE HCD Residual Receipts Due Lender 4 Residual Receipts Due Lender 5 Residual Receipts Due Lender 5 Residual Receipts Due Lender 6 Residual Receipts Due Lender 7 Residual Receipts Due Coher Distributional/Lese Final Balance (should be zero) RR Running Balance Other Required Reserve 1 Running Balance	DSCR: 3.5% 3.5% Yes 0.7% / 33% Dist. Soft Debt Loans 100.00% 0.00% 0.00%	1,042 1,003	976 1.002	637 1.001	101,708 1.196 	109,449 1.211 	117,085 1,225 	124,604	131,991 1.254 	139,231 1.268 	140,311 1.27	147,213 1.283 	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1.309 	166,682 1.321 	172,698 1.332	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1.354 	189,036 1.364 	193,837 1,373 	93,633 1.15
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHOD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Enter ant) = Max Fee from row 131 (1) "Below-the-line" Asset Mgt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) Partnersho Manacaremin Fee (see policy for limits) (The Partnersho Mohamacaremin Fee (see policy for all years Lender/Owner MOHOD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE MOHOD Residual Receipts Amount to Replacement Reserve REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHOD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE HCD Residual Receipts Amount Due Proposed MOHOD Residual Receipts Amount to Replacement Reserve REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHOD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE NON-MOHOD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE NON-MOHOD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE REMAINDER (Should be zero unless there are distributions below) Owner Distributions/Incentive Managament Fee Other Destributions/Uses Final Balance (should be zero) RR Running Balance Other Required Reserve 2 Running Balance Other Required Reserve 2 Running Balance Other Required Reserve 2 Running Balance	DSCR: 3.5% 3.5% Yes 0.7% / 33% Dist. Soft Debt Loans 100.00% 0.00% 0.00%	1,042 1,003 	976 1.002	637 1.001	101,708 1.196 	109,449 1.211	117,085 1.225 	124,604	131,991 1.254 	139,231 1.268 	140,311 1.27	147,213 1.283 	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1.309 	166.682 1.321 	172,698 1,332	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1,354 	189,036 1.364 	193,837 1,373 	93,633 1.15
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHOD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Eriter ant) Market Preceded Hardenger Services USES THAT PRECEDE MOHOD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Eriter ant) Market Preceded Hardenger Services USES THAT PRECEDIE MOHOD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL Deferred Developer Fee (Eriter ant) Market Preceded Hardenger Services USES THAT PRECEDIE MOHOD Partnershow (Market Preceded Hardenger Services) Won-amortizing Loan Pmrt - Lender 1 Non-amortizing Loan Pmrt - Lender 2 TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHOD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS (CASH FLOW minus PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHOD) Does Project have a MOHOD Residual Receipt Obligation? Will Project Defer Developer Fee? Residual Receipts Service MOHOD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE HOD Residual Receipts Amount to Replacement Reserve REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHOD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE HOD Residual Receipts Due Lender 4 Residual Receipts Due Lender 5 Residual Receipts Due Lender 5 Residual Receipts Due Lender 6 Residual Receipts Due Lender 7 Residual Receipts Manunt Due RR Running Balance Other Required Reserve 2 Running Balance Other Required Reserve 2 Running Balance Other Required Reserve 2 Running Balance Deferred Developer Fee - RUNNING BALANCE Developer Fee Starting Balance	DSCR: 3.5% 3.5% Yes 0.7% / 33% Dist. Soft Debt Loans 100.00% 0.00% 0.00%	1,042 1,003 	976 1.002	637 1.001	101,708 1.196 	109,449 1.211	117,085 1.225 	124,604	131,991 1.254 	139,231 1.268 	140,311 1.27	147,213 1.283 	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1.309 	166.682 1.321 	172,698 1,332	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1,354 	189,036 1.364 	193,837 1,373 	93.633 1.15
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender Commercial Hard Debt Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE) USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHOD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL DEST HAT PRECEDING MOHOD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL DEST HAT PRECEDING MOHOD PRECEDING MOHOD PARTICIPATION OF THE PROPOSED PRO	7 Yes No. 17% 133% Dist. Soft 100.00% 0.00% 0.00%	1,042 1,003 	976 1.002	637 1.001	101,708 1.196 	109,449 1.211	117,085 1.225 	124,604	131,991 1.254 	139,231 1.268 	140,311 1.27	147,213 1.283 	153,921 1.296 	160,417 1.309 	166.682 1.321 	172,698 1,332	178,443 1.343 	183,897 1,354 	189,036 1.364 	193,837 1,373 	93.633 1.15