



STATE LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

Wednesday, May 18, 2022

11:00am – 1:00pm

City Hall, Room 201

MEMBERS:

Mayor's Office (Chair) -- Edward McCaffrey
Supervisor Dean Preston -- Preston Kilgore
Supervisor Connie Chan -- Kelly Groth
Assessor's Office -- Holly Lung
City Attorney's Office -- Rebekah Krell & Jen Kwart
Controller's Office -- Dan Kaplan
Treasurer's Office -- Eric Manke

AGENDA

I. ROLL CALL

II. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES (Action Item). Discussion and possible action to approve the minutes from the meeting of April 20, 2022.

III. STATE LOBBYIST OVERVIEW AND UPDATE (Discussion Item). The City's state lobbyist will present to the Committee an update on State legislative matters.

IV. PROPOSED LEGISLATION (Discussion and Action). Discussion and possible action item: the Committee will review and discuss state legislation affecting the City and County of San Francisco. Items are listed by Department, then by bill number.

New Business

Department on the Status of Women

Presenter: Daisy Prado

SB 1161 (Min): Transit operators: street harassment plans

Recommended Position: Support

California's public transit systems provide a crucial service to local communities.

Unfortunately, studies show that many women (trans and cisgender) and

other vulnerable communities experience harassment while using these services. This measure will require California's 10 largest transit operators to gather research on street harassment of women and other vulnerable communities and to develop data-driven initiatives to help prevent street harassment on public transit systems.

Department of Homelessness & Supportive Housing

Presenter: Emily Cohen

AB 2547 (Nazarian): Housing Stabilization to Prevent and End Homelessness Among Older Adults and People with Disabilities Act

Recommended Position: Support

This bill would require the California Department of Aging to create and administer the Housing Stabilization to Prevent and End Homelessness Among Older Adults and People with Disabilities Program. The bill would require the department to offer competitive grants to nonprofit community-based organizations, continuums of care, and public housing authorities to administer a housing subsidy program for older adults and persons with a disability that are experiencing homelessness or at risk of homelessness.

The bill would require the department, to prioritize communities where renters face high rates of poverty, displacement, gentrification, and homelessness. Funds would be dedicated to specific activities, including, housing subsidies, landlord recruitment and tenancy acquisition services, landlord incentives, and housing navigation and tenancy transition services.

Department of Public Health

Presenter: Max Gara

AB 1737 (Holden): Children's camps: local registration and inspections

Recommended Position: Oppose

AB 1737 would establish a statewide regulatory structure for children's camps to be administered by local health departments (LHDs). This bill would expand the responsibilities of LHDs beyond their scope of expertise and current capacity without achieving the bill's child safety aims. Therefore San Francisco Department of Public Health recommends an oppose position.

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

Presenter: Rebecca Peacock

SB 1345 (Ochoa Bogh): Excavations: subsurface installations

Recommended Position: Oppose unless amended

This bill would remove the exemption for unpressurized sewer lines and storm drains from California's Dig Safe Law. It also makes other changes, such as redefining working days to exclude weekends and holidays and removing a provision regarding discrepancies in excavation area delineation. The SFPUC recommends an oppose unless amended position for SB 1345.

Department of Environment

Presenter: Kyle Wehner

SB 1255 (Portantino): Single-use products waste reduction: Dishwasher Grant Program for Waste Reduction in K–12 Schools and Community Colleges

Recommended Position: Support

SB 1255 would establish the Dishwasher Grant Program for Waste Reduction in K-12 schools and community colleges in California. This program would be administered by CalRecycle and would provide grants of up to \$40,000 to school districts, charter schools, and community colleges for the purchase and installation of commercial dishwashers.

Office of Economic Workforce Development

Presenter: Christopher Corgas

AB 2890 (Bloom): Property and business improvement districts

Recommend Position: Support

AB 2890 clarifies the standards and procedures that Property Business Improvement District leadership and engineers use when determining what is a “special benefit” and “general benefit” for the purposes of Property and Business Improvement District (PBID) assessments. AB 2890 clears up current statute ambiguity with the benefit identification requirement.

Presenter: Laurel Arvanitidis

SB 301 (Skinner): Online Marketplaces: Retail Theft

Recommended Position: Support

SB 301 would help combat the sale of stolen goods on online marketplaces by providing higher standards of accountability and verification for third-party-sellers on online platforms, helping online marketplaces identify and take action against sellers of stolen goods.

The bill would empower the California Attorney General to enforce through civil penalties increased transparency requirements for third party online sellers on online marketplaces. Marketplaces must require sellers to disclose information such as their name, address, bank account information, tax identification information, and contact information. Disclosure requirements become more significant for higher volume sellers. The marketplace must receive and verify required information, and if sellers do not comply with the law’s requirements, the marketplace must suspend their activity or face penalties.

V. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address the Committee on items of interest that are within the Committee’s subject matter jurisdiction and that do not appear on the agenda.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

Disability Access

Room 201 of City Hall is located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place and is wheelchair accessible. The closest accessible BART Station is Civic Center, three blocks from City Hall. Accessible Muni lines serving this location are: #47 Van Ness, and the #71 Haight/Noriega and the F Line to Market and Van Ness, as well as Muni Metro stations at Van Ness and Civic Center. For more information about Muni accessible services, call 923-6142. There is accessible parking at the Civic Center Plaza garage.

Know Your Rights Under the Sunshine Ordinance

The government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Donna Hall at Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, by phone at 415-554-7724, by fax at 415-554-7854, or email the Sunshine Ordinance Taskforce Administrator at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Task Force, or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at www.sfgov.org/sunshine.htm.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100 -2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone 415-581-2300, fax 415-581-2317, Internet website: www.sfgov.org/ethics.

Cell Phones and Pagers

The ringing and use of cell phones, pagers, and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Public Comment

Public Comment will be taken on each item on the agenda before or during consideration of that item.

Documents that may have been provided to members of the State Legislation

Committee in connection with the items on the agenda include proposed state legislation, consultant reports, correspondence and reports from City departments, and public correspondence. These may be inspected by contacting Edward McCaffrey, Manager, State and Federal Affairs, Mayor's Office at: (415) 554-6588.

**Health
Considerations**

In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.



STATE LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

Wednesday, April 20, 2022

11:00am – 1:00pm

City Hall, Room 201

This meeting will be held in person at the location listed above. As authorized by California Government Code Section 54953(e) and Mayor Breed's 45th Supplement to her February 25, 2020 emergency proclamation, it is possible that some members of the State Legislation Committee may attend this meeting remotely via Webex. In that event, those members will participate and vote by video. Members of the public may attend the meeting to observe and provide public comment at the physical meeting location listed above or online at the link below. Everyone attending the meeting in person is required to wear a mask throughout the meeting. Instructions for providing remote public comment can be viewed on page 9 of this meeting agenda.

Join online at

<https://ccsf.webex.com/ccsf/j.php?MTID=m0ef5a5a47d150e47943d29266b2aa58d>

Meeting ID: 2481 277 3245

Meeting Password: 6guV8MCfef2 (64888623 from phones)

Join by Phone at +1-415-655-0001

(Instructions for providing remote public comment can be viewed on page 6)

MEMBERS:

Mayor's Office (Chair) -- Edward McCaffrey
Supervisor Dean Preston -- Preston Kilgore
Supervisor Connie Chan -- Kelly Groth
Assessor's Office -- Holly Lung (substitute for April Meeting--Tina Novero)
City Attorney's Office -- Rebekah Krell
Controller's Office -- Dan Kaplan
Treasurer's Office -- Eric Manke

AGENDA

VII. ROLL CALL

Present: Edward McCaffrey, Preston Kilgore, Ian Fregosi, Holly Lung, Rebekah Krell, Eric Manke, Dan Kaplan (virtual).

Absent: None.

VIII. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES (Action Item). Discussion and possible action to approve the minutes from the meeting of March 23, 2022.

No public comment.

Motion to Approve: Eric Manke
Seconded by: Edward McCaffrey
Approved: 7-0

IX. STATE LOBBYIST OVERVIEW AND UPDATE (Discussion Item). The City's state lobbyist will present to the Committee an update on State legislative matters.

Presenter: Karen Lange, Partner, Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange

X. PROPOSED LEGISLATION (Discussion and Action). Discussion and possible action item: the Committee with review and discuss state legislation affecting the City and County of San Francisco. Items are listed by Department, then by bill number.

New Business

Office of the City Attorney

Presenter: Rebekah Krell

SB 1282 (Bates): Opioid Master Settlement Agreement

Recommended Position: Oppose

SB 1282 would undermine and nullify an existing statewide allocation agreement carefully negotiated between the California Attorney General's Office and local governments around the state to govern the distribution of California's over two-billion-dollar share of a nationwide settlement with opioid distributors and Johnson & Johnson.

No public comment.

Motion to Oppose SB 1282: Edward McCaffrey
Seconded by: Kelly Goth
Approved: 7-0

Planning Department

Presenter: Aaron Starr

AB 2656 (Ting): Housing Accountability Act (HAA)

Recommended Position: Support with revisions

AB 2656 proposes to expand the definition of "disapprove housing

development project” in the Housing Accountability Act (HAA) by also including any instance in which a local agency 1) delays or denies an HAA-eligible housing development project a CEQA exemption for which it is eligible, 2) requires further environmental study to adopt a negative declaration or addendum, or 3) to certify an EIR for the project when there is a legally sufficient basis in the record before the local agency to adopt a negative declaration or addendum or to certify an EIR without further study. Planning recommends supporting AB 2656 with requested clarifications and possible suggested additions to the bill because we are interested in ensuring that the Bay Area’s jurisdictions (as well as overall statewide) timely build the housing they are obligated to produce and that local agencies do not use CEQA to deny housing development projects.

No public comment.

Motion to Continue AB 2656 to the call of the Chair: Edward McCaffrey

Seconded by: Eric Manke

Approved: 7-0

San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency

Presenter: Katie Angotti

SB 1276 (Durazo): Shared Mobility Service Data

Recommended Position: Support and Sponsor

This bill has three major components:

- 1) It would affirm the authority of a state, county, regional or local government agencies (“regulating agencies”) to collect shared mobility service data from a shared mobility service provider that it regulates. This bill would require regulating agencies to adopt data management policies to protect the privacy of a shared mobility device or service user.
- 2) To protect privacy and minimize risk of reidentification, this bill creates new requirements that govern the circumstances in which a regulating agency may disclose shared mobility service data to another public agency other than a law enforcement agency.
- 3) To protect privacy and minimize risk of reidentification, this bill creates new criteria that a regulating agency must follow if shared mobility service data is disclosed to the public to protect privacy and minimize the risk of reidentification.

No public comment.

Motion to Support and Sponsor SB 1276: Edward McCaffrey

Seconded by: Preston Kilgore

Approved: 7-0

Department of Technology

Presenter: Brian Roberts

AB 2635 (Levine): Digital Infrastructure and Video Competition Act of 2006

Recommended Position: Support

AB 2635 would require cable companies (aka Video Service Providers) to offer public, educational and government (PEG) access channels in high definition (HD) format.

No public comment.

Motion to Support AB 2635: Edward McCaffrey

Seconded by: Eric Manke

Approved: 7-0

Department of Public Health

Presenter: Max Gara

AB 1930 (Arambula): Medi-Cal: Comprehensive Perinatal Services

Recommended Position: Support

AB 1930 ensures every Californian has a healthy start in life by extending coverage of comprehensive perinatal services for Medi-Cal members to one year postpartum, up from 60-days. The bill also allows perinatal health workers to provide preventive services outside of a clinic.

No public comment.

Motion to Support AB 1930: Edward McCaffrey

Seconded by: Preston Kilgore

Approved: 7-0

AB 2790 (Wicks): Reporting of crimes: mandated reporters

Recommended Position: Support

AB 2790 would eliminate mandatory requirements for healthcare providers to notify law enforcement of a broad range of injuries related to intimate partner violence (IPV), and instead mandate that victims of intimate partner and sexual violence receive counseling and referral to community-based or national intimate partner and sexual violence services.

No public comment.

Motion to Support AB 2790: Edward McCaffrey

Seconded by: Eric Manke

Approved: 7-0

SB 872 (Dodd): Pharmacies: mobile units

Recommended Position: Support and amend

SB 872 allows local jurisdictions to operate a mobile pharmacy that provides prescription medication within their city or county, including to persons experiencing homelessness.

No public comment.

Motion to Support and Amend SB 872: Edward McCaffrey

Seconded by: Kelly Goth

Approved: 7-0

San Francisco Human Services Agency

Presenter: Roderick Finetti

AB 1686 (Bryan): Child welfare agencies: enforcement

Recommended Position: Support

AB 1686 would clarify referral criteria to child support enforcement for parents whose children are placed into foster care.

No public comment.

Motion to Support AB 1686: Kelly Goth

Seconded by: Eric Manke

Approved: 7-0

AB 2262 (Calderon): In-home supportive services: needs assessment

Recommended Position: Support

AB 2262 would simplify the In-Home Supportive Services Program (IHSS) annual reassessment process for certain program recipients.

No public comment.

Motion to Support AB 2262: Edward McCaffrey

Seconded by: Kelly Goth

Approved: 7-0

AB 2579 (Bennett): Child welfare: intensive family finding

Recommended Position: Support

AB 2579 requires counties, to the extent that funding is available and provided, to implement model practices for intensive family finding and support for children in the child welfare system.

No public comment.

Motion to Support AB 2579: Eric Manke

Seconded by: Edward McCaffrey

Approved: 7-0

SB 1054 (Ochoa Bogh): Public social services: records and confidentiality

Recommended Position: Support

SB 1054 allows multidisciplinary teams (MDT's) from Adult Protective Services (APS) and Child Welfare Services (CWS) to share relevant information across programs during investigations into abuse and neglect.

No public comment.

Motion to Support SB 1054: Edward McCaffrey

Seconded by: Kelly Goth

Approved: 7-0

AB 2483 (Maienschein): Housing for individuals experiencing homelessness

Recommended Position: Support

AB 2483 will leverage existing resources to support the creation of supportive housing for older adults and people living with disabilities who are experiencing homelessness and risk institutionalization.

No public comment.

Motion to Support AB 2483: Edward McCaffrey

Seconded by: Eric Manke

Approved: 7-0

Department of Environment

Presenter: Kyle Wehner

AB 2247 (Bloom): Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) products

Recommended Position: Support and Sponsor

This bill would require that any product introduced in California that contains per- and polyfluoro alkyl substances (PFAS) be disclosed in a statewide database.

No public comment.

Motion to Support and Sponsor AB 2247: Kelly Goth

Seconded by: Eric Manke

Approved: 7-0

SB 1215 (Newman) & AB 2440 (Irwin):

Recommended Position: Support

SB 1215 and AB 2440 will enact the Battery and Battery-Embedded Product Recycling and Fire Risk Reduction Act of 2022. The act will create a statewide collection and recycling program for consumer batteries and battery-embedded products. It will require producers of batteries, battery packs, and battery-embedded products offered for sale or sold in this state to develop, finance, and implement a convenient and cost-effective stewardship program to recover and recycle batteries, battery packs, and battery-embedded products.

No public comment.

Motion to Support SB 1215 and AB 2440: Preston Kilgore

Seconded by: Kelly Goth

Approved: 7-0

AB 1817 (Ting): Product safety: perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances

Recommended Position: Support

AB 1817 would prohibit any entity/person from distributing, selling, or offering for sale in the state any textile articles that contain regulated PFAS, and require a manufacturer to use the least toxic alternative when replacing regulated PFAS in textile articles to comply with these provisions. If passed, the prohibition would go into effect January 2024.

No public comment.

Motion to Support AB 1817: Eric Manke

Seconded by: Kelly Goth

Approved: 7-0

AB 2771 (Friedman): Cosmetic Products: safety

Recommended Position: Support

This bill will prohibit the sale in California of beauty and personal care products containing perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS).

No public comment.

Motion to Support AB 2771: Kelly Goth

Seconded by: Eric Manke

Approved: 7-0

AB 1690 (Rivas): Tobacco and cannabis products: single-use electronic cigarettes and integrated cannabis vaporizers

Recommended Position: Support

This bill aims to reduce litter and pollution from single-use tobacco (nicotine) and cannabis smoking products by transitioning the sale of cigarette/cigar filters and single-use electronic vaping devices (vapes) to reusable and rechargeable smoking products.

No public comment.

Motion to Support AB 1690: Eric Manke

Seconded by: Kelly Goth

Approved: 7-0

AB 2208 (Kalra): Fluorescent lamps: sale and distribution: prohibition

Recommended Position: Support

This bill would prohibit compact fluorescent lamps from being offered for final sale, sold at final sale, or distributed as a new manufactured product, on and after January 1, 2024. Compact fluorescent lamps are defined as compact low-pressure, mercury-containing, electric discharge light sources in which a fluorescent coating transforms some of the ultraviolet energy generated by the mercury discharge into visible light. This bill would also enact the same prohibitions to linear fluorescent lamps, which would take effect on and after January 1, 2025.

No public comment.

Motion to Support AB 2208: Edward McCaffrey

Seconded by: Kelly Goth
Approved: 7-0

AB 1724 (Stone): Washing Machines: Microfiber Filtration

Recommended Position: Support

This bill would require, on or before January 1, 2024, that all washing machines sold as new in California contain a microfiber filtration system. State owned washing machines would also be required to contain a microfiber filtration system by that same date.

No public comment.

Motion to Support AB 1724: Kelly Goth

Seconded by: Eric Manke

Approved: 7-0

Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector

Presenter: Jacob DuMez

AB 2839 (Villapuda): California Financing Law: Pilot Program for Increased Access to Responsible Small Dollar Loans: monthly maintenance fees

Recommended Position: Oppose

AB 2839 would expand the allowable fees charged by lenders in a state small-dollar lending pilot to include monthly maintenance fees, further extending the timeline for the pilot.

No public comment.

Motion to Oppose AB 2839: Eric Manke

Seconded by: Preston Kilgore

Approved: 7-0

AB 2540 (Berman): Qualifying Accounts For Direct Deposit of Publicly Administered Funds

Recommended Position: Oppose

AB 2540 would allow charges in the form of "tips" to be charged through accounts receiving unemployment, public benefits, and child support. This tips model is a way that fintech companies, in particular, evade lending laws.

No public comment.

Motion to Oppose AB 2540: Eric Manke

Seconded by: Kelly Goth

Approved: 7-0

SB 854 (Skinner): Hope, Opportunity, Perseverance, and Empowerment (HOPE) for Children Act of 2022

Recommended Position: Support

SB 854 would create Hope Savings Accounts for children from lower income families who've lost a parent or primary caregiver to COVID-19. Up to \$4,000 would be deposited for kids up to age 9 and up to \$8,000 for youth ages 10-17. Additionally, the bill would ensure that those children who are not eligible for federal survivor benefits would still receive survivor support from a new state program titled the CalSurvivor Program.

No public comment.

Motion to Support SB 854: Edward McCaffrey

Seconded by: Eric Manke

Approved: 7-0

SB 1126 (Cortese): CalSavers: Retirement Savings

Recommended Position: Support

SB 1126 would expand definition of an eligible and covered employer for the CalSavers retirement savings program down to the smallest businesses (one or more employees, excluding sole proprietorships, self-employed individuals, or other business entities that do not employ any individuals other than the owners of the business).

No public comment.

Motion to Support SB 1126: Eric Manke

Seconded by: Kelly Goth

Approved: 7-0

SB 1341 (Cortese): Homeless pupils: California Success, Opportunity, and Academic Resilience (SOAR) Guaranteed Income Program

Recommended Position: Support

SB 1341 establishes a statewide guaranteed income pilot program to provide approximately 15,000 12th grade students experiencing homelessness with direct cash assistance to support their transition out of high school and their access to employment and postsecondary education.

No public comment.

Motion to Support SB 1341: Edward McCaffrey

Seconded by: Eric Manke

Approved: 7-0

Presenter: Michelle Lau

SB 1008 (Becker): Corrections: telecommunications

Recommended Position: Support

SB 1008 eliminates fees for all communications to and from currently

incarcerated people in California’s juvenile facilities, local jails, and state prisons. Telecommunication costs for currently incarcerated people and their families are an unnecessary financial barrier to basic communication. Free communication services will support strong relationships between incarcerated people and their loved ones, promoting successful reentry and reducing recidivism.

No public comment.

Motion to Support SB 1008: Edward McCaffrey

Seconded by: Eric Manke

Approved: 7-0

XI. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address the Committee on items of interest that are within the Committee’s subject matter jurisdiction and that do not appear on the agenda.

No public comment.

XII. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting Concluded at 12:50 PM.

Disability Access

Room 201 of City Hall is located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place and is wheelchair accessible. The closest accessible BART Station is Civic Center, three blocks from City Hall. Accessible Muni lines serving this location are: #47 Van Ness, and the #71 Haight/Noriega and the F Line to Market and Van Ness, as well as Muni Metro stations at Van Ness and Civic Center. For more information about Muni accessible services, call 923-6142. There is accessible parking at the Civic Center Plaza garage.

Know Your Rights Under the Sunshine Ordinance

The government’s duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people’s business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people’s review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Donna Hall at Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, by phone at 415-554-7724, by fax at 415-554-7854, or email the Sunshine Ordinance Taskforce Administrator at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine

Ordinance by contacting the Task Force, or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at www.sfgov.org/sunshine.htm.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100 –2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone 415-581-2300, fax 415-581-2317, Internet website: www.sfgov.org/ethics.

Cell Phones and Pagers

The ringing and use of cell phones, pagers, and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Public Comment

Public Comment will be taken on each item on the agenda before or during consideration of that item.

Documents that may have been provided to members of the State Legislation Committee in connection with the items on the agenda include proposed state legislation, consultant reports, correspondence and reports from City departments, and public correspondence. These may be inspected by contacting Edward McCaffrey, Manager, State and Federal Affairs, Mayor's Office at: (415) 554-6588.

Health Considerations

In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.

April 20, 2022 State Legislation Committee Remote Option

View the meeting:

<https://ccsf.webex.com/ccsf/j.php?MTID=m0ef5a5a47d150e47943d29266b2aa58d>

NOTE: Depending on your broadband/WIFI connection, there may be a 30- second

to 2-minute delay when viewing the meeting live.

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN: +1-415-655-0001 Access code: 2481 277 3245

Webinar password: 6guV8MCfef2 (64888623 from phones)

Information Regarding Providing Public Comment

- Each individual may comment 1 time per agenda item.
- Each individual may speak for up to 2 minutes; after which time the line is automatically silenced.
- To make public comment on a specific agenda item, dial in using the information above when the item is called.
- Dial *3 to be added to the public comment queue for this item.
- When it is your time to speak, you will hear "Your line has been unmuted."
- Ensure you are in a quiet location.
- Before you speak, mute the sound of any equipment around you including televisions, radios, and computers. It is especially important that **you mute your computer** so there is no echo sound when you speak.
- When the Commission Secretary states, "Next Caller," you are encouraged to state your name clearly. As soon as you speak, your 2 minute allotment will begin.
- After you speak, you will go back to listening mode. You may stay on the line to provide public comment on another item.



State Legislation Proposal Form

This form should be used to submit legislative proposals for consideration by the State Legislation Committee. We ask that you keep your submissions under two pages. Before submission, proposals must be reviewed and approved by the Department Head or Commission. Please send completed forms to Eddie McCaffrey in the Mayor's Office at edward.mccaffrey@sfgov.org and cc Susanna Conine-Nakano at Susanna.Conine-Nakano@sfgov.org.

Date Submitted	4/8/22
Submitting Department	Department on the Status of Women
Contact Name	Daisy Prado
Contact Email	Daisy.prado1@sfgov.org
Contact Phone	(480) 242-1398
Reviewed and approved by Department Head?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
Reviewed and approved by Commission?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A

SB 1161

Sen. Min, District 37, Democrat

Transit operators: street harassment plans

Recommended Position

- SPONSOR SUPPORT
 SUPPORT if amended OPPOSE
 OTHER & Describe

Summary

California's public transit systems provide a crucial service to local communities. Unfortunately, studies show that many women (trans and cisgender) and other vulnerable communities experience harassment while using these services. This measure will require California's 10 largest transit operators to gather research on street harassment of women and other vulnerable communities and to develop data-driven initiatives to help prevent street harassment on public transit systems.

Background/Analysis

The Unruh Civil Rights Act requires public agencies, including transit operators, to provide each person full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services regardless of factors such as sex, race, color, religion, disability, medical condition, sexual orientation, citizenship, and more. Despite this legal protection, women and other vulnerable communities are often unable to access public transit safely due to street harassment, which can include

unwanted sexual and racialized comments and slurs, whistling, leering, and other intimidating actions.

According to a 2019 statewide study by the University of California San Diego Center on Gender Equity and Health, 77 percent of women experience sexual harassment in a public space, including 29 percent on mass transit.

Challenge

Despite existing laws and regulations, street harassment on public transit, which particularly impacts women and girls of color and LGBTQIA communities, remains pervasive and normalized in California.

Solution/Recommended Proposal

SB 1161 seeks to increase safety for public transit riders by:

- Recognizing street harassment as a safety concern in their public transit systems;
- Having California transit operators analyze data on street harassment. By applying their findings about how and where street harassment occurs, transit operators will develop targeted initiatives to prevent and address it.

Departments Impacted & Why

This bill would impact SFMTA by requiring the department to:

- Gather and analyze qualitative and quantitative data regarding street harassment as riders wait at transit stops and ride transit vehicles;
- Develop initiatives, based on ridership data and community consultation, to address street harassment on transit systems and consider safety systems and concerns, including street harassment, when planning, designing, and operating their systems.

Fiscal Impact

Minimal fiscal impact expected.

Support / Opposition

Supported by:

LA Metro (Co-sponsor)

Stop AAPI Hate (Co-sponsor)

AAPI Equity Alliance

ACLU California Action

African Advocacy Network

Alliance for Girls

Chinese Culture Center of San Francisco

Japantown Task Force, Inc. (San Francisco)

San Francisco Transit Riders

Opposed by:

None on file



State Legislation Proposal Form

This form should be used to submit legislative proposals for consideration by the State Legislation Committee. We ask that you keep your submissions under two pages. Before submission, proposals must be reviewed and approved by the Department Head or Commission. Please send completed forms to Eddie McCaffrey in the Mayor's Office at edward.mccaffrey@sfgov.org and cc Susanna Conine-Nakano at Susanna.Conine-Nakano@sfgov.org.

Date Submitted	5/2/22
Submitting Department	Department of Homelessness & Supportive Housing
Contact Name	Emily Cohen
Contact Email	Emily.Cohen@sfgov.org
Contact Phone	415-307-3584
Reviewed and approved by Department Head?	X YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
Reviewed and approved by Commission?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO X N/A

AB 2547

Asm. Nazarian, District 46, Democrat

Housing Stabilization to Prevent and End Homelessness Among Older Adults and People with Disabilities Act

Recommended Position

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> SPONSOR | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SUPPORT |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPORT if amended | <input type="checkbox"/> OPPOSE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER & Describe | |

Summary

This bill would require the California Department of Aging to create and administer the Housing Stabilization to Prevent and End Homelessness Among Older Adults and People with Disabilities Program. The bill would require the department to offer competitive grants to nonprofit community-based organizations, continuums of care, and public housing authorities to administer a housing subsidy program for older adults and persons with a disability that are experiencing homelessness or at risk of homelessness.

The bill would require the department, to prioritize communities where renters face high rates of poverty,

displacement, gentrification, and homelessness.

Funds would be dedicated to specific activities, including, housing subsidies, landlord recruitment and tenancy acquisition services, landlord incentives, and housing navigation and tenancy transition services.

Background/Analysis

Older adults are the fastest growing segment of the population experiencing homelessness in California. Decades of unfair housing policies and discrimination put Black older adults at 3-4 times the risk of becoming homeless than white older adults.

Challenge

The homeless population is aging, and more older adults are becoming homeless for the first time after the age

of 50. This population has unique needs given the challenges of aging in poverty. Older adults are the fastest growing segment of the population experiencing homelessness in California. Decades of unfair housing policies and discrimination put Black older adults at 3-4 times the risk of becoming homeless than white older adults.

Solution/Recommended Proposal

This bill would

- Establish a multi-year Rent Stabilization Fund to provide a mix of shallow and deep subsidies to help older adults and people with disabilities afford fair market rate rent
- Encourage the Department of Aging to fund and work with a variety of community-based organizations who provide housing and services to older adults and people with disabilities

Eligibility:

Adults 50 and older and people with disabilities who are:

- experiencing or at imminent risk of homelessness;
- Extremely low income (20% or below of Area Median Income) and
- Paying more than 50% of their income for rent, or currently unhoused.

Departments Impacted & Why

Department of Homelessness & Supportive Housing because HSH provides housing interventions to prevent and end homelessness and additional resources are needed for this population.

Department of Disability and Aging Services who provides support services to the

population who would be served by this grant program.

Fiscal Impact

- Provide a new source of funding for much needed rental subsidies for this community.
- Would likely require the City to fund the support serves needed to ensure program participants can be successful in the subsidy.
- Administrative and implementation costs to HSH and/or DAS.

Support / Opposition

Support:

- Justice and Aging
- LeadingAge California
- State Council on Developmental Disabilities
- United Way of Los Angeles



State Legislation Proposal Form

This form should be used to submit legislative proposals for consideration by the State Legislation Committee. We ask that you keep your submissions under two pages. Before submission, proposals must be reviewed and approved by the Department Head or Commission. Please send completed forms to Eddie McCaffrey in the Mayor's Office at edward.mccaffrey@sfgov.org and cc Susanna Conine-Nakano at Susanna.Conine-Nakano@sfgov.org.

Date Submitted	5/3/22
Submitting Department	Department of Public Health
Contact Name	Max Gara; 415-554-2621
Contact Email	Maxwell.gara@sfdph.org
Contact Phone	Sneha Patil; 415-554-2795 Sneha.patil@sfdph.org
Reviewed and approved by Department Head?	X YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
Reviewed and approved by Commission?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO X N/A

AB 1737

Asm. Holden, District 41, Democrat

Children's camps: local registration and inspections

Recommended Position

- SPONSOR SUPPORT
 SUPPORT if amended OPPOSE
 OTHER & Describe

Summary

AB 1737 would establish a statewide regulatory structure for children's camps to be administered by local health departments (LHDs). This bill would expand the responsibilities of LHDs beyond their scope of expertise and current capacity without achieving the bill's child safety aims. Therefor San Francisco Department of Public Health recommends an oppose position.

Background/Analysis

Existing law requires the State Public Health Officer to establish rules and regulations governing the operation of organized camps in order to protect the health and safety of campers. The current definition of an organized camp applies only to sites that are established to provide an outdoor group living experience for five or more days a year, and ostensibly house campers overnight. An alternative to overnight camps, is day camps, which do not provide overnight stays for campers. Day camps often do not have a fixed site, typically operate during the

summer and other vacation periods when school is not in session, and provide group-based recreation and expanded learning opportunities for children less than 18 years of age.

Challenge

Currently only overnight camps are required to follow state regulations and virtually none are inspected to ensure the safety of children attending. Inspections that are carried are primarily concentrated on building and food code compliance and not on staff qualifications or background checks.

Further, there is no reference to day camps in current law or regulation, which has created confusion as to which governmental agency has jurisdiction over their operation, if any at all.

Solution/Recommended Proposal

This bill would establish a statewide regulatory structure for children's camps to be administered by local health departments. Specifically, this bill:

1. Revises the definition of "organized camps" to include children's camps, which would mean a camp that offers daytime or overnight experiences administered by adults who provide social, cultural, educational,

recreational, or artistic programming to more than five children between 3 and 17 years of age for 5 days or longer during at least one season

2. Requires the operator of a children's camp to annually register with the local agency of the jurisdiction where the children's camp is located at least 90 days before commencing operations.
3. Requires a local agency to make at least one unannounced inspection and one scheduled inspection of each children's camp within its jurisdiction during the calendar year, and at any other time. Further, agencies would be required to inspect a children's camp following reports of a death.
4. Requires a camp operator or camp director to check the background and character of each prospective camp staffer or counselor, regardless of age, on an annual basis through character references, background checks, and a sex offender registry information check.
5. Requires each local agency that registers a children's camp to submit an annual report to the CA Department of Public Health.

While San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH) appreciates the intent of AB 1737 to assure that day camps provide a safe environment for children, we do not believe this bill will fully accomplish this goal by placing oversight responsibility with local health departments (LHDs). We have significant concerns about expanding LHD responsibilities beyond the scope of our expertise and encourage consideration of assigning responsibility for children's camps to an agency with appropriate experience in the oversight of entities with children in their care, such as the California Department of Social Services (CDSS). CDSS licenses various facilities that provide care to children and vulnerable adults, including childcare facilities and children's residential facilities.

The COVID-19 pandemic shined a light on the critical role local health departments play to protect our communities from public health threats. Responsibilities of local health departments include infectious disease

control and prevention, food safety, environmental health, laboratory services, emergency preparedness, and chronic disease prevention and health promotion. Our current responsibilities and expertise do not include enforcing appropriate child supervision measures.

Further, the bill would impose a new and expansive mandate on county public health departments. By mandating these departments to oversee day camps, a new process within SFDPH, with new staff, would have to be created to implement the bill's oversight provisions. It estimated that SFDPH would be responsible with the oversight and inspection of 300-400 camps across the city. Given the volume and diversity of day camps, this bill would impose a substantial workload on the department to inspect day camps. The administrative time and costs to start this new process and train staff who are not experts in camp activities or investigating onsite fatalities would stress the already strained capacity of the department.

For these reasons, SFDPH recommends opposing AB 1737.

Departments Impacted & Why

No other department would be impacted by the bill.

Fiscal Impact

The bill will have a direct fiscal impact to SFDPH, as the costs for inspecting and overseeing day camps will be incurred by LHDs. The bill gives LHD's broad authority to charge fees to cover regulatory costs.

Support / Opposition

Support: American Academy of Pediatrics

Oppose: CA Conference of Directors of Environmental Health California State Association of Counties (CSAC), Health Officers Association of CA (HOAC), County Health Executives Association of California (CHEAC).



State Legislation Proposal Form

This form should be used to submit legislative proposals for consideration by the State Legislation Committee. We ask that you keep your submissions under two pages. Before submission, proposals must be reviewed and approved by the Department Head or Commission. Please send completed forms to Eddie McCaffrey in the Mayor's Office at edward.mccaffrey@sfgov.org and cc Susanna Conine-Nakano at Susanna.Conine-Nakano@sfgov.org.

Date Submitted	5/6/2022
Submitting Department	SFPUC
Contact Name	Rebecca Peacock
Contact Email	rpeacock@sfgov.org
Contact Phone	415-757-8365
Reviewed and approved by Department Head?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
Reviewed and approved by Commission?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A

SB 1345

Sen. Ochoa Bogh, District 23, Republican

Excavations: subsurface installations

Recommended Position

- SPONSOR SUPPORT
 SUPPORT if amended OPPOSE
 OPPOSE unless AMENEDDED

Summary

This bill would remove the exemption for unpressurized sewer lines and storm drains from California's Dig Safe Law. It also makes other changes, such as redefining working days to exclude weekends and holidays and removing a provision regarding discrepancies in excavation area delineation.

The SFPUC recommends an oppose unless amended position for SB 1345.

Background/Analysis

The Dig Safe Law of 2016 regulates excavations around underground infrastructure, including pipelines, conduits, ducts, wires, and other structures (except nonpressurized sewer lines and storm drains). Under this law, excavators must comply with requirements to properly mark the area to be excavated (delineation) and notify appropriate regional notification centers and operators of subsurface installations.

The SFPUC's Wastewater Enterprise currently complies with this law by marking its

pressurized sewage pipelines, structures, electrical conduits and ductbanks, chemical and hydraulic lines related to the sewer systems.

Challenge

Our primary concern with the bill is the removal of the exemption for nonpressurized lines and storm drains. The current practice of not marking unpressurized lines has not caused any significant issues for the SFPUC or public safety. These lines are often buried much deeper than other lines and are less likely to be struck. San Francisco has approximately 2,000 miles of sewer mains and laterals, and the potential benefit of marking these lines does not outweigh the additional cost this would impose on our ratepayers due to the need to hire multiple new staff to perform this marking.

We also have secondary concerns with two other aspects of the bill. First is the redefinition of "working days" to exclude weekends and holidays. This could potentially slow down critical work for our enterprises by extending the overall timelines.

Second is the removal of a provision that allows operators to not locate and field mark subsurface installations if the excavator has

not delineated the area to be excavated. The bill instead instructs operators to contact the excavator for clarification. This is not a favorable change because it would be unclear how an operator should proceed if an area is not delineated. It could also put the burden on the operator to resolve discrepancies with delineation, which is the responsibility of the excavator.

Solution/Recommended Proposal

The SFPUC recommends an oppose position unless the exemption for nonpressurized sewer lines and storm drains in Bill Section 4216(s) is reinstated.

Departments Impacted & Why

The SFPUC's Wastewater Enterprise will be significantly impacted by the requirement to mark non-pressurized sewer lines.

Any other City departments that are utility owners or representatives that marks subsurface installations will be affected by this legislation. This may include the Department of Public Works and the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency.

Fiscal Impact

If SB 1345 becomes law, the SFPUC will need to hire more full-time employees (FTE) to fulfill this work. About five FTEs would need to be created, based on the number of FTEs who do this work for our Water Enterprise.

Support / Opposition

Support

- Underground Service Alert of Southern California (SPONSOR)
- International Union of Operating Engineers, Cal-nevada Conference
- Southern California Contractors Association
- Underground Service Alert of Northern California and Nevada (USA North 811)

Opposition

- California Association of Sanitation Agencies
- Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District
- City of Los Angeles



State Legislation Proposal Form

This form should be used to submit legislative proposals for consideration by the State Legislation Committee. We ask that you keep your submissions under two pages. Before submission, proposals must be reviewed and approved by the Department Head or Commission. Please send completed forms to Eddie McCaffrey in the Mayor's Office at edward.mccaffrey@sfgov.org and cc Susanna Conine-Nakano at Susanna.Conine-Nakano@sfgov.org.

Date Submitted	05/04/2022
Submitting Department	Environment
Contact Name	Alfredo Coronado-Barraza
Contact Email	freddy.coronado@sfgov.org
Contact Phone	(415) 404-1842
Reviewed and approved by Department Head?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
Reviewed and approved by Commission?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A

SB 1255

Sen. Portantino, District 25, Democrat

Single-use products waste reduction: Dishwasher Grant Program for Waste Reduction in K-12 Schools and Community Colleges

Recommended Position

- SPONSOR SUPPORT
 SUPPORT if amended OPPOSE
 OTHER & Describe

Summary

SB 1255 would establish the Dishwasher Grant Program for Waste Reduction in K-12 schools and community colleges in California. This program would be administered by CalRecycle and would provide grants of up to \$40,000 to school districts, charter schools, and community colleges for the purchase and installation of commercial dishwashers.

Background/Analysis

Single-use (disposable) plastic foodware, including foodware labeled as compostable, presents a challenge during end-of-life disposal. Disposable foodware is hardly recyclable and compostable foodware that is landfilled does not break down in industrial composting facilities. California has championed reuse as an alternative to recycling, and communities across the state have transitioned to landfill

or banned disposable foodware altogether. As reusable foodware becomes the norm in restaurants and eateries, it is important to help schools transition from disposable foodware and toward reusables. To do so, schools must be equipped with commercial grade dishwashers.

Challenge

Commercial dishwashers present an alternative to single-use items, facilitate a transition to safe, reusable foodware, and are an effective upstream waste prevention measure. Modern dishwashers use very little water and complete a cycle in only a few minutes. However, upfront costs can create a barrier to implementation. Schools operating on limited budgets and with competing needs may continue to rely on single-use service ware due to funding constraints. SB 1255 will help alleviate investment burdens on schools and colleges while also reducing hauling costs. Instead of contributing to the waste crisis facing California, schools will be able to procure industrial dishwashers that will reduce waste

and demonstrate the values of environmental stewardship to students.

Solution/Recommended Proposal

This bill would allow public schools in California to apply for CalRecycle grants to purchase commercial dishwashers. CalRecycle will award grants of up to \$40,000 per kitchen of a school or community college campus. This includes \$25,000 per kitchen of a school or campus of an applicant district for the purchase and installation of a commercial dishwasher, and \$15,000 per kitchen of a school or campus of an applicant district for installation and necessary electrical and plumbing upgrades, heat equipment and installation, and kitchen modifications.

San Francisco has a Zero Waste and Climate Action goal to reduce solid waste generation by 15% by 2030. This Zero Waste Commitment was signed by Mayor London Breed during the 2018 Global Climate Action Summit hosted in San Francisco by Governor Jerry Brown. San Francisco needs to expand reuse infrastructure and commercial dishwashers are an essential part of reusables implementation in school and campus cafeterias and eateries.

Departments Impacted & Why

Other than the San Francisco Unified School District, which will be eligible for this grant program, no city departments will be impacted. The Department of the Environment could assist in the recruitment of San Francisco schools and colleges apply for the grant. SFUSD has 130 schools with an enrollment of over 50,000 students and this grant has the potential to significantly accelerate the transition to reusable foodware in our public schools.

Fiscal Impact

This bill is not expected to have a fiscal impact on the City.

Support / Opposition

Support:

Glendale Environmental Coalition (Sponsor)
Green Lunchroom (Sponsor)

301 Organics
350 Humboldt
350 Silicon Valley
350 Ventura County
5 Gyres Institute
Climate Hub
Burbank Eco Council
California Product Stewardship Council
California School Employees Association
Californians Against Waste,
Center for Environmental Health
Center for Oceanic Awareness, Research,
and Education, San Francisco Chapter
Grass Roots Climate Action
Habits of Waste
Heal the Bay
Northern California Recycling Association
Plastic Free Restaurants
Plastic Oceans International
Plastic Pollution Coalition
Save our Shores
Sea Hugger
Seventh Generation Advisors
Upstream
Zero Waste USA



State Legislation Proposal Form

This form should be used to submit legislative proposals for consideration by the State Legislation Committee. We ask that you keep your submissions under two pages. Before submission, proposals must be reviewed and approved by the Department Head or Commission. Please send completed forms to Eddie McCaffrey in the Mayor's Office at edward.mccaffrey@sfgov.org and cc Susanna Conine-Nakano at Susanna.Conine-Nakano@sfgov.org.

Date Submitted	5/06/2022
Submitting Department	Office of Economic and Workforce Development
Contact Name	Chris Corgas
Contact Email	Christopher.corgas@sfgov.org
Contact Phone	415.519.2226
Reviewed and approved by Department Head?	X YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
Reviewed and approved by Commission?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO X N/A

AB 2890 Asm. Bloom, District 40, Democrat Property and business improvement districts

Recommended Position

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> SPONSOR | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SUPPORT |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPORT if amended | <input type="checkbox"/> OPPOSE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER & Describe | |

Summary

AB 2890 clarifies the standards and procedures that Property Business Improvement District leadership and engineers use when determining what is a "special benefit" and "general benefit" for the purposes of Property and Business Improvement District (PBID) assessments. AB 2890 clears up current statute ambiguity with the benefit identification requirement.

Background/Analysis

Property and Business Improvement Districts (PBID), known as a Community Benefit District (CBD) in San Francisco, are a type of assessment district that provides supplemental services and improvements within a defined area.

Specifically, a PBID, by a petition and vote of the property owners, levies benefit assessments on property owners to pay for improvements and services that provide a special benefit to their property. The amount of the assessment reflects the amount of the special benefit their property receives. Specifically, PBIDs provide funding for programs and/or infrastructure that help improve the public areas around the parcels, and the services are customized to fit the needs of the district to improve and enhance the area.

PBIDs help improve and activate urban and commercial spaces, which attracts more residents, visitors, and provides for new housing and business opportunities. PBIDs continue to be an especially important tool helping finance improvements and services that positively energize California's downtowns and economic corridors by providing a great experience for property owners, businesses, residents, employees, visitors, and tourists.

Challenge

In 1996, voters passed Proposition 218 which implemented a number of requirements on PBIDs, including identification of special and general benefit. The initiative included only brief definitions of “special” and “general” and had no implementation guidance for how engineers should identify and separate the two benefits. This ambiguity has made it difficult for PBIDs to determine what assessments can be charged and has increased the number of lawsuits against districts. A narrow addition to (Streets and Highways Code § 36600 et seq.) is needed to give direction to engineers on how to calculate the assessment benefits. Without the clarifications in AB 2890, PBIDs will remain subject to litigation challenges that severely impede – or even eliminate – PBIDs and the benefits they provide.

Solution/Recommended Proposal

AB 2890 clarifies the Property and Business Improvement District Law of 1994 by providing additional details to help parties comply with the benefit separation requirement. Specifically, AB 2890 clarifies the special benefit of the programs, which will help PBID assessments avoid unnecessary and costly legal challenges. The pandemic has had an unprecedented impact on California communities, and AB 2890 provides simple clarifications that will help ensure PBIDs can continue to revitalize the State’s downtown areas and economic corridors in a time when these districts need it the most.

Departments Impacted & Why

Office of Economic and Workforce Development: Department oversees the formation of CBDs in San Francisco and provides oversight to these districts.

DPW’s Green Benefit District Program (GBD) program oversees the formation of GBDs which rely on the state Property and Business Improvement Law of 1994 for legal establishment and oversight. The benefits of AB 2890 are the same for the GBD program DPW manages as for the CBD program OEWD manages.

Fiscal Impact

None, this clarifies language on the special benefit of programs aimed to avoid costly legal challenges.

Support / Opposition

Support: California Downtown Association



State Legislation Proposal Form

This form should be used to submit legislative proposals for consideration by the State Legislation Committee. We ask that you keep your submissions under two pages. Before submission, proposals must be reviewed and approved by the Department Head or Commission. Please send completed forms to Eddie McCaffrey in the Mayor's Office at edward.mccaffrey@sfgov.org and cc Susanna Conine-Nakano at Susanna.Conine-Nakano@sfgov.org.

Date Submitted	5/6/22
Submitting Department	OEWD
Contact Name	Dylan Smith
Contact Email	Dylan.smith@sfgov.org
Contact Phone	510-457-8321
Reviewed and approved by Department Head?	X YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
Reviewed and approved by Commission?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO X N/A

SB 301

Sen. Skinner, District 9, Democrat

Online Marketplaces: Retail Theft

Recommended Position

- SPONSOR SUPPORT
 SUPPORT if amended OPPOSE
 OTHER & Describe

Summary

SB 301 would help combat the sale of stolen goods on online marketplaces by providing higher standards of accountability and verification for third-party-sellers on online platforms, helping online marketplaces identify and take action against sellers of stolen goods.

The bill would empower the California Attorney General to enforce through civil penalties increased transparency requirements for third party online sellers on online marketplaces. Marketplaces must require sellers to disclose information such as their name, address, bank account information, tax identification information, and contact information. Disclosure requirements become more significant for higher volume sellers. The marketplace must receive and verify required information, and if sellers do not comply with the law's requirements, the marketplace must suspend their activity or face penalties.

Background/Analysis

Existing law requires online marketplaces to ensure that its terms and conditions regarding commercial relationships with marketplace sellers meet certain criteria, including that they are drafted in plain and intelligible language. It also requires certain online marketplaces to collect sales and use taxes and certain fees for sales made by third-party sellers to buyers in California. It does not require specific identifying information to be collected, verified and reported.

SB 301 unanimously passed the California Senate on January 24, 2022. The bill is currently in the California Assembly.

SB 301 is similar to the federal INFORM Consumers Act (S.936 and H.R.5502), introduced March 2021 and supported on a bipartisan basis, though it has not yet passed. Given the uncertainty of the federal legislation, the sponsors of SB 301 are proceeding with this bill, though if the federal legislation is signed into law, it will likely preempt SB 301.

Challenge

Retail theft has recently increased statewide and in San Francisco. Experts cite the ease of

selling stolen goods through online marketplaces as a driver of increased retail theft since it is easier to scale up operations online and most marketplaces do not verify sellers' identities or make their information public to buyers.

Solution/Recommended Proposal

This bill, which would take effect on July 1, 2023, requires online marketplaces to collect and verify certain business-related information from their high-volume third-party sellers with a specified level of sales into California, which is intended to make it more difficult for sellers of stolen goods to evade detection or consequences. This bill also requires certain high-volume third party sellers to provide contact information to consumers, which is intended to aid consumers in making informed purchasing decisions. Violators of this law are subject to \$10k civil penalties for each violation to be assessed and recovered by the Attorney General.

Departments Impacted & Why

This bill would not have a significant impact on San Francisco City Departments as all enforcement is conducted at the state level, with no enforcement authority at the City or County level.

Fiscal Impact

The California Department of Justice anticipates costs of approximately \$1,096,000 in Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-24 and \$1,053,000 in FY 2024-25 and ongoing (General Fund). Costs include additional staff to address an increase in workload in the Consumer Protection Section within the DOJ's Public Rights Division. The DOJ also estimates annual costs of approximately \$100,000 (General Fund) for outside forensic audit support to its Consumer Protection Section.

Unknown, potentially significant workload cost pressures to the courts to adjudicate alleged violations of this measure. While the superior courts are not funded on a workload basis, an increase in workload could result in delayed court services and would put

pressure on the General Fund to increase the amount appropriated to backfill for trial court operations.

Support / Opposition

Support:

- California District Attorneys Association
- California Retailers Association
- Coalition to Protect America's Small Sellers
- Contra Costa County District Attorney's Office
- GSK Consumer Healthcare
- Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office
- Prosecutors Alliance of California
- San Diego County District Attorney's Office
- The Home Depot
- The Toy Association
- Walgreens

No formal opposition.